

# Open string under the modified Born-Infeld field

Aeran Kim\*

A Private mathematics academy,  
23, Maebong 5-gil, Deokjin-gu, Jeonju-si, Jeollabuk-do, 54921, Republic of Korea.

Received: 28<sup>th</sup> June

2016

Accepted: XX May 2016

Published: XX May 2016

Original Research Article

## Abstract

In this article we consider the two end-points of the string to be attached to D-brane with the different Born-Infeld field strength  $\mathcal{F}$  and calculate the total momenta for the special case.

Keywords: Bloch vector.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

We consider a string ending on a Dp-brane, the bosonic part of the action is

$$S_B = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \left[ g^{\alpha\beta} G_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X^{\nu} + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} B_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X^{\nu} \right] + \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \oint_{\partial\Sigma} d\tau A_i(X) \partial_{\tau} X^i,$$

where  $A_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$ ), is the  $U(1)$  gauge field living on the Dp-brane [1]; [2]; [3]. The string background is

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Phi = \text{constant}, \quad H = dB = 0.$$

Here we use the boundary condition of the action  $S_B$  so that we can get more specific equations of motion for a free field and the canonical momentum.

## 2 Equations of motion and the canonical momentum

Variation of the action yields the equations of motion for a free field

$$(\partial_{\tau}^2 - \partial_{\sigma}^2) X^{\mu} = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

and the following boundary conditions at  $\sigma = 0$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\sigma} X^i + \partial_{\tau} X^j \mathcal{F}_j^i &= 0, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, p, \\ X^a &= x_0^a, \quad a = p+1, \dots, 9, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and at  $\sigma = \pi$  :

$$\partial_{\sigma} X^i + \partial_{\tau} X^j \mathcal{F}'_j^i = 0, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, p. \quad (2.3)$$

Here

$$\mathcal{F} = B - F \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}' = B' - F'$$

are the modified Born-Infeld field strength and  $x_0^a$ ,  $x_0^b$  are the location of the D-branes. Indices are raised and lowered by  $\eta_{ij} = (-, +, \dots, +)$ .

The general solution of  $X^k$  to the equations

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: ae\_ran\_kim@hotmail.com

of motion in (2.1) is [1]

*Proof.* By (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 X^k &= x_0^k + (a_0^k \tau + b_0^k \sigma) + c_0^k \sigma \tau \\
 &+ d_0^k (\tau^2 + \sigma^2) \\
 &+ \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{-in\tau}}{n} (ia_n^k \cos n\sigma + b_n^k \sin n\sigma) \quad 0 = \partial_\sigma X^k + \partial_\tau X^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j \\
 &\quad (2.4) \quad = \partial_\sigma \left( x_0^k + (a_0^k \tau + b_0^k \sigma) + c_0^k \sigma \tau \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + d_0^k (\tau^2 + \sigma^2) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{-in\tau}}{n} (ia_n^k \cos n\sigma + b_n^k \sin n\sigma) \right) \\
 &\quad + \partial_\tau \left( x_0^j + (a_0^j \tau + b_0^j \sigma) + c_0^j \sigma \tau \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + d_0^j (\tau^2 + \sigma^2) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{-in\tau}}{n} (ia_n^j \cos n\sigma + b_n^j \sin n\sigma) \right) \mathcal{F}'^k_j \\
 &= b_0^k + c_0^k \tau + 2d_0^k \sigma \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{-in\tau}}{n} (-ina_n^k \sin n\sigma + nb_n^k \cos n\sigma) \\
 &\quad + \left( a_0^j + c_0^j \sigma + 2d_0^j \tau + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{-ine^{-in\tau}}{n} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times (ia_n^j \cos n\sigma + b_n^j \sin n\sigma) \right) \mathcal{F}'^k_j
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 X^a &= x_0^a + b^a \sigma + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{-in\tau}}{n} a_n^a \sin n\sigma, \\
 &\quad \text{for } a = p+1, \dots, 9,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_0^a + \pi b^a$  is the location of the D-brane to which the other end-point of the open string is attached.

