Original Research Article

BOARD INDEPENDENCE AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS IN NIGERIA AND CANADA

4

1

2

3

5

- 6 Introduction: In current eras, supervisory bodies have interceded in the operations of Deposit
- 7 Money Banks. This is because they are confronted with plethora of problems such as
- 8 overexpansion; corruption of bank officers, inappropriate risk management and these resulted to
- 9 poor financial performance.
- 10 Aims: The present study aims to focus on the link amid board independence and financial
- performance of Deposit Money Banks as well as providing a comparative view by focusing on
- 12 Nigeria and Canada.
- 13 **Methods:** This study seeks to observe the association amid board independence and corporate
- 14 financial performance of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria and Canada. The panel data
- methodology is widely recommended for it is useful when data is a blend of time-series and
- 16 cross-sectional features. The study applied secondary data extracted from annual financial
- statements of Deposit Money Banks quoted on the Nigerian Stock Market and in the Canadian
- stock market between the ten years period of 2008 and 2017.
- 19 **Results:** The variables considered in this study are return on asset (ROA) (dependent variable),
- 20 proportion of independent non-executive directors on board (BIND) and audit committee
- 21 independence (ACI) (independent variables), earnings per share (EPS) and firm size (FSIZE)
- 22 which are control variables. From the findings, it is revealed that there exists a significant
- 23 relationship between board independence and profitability of deposit money banks in Nigeria
- 24 and Canada.
- 25 Conclusion: Empirical results obtained reveal that audit committee independence promoted
- 26 financial performance of the deposit money banks in Nigeria while in Canada it was positive and
- 27 insignificant. Thus, a greater proportion of audit committee independence would bring about a
- 28 greater level of financial performance in deposit money banks in Nigeria and Canada. The
- aspect of corporate governance implies that banks will profit by increasing the number of its
- 30 independent directors and independent audit committee members.

31

- **Keywords:** Financial performance, deposit money, Canada, Nigeria, stock market
- 33 **1.0 Introduction**
- In current eras, supervisory bodies have interceded in the operations of Deposit Money Banks.
- 35 This is because they are confronted with plethora of problems such as overexpansion, corruption
- of bank officers, inappropriate risk management and these resulted to poor financial
- 37 performance. Given the significant function banks play in the economy (Ogbechie &
- 38 Koufopoulous, 2010), there is a necessity to guarantee smooth procedures in their activities.
- 39 Consequently, such mirage of problems has led to a fall in investors' confidence thus creating a

worsened level of financial performance since customers are sceptical of their investment security (Okere, Isiaka & Ogunlowore, 2018).

In spite of certain misfortune that arose from the global financial crisis (GFC), banks in Canada have exhibited a remarkable performance over the past five years to 2018. Banks have done an extraordinary work of spreading revenue streams as well as surviving limits created by interest rates as well as growing regulations. Deposit money banks mainly get revenue via interest income such as corporate loans and mortgages, but it also gets income via noninterest sources, which comprises of fees on a variation of services as well as commissions. Nonetheless, this is not the case for most developing countries like Nigeria. They face quite a lot of challenges such as deteriorating profitability, slow credit growth, fast asset quality deterioration, weakening capitalisation, bad loans, public sector credit over reliance. The outlook from all these is not much brighter because most of these issues affecting their performance is directly linked to their governance system. An analytical comparison of what is applicable in Canada and Nigeria would provide some workable solutions to the banking sector issues of Nigeria; as well as create room for convergence effect.

The gap between ownership and control introduces the moral hazard issue which generates a need for monitoring as well as control mechanisms (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Thus, the key problem with board independence (BIND) is to appoint executives who are conversant with the company's model as well as market, but who are not connected to the executives through business relationships and personal and collegiate ties. In reality, this may be a tough task to accomplish, as many businesses in the same market are connected with each other given their financial, supplier and customer relations. An independent as well as effective board is a prerequisite of good governance structure. If the board lacks independence and effectiveness for executing their monitoring function, there exist an opening for managers to use managerial opportunism to perpetrate financial fraud.

