

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64

## Adoption of BARI dhan49 by the Farmers of Bogra Sadar Upazila in Bangladesh

### ABSTRACT

The research examined the status of adoption of BRRRI dhan49 and explored the contribution of the selected characteristics of the cultivators to their adoption of BRRRI dhan49. The methodology of this study is an integration of quantitative and qualitative methods based on data collection in Ashokola, Polikukrul, Teldhap, Shashibadoni, Darial and Ontahar villages under Noongola union of Bogra Sadar Upazila. Data were collected from 116 BRRRI dhan49 cultivators from January 21 to February 20, 2017. Descriptive statistics and multiple regressions were used for analysis. Most of the farmers (78.4 percent) belong to medium adoption followed by high adoption (11.2 percent) by the rice cultivators. Among the influential variables, the level of education, annual family income, extension media contact, rice cultivation knowledge and attitude towards BRRRI dhan49 were significant contributors and provided 53.8 percent contribution on adoption of BRRRI dhan49. It was also found that 3.4%, 16.3%, 38.8%, 33.7% and 7.8% farmers were innovators, early adopters, early majority, late majority and laggards, respectively. It is concluded that the composite adoption of BRRRI dhan49 production technologies is moderate and needs further advancement. Based on the findings, it is recommended that respective authorities should implement and popularize farm-based projects on a massive scale for the adoption of BRRRI dhan49.

**Keywords:** Technologies, rice cultivation, adopters, adoption.

### INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is a farming depended nation. About 76% of the people live in rural areas, and 47.5% of the total manpower is involved in agriculture. In Bangladesh, agriculture contributes 18.82% of the gross domestic product (GDP) of the country in the year of 2014-2015 (BEC, 2016). Bangladesh has a long history of rice cultivation. Rice is grown throughout the country except in the southeastern hilly territories. The agroclimatic states of the country are suitable for growing rice year-round. However, the national average rice yield is much lower (2.94 t/ha) than that of other rice-growing countries (BBS, 2012). Rice is the staple food for about 156 million people of the country. Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important food for over two billion people in Asia and To feed the ever-increasing population of these regions the world's annual rice production must be increased from the present 560 to 750 million tons by 2020 (Saranrajet *et al.*, 2013). During the year 2015-2016, Rice ranked first position by production among all the cereals in Bangladesh (BBS, 2016). At present, the total area and production of rice in Bangladesh are about 11.65 million hectares and 34.00 million metric tons, respectively (BBS, 2013). The population growth rate is 2 million years, and if the population increases along this same line, the total population will be 238 million by 2050. An expansion in total rice production is required to feed this ever-expanding population. In the meantime, the total cultivable land is diminishing more than 1% per year attributable to the construction of industries, factories, houses, and highways. On the other hand, due to urbanization, food habits tend to change, demanding the cultivation of new crops that must share land used for rice cultivation. Therefore, the modern varieties of rice have given its contribution to increasing the yield per unit area of rice. Among the modern varieties, BRRRI dhan49, benefit to expand rice production in a sustainable manner for the food and nutritional security of this exceptionally populated country. The rice cropping pattern of Bangladesh has changed-areas once occupied by the rainfed Aus gradually shifted to Boro cultivation. As a result, the contribution from each season also changed-Aman rice previously contributed a major portion of total rice, but Boro is now the major contributor to total rice production in the country, despite Aman coverage area is greater. Aus, Aman, and Boro rice were recently reported to account for 7%, 38%, and 55%, respectively, of the total rice production in Bangladesh (Risingbd, 2014). Bangladesh has made notable progress in sustaining respectable growth in rice production, and this growth in production has originated mostly from the shift from low-yielding traditional to high-yielding modern varieties when irrigation facilities were developed (Hossain *et al.*, 2006). Another factor contributing to the increase in total rice production by modern rice varieties such as BRRRI dhan49 is the key to change in the rural economy. Although Bangladesh has an agrarian economy, about 89% of total farm-holdings are below 2.49 acres in size (Kashem, 2013). However, socioeconomic factors, such as the predominance of small and marginal farmers and tenancy cultivation in agrarian structure, did not impede the adoption of modern rice varieties in Bangladesh (Asaduzzaman, 1979; Mandal, 1980; Alauddin and Tisdell, 1996). Major constraints to the adoption of

