

Original Research Article

ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTERN OF *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* ISOLATED FROM CLINICAL SAMPLES IN SPECIALIST HOSPITAL, SOKOTO

ABSTRACT

Aim: The study was to determine the susceptibility pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates against some conventional antibiotics.

Study design: Hospital based cross-sectional study.

Place and duration of study: The study was conducted in Specialist Hospital, Sokoto Metropolis, Sokoto State Nigeria between June 2018 and September 2018.

Methodology: One hundred (100) pathogenic *Staphylococcus aureus* strains were used in this study. Gram's staining, catalase, coagulase and mannitol fermentation tests were used to identify and confirm the isolates. Antibiotic susceptibility test was carried out by disc agar diffusion test.

Results: In the present study 63.0% of the *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were from male subject, while 37.0% were from female subject. The age group with the highest number of isolates was 11-20years (37%) and the least (9%) was seen in 41-50years. Urine sample had the highest frequency of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates of 32.0% and high vaginal swab had the lowest 6.0%. The antibiotics tested against *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were clindamycin(40%), ciprofloxacin(64%), erythromycin(57%), Gentamicin(71%), cefoxitin(34%), Quinupristin/Dalfopristin(46%), tetracycline(58%) and Sulphamethaxazole –Trimethoprim(58%) respectively. Screening for MRSA was carried out by antibiotic sensitivity testing using cefoxitin and a prevalence of 66% was obtained. This study showed that Gentamicin and Ciprofloxacin were the most active antibiotics against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Thus it is believed that these antibiotics should be used in the treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in this region.

Conclusion: There is the need for consistent on-going antimicrobial resistance surveillance for important and commonly isolated clinically significant pathogens of staphylococcal species to

Comment [u1]: with

Comment [u2]: and the susceptibility percenge (..) were....

27 form the basis for developing and implementing measures that can reduce the burden of
28 antimicrobial resistance and prevent a probable impending public health problem.

29 Keywords: Antibiotics, *Staphylococcus aureus*, MRSA, Clinical samples.

30

31 **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

32 *Staphylococcus aureus* is a gram-positive cocci, catalase and coagulase positive bacterium.

33 *Staphylococcus aureus* has emerged as one of the main important human pathogens, and has

34 over the past decades, been a leading cause of hospital and community-acquired infections [1].

35 The bacterium is well characterized and known to have a diverse arsenal of virulence factors that

36 causes a prominent inflammatory response [2]. This pathogen affects both immune competent

37 and immunocompromised individuals, frequently resulting in high morbidity and with

38 complications, which constitute problem to health care institutions [3]. Variety of factors

39 contribute to the ability of *S. aureus* to cause infection (virulence); enzymes, toxins, adhesion

40 proteins, factors that help the bacteria to evade the innate immune defense, and antibiotic

41 resistance mediate survival of the bacteria and tissue invasion at the site of infection [4].

42 The emergence of multidrug resistance in Gram-positive bacteria (pneumococci, enterococci and

43 staphylococci) is a particularly important development. Perhaps the pathogen of greatest concern

44 is *S. aureus*, because of its intrinsic virulence, its ability to cause an array of life threatening

45 conditions, and its capacity to adapt to different environmental conditions [5]. *S. aureus* is

46 known to be notorious in the acquisition of resistance to new drugs and continues to defy

47 attempts at medical control. The resistance of *S. aureus* isolates to commonly used antibiotics in

48 Nigeria and other different parts of the world has been widely reported [6]. This increase

49 emergence of resistance strains has being attributed to the indiscriminate use of antibiotics in

50 both human and veterinary medicine especially in the developing countries. Many strains of *S.*
51 *aureus* carry a wide variety of multi-drug resistant genes on plasmids, which aid the spread of
52 resistance even among different species [7]. In Nigeria, most symptomatic patients usually
53 indulge in indiscriminate use of antibiotics before consulting the physicians when they could no
54 longer control the symptomatic situations. The physicians on the other hand usually treat the
55 patients with broad-spectrum antibiotics before microbiological investigations [8].