Presently, most entities have comprehended the vital functions of the independent directors since the failure of big entities such as Cadbury, Parmalat, Enron, World com, Xerox, Skye Bank and other prominent corporations around the world. This has enlarged the need for good governance practice that will bound the incidence of GFC affecting countless entities all over the world (Sanyaolu *et al.* 2017).

An analysis of literature recognized that BIND was amongst the significant influences on corporate performance, nonetheless, conclusions are inconsistent. Some earlier authors have resolved that BIND is linked with enhanced performance level (Hossain, Prevost & Rao, 2001; Nguyen & Nielsen, 2010), contradictory, some researchers posit that independent board show a negative effect on corporate performance (Fauzi & Locke, 2012; Agrawal & Knoeber, 1996; Bhagat & Bolton, 2008) and Wintoki, Linck and Netter (2012) reported no relationship. These findings are inconsistent due to likeliness that there exists endogenous factors mediating the associations that is absent in earlier empirics. Though empirical investigation has not provided any clear communication as to the role of independence as well as comparison effect on countries, the subject matter remains critical. This offers justifications for the research work to focus on the link amid board independence and financial performance of Deposit Money Banks as well as providing a comparative view by focusing on Nigeria and Canada.

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Board Independence

83

- The inclusion of outside executives on the board is termed 'board independence'. This plays a
- vital mechanisms to test the efficacy of a board. Mallin (2006) sees independent executives as
- 86 directors who besides receipt of director's compensation do not bear any other significant
- 87 relationship with the entity in which the decision of the board may affect their independent
- 88 judgment. Whereas, inside director is an individual on the board who is a member of staff of the
- 89 entity (Siegel & Shim, 2006).
- 90 Starting the 90s', the notion of board independency became prevalent and globally numerous
- 91 nations started to adopt the recommendation that specifies the minimum level for the
- 92 representation of outside director of public corporations. External executives in the firm in
- comparison to current or past workers are expected to be independent directors and are activists
- of shareholder interest (Hermalin & Weisbach, 1988) because of non-attachment with the entity
- so that they can virtuously indicate the interests of shareholder (Dobrzynski, 1991). Furthermore,
- Ramdani and Witteloostuijn (2010) expressed that when a board was independent, it will be able
- 97 to monitor successfully the company's senior executives and as a result this hindered them from
- 98 pursing activities which were regarded as self-interest. BIND is the ratio of inside to outside
- 99 directors (Kiel & Nicholson, 2003).

2.2 Firm Performance

- 101 The topic of corporate performance has received substantial attention from researchers from
- business spheres (Okere, Isiaka, & Ogunlowore, 2018) as well as business practitioners
- 103 (managers and entrepreneurs) because it is crucial as demonstrated in high performance entities
- which have success stories due to their apparent competence in handling their processes as well
- as their positive addition to the welfare of their stakeholders. Although, low performance entities
- are not, owing to their lack of such critical attributes (Okere, Isiaka, & Ogunlowore, 2018).
- Performance is however, a difficult concept, in terms of definition and measurement.
- Financial ratios can be seen as a primary reference for the examination of corporate performance.
- This agrees with Osisioma (1996) claim that "ratios relate one set of values to another, with the
- subsequent quotient serving as a proxy by which performance is judged." A key proxy for
- 111 financial performance is its profitability. According to Osisioma (1996) they are intended at
- bringing to light the profitability of an entity's operation, the management efficiency, the
- intensity of capital usage and the rapidity with which invested capital is turned over.

114

115

100

2.3 Theoretical Review

2.3.1 Agency Theory

- Agency theory stems around the notion of separation of ownership and control leading to diverse
- goals for owners and agents. (Jensen & Mecking, 1976). Independent managers can efficiently
- checkmate top management and merge their goals to shareholders'. Thus, they aid in curbing
- agency problems as well as promoting good corporate performance. This profers a positive link
- amid ratio of independent directors and corporate performance. (Krivogorsky, 2006; Ijeh,
- Adesanmi & Njogo, 2014; Okere, Eluyela, Lawal, Oyebisi, Eseyin, Popoola & Awe, 2019). The
- agency problem promotes differing goals, asymmetric problems, as the principal has

- comprehensive information than the agent giving rise to agency costs. Subsequently, there are 124
- several stakeholders, the agent is occasionally challenged with the tough choice of satisfying 125
- opposing stakeholder interests. Agency theory provides the theoretical framework for this study 126
- 127 to scrutinize the association amid BIND and financial performance of Deposit Money Banks.