65 modern rice varieties were, in fact, logistic factors (Hossain *et al.*, 2006). Bogra locale is considered  
 66 as surplus rice generation zone of the nation, where BRR1 Dhan49 was a noteworthy endeavour.  
 67 Bogra sadar upazila range, in this manner, considered a most reasonable area to concentrate the  
 68 marvels of selection of BRR1 Dhan49 innovations by the rice cultivators. Contemplates on individual,  
 69 gathering and society uncovered that acknowledgement of modern innovations is restrictive upon  
 70 many variables. Some of these are social, individual, practical and situational components. While  
 71 directing any review on the reception of modern advancements, these elements should be  
 72 considered. An extremely couple of past research work attempted to discover the above certainties.  
 73 Subsequently, the present examines felt need to lead an exploration entitled "Adoption of BRR1  
 74 dhan49 by the farmers of Bogra sadar upazila."  
 75

76 The focal point of the research work was to explore the trends of adoption of BRR1 dhan49 by the  
 77 farmers. This is why the following objectives were structured out in order to provide an appropriate  
 78 track to the research work: To assess the extent of adoption of BRR1 dhan49 by the farmers; to  
 79 describe the selected socio-economic characteristics of farmers, to estimate the level of contribution  
 80 of the selected characteristics of farmers in adoption of BRR1 dhan49 and categorize the adopters of  
 81 BRR1 dhan49;  
 82

83  
 84 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**  
 85

86 The methodology assumes an essential part in logical research. To fulfil the objectives of the study, a  
 87 researcher should be very careful while formulating methods and procedures in conducting the  
 88 research. This chapter of the thesis illustrates the research methodology and procedures used to  
 89 collect and analyze the data for answering the research questions and attaining the purposes. The  
 90 methods and operational procedures followed in conducting the study e.g. selection of study area,  
 91 sampling procedures, instrumentation, categorization of variables, a collection of data, measurement  
 92 of the variables and statistical measurements. A chronological description of the methodology  
 93 followed in conducting this research work has been presented in this chapter.  
 94

95 **Measurement of the dependent variable**  
 96

97 Adoption of BRR1 dhan49 was measured by computing Adoption Quotient (AQ). It was calculated by  
 98 asking the farmers i) cultivated area of BRR1 dhan49 ii) potential area for cultivation of BRR1 dhan49  
 99 iii) years of BRR1 dhan49 cultivation. Adoption of BRR1 dhan49 was measured by Adoption Quotient  
 100 as the following formula suggested by Bhuiyan (2005):

$$\text{Adoption Quotient (AQ)} = \frac{\sum c/p}{Y} \times 100$$

- 101  
 102 Where, c = cultivated area  
 103  
 104 P = Potential area  
 105  
 106 y = Years of BRR1 dhan49 cultivation  
 107

108 Using the above formula, adoption of BRR1 dhan49 production technologies score of a respondent  
 109 could range from 0-100, while 0 indicating no adoption and 100 indicating highest adoption. This  
 110 variable appears in item number 10.1 in the interview schedule as presented in Appendix-I. Based on  
 111 the information cited by the farmers, they were classified into three categories (Mean ± Standard  
 112 Deviation) namely „low“, „medium“ and „high“ adoption of BRR1 dhan49.  
 113

