

Original Research Article

ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTERN OF *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* ISOLATED FROM CLINICAL SAMPLES IN SPECIALIST HOSPITAL, SOKOTO

ABSTRACT

Aim: The study was to determine the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates against some conventional antibiotics.

Study design: Hospital based cross-sectional study.

Place and duration of study: The study was conducted in Specialist Hospital, Sokoto Metropolis, Sokoto State, Nigeria between June 2018 and September 2018.

Methodology: One hundred (100) pathogenic *Staphylococcus aureus* strains were used in this study. Gram's staining, catalase, coagulase and mannitol fermentation tests were used to identify and confirm the isolates. Antibiotic susceptibility testing was carried out by disc agar diffusion test.

Results: In the present study 63.0% of the *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were from male subjects, while 37.0% were from female subjects. The age group with the highest number of isolates was 11-20 years (37%) and the least (9%) was seen in 41-50 years. Urine sample had the highest frequency of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates of 32.0% and high vaginal swab had the lowest 6.0%. The antibiotics tested against *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were clindamycin(40%), ciprofloxacin(64%), erythromycin(57%), Gentamicin(71%), cefoxitin(34%), Quinupristin/Dalfopristin(46%), tetracycline(58%) and Sulphamethaxazole –Trimethoprim(58%) respectively. Screening for MRSA was carried out by antibiotic sensitivity testing using cefoxitin and a prevalence of 66% was obtained. This study showed that Gentamicin and Ciprofloxacin were the most active antibiotics against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Thus it is believed that these antibiotics should be used in the treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in this region.

Conclusion: There is the need for consistent on-going antimicrobial resistance surveillance for important and commonly isolated clinically significant pathogens of staphylococcal species to

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [E1]: Revise this to mean that 40% of the *S. aureus* was susceptible to Clindamycin, 64% to Ciprofloxacin ...

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [E2]: I suggest that authors should revise this statement

28 form the basis for developing and implementing measures that can reduce the burden of
29 antimicrobial resistance and prevent a probable impending public health problem.

30 Keywords: Antibiotics, *Staphylococcus aureus*, MRSA, Clinical samples.

31

32 1.0 INTRODUCTION

33 | *Staphylococcus aureus* is a Gram-positive coccuseeeei, catalase and coagulase positive
34 bacterium. *Staphylococcus aureus* has emerged as one of the main important human pathogens,
35 and has over the past decades, been a leading cause of hospital and community-acquired
36 infections [1]. The bacterium is well characterized and known to have a diverse arsenal of
37 | virulence factors that causes a prominent inflammatory response [2]. This pathogen affects both
38 immune competent and immunocompromised individuals, frequently resulting in high morbidity
39 and with complications, which constitute problem to health care institutions [3]. Variety of
40 factors contribute to the ability of *S. aureus* to cause infection (virulence); enzymes, toxins,
41 adhesion proteins, factors that help the bacteria to evade the innate immune defense, and
42 antibiotic resistance mediate survival of the bacteria and tissue invasion at the site of infection
43 [4].

44 The emergence of multidrug resistance in Gram-positive bacteria (pneumococci, enterococci and
45 staphylococci) is a particularly important development. Perhaps the pathogen of greatest concern
46 is *S. aureus*, because of its intrinsic virulence, its ability to cause an array of life threatening
47 conditions, and its capacity to adapt to different environmental conditions [5]. *S. aureus* is
48 known to be notorious in the acquisition of resistance to new drugs and continues to defy
49 attempts at medical control. The resistance of *S. aureus* isolates to commonly used antibiotics in
50 | Nigeria and other different parts of the world has been widely reported [6]. This increase in

51 emergence of resistance strains has being attributed to the indiscriminate use of antibiotics in
52 both human and veterinary medicine especially in the developing countries. Many strains of *S.*
53 *aureus* carry a wide variety of multi-drug resistant genes on plasmids, which aid the spread of
54 resistance even among different species [7]. In Nigeria, most symptomatic patients usually
55 indulge in indiscriminate use of antibiotics before consulting the physicians when they could no
56 longer control the symptomatic situations. The physicians on the other hand usually treat the
57 patients with broad-spectrum antibiotics before microbiological investigations [8].

