

Original Research Article

Evaluation of Probiotic Potential of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Raphia Palm Wine (*Raffia mambillensis*)

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study aimed at valorizing Raphia palm wine (*Raffia mambillensis* O.) of the South West region of Cameroon as a potential source of probiotic lactic acid bacteria (LAB).

Study design: The study site and sample were randomly chosen and the responses assessed were submitted to analyses of variance.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was carried out in Ekona in the South West region of Cameroon, between February 2017 and October 2018.

Methodology: Physicochemical analyses were performed to characterize the Raphia palm wine samples. Lactic acid bacteria were isolated from these samples using pour plate method, and the isolates were tested for their antimicrobial activity, low pH and bile salts tolerance, antibiotic sensitivity, hemolytic activity and enzymes production. The actives isolates were identified at phenotypic level.

Results: Among the nine isolates, only three were actives against *Escherichia coli* BL21, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Salmonella enterica* and *Staphylococcus aureus* with inhibition diameters ranging from 10 to 25 mm. The three isolates which deserved antimicrobial activity were able to survive at simulated stomach pH (pH 3.0) with survival rate above 80% and in the presence of bile salts at 1% with survival rates above 50%. All three isolates gave negative results for hemolytic activity and were resistant to most antibiotic treatments. These three isolates produced β -galactosidase, which is beneficial for lactose intolerance. They also produced some non-carcinogenic enzymes including leucine arylamidase, cystine arylamidase, acid phosphatase, α -galactosidase, α -glucosidase, β -glucosidase, and N-acetyl- β -glucosamidase. Identification of these three isolates with API kit 50 CHL reveals that they are *Lactobacillus lactis*, *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Lactobacillus brevis*.

Conclusion: The results of this study demonstrated the probiotic potential of LAB strains isolated from raphia wine.

Keywords: *Raphia Palm Wine*, *Lactic acid bacteria*, *Probiotics*, *Antimicrobial activity*, *Bile tolerance*, *Acid tolerance*

1. INTRODUCTION

Raphia palm wine is a traditional alcoholic beverage widely distributed in the Cameroon. It is produced by natural fermentation of palm sap collected from various raphia palms such as *Raffia mambillensis*, *Raffia africana* and *Raphia hookeri* [1]. The main microorganisms in raphia palm wine are yeast and bacteria mainly lactic acid bacteria (LAB) which is the dominant bacterial population responsible for the spontaneous fermentation of raphia palm sap [2]. Lactic acid bacteria comprise a large and diverse group of catalase negative, non-spore forming, non-motile, gram positive cocci or rod shape bacteria, capable of producing lactic acid as the main end-product of the fermentation of carbohydrates. Lactic acid bacteria

Comment [TKC1]: pour

Comment [TKC2]: activities of?

Comment [TKC3]: active

Comment [TKC4]: exhibited

26 strains are important microorganisms used in food fermentations as they improve safety and
27 stability of fermented products by extending the shelf life (Inhibit the growth of food spoilage
28 microorganisms) and provide new organoleptic properties to fermented products as well as
29 health benefits as a result of their probiotic properties [3,4]. Probiotic bacteria are live
30 microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on
31 the host [5]. In order to exert their beneficial effect, probiotic bacteria should be capable of
32 surviving passage through gastrointestinal tract the (GIT). Thus, it is essential for the
33 bacteria to have protection systems to show high tolerance at the low pH in the stomach,
34 digestive enzymes and bile salts of the small intestine [6]. Another important criterion for
35 lactic acid bacteria to be considered as probiotic bacteria includes the ability to adhere to the
36 intestinal epithelium cell and the ability to inhibit the pathogenic bacteria by producing
37 antimicrobial substances such as lactic acid, hydrogen peroxide, bacteriocins [7]. For lactic
38 acid bacteria present in raphia palm wine to provide health benefits, they need to be isolated
39 and identified as potentially probiotic microorganisms. But this fermented raphia palm wine is
40 one of the most neglected fermented alcoholic drink in Cameroon as prolong fermentation
41 renders the drink unfit for consumption and therefore most persons discarded by few days
42 after been tapped. Furthermore despite the numerous strains of probiotic bacteria isolated
43 from different sources including raw fruits and fresh vegetables [8], palm wine [9,10], milk
44 and dairy products [11], "tarkhineh" [12] fermented table olives [13] and processed corn beer
45 [14], only very few studies regarding the evaluation of probiotic properties and safety
46 assessment of lactic acid bacteria isolated from raphia palm wine have been reported [10]. It
47 is with regards to these problems that, the present work was carried out.
48 The objective of this study was to valorize fermented raphia palm wine sold and consumed
49 in the South West region of Cameroon as a potential source of novel species of probiotic
50 lactic acid bacteria.

Comment [TKC5]: and

Comment [TKC6]: ?most of the people died of it after consumption?

Comment [TKC7]: Cancel the word.

51 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

52 2.1 Sample collection

53
54
55
56 Freshly tapped raphia Palm Wine (*Raffia mambillensis* O.) were collected from local palm
57 wine tappers in Ekona in the South West region of Cameroon. The samples were introduced
58 into sterile bottles, kept in an icebox at 4°C and transported to the laboratory of
59 Biotechnology of the University of Buea and allowed at room temperature to undergo
60 fermentation for 7 days before analysis.

61 2.2 Pathogenic cultures

62
63
64 The pathogenic cultures used in this study were provided by the laboratory of Biotechnology
65 of the University of Buea (Cameroon). They were *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*
66 BL21, *Salmonella enterica*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella typhimurium*. The strains were
67 sub-culture in Luria Bertani broth (LB) (LiofilChem, Italy) and kept at 4°C.