2.3 Empirical Review of Literature

128

148

- In reviewing literature, Chou and Hamill (2006); Ahmadu, Garba and Aminu (2011); Shahid 129
- (2014); Nuraddeen (2016) discovered that corporations which complied with the reference to 130
- engage independent director(s) enjoyed significantly improved performance. Also, Foo, and Mat 131
- Zain (2010) supported this stand in their study which revealed a Positive connection amid board 132
- independence and liquidity. Furthermore, Liu, Miletkov, Wei and Yang (2014) revealed that 133
- independent executives spurs an inclusive positive effect on corporate operating performance. 134
- This was also supported by Atiqa and Syed (2013) in their study which revealed that BIND has 135
- significant positive impact on market-based performance measures. Nonetheless, Basmah and 136
- Lakshmi (2016); Sharifah, Syahrina and Julizaerma (2016) in their study expressed that board 137
- independence, has a positive link with firm performance while excess board independence is not
- 138
- 139 statistically significant relationship with firm performance.
- 140 Nonetheless, some researchers discovered a negative relationship between board independence
- and performance of banks. Ponnu and Karthigeyan (2010) revealed that there is no positive 141
- relationship between Board independence and corporate performance and the responsibility now 142
- is solely on the shoulders of the government to ensure effective corporate governance is 143
- 144 maintained throughout the nation. Also, Ijeh, Adesanmi and Njogo (2014) revealed that Board
- independence is negatively signed and statistically significant at less than 1% significant for both 145
- ROA and ROE. These findings were in line with that of Wang (2014); Johl, Kaur, & Cooper 146
- (2015); Imad (2015); Mohammed (2017) 147

3.0 Methodology

- This study seeks to observe the association amid board independence and corporate financial 149
- performance of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria and Canada. The research objective will be 150
- achieved using the panel ordinary least square method. The panel data methodology is widely 151
- recommended for it is useful when data is a blend of time-series and cross-sectional features. The 152
- study applied secondary data extracted from annual financial statements of Deposit Money 153
- 154 Banks quoted on the Nigerian Stock Market and in the Canadian stock market between the ten
- years period of 2008 and 2017. The study adapted the model of Alshetwi (2017). The 155
- econometric model is defined as thus: 156
- PERF = ROA. (1)157
- PERF = f(BIND, ACI, EPS, FSIZE) (2) 158
- $ROA_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 BIND_{it} + \beta_2 ACI_{it} + \beta_3 EPS_{it} + \beta_4 FSIZE_{it} + U_{it}.....(3)$ 159
- Where PERF= Financial Performance 160
- BIND= Proportion of Independent Non-Executive Directors on Board 161
- ACI= Audit Committee Independence 162

- 163 FSIZE= Firm Size
- 164 EPS= Earnings per Share

165 3.3 A-priori expectation

- The a-priori expectation makes available the estimated significance of the co-efficient of the
- model parameters to be estimated. Increase in board independence is expected to yield an
- increase in corporate performance of the selected banks.
- The a priori expectation is mathematically represented as follows: β_1 ; $\beta_2 > 0$

170 3.4 Measurement of Variables

171 Dependent Variable: Financial Performance

- 172 This is measure by returns on asset (ROA) and it is derived as
- 173 **ROA** (return on asset) = $\frac{\text{profit after tax}}{\text{total asset}} \times 100\%$

174 Independent Variable:

- 175 **BIND:** Proportion of Independent Non-Executive Directors on Board was calculated by dividing
- the number of non-executive directors by the total number of board members
- 177 ACI: Calculated by the proportion of the number of independent non-executive directors on the
- 178 committee to the total number.