58 MATERIALS AND METHODS

59 **2.1 Study Design:** Hospital based cross-sectional study.

Comment [E3]: I think this single phrase to describe the study design is inadequate. At least make a full statement

60 2.2 Bacterial Isolates

61 A total of 100 isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* was collected from various clinical specimens
62 (wound swab, nasal swab, ear swab, high vagina swab, pus and urine samples) obtained in
63 medical microbiology laboratory of Specialist Hospital using nutrient agar slants and transported
64 to the medical microbiology laboratory in the school of medical laboratory sciences, Usmanu
65 Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria.

Comment [E4]: See revision comment B

66 **2.3 Identification of Bacteria:** Diagnostic procedures consisted of Gram staining, biochemical
67 test, Catalase, Coagulase and Mannitol fermentation tests.

68 2.3.1 Gram Staining Technique

69 A drop of sterile physiological saline was placed on a clean glass slide. With a sterile wire loop,
70 a colony of the test organisms was emulsified in the drop of saline. The smear was allowed to
71 dry, and then fixed over Bunsen flame briefly. The slide was placed on a staining rack, and then

72 flooded with crystal violet. The stain was allowed to stay for 1 minute, after which it was
73 washed off with water. The slide was flooded with Lugol's iodine solution, and was allowed to
74 stain for 1 minute after which it was washed off with water. The smear was decolorized for 20
75 seconds with acetone solution, and then washed off with water. The smear was finally
76 counterstained with neutral red solution for 2 minutes and washed off with water. The smear was
77 air dried and viewed under the microscope using 100X objective (oil immersion) and the gram
78 reaction of the organisms was recorded as described by [9].

79 **2.3.2 Biochemical Tests**

80 Isolates found to be gram positive cocci were subjected to biochemical tests like catalase and
81 coagulase using technique described by Chessbrough [9] and also, sub cultured on Mannitol Salt
82 Agar.

83 **Catalase Test**

84 Two drops of 3% hydrogen peroxide solution was placed on a cleaned glass slide. A colony of
85 the test organism was collected using a sterile glass rod and then emulsified into the drop of
86 hydrogen peroxide. Bubbles of gas indicated a catalase positive test, while absence of bubbles
87 indicated a catalase negative test [9].

88 **Coagulase Test**

89 Slide Test to detect bound coagulase; A drop of normal saline was placed on two separate
90 cleaned grease free glass slide. A colony of the organism was picked and emulsified in each of
91 the drops to make a suspension. Using a wire loop a loopful of plasma was added onto one of the
92 suspensions, mixed gently and observed for clumping of the plasma immediately. No plasma

93 was added to the second suspension, it served as the negative control of the test. Clumping of the
94 plasma indicates the organism is *S. aureus* while no clumping indicates other *Staphylococcus*
95 *species* [9]

96

97

98 **Mannitol Fermentation Test**

99 Isolates were directly inoculated on Mannitol Salt Agar MSA (Oxoid, England), a selective and
100 differential media of *S. aureus* and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Organisms that were able to
101 grow on Mannitol Salt Agar (Oxoid, England) with fermentation of Mannitol and acid
102 production to give yellow colonies were characterized as *S. aureus* [9].

103 **2.4 Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing**

104 The antimicrobial susceptibility testing for *Staphylococcus aureus* was performed in accordance
105 to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [10]. Standard inoculum was prepared by
106 making a direct saline suspension of isolate colonies by selecting from an 18-hours agar plate
107 (nutrient agar). The suspension was adjusted to achieve a turbidity equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland
108 standard which resulted in a suspension containing approximately 1×10^8 colony forming
109 unit (CFU)/ml. It was observed using adequate light to visually compare the inoculum tube and

Comment [E5]: s

110 the 0.5 McFarland standard against a card with a white background and contrasting black line.