Comment [TKC8]: cultured

68 2.3 Physicochemical analyses of raphia palm wine samples

69
70
71 During fermentation, some physicochemical parameters of the raphia palm wine like pH and
72 titratable acidity were measured every day. pH measurement was carried out in triplicate on
73 5 mL of sample diluted in 45 mL of distilled water using a digital pH meter (Oakion
74 pH/MV/°C/°F meter). The titratable acidity of sample was determined according to the
75 method described by [15]. 10 mL of sample was titrated against 0.1 N sodium hydroxide
76 solution to a pink end-point using phenolphthalein as indicator. The titratable acidity was
77 expressed as percentage of lactic acid using the formula:
78

Comment [TKC9]: triplicates

$$\text{Titrateable acidity (TA)} = (V1 \times N \times \text{Eq. Wt}) / (V2 \times 10) \quad (1)$$

Where N = normality of NaOH, V1= volume of NaOH, V2 = volume of sample and Eq.Wt = equivalent weight of predominant acid (lactic acid).

2.4 Isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria

LAB strains were isolated from fermented raphia palm wine using the dilution pour plate method. 25 mL of sample were added in 225 mL of sterile saline solution (NaCl, 0.85%, w/v). The obtained solution was serially diluted (10^{-1} to 10^{-5}) and 1 mL of each dilution was aseptically pipetted into sterile Petri dishes followed by addition of 10 mL of Man Rogosa and Sharpe agar (MRS) (LiofilChem, Italy) at 45°C. After homogenization, the inoculated plates were then allowed to cool at room temperature before being incubated at 30°C for 48 hours under anaerobic conditions. After the incubation, the MRS plates were observed for colony formation.

The different colonies observed on MRS plates were identified based on their macroscopic and microscopic traits like colony elevation, shape, color, texture and Gram staining [16], their physiological characteristics such as growth different temperatures (10, 15, 37 and 45°C), pH (1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4.4, 6 and 9.6) and NaCl concentrations (2.5, 6.5, 10, and 18% w/v) [17] and their biochemical traits like catalase, oxidase, indole production, methyl red test, Voges-Proskauer test, citrate utilization, and urease [18]. The identified isolates were preserved on MRS agar slants and stored at 4°C for further investigations.

2.5 Antimicrobial activity of the isolates

Antimicrobial activity of the presumptive LAB isolated from raphia palm wine was determined by the disc diffusion method according to the protocol described by [19]. For this, one purified colony of each presumptive LAB isolates was cultured for 18 hours at 30°C in 10 mL of MRS broth. Later, culture was centrifuged (10 000g, 10 min, 4°C) and cell-free supernatants were collected, filtered (0.45µm) and kept for test. 15 mL of sterile Mueller-Hinton agar (LiofilChem, Italy) were poured in Petri dishes. After solidification, the plates were seeded with 0.1 mL of 18 hours pathogenic culture at 5×10^5 cfu/mL (0.5 McF standard). Afterwards, sterile discs (6 mm of diameter) dipped into the cell free supernatants for impregnation were placed on the surface of inoculated MH plates. Disc dipped in sterile MRS broth was used as control. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After incubation, inhibition zones (clear zones around the discs) were measured. The LAB isolates with important antimicrobial activities were further evaluated to determine the other probiotic properties.

2.6 Tolerance to low pH

Survival under acidic conditions of the LAB isolates which deserved antimicrobial activity was determined following the modified method of [10]. The LAB isolates were cultured in MRS broth at 37°C for 18h, followed by centrifugation at 5000g for 10 min at 4°C. Cells were washed twice with sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and suspended in 10 mL of sterile PBS. 0.1 mL of cells suspension containing 10^7 cfu/mL was inoculated into 10 mL of 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer with varying pH of 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 and 7.0. Cell counts, performed in triplicate, were calculated by counting the number of colonies on MRS agar after incubation at 37°C for 24 hours and expressed as log 10 values of colony forming units per mL (cfu/mL). Survival rates were calculated using the following formula

$$\text{Survival (\%)} = \frac{\text{Final viable counts after treatment (cfu/ml)}}{\text{Initial viable counts before treatment (cfu/ml)}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

Comment [TKC10]: 1 mL (spacing between)

Comment [TKC11]: at different

Comment [TKC12]: isolate

Comment [TKC13]: various tests?

Comment [TKC14]: McFarland

Comment [TKC15]: buffered

Comment [TKC16]: triplicates

129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180

2.7 Bile salts Tolerance

Tolerance to bile salt of the LAB isolates which deserved antimicrobial activity was determined by the method of [20]. The LAB isolates were cultured in MRS broth at 37°C for 18 hours. After incubation, bacteria cells were harvested by centrifugation for 10 min at 10000 rpm and 4°C using a Rotofix 32A centrifuge. Pellets were washed three times with sterile phosphate buffer saline and resuspended in PBS. A 100 µL of cells suspension was inoculated into 5 ml of sterile MRS broth containing 0.3, 0.5 and 1% (w/v) oxgall-bile (Sigma) and incubated at 37°C for 4 h. Cell counts were determined by plating on MRS agar as described previously.

2.8 Antibiotic sensitivity

The antibiotic susceptibility was evaluated by the standard disc diffusion method as described [21]. Discs impregnated with the most important clinically antibiotics such as Ampicillin: 10 µg/disc, Tetracycline: 30 µg/disc, Kanamycin: 30 µg/disc, Streptomycin: 30 µg/disc, Vancomycin: 30 µg/disc, Gentamycin: 30 µg/disc, Chloramphenicol: 30 µg/disc, Erythromycin: 15 µg/disc, Cefataxime: 30 µg/disc, Ciprofloxacin: 5 µg/disc were placed on the surface of MRS agar seeded with 24 hours cultures of the different LAB isolates (5×10^5 cfu/mL). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After incubation, plates were examined for the presence of inhibition zones around the antibiotic discs. The zone diameter of inhibition (ZDI) were measured. Isolates were categorized as sensitive (ZDI; ≥ 21 mm), intermediate (ZDI; 16-20 mm), or resistant (ZDI; ≤ 15 mm)

2.9 Analysis of Enzyme Activity of Lactic acid bacteria isolates

Enzyme activities of the LAB isolates were determined using the API -ZYM kit (Bio-Mérieux, France) following the manufacturer's instructions. Cupules of the API ZYM strips were inoculated with a 24 hours culture in MRS broth of the different LAB isolates which deserved antimicrobial activity and then incubated at 37°C for 4 hours. The reaction was carried out by the addition of the reagents (ZYM A and ZYM B). The enzymatic activity was graded from 1 to 5 according to the colour reaction chart. The approximate number of free nmol hydrolyzed substrate may be obtained from the colour intensity, 0: no activity; 1: liberation of 5 nmol; 2: liberation of 10 nmol; 3: liberation of 20 nmol; 4: liberation of 30 nmol and 5: [greater than or equal to] 40 nmol [22].