179 Control Variables

- 180 *EPS:* Profit after tax as a ratio of number of ordinary shares
- 181 *FSIZE*: Natural logarithm of total asset of a firm

182

183

185

4.0 Results and discussion

4.1 Descriptive analysis

Table 1: Correlation matrix

Nigeria	BIND	ACI	EPS	FSIZE
ROA	0.032853	0.043937	0.810080	0.093435
BIND	1.000000	0.044552	-0.039912	-0.065192
ACI	0.044552	1.000000	0.054293	0.030173
EPS	-0.039912	0.054293	1.000000	0.072639
FSIZE	-0.065192	0.030173	0.072639	1.000000
Canada	BIND	ACI	EPS	FSIZE
ROA	0.0067	0.0688	0.2825	0.0644
BIND	1.0000	0.7098	-0.4783	-0.5452
ACI	0.7098	1.0000	-0.5436	-0.8003
EPS	-0.4783	-0.5436	1.0000	0.7117
FSIZE	-0.5452	-0.8003	0.7117	1.0000

- **Source:** Author's Work (2019).
- 187 The result presented in the table above reveals that the correlation between the examined
- variables used to capture board independence and financial performance of Deposit Money
- Banks in Nigeria. The importance of carrying out a correlation analysis was to detect presence of
- multicollinearity amongst the independent variables. Okere, Isiaka and Ogunlowore (2018)
- recommends a correlation less than 80% to show absence of multicollinearity. Examining the
- matrix above, it can be seen that the highest correlation between the independent variables is 7%
- which is between EPS and FSIZE for Nigeria and 71% between FSIZE and EPS for Canada.

Table 2 Hausman test

Correlated Random Effects - Hausman Test (Nigeria)

Equation: Untitled

Test cross-section random effects

Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f. Prob.		
Cross-section random	8.224941	4 0.0837		

Source: Author's Work (2019).

196

197

195

186

194

Correlated Random Effects - Hausman Test (Canada)

Equation: Untitled

Test cross-section random effects

Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f. Prob.		
Cross-section random	15.425496	4	0.0039	

- Source: Author's Work (2019).
- 199 This Hausman test was carried out to determine which model best suites the panel regression.
- 200 The rule states:
- 201 If the P-value is statistically significant adopt a fixed effect model
- 202 If the P-value is not statistically significant adopt a fixed/random effect model.
- Also, the P-value (0.0039) < 5% significant for Nigeria. Therefore, a fixed effect model shall be used for this regression analysis.

4.2 Analysis of Panel Regression Results

NIGERIA				CANADA				
Variable	Coefficien t	Std. Error	t- Statisti c	Prob.	Coefficien t	Std. Error	t- Stat	Prob.
BIND	0.0021	0.01	0.21	0.83	-2.8714	4.5568	- 0.6 3	0.53
ACI	0.0032	0.00	1.96	0.05	1.4439	8.9808	0.1 6	0.87
EPS	0.0001	6.37	18.64	0.00	1.9846	0.9565	2.0	0.04
FSIZE	-0.0013	0.00	-1.72	0.09	-2.2366	1.1874	1.8 8	0.07
С	-0.0053	0.01	-0.48	0.6337	32.7412	18.0403	1.8	0.08
	Nigeria	Canad a			Nigeria	Canad a		
R- squared	0.7555	0.3475		Mean dependen t var	0.0418	2.7221		
Adjusted R- squared	0.7219	0.2451	X	S.D. dependen t var	0.054312	3.8349		
S.E. of regression	0.0215	3.3319		Sum squared resid	0.060409	566.184		
F-statistic	22.493	3.3945		Durbin- Watson stat	1.939601	1.5366		
Prob(F- statistic)	0.0000	0.0034						

Source: Author's Work (2019).