Comment [E6]: delete

111 Antimicrobial susceptibility was performed on Mueller-Hinton Agar by the standard Kirby-
112 Bauer disk diffusion method. This was done by dipping a sterile swab stick into the bacterial
113 suspension and carefully swabbing the entire surface of Mueller Hinton agar plates. The

antibiotic single discs (Oxoid) were then placed on the surface of the inoculated plates and gently pressed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 18–24h. The diameter of zone of inhibition was measured in millimeters and isolates were scored as sensitive, intermediate or resistant by comparing with values recommend in the CLSI M100 inhibition zone standard [10].

118

119

120 2.5 Screening for MRSA

Zones of inhibition ≥ 22 mm with 30µg cefoxitin were recorded as Methicillin Susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA), while zones of inhibition ≤ 21 mm with 30µg cefoxitin was recorded as Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) [10].

124 2.6 Statistical Analysis

The data collected was presented in tables, and analyse using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25 and the degree of confidence level was set at 95% ($P = .05$). Comparative resistance rates of *S. aureus* strains from the different clinical specimens was statistically analyzed by Chi square - test.

Comment [E7]: Confidence level

129 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, a total of 100 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were collected from clinical samples of patients attending Specialist Hospital Sokoto from the medical microbiology laboratory. Analysis of the gender specific distribution of patients infected with *Staphylococcus aureus* in Specialist Hospital Sokoto shows that Males had higher infection rate (63.0%) than females (37.0%) (Table 1). However, the age group with the highest frequency of *Staphylococcus*

135 | *aureus* infection was found to be individual aged (11-20 years) and (1-10 years) while the least
136 | was in the ~~(21-30)~~ years ~~group~~. (Table 2). Different clinical specimens from which
137 | *Staphylococcus aureus* was isolated were analysed, the highest number of isolates was from
138 | urine samples 32(32.0%) followed by wound swab 23(23.0%). The least was from high vaginal
139 | swab 6(6.0%)~~-(Table 3).~~

140 | Sensitivity and resistance pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* to various antibiotics showeds that
141 | the highest frequency of sensitivity was observed with Gentamicin (71%) followed by
142 | Ciprofloxacin (64%) and Tetracycline (58%). The least was observed with cefoxitin (34%) ~~each~~.
143 | (Table 4). Antibiotic resistance pattern of Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)
144 | shows that Cefoxitin had resistance 66(100%) while Clindamycin had 44(66.7%) and
145 | Quinupristin/Dalfopristin had 38(57.6%) resistance.(Table 5).

Comment [E8]: It is not the antibiotic that had the resistance but the pathogen that exhibited resistance to the antibiotic. Revise this accordingly

146 | The importance of *Staphylococcus aureus* as a persistent nosocomial and community acquired
147 | pathogen has become a global health concern. In the present study, it has been observed that
148 | male subjects were more infected with *Staphylococcus aureus* (63%) than female subject (37%),
149 | which is in agreement with what was reported by Kumurya and Ado [11] at Aminu Kano
150 | Teaching Hospital that males had (61.8%) and females (38.2%). This is probably due to the
151 | nature of job men engage that females do not, especially farming in the Northern part of the
152 | country.

153 | Also, in this study the highest frequency of isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* (37%) was
154 | observed in the age group ~~(11-20)~~ years. This is in contrast to previous study by Nwankwo *et al.*
155 | [12] who reported the highest frequency (47.3%) among neonates and infants (0-10) years. This

156 | ~~difference~~ ~~contradiction~~ can be attributed to distribution of specimen collection as more were
157 | collected from age group 11-20 years than 0-10 years during the period of this study.

Comment [E9]: Did you confirm any difference statistically?

158 | The prevalence of *S. aureus* isolate was found to be higher from urine samples 32.0% compared
159 | to other samples. This is in contrast to previous study by Kumurya and Ado [11] who reported
160 | the highest prevalence of 38.1% from blood cultures. This may be attributed to the issue of urine
161 | contamination with *S. aureus* from the surface during sample collection.