2.10 Hemolysis test

Hemolytic activities of the LAB isolates which deserved antimicrobial activity were evaluated using the method of [23]. The LAB isolates were cultured in MRS broth at 37°C for 15 hours and then transferred onto blood agar plates supplemented with 5% sheep's blood. The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Hemolytic activity was detected as the presence of a clear zone around bacterial colonies (β -hemolysis).

2.11 Phenotypic identification of LAB isolates

Identification of the LAB isolates which deserved probiotic features at species level was done using the API 50CH kit (BioMérieux, France) following the manufacturer's instructions. The API 50 CH is a standardized system that associates the fermentation of 50 carbohydrates to bacteria species. It is used for the identification of *Lactobacillus* and related genera. Isolates grown for 24 h at 30°C in MRS broth, were centrifuged (10000g for 5 min)

181 and the collected cells were washed with sterile saline and resuspended in 5 mL API 50 CHL
182 medium at a final concentration of 2 McFarland. That solution was used to fill the cupules of
183 API 50 CHL followed by incubation at 35°C for 48 hours. The biochemical profile obtained for
184 each LAB isolates was analyzed using the API identification software database (APILAB
185 PLUS), Version 5.
186

187 2.12 Statistical Analysis

188
189 All analyses were carried out in triplicates. Analysis of variance was performed by one-way
190 ANOVA procedures using SPSS 16.0 and Minitab 16.0. The least significant difference
191 (LSD) was used to test for difference between means and significance was defined at
192 $P < 0.05$. Results are reported as mean values \pm Standard Deviation.
193

194 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

195 3.1 Physicochemical properties of raphia palm wine

196 The pH value of the freshly tapped raphia palm wine obtained in this study was 6.92 (Table
197 1). That value was close to pH of 7 reported in the literature for freshly tapped palm wine
198 [24]. As time passes, the pH of raphia palm wine decreases until reach 3.48 at the 7th day of
199 storage at room temperature. However, it comes from Table 1 that the titratable acidity of
200 raphia palm wine increases as storage time progresses. These results indicate that palm
201 wine undergoes spontaneous fermentation immediately after been tapped. As results of
202 sugar's fermentation, organic acids are produced by lactic acid bacteria leading to decrease
203 in pH and increase in titratable acidity. Similar observations were notified by [25] who found
204 that, the pH of the palm wine ranged from 6.20 for freshly tapped palm wine to 2.86 by the
205 7th day of fermentation and titratable acidity of palm wine ranged from 1.96 for freshly tapped
206 palm wine to 6.20 by the 7th day of fermentation.
207
208
209

Comment [TKC17]: being

210 **Table 1. Evolution of pH and titratable acidity of raphia palm wine during fermentation**

Time of fermentation (hours)	pH values	Titratable acidity (%)
0	6.92	1.99
24	5.39	2.31
48	4.99	2.66
72	4.45	3.01
96	4.23	3.34
120	4.12	4.68
144	3.92	5.79
168	3.48	6.51

212 3.2 Isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria

213 Thirty-three isolates were obtained from fermented raphia palm wine. Table 2 presents the
214 morphological, physiological and biochemical characteristics of the different isolates. After
215 screening to eliminate catalase positive, oxidase positive and Gram-negative
216 microorganisms, only nine isolates remained and were regarded as presumptive LAB (Table
217 2). The isolates were coded as follows SA, SB, SC, SD, SE, SF, SG, SH and SI. Among
218 the 9 presumptive LAB isolates, 03 were rod-shaped and 06 were cocci-shaped. They were
219 all Gram-positive, non-motile, oxidase negative and indole positive. They did not produce
220 gas and ammonia and were positive to methyl red test and negative to Voges-Proskauer test
221 and indole tests. These 9 isolates grew at temperatures of 10, 20, 25, and 37°C; cocci-
222 shaped isolates grew at 45°C while rod-shaped isolates did not grow at 45°C (Table 2).
223
224

225 Regarding NaCl and pH tolerance, all the 9 isolates did not grow at salinity of 18% and pH of
 226 1.5 and 9.6. However, all the isolates grew well at pH 4.4 and pH 6; cocci-shaped cells didn't
 227 grow at pH 2 and 2.5 but rod-shaped isolates grew at pH 2 and 2.5. Based on these results,
 228 the 3-rod isolates (SA, SF, SI) were identified as belonging to the genus *Lactobacillus*, 2
 229 cocci-shaped isolates (SD, SE) belong to the genus *Pediococcus* and 4 remaining cocci-
 230 shaped isolates (SB, SC, SG, SH) belong to the genus *Leuconostoc*. LAB isolates belonging
 231 to the same genus as observed in this study were reported by [10], [14] and [26] among
 232 the 20, 14 and 35 LAB isolates that they respectively isolated from palm wine.