4.2.1 Discussion of Panel Regression Results

- This study examines the relationship between board independence and financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria and Canada. The dependent variable was proxied using ROA while the independent variable (board independence) was measured using proportion of independent non-executive directors (BIND) and audit committee independence (ACI).
 - i. For Nigeria, The R-squared which represents the coefficient of determination is 0.76(76%), while the adjusted R-squared which takes into account all the independent variables are 0.72(72%). This depicts that 72% of the dependent variable is explained by the independent variables while the remaining 28% is subject to factors not captured by this study. The F-statistics is positive (22.49260) which show the fitness of the model and is validated by the probability of the f-statistic which is significant at 1%, 5% and 10%. The Durbin Watson statistics value of 1.94 shows there is evidence that the parameter estimates are free from autocorrelation. From the analysis, it is revealed that there is a significant relationship between board independence (BIND, ACI, EPS, FSIZE) and corporate financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria.
 - Also, BIND revealed a positive (0.002117) but insignificant relationship with ROA. This means that for every unit increase in BIND, there is a 0.2% increase in performance (ROA) of the sampled firms. The means that the more the proportion of independent non-executive directors on the board would lead to an increase in their profitability. From the probability value which is insignificant at 5%, the null hypothesis is accepted which says that there is no significant relationship between proportion of independent non-executive directors and financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria.
- ACI showed a positive (0.003074) and significant relationship with ROA. This is further explained that for every unit increase in ACI, there is a 0.3% increase in the profitability of deposit money banks in Nigeria. This depicts that the higher the level of audit committee independence, the greater the independence of the board which would bring about positive performance in terms of profitability. The EPS and FSIZE were used as the control variable for the study. EPS shows a positive and significant relationship with ROA while FSIZE shows a negative and insignificant relationship with ROA.
 - ii. Examining the relationship between board independence and financial performance of deposit money banks in Canada, the R-squared is 0.3475 (35%) while the adjusted R-Squared is 0.2451 (25%) depicting that 25% of changes in the dependent variable can be explained by changes in the independent variables (BIND, ACI, FSIZE & EPS). The F-statistics is positive (3.3945) which show the fitness of the model and is validated by the probability of the f-statistic which is significant at 1%, 5% and 10%. The Durbin Watson statistics value of 1.54 shows there is evidence that the parameter estimates are free from autocorrelation. From the analysis, it is revealed that there is a significant relationship between board independence (BIND, ACI, EPS, FSIZE) and financial performance of deposit money banks in Canada.
 - Also, BIND revealed a negative (-2.8714) but insignificant relationship with ROA. This means that for every unit increase in BIND. This means that the more the proportion of independent non-executive directors on the board would lead to a decrease in their profitability. From the probability value which is insignificant at 5%, the null hypothesis is accepted which says that

- 257 there is no significant relationship between proportion of independent non-executive directors
- and financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria.
- ACI showed a positive (1.4439) and insignificant relationship with ROA. This depicts that the
- 260 higher the level of audit committee independence, the greater the independence of the board
- which would bring about positive performance in terms of profitability.
- The EPS and FSIZE were used as the control variable for the study. EPS shows a positive and
- significant relationship with ROA while FSIZE shows a negative and insignificant relationship
- with ROA. The findings from the study show that audit independence would significantly affect
- 265 the financial performance of banks in Nigeria even though it would positively affect performance
- of banks in Canada.
- The research findings are in line with the works of Shahid (2014); Nuraddeen (2016) which
- discovered a positive relationship between board independence and financial performance
- depicting that in emerging nations, directors' interest may increase agency conflicts which
- creates performance issues but non-executive directors on board as well as the independence of
- the audit committee ensure positive performance which automatically promotes positive
- 272 financial performance.
- The findings also support the agency theory which depicts that independent director's presence
- on the board minimizes agency difficulties. Although, this is not the case for developed nations
- like Canada as increase in the ratio of non-executive directors on board negatively affects
- 276 financial performance which is in tandem with the work of Klein, Shapiro and Young (2004);
- Wang (2019) which expresses that board independence expresses no positive impact on financial
- 278 performance.
- The implication of this result is that board independence is a crucial factor of good financial
- performance for deposit money banks in developing countries like Nigeria but seems to have no
- significant effect on developed countries which may arising from these countries already having
- very structured systems as opposed to developing countries that faces a lot of structural issues
- and problems which ranges from high level of corruption, fraud, unethical practices, etc. Also,
- 284 this study throws light on the fact that governance is not a one size fit all approach as countries
- have differing characteristics as board independence is seen to have effect on some other
- developed countries (United States).
- These findings may not be so surprising from the results of Canada as directors have failed to
- add value to in some other developed countries (Dalton & daily, 1999). These may be because
- outside directors function on a part time basis and also lack insider information (Brennan, 2006).
- For instance, the financial scandal of WorldCom; where their board was made up of totally non-
- 291 executive directors.