56 MATERIALS AND METHODS

57 **2.1 Study Design:** Hospital based cross-sectional study.

58 2.2 Bacterial Isolates

59 A total of 100 isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* was collected from various clinical specimens
60 (wound swab, nasal swab, ear swab, high vagina swab, pus and urine samples) obtained in
61 medical microbiology laboratory of Specialist Hospital using nutrient agar slants and transported
62 to the medical microbiology laboratory in the school of medical laboratory sciences, Usmanu
63 Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria.

64 **2.3 Identification of Bacteria:** Diagnostic procedures consisted of Gram staining, biochemical
65 test, Catalase, Coagulase and Mannitol fermentation tests.

Comment [u3]: Tests like catalase and coagulase and mannitol fermentation test.

66 2.3.1 Gram Staining Technique

67 A drop of sterile physiological saline was placed on a clean glass slide. With a sterile wire loop,
68 a colony of the test organisms was emulsified in the drop of saline. The smear was allowed to
69 dry, and then fixed over Bunsen flame briefly. The slide was placed on a staining rack, and then
70 flooded with crystal violet. The stain was allowed to stay for 1 minute, after which it was

71 washed off with water. The slide was flooded with Lugol's iodine solution, and was allowed to
72 stain for 1 minute after which it was washed off with water. The smear was decolorized for 20
73 seconds with acetone solution, and then washed off with water. The smear was finally
74 counterstained with neutral red solution for 2 minutes and washed off with water. The smear was
75 air dried and viewed under the microscope using 100X objective (oil immersion) and the Gram
76 reaction of the organisms was recorded as described by [9].

Comment [u4]: There is no need to explain
Gram staining the reference is enough

77 **2.3.2 Biochemical Tests**

78 Isolates found to be Gram positive cocci were subjected to biochemical tests like catalase and
79 coagulase using technique described by Chessbrough [9] and also, sub cultured on Mannitol Salt
80 Agar.

81 **Catalase Test**

82 Two drops of 3% hydrogen peroxide solution was placed on a cleaned glass slide. A colony of
83 the test organism was collected using a sterile glass rod and then emulsified into the drop of
84 hydrogen peroxide. Bubbles of gas indicated a catalase positive test, while absence of bubbles
85 indicated a catalase negative test [9].

86 **Coagulase Test**

87 Slide Test to detect bound coagulase; A drop of normal saline was placed on two separate
88 cleaned grease free glass slide. A colony of the organism was picked and emulsified in each of
89 the drops to make a suspension. Using a wire loop a loopful of plasma was added onto one of the
90 suspensions, mixed gently and observed for clumping of the plasma immediately. No plasma
91 was added to the second suspension, it served as the negative control of the test. Clumping of the

92 plasma indicates the organism is *S. aureus* while no clumping indicates other *Staphylococcus*
93 *species* [9]

94

95 .

96 **Mannitol Fermentation Test**

97 Isolates were directly inoculated on Mannitol Salt Agar MSA (Oxoid, England), a selective and
98 differential media of *S. aureus* and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Organisms that were able to
99 grow on Mannitol Salt Agar (Oxoid, England) with fermentation of Mannitol and acid
100 production to give yellow colonies were characterized as *S. aureus* [9].

Comment [u5]: Color in the media around the colonies...

101 **2.4 Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing**

102 The antimicrobial susceptibility testing for *Staphylococcus aureus* was performed in accordance
103 to Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) [10]. Standard inoculum was prepared by
104 making a direct saline suspension of isolate colonies by selecting from an 18-hours agar plate
105 (nutrient agar). The suspension was adjusted to achieve a turbidity equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland
106 standard which resulted in a suspension containing approximately $1 \text{ to } 2 \times 10^8$ colony forming
107 unit (CFU)/ml. It was observed using adequate light to visually compare the inoculum tube and
108 the 0.5 McFarland standard against a card with a white background and contrasting black line.
109 Antimicrobial susceptibility was performed on Mueller-Hinton Agar by the standard Kirby-
110 Bauer disk diffusion method. This was done by dipping a sterile swab stick into the bacterial
111 suspension and carefully swabbing the entire surface of Mueller Hinton agar plates. The
112 antibiotic single discs (Oxoid) were then placed on the surface of the inoculated plates and gently