Comment [TKC18]: did not

Comment [TKC19]: in

Comment [TKC20]: replaced with 'were'

235 **Table 2. Some morphological, physiological and biochemical characteristics of the**
 236 **strains isolated from raphia palm wine**
 237

Parameters		Isolates								
		SA	SB	SC	SD	SE	SF	SG	SH	SI
Colony morphology	Color	Whitish	Milky white	Milky white	Whitish	Whitish	Whitish	Milky white	Milky white	Whitish
	Texture	Coarse	Coarse	Coarse	Shiny	Coarse	Coarse	Coarse	Coarse	Coarse
	Edge	Smooth	Rough	Rough	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Rough	Rough	Smooth
	Elevation	Raised	Flat	Flat	Flat	Flat	Raised	Flat	Flat	Raised
Cellular morphology		Rods	Cocci	Cocci	Cocci	Cocci	Rods	Cocci	Cocci	Rods
Motility test		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gram staining		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Catalase test		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oxidase test		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
methyl red test		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Arginine test		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Citrate utilization		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Voges-Proskauer test		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Urease test		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indole production		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Growth at 10°C		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth at 15°C		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth at 37°C		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth at 45°C		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Growth at pH 1.5		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Growth at pH 2		+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
Growth at pH 2.5		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Growth at pH 3		+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
Growth at pH 4.4		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth at pH 6		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth at pH 9.6		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Growth in 2.5% NaCl		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth in 6.5% NaCl		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth in 10% NaCl		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth in 18% NaCl		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fermentation type		Hetero	Hetero	Hetero	Homo	Hetero	Homo	Hetero	Hetero	Homo
CO ₂ production		+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
Deduced Genus		<i>Lactobacillus</i>	<i>Leuconostoc</i>	<i>Leuconostoc</i>	<i>Pediococcus</i>	<i>Pediococcus</i>	<i>Lactobacillus</i>	<i>Leuconostoc</i>	<i>Leuconostoc</i>	<i>Lactobacillus</i>

Comment [TKC21]: please do not separate the word

Comment [TKC22]: please do not separate the word

Comment [TKC23]: please do not separate the word

Comment [TKC24]: please do not separate the word

239 **3.3 Evaluation probiotics potential of LAB isolates**

240
 241 One of the criteria of a bacterium to be classified as a probiotic is its ability to inhibit the
 242 growth of harmful and pathogenic bacteria. LAB are well known producer of antimicrobial
 243 compounds which have high antimicrobial activity [27]. In this study, all nine LAB isolates
 244 from raphia palm wine were tested for antibacterial activity against some indicator
 245 microorganisms (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* BL21, *Salmonella enterica*,
 246 *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella typhimurium*). Only three isolates (SA, SF, SI) showed
 247 inhibitory activities against the pathogenic strains with *Salmonella typhi* being the most

248 sensitive, followed by *Salmonella enterica*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and finally by *Escherichia*
 249 *coli* and *Escherichia coli* BL21. The antimicrobial activity against these pathogens was
 250 materialized by the formation of zones of inhibition around the disc impregnated with the cell
 251 free supernatants (CFS) from the three different LAB isolates. These zones of inhibition of
 252 the LAB isolates CFS are illustrated in Figure 1. The values of the diameter of the inhibition
 253 zone are summarized in Table 3. Among the test strains isolate SF showed the highest
 254 antibacterial activity against *Salmonella enterica* (25.29±0.92 mm), *Salmonella typhimurium*
 255 (25.34±1.36 mm), *Staphylococcus aureus* (24.82±0.41 mm), *Escherichia coli* BL21
 256 (22.76±0.52 mm) and *Escherichia coli* (22.46±0.67 mm). Inhibition of *Salmonella enteric*
 257 subsp. *enterica* and *E. coli* by CFS of *Lactobacillus pentosus*, *Lactobacillus plantarum* and
 258 *Lactobacillus brevis* isolated from palm wine was also reported in the literature [10]. The
 259 inhibitory activities of the three isolates SA, SF and SI observed in this study could be due to
 260 the ability of these isolates to produce bio-substances with antimicrobial properties such as
 261 bacteriocins, organic acids, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and diacetyl which could inhibit
 262 pathogenic microorganisms. [28] and [29] reported that lactic acid bacteria (LAB) produce
 263 substances that exert strong antagonistic activity against pathogenic bacteria, particularly
 264 those involved with food borne diseases. *Salmonella sp.* and *Escherichia coli* have been
 265 reported as leading cause of food borne illnesses and these pathogens have become very
 266 resistant to many antibiotics used in Cameroon [30]. Therefore, these antimicrobials
 267 substances produced by LAB isolated from raphia palm wine can be exploited for the
 268 treatment and prevention of food infections caused by *Salmonella* species, *Escherichia coli*
 269 and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Among all 9 isolates only 3 (SA, SF, SI) that showed
 270 antagonistic activities were selected for further probiotic study.

Comment [TKC25]: no need italic

271 **Table 3. Inhibition diameter of the cell free supernatants of the different LAB isolates**
 272

Pathogens	Diameter of Inhibition Zone (mm)		
	Isolate SA	Isolate SF	Isolate SI
<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	17.58±0.72 ^a	25.29±0.92 ^a	20.57±0.44 ^b
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	17.66±0.70 ^a	25.34±1.36 ^a	21.33±0.37 ^a
<i>Escherichia coli</i> BL21	14.25±0.51 ^c	22.46±0.67 ^c	17.41±0.83 ^e
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	17.19±0.85 ^b	24.82±0.41 ^b	20.32±0.42 ^c
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	14.55±0.36 ^c	22.76±0.52 ^c	18.59±0.50 ^d

274 Values are means ± standard deviation; Means with the different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05)

275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286

287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349




Figure 1. Antimicrobial activity of cell-free supernatants of the lactic acid bacteria isolates SA, SF and SI against *Salmonella typhi* (A), *Salmonella enterica* (B), *Escherichia coli* BL21 (C), *Escherichia coli* (D) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (E)

Another prerequisites of a bacterium to be classified as a probiotic is its ability to survive in the gut environment with acidic pH and inhibitory bile salts. Table 4 presents the effect of bile salt concentration on survival of isolate SA, SF and SI. All the 3 LAB isolates did not survive the acidic condition of pH 1.0 as survival rate remained zero throughout the experiment. Generally, as pH increases the survival rate of the 3 LAB isolates also increases. [31] and

Comment [TKC26]: isolates

350 [20] have also reported that the viability of LAB strains was significantly reduced at pH 2
 351 compared with pH 3 and pH 7.