114 **Measurement of adopter categories**  
 115

116 Before measuring the adopter categories, the researcher calculated the Adoption Period Score (APS)  
 117 of BRR1 dhan49 by asking the question to the farmers "How many times did you take after hearing  
 118 about the BRR1 dhan49 qualities to cultivate in your land?". The adopter categorization on the basis of  
 119 APS diving the bell-shaped curve into five areas by using its two parameters (mean and standard  
 120 deviation). After assigning APS for all farmers according to the adoption Period of all farmers were  
 121 calculated as follows (Roger, 1995):  
 122

- 123 Innovator =  $(\bar{x} - 2\sigma)$   
 124  
 125 Early adopter =  $(\bar{x} - 2\sigma)$  to  $(\bar{x} - \sigma)$

126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190

Early majority =  $(\bar{x} - \sigma)$  to  $(\bar{x})$

Late majority =  $(\bar{x})$  to  $(\bar{x} + \sigma)$

Laggards & non-adopters =  $(\bar{x} + \sigma)$  to  $(\bar{x} + 2\sigma)$

The measure of adoption used and the procedure followed to classify adopters where 1<sup>st</sup> group were an innovator and then early adopter, early majority, late majority & last group considered as laggards. The majority of Indian researchers used this type of category

### Statistical analysis

Regression analysis was used to identify the linear combination between independent variables used collectively to predict the dependent variables (Miles and Shevlin, 2001). Regression analysis helps us understand how the typical value of the dependent variable changes when any one of the independent variables is varied, while the other independent variables are held fixed. Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) is used most extensively for estimation of regression functions. In short, the method chooses a regression where the sum of residuals,  $\sum U_i$  is as small as possible (Gujarati, 1995). The factors that contribute to the adoption of BRR1 dhan49 are analyzed using a regression model. The overall quality of fit of the model has been tested by ANOVA specifically F and  $R^2$  test.

The data were analyzed in accordance with the objectives of the proposed research work. The factors that contribute to the constraints faced by the farmers in vegetable production are analyzed using a regression model, multiple regression analysis (B) was used. Throughout the study, five (0.05) percent and one (0.01) percent level of significance was used as the basis for rejecting any null hypothesis. If the computed value of (B) was equal to or greater than the designated level of significance ( $p$ ), the null hypothesis was rejected and it was concluded that there was a significant contribution between the concerned variable. Whenever the computed value of (B) was found to be smaller at the designated level of significance ( $p$ ), the null hypothesis could not be rejected. Hence, it was concluded that there was no contribution to the concerned variables.

The model used for this analysis can be explained as follows:

$$Y = a + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3 + b_4x_4 + b_5x_5 + b_6x_6 + b_7x_7 + b_8x_8 + b_9x_9 + e;$$

Where Y= is the adoption of BRR1 dhan49;

Of the independent variables,  $x_1$  is the rice cultivators age,  $x_2$  is level education,  $x_3$  is effective farm size,  $x_4$  is annual family income,  $x_5$  is organizational participation,  $x_6$  is cosmopolitaness,  $x_7$  is extension media contact,  $x_8$  is rice cultivation knowledge and  $x_9$  is attitude towards BRR1 dhan49. On the other hand,  $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7, b_8$  and  $b_9$  are regression coefficients of the corresponding independent variables, and  $e$  is random error, which is normally and independently distributed with zero mean and constant variance.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The recorded observations in accordance with the objective of the study were presented and probable discussion was made of the findings with probable justifiable and relevant interpretation under this chapter. The findings of the study and their interpretation have been presented in this section. The first section deals with the selected characteristics of the farmers, while the second section deals with the adoption of BRR1 dhan49. The third section deals with the contribution of farmers' selected characteristics to their adoption of BRR1 dhan49, while the fourth section deals with the categorization of BRR1 dhan49 adopter.