Comment [E10]: You did not culture blood. So, the comparison of urine and blood is not quite appropriate.

162 | *Staphylococcus aureus* develops resistance very quickly and successfully to different
163 | antimicrobials over a period of time. The highest frequency of susceptibility in this study
164 | occurred with Gentamicin and Ciprofloxacin having (71.0%) and (64.0%) respectively. The least
165 | was cefoxitin having (34.0%). A similar study depicted that the most potent of all the antibiotics
166 | tested was Rifampicin, with 54% sensitivity [13]. The high level of resistance could be
167 | associated with earlier exposure of these drugs to the isolates which may have enhanced
168 | development of resistance. There is high level antibiotic abuse in this environment arising from
169 | self-medication which is often associated with inadequate dosage and failure to comply to
170 | treatment and availability of antibiotics to consumers across the counters with or without
171 | prescription [14].

Comment [E11]: There is no high level of resistance in this study reported here.

172 | Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has emerged as a serious public health
173 | problem of global concern. Screening for methicillin resistant isolates in this study showed a
174 | prevalence rate of 66%. This is in line with a study in Zaria [15] where similar prevalence of
175 | 69% was obtained. In other studies elsewhere in Nigeria, a lower prevalence of 25.5% was
176 | reported from Kano by Nwankwo *et al.* [12] a higher prevalence of 34.7% was reported a few
177 | years [16]. In contrast, the prevalence of MRSA was found to be low in studies conducted in

other areas in Nigeria such as Jos [17] 43.0%. This may be associated to the ever increasing prevalence of MRSA; in Nigeria prevalence of MRSA ranging between 37.4% and 72.1% has been reported [18,19].

Table 1. Distribution of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates According to gender.

Gender	No. tested	Percentage	X ²	P-value
Male	63	63.0	20.885	0.002
Female	37	37.0		
Total	100	100.0		

Table 2 Distribution of *Staphylococcus aureus* According to age group

Age group (years)	Frequency	Percentage (%)	X ²	P-value
1-10	28	28	81.317	0.000
11-20	37	37		
21-30	10	10		
31-40	16	16		
41-50	9	9		
Total	100	100		

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201 Table 3. Distribution of *Staphylococcus aureus* According to Source of Isolates.

202

Type of specimen	No. tested	percentage %
Nasal	9	9.0
Urine	32	32.0
Wound	23	23.0
Pus	9	9.0
HVS	6	6.0
Semen	9	9.0
Ear	12	12.0
Total	100	100.0

203

204

206

208

210

212

213

214

215

216 Table 4. Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates

217

218

219

220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234

235
236

Table 5. Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA).

Antibiotic	Sensitive (%)	Resistant (%)
Cefoxitin	0.0 (0.0)	66 (100.0)
Clindamycin	23(38.7)	44 (66.7)
Quinupristin/Dalfopristin	28 (34.7)	38 (57.6)
Erythromycin	39 (50.3)	27 (40.9)
Tetracycline	34 (36.6)	32 (48.5)
Sulphamethoxazole/Trimethoprim	38 (40.9)	28 (42.4)
Ciprofloxacin	36 (46.3)	30 (45.5)
Gentamicin	39 (59.1)	27 (40.9)

Comment [E12]: 23 + 44= 67 Check your data

Comment [E13]: Ciprofloxacin

237 **CONCLUSION**

238 | In this study, males (63%) ~~were~~ ~~where~~ more infected than females (37%) and the highest
239 frequency of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates was observed in the age group 11-20years. The
240 sample with high prevalence was urine (32%) and a prevalence of MRSA (66%) was obtained in
241 this study. This study showed that Gentamicin and Ciprofloxacin were the most active antibiotics
242 against *Staphylococcus aureus*.

243 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

244 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist

245 **CONSENT**

246 It is not applicable

247 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

248 Ethical approval to conduct this study was obtained from the ethics and Research committee of
249 Specialist Hospital, Sokoto in accordance with the university standard.