352
 353

Table 4 Effect of bile salt concentration on survival of strain SA, SF and SI

Strains	Acid resistant activity (%)				Growth in bile salt (%)		
	pH1	pH 2	pH3	pH7	0.3%	0.5%	1.0%
SA	-	53.12±0.23 ^a	80.13±0.25 ^b	99.21±0.33 ^c	78.33±0.14 ^a	60.22±0.14 ^b	56.11±0.17 ^c
SF	-	61.10±0.55 ^a	88.85±0.67 ^b	99.82±0.11 ^c	79.89±0.74 ^a	62.07±0.59 ^b	56.67±0.33 ^c
SI	-	55.17±0.38 ^a	82.62±0.56 ^b	99.36±0.36 ^c	78.65±0.55 ^a	61.33±0.21 ^b	56.23±0.16 ^c

354

355 It comes from table 4 that, at pH 2.0 all the 3 LAB isolates could survive approximately more
 356 than 50%. The isolate coded SF showed the highest resistance in this regard with survival
 357 rate of 61.10±0.55 % followed by isolate SI with survival rate of 55.17±0.38% and finally,
 358 isolate SA showed the lowest survival rate of 53.12±0.23%. When the pH was raised to 3.0,
 359 all three isolates exhibited a survival rate higher than 75%. Isolate SF showed the highest
 360 survival percentage of 88.85±0.67% and isolate SA showed the lowest survival rate of
 361 80.13±0.25% incubation indicating that these isolates can be used as potential probiotic
 362 strains. The survival rates at pH 2 and 3 observed in this study is comparable to the survival
 363 rates of 60.2% and higher than 75% respectively found at pH 2 and 3 by [12] in their study
 364 on probiotic LAB strains. When the pH raised up to 7.0, all isolates could survive with a
 365 survival percentage of approximately 100%.

366 To evaluate the potential of using LAB isolates as effective probiotics, it is generally
 367 necessary to evaluate their ability to resist the effects of inhibitory bile salt. All the 3 LAB
 368 isolates were tested for inhibitory bile salt tolerance and results are presented Table 4.
 369 These results indicated that all 3 isolates can tolerate bile salts with survival rate being
 370 highest for all 3 isolates at 0.3 % bile salt concentration. The tolerance to bile salt could be
 371 explained by the fact that the protective effect of food matrix, may prevent the bacteria from
 372 bile exposure and hence, giving rise to the increased bile resistance [32]. Isolate SA SF and
 373 SI showed survival rates of 78.33±0.14%, 79.89±0.74% and 78.65±0.55% at 0.3% bile salt
 374 concentration respectively but when the concentration of inhibitory bile salt was increased up to
 375 1.0%, a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) of viability was observed with isolate SA, SF and
 376 SI showing survival rates of 56.11±0.17%, 56.67±0.33% and 56.23±0.16% respectively. The
 377 lower survival rates at higher bile concentration can be due to the fact that when bacteria are
 378 incubated with inhibitory bile salts, disruption of cellular homeostasis occurs which leads to
 379 the dissociation of lipid bilayer and integral protein of their cell membranes resulting in
 380 bacterial content leakage and finally death of the cell [33]. The results obtained in this study
 381 are in agreement with those reported by [34] who showed that Lactobacilli strains were
 382 viable after being exposed to bile salts range of 0.3-0.5% but showed diminished viability at
 383 higher bile salt concentrations.

Comment [TKC27]: presented in

Comment [TKC28]: ranging from

384

385 3.4 Enzyme activity of LAB isolates

386

387 Another property that probiotics must deserved is the ability to produce useful enzymes for
 388 human well-being. In this study, the 3 LAB isolates which showed probiotic features were
 389 further screened for their enzymatic activity to detect any unfavorable enzyme like the
 390 carcinogenic enzyme such β -glucuronidase which are harmful to human health and
 391 presence of beneficial enzymes like α -galactosidase, β -galactosidase and β -Glucosidase.
 392 Determination of enzyme production by isolates was an important criterion in conforming if
 393 our lactic acid bacteria strains are safe for human use. The enzymatic activities of the 3 LAB
 394 isolates obtained from raphia palm wine, as evaluated by the API-ZYM system, are shown in
 395 Table 5. Results indicated that all isolates did not produce the β -glucuronidase, whereas
 396 beneficial enzymes were produced. These enzymes include alkaline phosphatase which

397 catalyze and facilitate important physiological changes within cells, α -galactosidase which
 398 aids in digestion, may act as a treatment for both complex carbohydrate intolerance and
 399 regular gassiness, β -galactosidase which act as a key provider in the production of energy
 400 and a source of carbons through the breakdown of lactose to galactose and glucose and it is
 401 also important for the lactose intolerant communities as it is responsible for making lactose-
 402 free milk and other dairy products, acid phosphatase catalyze and facilitate important
 403 physiological changes within cells, Alkaline phosphatase commonly used in the dairy
 404 industry as an indicator of successful pasteurization, α -Glucosidase which breaks down
 405 starch and disaccharides to glucose during digestion, and finally β -glucosidase which plays
 406 an important role in metabolism of glycolipids and dietary glucosides, and signaling
 407 functions. These results were in agreement with [35] who reported that LAB isolated from
 408 fermented dairy products produced enzymes including leucine arylamidase, cystine
 409 arylamidase, acid phosphatase, naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase, α -galactosidase, β -
 410 galactosidase, α -glucosidase, β -glucosidase, and N-acetyl- β -glucosamidase.