113 pressed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 18–24h. The diameter of zone of inhibition was
114 measured in millimeters and isolates were scored as sensitive, intermediate or resistant by
115 comparing with values recommend in the CLSI M100 inhibition zone standard [10].
116

117

118

118 2.5 Screening for MRSA

119 Zones of inhibition ≥ 22 mm with 30 μ g cefoxitin were recorded as Methicillin Susceptible
120 *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA), while zones of inhibition ≤ 21 mm with 30 μ g cefoxitin was
121 recorded as Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) [10].

122 2.6 Statistical Analysis

123 The data collected was presented in tables, and analyse using Statistical Package for Social
124 Sciences (SPSS) version 25 and the degree of confidence was set at 95% ($P = .05$). Comparative
125 resistance rates of *S. aureus* strains from the different clinical specimens was statistically
126 analyzed by Chi square - test.

Comment [u6]: It is best to sense the strains against oxacillin to certify they are MRSA strains because cefoxitin yet a beta-lactamic antibiotic is commonly used for anaerobic microorganisms. Please check

Comment [u7]: were

Comment [u8]: analysed

127 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

128 In this study, a total of 100 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were collected from clinical samples
129 of patients attending Specialist Hospital Sokoto from the medical microbiology laboratory.
130 Analysis of the gender specific distribution of patients infected with *Staphylococcus aureus* in
131 Specialist Hospital Sokoto shows that Males had higher infection rate (63.0%) than females
132 (37.0%). (Table 1). However, the age group with the highest frequency of *Staphylococcus*
133 *aureus* infection was found to be individual aged (11-20) and (1-10) while the least was in the

134 (21-30) years group. (Table 2). Different clinical specimens from which *Staphylococcus aureus*
135 was isolated were analysed, the highest number of isolates was from urine samples 32(32.0%)
136 followed by wound swab 23(23.0%). The least was from high vaginal swab 6(6.0%). (Table 3).

137 Sensitivity and resistance pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* to various antibiotics shows that the
138 highest frequency of sensitivity was observed with Gentamicin (71%) followed by Ciprofloxacin
139 (64%) and Tetracycline (58%). The least was observed with cefoxitin (34%) each. (Table 4).
140 Antibiotic resistance pattern of Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) shows that
141 Cefoxitin had resistance 66(100%) while Clindamycin had 44(66.7%) and
142 Quinupristin/Dalfopristin had 38(57.6%) resistance.(Table 5).

143 The importance of *Staphylococcus aureus* as a persistent nosocomial and community acquired
144 pathogen has become a global health concern. In the present study, it has been observed that
145 male subjects were more infected with *Staphylococcus aureus* (63%) than female subject (37%),
146 which is in agreement with what was reported by Kumurya and Ado [11] at Aminu Kano
147 Teaching Hospital that males had (61.8%) and females (38.2%). This is probably due to the
148 nature of job men engage that females do not, especially farming in the Northern part of the
149 country.

150 Also, in this study the highest frequency of isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* (37%) was
151 observed in the age group (11-20) years. This is in contrast to previous study by Nwankwo *et al.*
152 [12] who reported the highest frequency (47.3%) among neonates and infants (0-10) years. This
153 contradiction can be attributed to distribution of specimen collection as more were collected
154 from age group 11-20 than 0-10 during the period of this study.

155 The prevalence of *S. aureus* isolate was found to be higher from urine samples 32.0% compared
156 to other samples. This is in contrast to previous study by Kumurya and Ado [11] who reported
157 the highest prevalence of 38.1% from blood cultures. This may be attributed to the issue of urine
158 contamination with *S. aureus* from the surface during sample collection.