### Characteristics of the farmers

The behavior of an individual is determined to a large extent by one's personal characteristics. There were various characteristics of the farmers that might have a consequence of the adoption of BRR1 dhan49. But in this study, nine characteristics of them were selected as independent variables, which included their age, level of education, effective farm size, annual family income, organizational participation, cosmopolitaness, extension media contact, rice cultivation knowledge and attitude towards BRR1 dhan49 that might be greatly influenced the adoption of BRR1 dhan49 of farmers are presented below-

191

## 192 **Age**

193

194

The age of the farmers has been varied from 28 to 74 years with a mean and standard deviation of 47.31 and 10.17, respectively. Considering the recorded age farmers were classified into three categories namely „young“, „middle“ and „old“ aged following Rashid (2014). The distribution of the farmers in accordance of their age is presented in Table 1. Table 1 reveals that the middle-aged farmers comprised the highest proportion (53.4percent) followed by old aged category (34.5percent) and the lowest proportion was made by the young aged category (12.1percent). Data also indicates that the middle and old aged category constitute 87.9 percent of total farmers. The middle and young aged farmers were generally more involved in farm activities than the young.

202

## 203 **Level of education**

204

205

The level of educational scores of the farmers ranged from 0 to 17 with a mean and standard deviation of 7.62 and 4.05, respectively. Based on the educational scores, the farmers were classified into five categories. The distributions of farmers according to their level of education are presented in Table 1. Table 1 shows that farmers under secondary education category constitute the highest proportion (47.4 percent) followed by primary education (22.4percent). On the other hand, the lowest 5.2 percent in can't read and sign category followed by can sign only category (6.9 percent) and 18.1 percent respondents were above secondary category. Education broadens the horizon of outlook of farmers and expands their capability to analyze any situation related to adopt the BRR1 dhan49. An educated farmer is likely to be more responsive to the modern facts, ideas, and information of BRR1 dhan49. To adjust with the same, they would be progressive-minded to adopt cultivation technologies of BRR1 dhan49 as well as involve with modern cultural farm activities.

216

## 217 **Effective farm size**

218

219

The effective farm size of the farmers ranged from 0.08 ha to 2.62 ha with a mean and standard deviation of 0.96 and 0.54, respectively. Based on their farm size, the farmers were classified into five categories following the categorization according to DAE. The distribution of the farmers according to their farm size is presented in Table 1. Table 1 indicates that the small farm holder constitutes the highest proportion (63.8percent) followed by medium farm holder (33.6 percent). The findings of their study reveal that majority of the farmers were small to medium sized farm holder. The average farm size of the farmers of the study area (0.96ha) was higher than that of national average (0.60 ha) of Bangladesh (BBS, 2014). This might be due to the enhancing the economic status of the farmers; the farmers are likely to motivate to buy the land.

228

## 229 **Annual family income**

230

231

The score of annual income of the rice cultivators ranged from 100 to 525 thousand (BDT) with a mean and standard deviation of 223.58 and 81.08, respectively. On the basis of annual income, the rice cultivators were classified into three categories (Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation) namely „low“, „medium“ and „high“ annual family income. The distribution of the rice cultivators according to their annual family income is presented in Table 1. DataTotal revealed that the rice cultivators having medium annual income constitute the highest proportion (69.0 percent), while the lowest proportion in low income (12.9 percent) followed by high income (18.1 percent). Majority (87.1 percent) rice cultivators have medium to high annual family income.

239

## 240 **Organizational participation**

241

242

Organizational participation score of the rice cultivators ranged from 4 to 16 with a mean and standard deviation of 10.12 and 2.22, respectively. Based on organizational participation score, the rice cultivators were classified into three categories (Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation) namely less, medium and high participation. The distribution of the rice cultivators as per their organizational participation is presented in Table 1. Data reveals that the highest proportion (78.4 percent) of the rice cultivators had medium organizational participation, while 7.8 percent and 13.8 percent had less and high organizational participation respectively.