**Lemma 2.1.** The coefficients  $c_0^k$  and  $d_0^k$  in Eq. (2.4) are

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_0^k &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n \left( in(b_n^l + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^l_j) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\pi} (b_n^j + a_n^k \mathcal{F}'^j_k) \mathcal{F}'^l_j \right) (M'^{-1})_l^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_0^k &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} (b_n^l + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^l_j) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + in(b_n^j + a_n^k \mathcal{F}'^j_k) \mathcal{F}'^l_j \right) (M'^{-1})_l^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $M'_{ij} = \eta_{ij} - \mathcal{F}'^k_i \mathcal{F}'_{kj}$ .

then, now since  $\sigma = \pi$  and using the Taylor

series, this identity can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (c_0^k + 2d_0^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \tau + (2d_0^k + c_0^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \pi \\
 & + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-in\tau} (-1)^n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \\
 & = (c_0^k + 2d_0^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \tau + (2d_0^k + c_0^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \pi \\
 & + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-in\tau)^m}{m!} \right) (-1)^n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \\
 & = \left( (2d_0^k + c_0^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \pi + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \right) \\
 & + \left( c_0^k + 2d_0^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j \right. \\
 & \left. - i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \right) \tau \\
 & + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-in)^m}{m!} \right) (-1)^n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \tau^m \\
 & = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the above identical equation about  $\tau$  shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (2d_0^k + c_0^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \pi + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) = 0, \\
 & c_0^k + 2d_0^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j - i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^m (-1)^n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq 2.$$

(a) From (2.5) we can easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2d_0^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j + c_0^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l \mathcal{F}'^k_j \\
 & + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n (b_n^j + a_n^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l) \mathcal{F}'^k_j = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Subtracting (2.7) from (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & c_0^k - i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \\
 & - c_0^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l \mathcal{F}'^k_j \\
 & - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n (b_n^j + a_n^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l) \mathcal{F}'^k_j = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n \left( in (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{1}{\pi} (b_n^j + a_n^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l) \mathcal{F}'^k_j \right) \\
 & = c_0^k - c_0^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l \mathcal{F}'^k_j \\
 & = c_0^l \eta_l^k - c_0^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l \mathcal{F}'^k_j \\
 & = c_0^l (\eta_l^k - \mathcal{F}'^j_l \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \\
 & = c_0^l M_l'^k
 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_0^l & = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n \left( in (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{1}{\pi} (b_n^j + a_n^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l) \mathcal{F}'^k_j \right) (M'^{-1})_k^l.
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) In a similar manner, by (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & c_0^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j + 2d_0^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l \mathcal{F}'^k_j \\
 & - i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n n (b_n^j + a_n^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l) \mathcal{F}'^k_j = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

After dividing (2.5) by  $\pi$ , we subtract (2.8) from (2.5) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2d_0^k + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \\
 & - 2d_0^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l \mathcal{F}'^k_j \\
 & + i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n n (b_n^j + a_n^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l) \mathcal{F}'^k_j = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \right. \\
 & \left. + in (b_n^j + a_n^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l) \mathcal{F}'^k_j \right) \\
 & = d_0^k - d_0^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l \mathcal{F}'^k_j \\
 & = d_0^l \eta_l^k - d_0^l \mathcal{F}'^j_l \mathcal{F}'^k_j \\
 & = d_0^l (\eta_l^k - \mathcal{F}'^j_l \mathcal{F}'^k_j) \\
 & = d_0^l M_l'^k
 \end{aligned}$$

so

So by (2.4), we note that

$$d_0^l = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k) + in(b_n^j + a_n^l \mathcal{F}'_l{}^j) \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k \right) (M'^{-1})_k^l.$$

□

**Remark 2.1.** Let us consider the two end-points of the string to be attached to D-brane with the same  $\mathcal{F}$  field. Then we can see that

$$b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k = 0, \quad \text{for all } n$$

in [1]. Applying this fact to Lemma 2.1, we simply have  $c_0^k = d_0^k = 0$ , which equates the result obtained in [1].

Now the canonical momentum is given by

$$2\pi\alpha' P^k(\tau, \sigma) = \partial_\tau X^k + \partial_\sigma X^j \left( \frac{\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k}{2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\pi\alpha' P^k(\tau, \sigma) \\ &= \partial_\tau \left( x_0^k + a_0^k \tau + b_0^k \sigma + c_0^k \sigma \tau + d_0^k (\tau^2 + \sigma^2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{-in\tau}}{n} (ia_n^k \cos n\sigma + b_n^k \sin n\sigma) \right) \\ & \quad + \partial_\sigma \left( x_0^j + a_0^j \tau + b_0^j \sigma + c_0^j \sigma \tau + d_0^j (\tau^2 + \sigma^2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{-in\tau}}{n} (ia_n^j \cos n\sigma + b_n^j \sin n\sigma) \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( \frac{\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k}{2} \right) \\ &= a_0^k + c_0^k \sigma + 2d_0^k \tau \\ & \quad - i \sum_{n \neq 0} e^{-in\tau} (ia_n^k \cos n\sigma + b_n^k \sin n\sigma) \\ & \quad + \left( b_0^j + c_0^j \tau + 2d_0^j \sigma - \sum_{n \neq 0} e^{-in\tau} (ia_n^j \sin n\sigma \right. \\ & \quad \left. - b_n^j \cos n\sigma) \right) \left( \frac{\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k}{2} \right) \\ &= \left( a_0^k + \frac{b_0^j (\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k)}{2} \right) \\ & \quad + \left( c_0^k + d_0^j (\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k) \right) \sigma \\ & \quad + \left( 2d_0^k + \frac{c_0^j (\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k)}{2} \right) \tau \\ & \quad - \sum_{n \neq 0} e^{-in\tau} \left\{ i \left( b_n^k + \frac{a_n^j (\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k)}{2} \right) \sin n\sigma \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( a_n^k + \frac{b_n^j (\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k)}{2} \right) \cos n\sigma \right\} \\ &= \left( c_0^k + d_0^j (\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k) \right) \sigma \\ & \quad + \left( 2d_0^k + \frac{c_0^j (\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k)}{2} \right) \tau \\ & \quad - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-in\tau} \left\{ i \left( b_n^k + \frac{a_n^j (\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k)}{2} \right) \sin n\sigma \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( a_n^k + \frac{b_n^j (\mathcal{F}'_j{}^k + \mathcal{F}'_j{}^k)}{2} \right) \cos n\sigma \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $\mathcal{F}' = -\mathcal{F}$ , the total momenta