159 *Staphylococcus aureus* develops resistance very quickly and successfully to different
160 antimicrobials over a period of time. The highest frequency of susceptibility in this study
161 occurred with Gentamicin and Ciprofloxacin having (71.0%) and (64.0%) respectively. The least
162 was cefoxitin having (34.0%). A similar study depicted that the most potent of all the antibiotics
163 tested was Rifampicin, with 54% sensitivity [13]. The high level of resistance could be
164 associated with earlier exposure of these drugs to the isolates which may have enhanced
165 development of resistance. There is high level antibiotic abuse in this environment arising from
166 self-medication which is often associated with inadequate dosage and failure to comply to
167 treatment and availability of antibiotics to consumers across the counters with or without
168 prescription [14].

169 Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has emerged as a serious public health
170 problem of global concern. Screening for methicillin resistant isolates in this study showed a
171 prevalence rate of 66%. This is in line with a study in Zaria [15] where similar prevalence of
172 69% was obtained. In other studies elsewhere in Nigeria, a lower prevalence of 25.5% was
173 reported from Kano by Nwankwo *et al.* [12] a higher prevalence of 34.7% was reported a few
174 years [16]. In contrast, the prevalence of MRSA was found to be low in studies conducted in
175 other areas in Nigeria such as Jos [17] 43.0%. This may be associated to the ever increasing
176 prevalence of MRSA; in Nigeria prevalence of MRSA ranging between 37.4% and 72.1% has
177 been reported [18,19].

178
179
180

181

182
183
184

185
186
187
188

Table 1. Distribution of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates According to gender.

Gender	No. tested	Percentage	X ²	P-value
Male	63	63.0	20.885	0.002
Female	37	37.0		
Total	100	100.0		

Table 2 Distribution of *Staphylococcus aureus* According to age group

Age group (years)	Frequency	Percentage (%)	X ²	P-value
1-10	28	28	81.317	0.000
11-20	37	37		
21-30	10	10		
31-40	16	16		
41-50	9	9		
Total	100	100		

189
190
191
192
193
194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202
203
204
205

Table 3. Distribution of *Staphylococcus aureus* According to Source of Isolates.

Type of specimen	No. tested	percentage %
Nasal	9	9.0
Urine	32	32.0
Wound	23	23.0
Pus	9	9.0
HVS	6	6.0
Semen	9	9.0
Ear	12	12.0
Total	100	100.0

206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220

Table 4. Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates

Antibiotic	Sensitive (%)	Resistant (%)
Clindamycin	40	60
Quinupristin/Dalfopristin	46	54
Cefoxitin	34	66
Tetracycline	58	42
Sulphamethoxazole/Trimethoprim	58	42
Erythromycin	57	43
Ciprofloxacin	64	36
Gentamicin	71	29

221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231

Table 5. Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA).

Antibiotic	Sensitive (%)	Resistant (%)
Cefoxitin	0.0 (0.0)	66 (100.0)
Clindamycin	23(38.7)	44 (66.7)
Quinupristin/Dalfopristin	28 (34.7)	38 (57.6)
Erythromycin	39 (50.3)	27 (40.9)
Tetracycline	34 (36.6)	32 (48.5)
Sulphamethoxazole/Trimethoprim	38 (40.9)	28 (42.4)
Ciprofloxacin	36 (46.3)	30 (45.5)
Gentamicin	39 (59.1)	27 (40.9)

232
233

234 CONCLUSION

235 In this study, males (63%) were more infected than females (37%) and the highest frequency of
236 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates was observed in the age group 11-20 years. The sample with high

237 prevalence was urine (32%) and a prevalence of MRSA (66%) was obtained in this study. This
238 study showed that Gentamicin and Ciprofloxacin were the most active antibiotics against
239 *Staphylococcus aureus*.