249

## 250 **Cosmopolitaness**

251

252

Cosmopolites score of the rice cultivators ranged from 8 to 22 with a mean and standard deviation of 17.12 and 2.22, respectively. Based on the cosmopolitaness score, the rice cultivators were classified into three categories (Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation) namely low, medium and high cosmopolitaness. The distribution of the rice cultivators as per their cosmopolitaness is presented in Table 1. Data

253

254

255

revealed that the highest proportion (87.9 percent) of the rice cultivators had medium cosmopolitanism, while 7.8 percent had low cosmopolitanism and the lowest 7.8 percent high low cosmopolitanism. It might be logical because the respondents of the study area were sincere in their income generating activities. Hence, the cosmopolitanism of the rice growers in the study area was medium to high (87.9 percent).

#### **Extension media contact**

The observed score of agricultural extension contact of the farmers ranged from 10 to 30 against a possible range of 0 to 40. The average score of the farmers was 22.89 with a standard deviation of 3.17 (Table 1). The farmers were classified into three categories on the basis of their exposure to farming information through communication exposure scores and distribution of the three categories (Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation) namely „low“, „medium“ and „high“ agricultural extension media contact of the farmers. Data showed that the highest proportion (79.3percent) of the farmers had medium extension contact as compared to 11.2 percent of them having low extension contact and 9.5 percent fell in high extension media contact. From this table, it might be concluded that majority of the farmers had medium extension contact. It could be concluded that an extension agent or media of the study area were available to the farmers. The finding was interesting but logical because in general the farmers in the rural areas of Bangladesh are low cosmopolite in nature and less exposed to different information sources. Finding revealed that 11.2 percent of the farmers had low extension contact which demands to strengthen and to improve the communication strategy. Low extension contact might be the reason that some respondent may think that they have enough knowledge about farming activities. Extension contact pertains to ones contact with multifarious sources of farming knowledge and information. This results in cognitive change of the users with an eventual change in behavior and also in skill. They receive information from their neighbors, relatives etc.

#### **Rice cultivation knowledge**

Rice cultivation knowledge scores of the farmers ranged from 10 to 28 against a possible score of 0 to 30. The average score and standard deviation were 22.84 and 3.49, respectively. Based on the rice cultivation knowledge scores, the farmers were classified into three categories (Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation) namely low knowledge, medium knowledge and high knowledge (Table 1). Total Data presented in table 1 reveals that 79.3 percent of the farmers had medium rice cultivation knowledge, 19.7percent had low knowledge and 22.2 percent had high rice cultivation knowledge. Thus, an overwhelming majority (58.1%) of the farmers had medium knowledge. This lead to the understanding that rice cultivation knowledge would reflect more by the medium knowledge on agriculture group in the present study. Rice cultivation knowledge of the farmers is definitely affected by the education of the farmers because education helps to enhance the eagerness to be acquainted with new variety or technology. In addition, rice cultivation knowledge of the respondent is definitely affected by the extension contact because with the increase of the communication exposure new thing can be taught. Farmers lives on farming. Hence, they must require skill and modern knowledge to bring more yield and profit to ensure adoption of BRR1 dhan49 cultivation.

#### **Attitude towards BRR1 dhan49**

Attitude towards BRR1 dhan49 of the farmers ranged from 1 to 3. The average and standard deviation were 2.46 and 0.65 respectively shown in the following Table 1. On the basis of attitude towards BRR1 dhan49, the respondents were categorized into three classes“ (Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation) namely poorly favorable attitude, moderately favorable attitude and highly favorable attitude.

The observed data showed that most of the farmers (91.4 percent) had a moderately favorable attitude towards rice cultivation while 8.6 percent of them had a poorly favorable attitude. No respondent was found in highly favorable attitude category. The attitude of the respondents expressed their perception of rice cultivation. It helped the researcher to judge or measure the acceptance/rejection of rice cultivation in the rural area.