Comment [TKC29]: g

411 **Table 5 Enzyme activity of the three selected LAB strains using API ZYM kit**

Enzyme (nmol)	Enzymes activities		
	Isolate SA	Isolate SF	Isolate SI
Control	0	0	0
Acid phosphatase	4	4	4
Alkaline phosphatase	4	4	4
Cystine arylamidase	0	0	0
Esterase	0	0	0
Esterase lipase	0	0	0
Leucine arylamidase	3	3	3
Lipase	0	0	0
N-Acetyl- β -glucosaminidase	0	0	0
Naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase	0	0	0
Trypsin	0	0	0
Valinearylamidase	0	0	0
α -Chymotrypsin	0	0	0
α -Fucosidase	0	0	0
α -Galactosidase	5	5	5
α -Glucosidase	5	5	5
α -Mannosidase	0	0	0
β -Galactosidase	5	5	5
β -Glucosidase	5	5	5
β -Glucuronidase	0	0	0

Score 0 = 0 nmol, Score 1= 5 nmol, Score 2= 10 nmol, Score 3= 20 nmol, Score 4= 30 nmol, Score 5 \geq 40 nmol.

416 3.5 Safety assessment of the LAB isolates

417 The antibiotic susceptibility test results for the isolated lactobacilli are represented in Table 6.
 418 It was observed that strains SA, SF, and SI were resistant to kanamycin, Tetracycline,
 419 Chloramphenicol, Gentamicin, Ampicillin and Vancomycin. These 3 strains were intermediate
 420 to streptomycin and Ciprofloxacin and susceptible to Erythromycin, and Ciprofloxacin. Some
 421 other reports have determined that LAB are resistant to the principal type of antibiotics such
 422 as kanamycin, streptomycin, quinolone, imidazole, nitrofurantoin and fluoroquinolones [36].
 423 The antibiotic resistance properties of lactic acid bacteria strains observed in the present
 424 study could be due to their ability to inactivate antibiotics through enzymatic reaction or to
 425 absence of cytochrome-mediated drug transport as reported in the literature [37].

Comment [TKC30]: capital letter 'k'

Comment [TKC31]: after this should have 'coma'

Comment [TKC32]: can all antibiotics 'small letter', make it consistent for the rests

Comment [TKC33]: in

428 Hemolytic activity was performed as one of the safety profiling methods in order to verify if
 429 LAB isolates possessed a non-virulence nature. In our study, all three LAB strains showed a
 430 non-hemolytic activity (γ -hemolysis) confirming that LAB are safe for human use [38]. These
 431 three LAB strains exhibited no β -hemolytic activity, which is in agreement with [39] who
 432 reported in their study that LAB isolates did not deserve β -hemolytic activity. [40] also
 433 noticed that none of the fifteen putative probiotics strains isolated in their study was found to
 434 be β -hemolytic.

Comment [TKC34]: possess

435 **Table 6 Antibiotic susceptibility test results for the three LAB isolates**

Antibiotics	Isolates		
	SA	SF	SI
Vancomycin	R	R	R
Streptomycin	I	I	I
Gentamicin	R	R	R
Kanamycin	R	R	R
Ampicillin	R	R	R
Erythromycin	S	S	S
Cefataxime	S	S	S
Ciprofloxacin	I	I	I
Tetracycline	R	R	R
Chloramphenicol	R	R	R

438 S (ZDI: ≥ 21 mm) = Susceptible, R (ZDI: ≤ 15 mm) = resistant, IS (ZDI: 16 – 20 mm) = Intermediately Susceptible;
 439 Means with the different superscripts are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)

440 **3.6 Phenotypic identification of *Lactobacillus* spp. strains isolated from raphia palm**
 441 **wines**

442 After evaluation of probiotic properties, the 3 isolates of LAB (SA, SF, SF) belonging to the
 443 genus *Lactobacillus* showing good probiotics features were further characterized and
 444 identified using the API 50 CHL Kit. Utilization of carbohydrates with the API 50 CHL Kit is
 445 summarized in Table 7. Comparison with the API database revealed 99.6% homology of SA
 446 with *Lactobacillus brevis*, 99.9% of SF with *Lactobacillus plantarum* and 99.2% homology of
 447 SI with *Lactobacillus lactis*. This result is similar to the finding of [41] who isolated and
 448 identified from a fermented cereal product called Tarkhineh in Iran, LAB belonging to
 449 *Lactobacillus* spp. using the API 50 CHL Kit. In the same way, [19] also used API 50 CHL
 450 system to identify *Lactobacillus* spp. strains isolated from palm wine.
 451
 452

Comment [TKC35]: small letter 'k'

Comment [TKC36]: small letter 'k'

Comment [TKC37]: small letter 'k'

453 **Table 7. Fermentative profile of the different LAB isolates on API 50 CHL**

Carbohydrate	Isolate SA	Isolate SF	Isolate SI
Glycerol	-	-	-
Erythritol	-	-	-
D - arabinose	-	-	-
L - arabinose	+	+	+
D - ribose	+	+	+
D - xylose	+	+	+
L - xylose	-	-	-
Adonitol	+	-	-
Xyloside	-	-	-

Galactose	+	+	+
Glucose	+	+	+
Fructose	+	+	+
Mannose	+	+	+
Sorbose	+	-	-
Rhamnose	-	-	-
Dulcitol	-	-	-
Inositol	-	-	-
Mannitol	+	+	+
Sorbitol	+	+	+
D - mannoside	-	+	-
D - glucoside	+	+	+
Glucosamine	+	+	+
Amygdalin	+	+	+
Arbutin	+	+	+
Esculin	+	+	+
Salicin	+	+	+
Cellobiose	+	+	+
Maltose	+	?	+
Lactose	+	+	+
Melibiose	+	+	+
Sucrose	+	+	+
Trehalose	+	+	+
Inulin	+	-	+
Melzitose	+	+	+
D - raffinose	+	+	+
Starch	-	-	-
Glycogen	-	-	-
Xylitol	-	-	-
Gentiobiose	+	+	+
D-Turanose	+	+	+
L - Lyxosis	-	-	-
D -Tagatosis	+	+	+
D - Fucose	-	-	-
L - Fucose	-	-	-
D - Arabitol	-	-	-
L - Arabitol	-	-	-
Gluconate	+	+	-
Control (No sugar)	-	-	-
Identified species	<i>L. brevis</i> (99.6%)	<i>L. plantarum</i> (99.9%)	<i>L. lactis</i> (99.2%)

Comment [TKC38]: no result?