5.0 SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 293 The focus of this study on board independence is predicated on the need to ascertain whether
- deposit money banks in Nigeria and Canada have a functional board with an appropriate level of
- board independence which in turn affects their financial performance. The variables considered
- in this study are return on asset (ROA) (dependent variable), proportion of independent non-
- executive directors on board (BIND) and audit committee independence (ACI) (independent
- variables), earnings per share (EPS) and firm size (FSIZE) which are control variables. From the

- findings, it is revealed that there exists a significant relationship between board independence and profitability of deposit money banks in Nigeria and Canada.
- 301 Empirical results obtained reveal that audit committee independence promoted financial performance of the deposit money banks in Nigeria while in Canada it was positive and 302 insignificant. Thus, a greater proportion of audit committee independence would bring about a 303 greater level of financial performance in deposit money banks in Nigeria and Canada. Also, 304 board independence would bring about a positive effect on financial performance of deposit 305 money banks in Nigeria while in Canada, it would cause a negative effect of financial 306 performance even though not significant. This aspect of corporate governance implies that banks 307 will profit by increasing the number of its independent directors and independent audit 308 309 committee members.
- From the research findings, the study proffers the following recommendations:
 - i. There should be strict compliance of corporate governance principles by all corporate organizations.
 - ii. Banks and all corporate organizations should motivate their executive members through financial compensation to promote independence.
 - iii. Banks and corporate organizations should exploit the wealth of financial experience of their independent audit committee members

321 References

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

325

329

333

- Agrawal, A., & Knoeber, C. R. (1996). Firm performance and mechanisms to control agency problems between managers and shareholders. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis 31*, 377-397.
- Ahmadu U. S., Tukur, G. & Aminu S. M. (2011). Board independence and firm financial performance: Evidence from Nigeria. AERC Research Paper 213 African Economic Research Consortium, Nairobi.
- Alshetwi, M. (2017). The association between board size, independence and firm performance: evidence from Saudi Arabia. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research:* Accounting and Auditing, 17(1), 17-28.
- Atiqa, R. & Syed. A. S. (2013). Board independence, ownership structure and firm performance: Evidence from Pakistan. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 5(3), 832-845.

- Basmah, A. & Lakshmi, K. (2016). Is excess board independence good for firm performance?

 An empirical investigation of non-financial listed firms in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 7(2), 84-92.
- Bhagat, S. & Bolton, B.J. (2008). Corporate governance and firm performance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 14(3), 257-273.
- Brennan, N. (2006). Boards of directors and firm performance: Is there an expectations gap?

 Corporate Governance: An International Review, 14(6), 577-593.
- Chou, H. & Hamill, P. A. (2006). Does Board Independence improve Firms' performance?

344

347

349

352

355

359

362

365

369

372

375

- Dalton, D. R., & Daily, C. M. (1999). What's wrong with having friends on the board, across the board, 36 (3), 28-32.
- Dobrzynski, J. H. (1991). How America can get the 'patient' capital it needs. Business Week, 112.
- Fauzi, F. & Locke, S. (2012). Board structure, ownership structure and firm performance: A study of New Zealand listed-firms. *Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 8(2), 43–67.
- Foo, Y. B. & Mat Zain, M. (2010). Board independence, board diligence and liquidity in Malaysia, *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics* 6, 92–100.
- Hermalin, B. E. & Weisbach, M. S. (1998). Endogenously chosen boards of directors and their monitoring of the CEO. *American Economic Review*, 88, 96-118.
- Hossain, M., Prevost, A. K. and Rao, R. P. (2001). Corporate governance in New Zealand: The effect of the 1993 companies act on the relation between board composition and firm performance. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, *9*, 119-145.
- Ijeh, N. L., Adesanmi, A. D. & Njogo, B. O. (2014). Impact of corporate governance on commercial bank performance in Nigeria. *Elixir Fin. Mgmt.* 76, 28642-28645.
- Imad, K. (2015). Board characteristics and firm performance: Evidence from Palestine.