#### **Adoption of BRR1 dhan49**

Adoption of BRR1 dhan49 by the rice cultivators is the dependent variable of this study and it was measured by computing scores according to the extent of adoption. Adoption of rice cultivation by the rice cultivators scored varied from 34.58 to 68.04 with the mean and standard deviation of 44.48and 5.32 respectively. On the basis of adoption scores, the rice cultivators were classified into three categories (Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation) namely low, medium and high adoption of BRR1 dhan49. The

320 distribution of the cultivators according to their adoption of BRR1 dhan49 score under the study is  
 321 given in

322 Table 1 indicates that among the respondents, the highest 78.4 percent rice cultivators belongs to the  
 323 group of medium adoption and the lowest percentage 10.3 percent in low adoption followed by high  
 324 adoption (11.2 percent) by the rice cultivators in adoption of BRR1 dhan49. Among the cultivators,  
 325 most of the rice cultivators (89.7 percent) have medium to high adoption in BRR1 dhan49.

326

327 Table 1. List of characteristics and its component for farmers

328

Characteristics	Categories	Range	Number	Percent	Mean	STD
Age	Young aged	28-74	14	12.1	47.31	10.17
	Middle aged		62	53.4		
	Old aged		40	34.5		
Level of education	Can't read and sign	0-17	6	5.2	7.62	4.05
	Can sign only		8	6.9		
	Primary education		26	22.4		
	Secondary education		55	47.4		
	Above secondary		21	18.1		
Effective farm size	Landless	0.08-2.62	-	-		
	Marginal		3	2.6		
	Small		74	63.8		
	Medium		39	33.6		
	Large		-	-		
Annual family income	Low income	62-230	15	12.9	223.58	81.08
	Medium income		80	69.0		
	High income		21	18.1		
Organizational participation	Less participation	4-16	9	7.8	10.12	2.22
	Medium participation		91	78.4		
	High participation		16	13.4		
Cosmopolitaness	Low cosmopolitaness	8-22	9	7.8	17.12	2.22
	Medium cosmopolitaness		102	87.9		
	High cosmopolitaness		5	4.3		
Extension media contact	Low contact	10-30	13	11.2	22.89	3.17
	Medium contact		92	79.3		
	High contact		11	9.5		
Rice cultivation knowledge	Low knowledge	10-28	17	14.7	22.84	3.49
	Medium knowledge		92	79.3		
	High knowledge		7	6.0		
Attitude towards BRR1 dhan49	Poorly favorable attitude	1-3	12	10.3	2.46	0.65
	Moderately favorable attitude		91	78.4		

	Highly favorable attitude		13	11.2		
Adoption of BRRIdhan49	Low adoption	34.58-68.04	12	10.3	44.48	5.32
	Medium adoption		91	78.4		
	High adoption		13	11.2		

329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337

**Factors related to the adoption of BRRIdhan49**

In order to estimate the adoption of BRRIdhan49 by the rice cultivators from the independent variables, multiple regression analysis was used which is shown in the Table 2.

**Table 2** Multiple regression coefficients of contributing factors related to the farmers' adoption of BRRIdhan49

Dependent variable	Independent variables	B	p	R <sup>2</sup>	Adj. R	F	p
Farmers' adoption of BRRIdhan49	Age	0.059	0.203	0.538	0.507	20.75	0.000**
	Level of education	0.296	0.037*				
	Effective farm size	0.584	0.702				
	Annual family income	2.041	0.034*				
	Organizational participation	0.151	0.573				
	Cosmopolitaness	-.138	0.507				
	Extension media contact	0.447	0.020*				
	Rice cultivation knowledge	0.398	0.003**				
	Attitude towards BRRIdhan49	3.383	0.000**				

338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344

Significant at p < 0.01;

Significant at p < 0.05;

Table 2 shows that there is a significant contribution of respondents' level of education, annual family income, extension media contact, rice cultivation knowledge and attitude towards BRRIdhan49. Of

345 these, rice cultivation knowledge and attitude towards BRRRI dhan49 were the most important  
346 contributing factors (significant at the 1% level of significance).Level of education, annual family  
347 income and extension media contact (significant at the 5% level of significance while coefficients of  
348 other selected variables don't have any contribution on adoption of BRRRI dhan49.