458

4. CONCLUSION

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

In summary, three strains of *Lactobacillus* spp. which deserved probiotic properties were isolated from a Cameroonian raphia palm wine and identified as *Lactobacillus lactis* SA, *Lactobacillus plantarum* SF and *Lactobacillus brevis* SI. Besides of their probiotic properties, these strains showed favorable enzymatic activity by producing some beneficial enzymes such as acid phosphatase, alkaline phosphatase, α -Galactosidase, α -Glucosidase, β -Galactosidase and β -Glucosidase, and they also showed no hemolytic activity. This study highlighted raphia palm wine as source of probiotic bacteria and suggested the potential utilization of the isolated *Lactobacillus* spp. as emerging tools in the prevention of human diseases and treatment of foodborne infections.

471 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

472

473 Authors declared that no competing interests exist.

474

475 **REFERENCES**

476

477 1. Basir O. Observation on the fermentation of palm wine. *West African Journal of Biology*

478 *and Chemistry*. 1962;6: 5–21.

479

480 2. Ezeronye O, Legras J. Genetic Analysis of *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* Strains Isolated

481 from Palm Wine In Eastern Nigeria. Comparison with other African Strains. *Journal of*

482 *Applied Microbiology*. 2009;106: 1569–1578.

483

484 3. Hugenholtz J. The lactic acid bacterium as a cell factory for food ingredient production.

485 *International Dairy Journal*. 2008;18: 466–475.

486

487 4. Phillip S, Mtshali B, Maret T. Identification and characterization of *Lactobacillus florum*

488 strains isolated from South African grape and wine samples. *International Journal of Food*

489 *Microbiology*. 2012;153: 106–113.

490

491 5. FAO/WHO. FAO/WHO, Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation on Evaluation of

492 Health and Nutritional Properties of Probiotics in Food Including Powder Milk with Live Lactic

493 Acid Bacteria. October 2002.

494

495 6. Sieladie D, Zambou N, Kaktcham P. Probiotic properties of lactobacilli strains isolated

496 from raw cow milk in the western highlands of Cameroon. *Innovative Romanian Food*

497 *Biotechnololy*. 2011;9: 12–28.

498

499 7. Maragkoudakis PA, Zoumpopoulou G, Miaris C, Kalantzopoulos G, Pot B, Tsakalidou E.

500 Probiotic potential of *Lactobacillus* strains isolated from dairy products. *International Dairy*

501 *Journal*. 2005;16: 189-199.

502

503 8. Sathe S, Nawani, N, Dhakephalkar P, Kapadnis B. Antifungal lactic acid bacteria with

504 potential to prolong shelf-life of fresh vegetables. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 2007;103:

505 2622–2628

506

507 9. Amoa-Awua W, Sampson E, Tano-Debrah K. Growth of yeasts, lactic and acetic acid

508 bacteria in palm wine during tapping and fermentation from felled oil palm *Elaeis guineensis*

509 in Ghana. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 2007;102: 599–606.

510

511 10. Fossi B, Natalia Bonjah E, Gordon Takop N, Bongsiysi Gilake N, Irene Ane A Samuel W.

512 Probiotic properties of lactic acid bacteria isolated from fermented sap of palm tree (*Elaeis*

513 *guineensis*). *Journal of Microbiology and Antimicrobials*. 2015;7(5): 42-52.

514

515 11. Bennani S, Mchiouer K, Rokni Y, Meziane M. Characterization and identification of lactic

516 acid bacteria isolated from Moroccan raw cow's milk. *Journal of Material and Environmental*

517 *Sciences*. 2017;8: 4934–4944.

518

519 12. Vasiee A, Tabatabaei Yazdi F, Mortazavi A, Edalatian M. Isolation, identification and

520 characterization of probiotic *Lactobacilli* spp. from Tarkhineh. *International Food Research*

521 *Journal*. 2014;21: 2487–2492.

522

523 13. Abriouel H, Benomar N, Cobo A, Caballero N, Fuentes MA, Pérez-Pulido R, Gálvez A.

524 Characterization of lactic acid bacteria from naturally-fermented *Manzanilla Aloreña* green

525 table olives. *Food Microbiology*. 2012;32: 308-316.

526

527 14. Fossi B, Irene A, Frederic T, Kome EL, Theresa AN. Lactic acid bacteria from

528 traditionally processed corn beer and palm wine against selected food-borne pathogens

Comment [TKC39]: Italic, small letter for 'C'

Comment [TKC40]: technology?