 European Journal of Accounting Auditing and Finance Research. 3(3), 32-47.
- Jensen, M. C. & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs, and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *3*, 305 350.
- Johl, S. K. Kaur, S. & Cooper, B. J. (2015). Board characteristics and firm performance: Evidence from Malaysian public listed firms. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 3(2).

- Kiel, G. & Nicholson, G. (2003). Board composition and corporate performance: How the Australian experience informs contrasting theories of corporate governance. *Corporate Governance*, 11(3), 189-205.
- Klein, P. Shapiro, D. & Young, J. (2004). Corporate governance family ownership and firm value: The Canadian experience. Segal graduate school of business.

389

392

395

397

401

404

407

410

413

417

420

- Krivogorsky, V. (2006). Ownership, board structure, and performance in continental Europe. *International Journal of Accounting*, 41, 176-197.
- Liu, Y., Miletkov, M., Wei, Z., & Yang, T. (2014). Board independence and firm performance in China. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *30*, 223-244.
- Mallin, C. (2006) Handbook on international corporate governance. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Mohammed, A. (2017). The association between board size, independence and firm performance: Evidence from Saudi Arabia. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 17(1).
- Nguyen, B. D., Nielsen, K. M. (2010). The value of independent directors: Evidence from sudden deaths. *Journal of Financial Economics* 98, 550-567.
- Nuraddeen, S. A. (2016). Does board independence really matter? Evidence from Nigeria.

 Nigerian Journal of Management Technology & Development, 7(1).
- Ogbechie, C. & Koufopoulos, D. N. (2010). Corporate governance and board practices in Nigerian banking industry.
- Okere, W., Isiaka, M. & Ogunlowore, A. (2018). Risk management and financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria.
- Okere, W., Eluyela, D. F., Lawal, A. I., Oyebisi, I., Eseyin, O., Popoola, O. & Awe, T. E. (2019).
 Foreign expatriates on board and financial performance: A study of listed deposit money banks in Nigeria. *The Journal of Social Sciences Research*, *5*(2), 418-423.
- Osisioma, B.C. (1996). *Studies in Accountancy, text and Readings Revised and Enlarged*, Enugu, ACENA Publishers.
- Ponnu, C. H. & Karthigeyan, R. M. (2010). Board independence and corporate performance: Evidence from Malaysia. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(6), 858-868
- Ramdani, D. & Van-Witteloostuijn, A. (2010). The impact of board independence and CEO duality on firm performance: A quantile regression analysis for Indonesia, Malaysia, South Korea and Thailand. *British Journal of Management*, 21(3), 607-627.

- Sanyaolu, O. A., Adesanmi, A. D., Imeokparia, L., Sanyaolu, A. O. & Alimi, A. (2017).
 Corporate governance and financial performance of quoted deposit money banks in
 Nigeria. *International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research*, 2(8), 41314146.
- Shahid, G. (2014). Impact of ownership structure and board independence on corporate performance: Empirical evidence from Pakistani listed firms. *Journal of Business and Management*, 16(7), 18-26.

436

439

441

- Sharifah, F. B. S. M. F., Syahrina A. A. H. & Julizaerma K. (2016). Board independence and firm performance. *Procedia Economics and Finance 37*, 460-465.
- Siegel, J. G. & Shim, J. K. (2006). Accounting handbook. 4th Edition. New York: Barron's.
- Wang, W. (2014). Board independence of listed companies in the US and China. *Asian Journal* of Law and Economics.
- Wintoki, B. M. James S. L., S. & Jeffry, M. N. (2011). Endogeneity and the Dynamics of
 Internal Corporate Governance. *Journal of Financial Economics* 105(3).