250

251 **REFERENCES**

- 252 1. Yassin NA, Mohammed HH, Ahmad AM. Antibio graming Profiles of *Staphylococcus*
253 *aureus* Isolated from Various Clinical Specimens in Duhok City Iraq. Adv Trop Med and
254 Pub Health Intern. 2013;3(1):25-31.
255 2. Jombo GT, Akpan S, Epoke J, Akaa PD, and Eyong KI. Methicillin Resistant
256 *Staphylococcus aureus* and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern in Kano, Nigeria. J of
257 Medic and Med Sci. 2010;1(3):043-046.

Comment [E14]: Will it not be more appropriate to say that greater number of *S. aureus* isolates were obtained from male subjects than female subjects instead of infected?

3. Onanuga A, and Awhowho GO. Antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* Strains from Patients with Urinary Tract Infections in Yenagoa, Nigeria. J of Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2012;4(3):226-30.
4. Speziale P, Pietrocola G, Provenzano M, and Visai L. Structural and functional role of *Staphylococcus aureus* surface components recognising adhesives matrix molecule of the host. J of Nat Med Assoc. 2009;4(2):1337-352.
5. Karchmer AW. From Theory to Practice: Resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and New Treatments. Clin Microbio Infect. 2006;12(8):15-21.
6. Mincey BA, and Parkulo MA. Antibiotic Prescribing Practices in a Teaching Clinic: Comparison of Resident and Staff Physicians. South Med J. 2001;94(4):365-69.
7. Todar K. Bacterial Resistance to Antibiotics. Textbook of Bacteriology. 4th ed. Edinburg: Churchill Livingstone; 2011
8. Aboderin OA, Abdu A, Odetoyin BW, and Lamikanra AS. Antimicrobial Resistance in *Escherichia coli* strains from Urinary Tract Infections. J of Nat Med Assoc. 2009;101(12):1268-273.
9. Chessbrough M. District Laboratory practice in tropical countries. 2nd ed. Cambridge: University Press; 2009;62-70;105-114
10. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disc Susceptibility Tests. 11th ed. CLSI document M02-A11:2012;323-337.
11. Kumurya AS, and Ado ZG. Detection of clindamycin resistance among Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates in Kano, Nigerian. Access J of microbio. 2017;1(2):34-40.
12. Nwankwo EOK, Abdulhadi S, Magaji A, and Ihesiulor G. Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern in Kano, Nigeria. Afri J of Clin and Exp Microbio. 2010;11(1):129-36.
13. Onanuga A. Prevalence and Susceptibility Pattern of Methicillin- Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates Among Healthy Women in Zaria, Nigeria. Afri Journal of Biotech. 2005;4:1321-324.
14. Odugbemi T. The use and abuse of antibiotics. Nig Med Pract. 1998;1(1):4-8

- 287 15. Onanuga A, and Temedie TC. Nasal carriage of multi-drug resistant *Staphylococcus*
288 *aureus* in healthy inhabitants of Amassoma in Niger delta region of Nigeria. *Afri Health*
289 *Sci.* 2011;11(2):176-81.
- 290 16. Kumurya AS, and Ado ZG. Detection of clindamycin resistance among Methicillin
291 Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates in Kano, Nigerian. *Access J of microbio.*
292 2015;1(2):34-40.
- 293 17. Ikeh EI. Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* at Jos University Teaching Hospital
294 (JUTH). *Afri J of Clin Exp Microbio.* 2003;4(1):52-55
- 295 18. Taiwo SS, Bamidele M, Omonigbehin EA, Akinsinde KA, Smith SI, Onile B.A. et al.
296 Molecular epidemiology of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Ilorin, Nigeria.
297 *West Afri J of Medic.* 2005;24(2):100-6.
- 298 19. Onanuga A, Oyi AR, Olayinka BO, and Onaolapo JA. Prevalence of Community-
299 Associated Multi-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Among Healthy Women in Abuja,
300 Nigeria. *Afri J of Biotech.* 2005;4(9):942-45.