Comment [TKC41]: italic

- 516 isolated in south west region of Cameroon. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*.
517 2016;10(30): 1140-1147.
- 518 15. Falegan C, Akoja S. Microbiological and physicochemical studies of two Nigerian
519 fermented alcoholic drinks (palm wine and burukutu) in ekiti state, Nigeria. *European Journal*
520 *of Food Sciences and Technology*. 2014;2(2): 13–22.
- 521 16. Mathialagan M, Johnson Y, Thangaraj E. Isolation, Characterization and Identification of
522 Probiotic Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB) from Honey Bees. *International Journal of Current*
523 *Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2018;7: 849–906.
- 524 17. Sneath P, Nicholas S, Sharpe M, Holt J. *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology*.
525 Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore.1986.
- 526 18. Prescott LM, Harley JP. Appendix h: Reagents, solutions, stains, and tests in laboratory
527 exercercises in microbiology. 5th ed. New York: mcgraw hill. 2002.
- 528 19. Fossi B, Goghomu S, Tongwa M, Ndjouenkeu R, Cho-Ngwa F. Screening for
529 Bacteriocins Producing Probiotic Bacteria from Fermented Sap of Palm Trees (*Elaeis*
530 *Guineensis* and *Raffia Sudanica*): Production and Partial Characterization of Bacteriocins.
531 *Journal of Applied Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 2017;2:17. doi:
532 10.15406/jabb.2017.02.00017
- 533 20. Boke H, Aslim B, Alp G. The role of resistance to bile salts and acid tolerance of
534 exopolysaccharides (EPSS) produced by yogurt starter bacteria. *Archives of Biological*
535 *Sciences*. 2010;62: 323–328.
- 536 21. Halder D, Mandal A. Indigenous probiotic *Lactobacillus* isolates presenting antibiotic like
537 activity against human pathogenic bacteria. *Biomedicines*. 2017;5: 1–11.
- 538 22. Papamanoli E, Tzanetakis N, Litopoulou-Tzanetaki E, Kotzekidou P. Characterization of
539 lactic acid bacteria isolated from a Greek dry fermented sausage in respect of their
540 technological and probiotic properties. *Meat Sciences*, 2003;65: 859–867.
- 541 23. Nour-Eddine K, Mourad K. *In-vitro* pre-selection criteria for probiotic *Lactobacillus*
542 *plantarum* strains of fermented olives origin. *International Journal of Probiotics and*
543 *Prebiotics*. 2006;1: 27-32.
- 544 24. Lasekan O, Buettner A, Christlbaure M. Investigation of important odorant of palm wine
545 (*Elaeis guineensis*). *Food Chemistry*. 2011;105:15–23.
- 546 25. Akinrotoye A, Kehinde P. Effects of fermented palm wine on some diarrhoeagenic
547 bacteria. *Elit Research Journal of Biotechnology and Microbiology*. 2014;2: 4–14.
- 548 26. Ngongang E, Tiencheu B, Tatsinkou B. Isolation and Identification of Cholesterol
549 Lowering Probiotic Bacteria from Palm Wine (*Raffia mambillensis*). *Journal of Microbiological*
550 *Research*. 2016;6: 93–102.
- 551 27. Aween M, Hassan Z, Muhialdin B. Evaluation on Antibacterial Activity of *Lactobacillus*
552 *acidophilus* strains isolated from Honey. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2012;9:
553 807–817.
- 554 28. Ivanova I, Kabadjova A, Pantev S, Danova S, Dousset X. Detection, purification and
555 partial characterization of novel bacteriocin substance produced by *Lactobacillus lactis*
556 subsp. *Lactis* B14 isolated from Boza-Bulgarian traditional cereal beverage. *Biocatalysis*.
557 2000;41: 47–53.
- 558 29. Chung H, Yousef A. *Lactobacillus curvatus* produce a bacteriocin-like agent active
559 against gram negative pathogenic bacteria. *Journal of Food Safety*. 2003;25: 59–69.

Comment [TKC42]: exercises

Comment [TKC43]: capital letter 'l'

- 560 30. Akoachere J, Tanih N, Ndip L, Ndip R. Phenotypic Characterization of *Salmonella*
561 Typhimurium Isolates from Food animals and Abattoir Drains in Buea, Cameroon. *Journal of*
562 *Heath and Population Nutrition*. 2009;27: 612–618.
- 563 31. Sahadeva R, Leong S, Chua K. Survival of commercial probiotic strains to pH and bile
564 *International Food Research Journal*. 2011;18: 1515–1522.
- 565 32. Begley M, Gahan C, Hill C. The interaction between bacteria and bile. *Federation*
566 *European Microbiology Society*. 2005;29: 625–651.
- 567 33. Mandal S, Puniya A, Singh K. Effect of alginate concentration on survival of
568 encapsulated *Lactobacillus casei* NCDC-298. *International Dairy Journal*. 2006;16: 1190–
569 1195.
- 570 34. Wang J, Chen X, Liu W. 2008. Identification of *Lactobacillus* from koumiss by
571 conventional and molecular methods. *European Food Research Technology*. 2008;227:
572 1555–1561.
- 573 35. Rasha HB, Walla SA, Mostafa GF. Characterization of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from
574 Dairy Products in Egypt as a Probiotic. *Life Sciences Journal*. 2012;9: 2924–2933.
- 575 36. Halami PM, Chandrashekar A, Nand K. 2000. *Lactobacillus farciminis* MD, a newer
576 strain with potential for bacteriocin and antibiotic assay. *Letter in Applied Microbiology*.
577 2000;30: 197–202.
- 578 37. Mayrhofer S, Van Hoek A, Mair C. Antibiotic susceptibility of members of the
579 *Lactobacillus acidophilus* group using broth microdilution and molecular identification of their
580 resistance determinants. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 2010;144: 81–87.
- 581 38. Kumar M. Isolation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* from cow milk and screening for the
582 presence of sugar alcohol producing gene. *Journal of Microbiology and Antimicrobials*.
583 2012;4: 16–22.
- 584 39. Chahad O, El Bour M, Calo-Mata P. Discovery of novel biopreservation agents `with
585 inhibitory effects on growth of food-borne pathogens and their application to seafood
586 products. *Research in Microbiology*. 2012;163: 44–54.
- 587 40. Sandra T, Janine B, Adele C. *In vitro* evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of a range of
588 probiotics against pathogens: Evidence for the effects of organic acids. *Anaerobe*. 2012;18:
589 530–538.
- 590 41. Vasiee A, Mortazavi A, Tabatabaei-Yazdi F, Edalatian Dovom M. Detection, identification
591 and phylogenetic analysis of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Tarkhineh, Iranian fermented
592 cereal product, by amplifying the 16s rRNA gene with universal primers and differentiation
593 using rep-PCR. *International Food Research Journal*. 2018;25: 423–432.

Comment [TKC44]: typhimurium

Comment [TKC45]: effects