240 COMPETING INTERESTS

241 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist

242 CONSENT

243 It is not applicable

244 ETHICAL APPROVAL

245 Ethical approval to conduct this study was obtained from the ethics and Research committee of
246 Specialist Hospital, Sokoto in accordance with the university standard.

247

248 REFERENCES

- 249 1. Yassin NA, Mohammed HH, Ahmad AM. Antibio graming Profiles of *Staphylococcus*
250 *aureus* Isolated from Various Clinical Specimens in Duhok City Iraq. Adv Trop Med and
251 Pub Health Intern. 2013;3(1):25-31.
- 252 2. Jombo GT, Akpan S, Epoke J, Akaa PD, and Eyong KI. Methicillin Resistant
253 *Staphylococcus aureus* and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern in Kano, Nigeria. J of
254 Medic and Med Sci. 2010;1(3):043-046.
- 255 3. Onanuga A, and Awhowho GO. Antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus*
256 Strains from Patients with Urinary Tract Infections in Yenagoa, Nigeria. J of Pharm
257 Bioallied Sci. 2012;4(3):226-30.

4. Speziale P, Pietrocola G, Provenzano M, and Visai L. Structural and functional role of *Staphylococcus aureus* surface components recognising adhesives matrix molecule of the host. J of Nat Med Assoc. 2009;4(2):1337-352.
5. Karchmer AW. From Theory to Practice: Resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and New Treatments. Clin Microbio Infect. 2006;12(8):15-21.
6. Mincey BA, and Parkulo MA. Antibiotic Prescribing Practices in a Teaching Clinic: Comparison of Resident and Staff Physicians. South Med J. 2001;94(4):365-69.
7. Todar K. Bacterial Resistance to Antibiotics. Textbook of Bacteriology. 4th ed. Edinburg: Churchill Livingstone; 2011
8. Aboderin OA, Abdu A, Odetoyin BW, and Lamikanra AS. Antimicrobial Resistance in *Escherichia coli* strains from Urinary Tract Infections. J of Nat Med Assoc. 2009;101(12):1268-273.
9. Chessbrough M. District Laboratory practice in tropical countries. 2nd ed. Cambridge: University Press; 2009;62-70;105-114
10. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disc Susceptibility Tests. 11th ed. CLSI document M02-A11:2012;323-337.
11. Kumurya AS, and Ado ZG. Detection of clindamycin resistance among Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates in Kano, Nigerian. Access J of microbio. 2017;1(2):34-40.
12. Nwankwo EOK, Abdulhadi S, Magaji A, and Ihesiulor G. Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern in Kano, Nigeria. Afri J of Clin and Exp Microbio. 2010;11(1):129-36.
13. Onanuga A. Prevalence and Susceptibility Pattern of Methicillin- Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates Among Healthy Women in Zaria, Nigeria. Afri Journal of Biotech. 2005;4:1321-324.
14. Odugbemi T. The use and abuse of antibiotics. Nig Med Pract. 1998;1(1):4-8
15. Onanuga A, and Temedie TC. Nasal carriage of multi-drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in healthy inhabitants of Amassoma in Niger delta region of Nigeria. Afri Health Sci. 2011;11(2):176-81.

- 287 16. Kumurya AS, and Ado ZG. Detection of clindamycin resistance among Methicillin
288 Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates in Kano, Nigerian. Access J of microbio.
289 2015;1(2):34-40.
- 290 17. Ikeh EI. Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* at Jos University Teaching Hospital
291 (JUTH). Afri J of Clin Exp Microbio. 2003;4(1):52-55
- 292 18. Taiwo SS, Bamidele M, Omonigbehin EA, Akinsinde KA, Smith SI, Onile B.A. et al.
293 Molecular epidemiology of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Ilorin, Nigeria.
294 West Afri J of Medic. 2005;24(2):100-6.
- 295 19. Onanuga A, Oyi AR, Olayinka BO, and Onaolapo JA. Prevalence of Community-
296 Associated Multi-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Among Healthy Women in Abuja,
297 Nigeria. Afri J of Biotech. 2005;4(9):942-45.
298