$$P_{tot}^k(\tau) = \frac{\pi}{4\alpha'} c_0^k + \frac{1}{\alpha'} d_0^k \tau + \frac{1}{2\alpha'} a_0^k + \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{ie^{-in\tau}}{n} ((-1)^n - 1) b_n^k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_0^k &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n \left( (1 + (-1)^n) b_n^k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 - (-1)^n) a_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k \right), \\ d_0^k &= \frac{i}{4} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n \left( (1 + (-1)^n) b_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 - (-1)^n) a_n^i \mathcal{F}_i^j \mathcal{F}_j^k \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n (b_n^k - a_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the condition  $\mathcal{F}' = -\mathcal{F}$  and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\alpha' P^k(\tau, \sigma) &= c_0^k \sigma + 2d_0^k \tau \\ &\quad - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-in\tau} (ib_n^k \sin n\sigma - a_n^k \cos n\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} P_{tot}^k(\tau) &= \int_0^\pi d\sigma P^k(\tau, \sigma) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_0^\pi d\sigma \left( c_0^k \sigma + 2d_0^k \tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-in\tau} (ib_n^k \sin n\sigma - a_n^k \cos n\sigma) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{2} c_0^k + 2\pi d_0^k \tau + a_0^k \pi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{ie^{-in\tau}}{n} ((-1)^n - 1) b_n^k \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4\alpha'} c_0^k + \frac{1}{\alpha'} d_0^k \tau + \frac{1}{2\alpha'} a_0^k \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{ie^{-in\tau}}{n} ((-1)^n - 1) b_n^k. \end{aligned}$$

And using the boundary condition (2.2) and Taylor series for  $\tau$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$c_0^k + 2d_0^j \mathcal{F}_j^k - i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^m (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k) = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq 2.$$

Also applying the assumption  $\mathcal{F}' = -\mathcal{F}$  to Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$(2d_0^k - c_0^j \mathcal{F}_j^k) \pi + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n (b_n^k - a_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k) = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$c_0^k - 2d_0^j \mathcal{F}_j^k - i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n n (b_n^k - a_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k) = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Then by (2.11) and (2.13) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_0^k - i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n (b_n^k + a_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k) \\ - i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n n (b_n^k - a_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$c_0^k = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n \left( (1 + (-1)^n) b_n^k \right. \\ \left. + (1 - (-1)^n) a_n^j \mathcal{F}_j^k \right).$$

Finally, substituting the above  $c_0^k$  into (2.12) we complete the proof.  $\square$

### 3 CONCLUSION

Here we focus on the coefficients  $c_0^k$  and  $d_0^k$  existing in the general solution of  $X^k$  explaining the equations of brane motion given by [1], i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} X^k &= x_0^k + (a_0^k \tau + b_0^k \sigma) + c_0^k \sigma \tau \\ &\quad + d_0^k (\tau^2 + \sigma^2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{-in\tau}}{n} (i a_n^k \cos n\sigma + b_n^k \sin n\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

and obtain coefficients value.

## References

- New connection between string theories. Mod. Phys. Lett. A4, 2073.
- [1] C. S. Chu and P. M. Ho, Noncommutative open string and D-brane. Phys. Rev. A, NEIP-98-022, hep-th/yymmddd.
- [2] J. Dai, R. G. Leigh, and J. Polchinski, (1989).
- [3] R. Leigh, (1989). Dirac-Born-Infeld action from Dirichlet sigma models. Mod. Phys. Lett. A4, 2767.

---

©2013 Kim; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.