349  
350 The value of  $R^2$  is a measure of how of the variability in the dependent variable is accounted for by  
351 the independent variables. So, the value  $R^2$  0.538 means that independent variables account for 53%  
352 of the variation in adoption of BRRRI dhan49. The adjusted  $R^2$  indicates the loss of predictive power or  
353 shrinkage. Therefore, the adjusted value (0.507) tells us how much variance in Y (adoption of BRRRI  
354 dhan49) would be accounted if the model has been derived of the populations from which the sample  
355 was taken. The F ratio is 20.75 which is highly significance ( $p < .001$ ). This ratio indicates that the  
356 regression model significantly improved the ability to predict the outcome variable.

357  
358 The b-values indicate the individual contribution of each predictor to the model. Almost all predictors  
359 have positive b-values indicates if scores/ values of predictors (e.g. level of education) increases so  
360 do the extent of adoption of BRRRI dhan49 production technologies. However, each predictor may  
361 explain some of the variances in respondents' adoption of BRRRI dhan49 conditions simply by chance.  
362 In summary, the models suggest that the respective authority should consider farmers' level of  
363 education, annual family income, extension media contact, rice cultivation knowledge and attitude  
364 towards BRRRI dhan49.

#### 365 **A significant contribution of rice cultivation knowledge on adoption of BRRRI dhan49**

366  
367  
368 The contribution of the rice cultivation knowledge on adoption of BRRRI dhan49 was at 1% significance  
369 level.

370  
371 The b-value of rice cultivation knowledge is 0.398. So, it can be stated that as rice cultivation  
372 knowledge increase by one unit, the adoption of BRRRI dhan49 increase by 0.398 units. This  
373 interpretation is true only if the effects of all other predictors are held constant.

374  
375 Based on the above finding, it can be said that clear understanding of the different aspects of  
376 innovation increases cultivators' knowledge about a new technology which helps him/her to adopt it  
377 for own benefit. So, rice cultivation knowledge influenced significantly on the adoption of BRRRI  
378 dhan49.

#### 379 **A significant contribution of attitude towards BRRRI dhan49 on adoption of BRRRI dhan49**

380  
381  
382 The contribution of the attitude towards BRRRI dhan49 on adoption of BRRRI dhan49 was at 1%  
383 significance level.

384  
385  
386 The b-value of attitude towards BRRRI dhan49 is 3.383. So, it can be stated that as attitude towards  
387 BRRRI dhan49 increase by one unit, adoption of BRRRI dhan49 increase by 3.383 units. This  
388 interpretation is true only if the effects of all other predictors are held constant.

389  
390 Based on the above finding, it can be said that attitude towards BRRRI dhan49 increases cultivators'  
391 willingness which helps him/her to adopt it for own benefit. So, attitude towards BRRRI dhan49  
392 influenced significantly on the adoption of BRRRI dhan49.

#### 393 **A significant contribution of the level of education on adoption of BRRRI dhan49**

394  
395  
396  
397 The contribution of the level of education on adoption of BRRRI dhan49 was at 5% significance level.

398  
399 The b-value of the level of education is 0.296. So, it can be stated that as the level of education  
400 increase by one unit, the adoption of BRRRI dhan49 increased by 0.296 units. This interpretation is true  
401 only if the effects of all other predictors are held constant.

402  
403 Based on the above finding, it can be said that level of education increases cultivators' willingness  
404 which helps him/her to adopt it for own benefit. So, level of education influenced significantly on the  
405 adoption of BRRRI dhan49.

#### 406 **A significant contribution of annual family income on adoption of BRRRI dhan49**

407  
408  
409

410 The contribution of the annual family income on adoption of BRR1 dhan49 was at 5% significance  
411 level.

412

413 The b-value of annual family income is 2.041. So, it can be stated that an annual family income  
414 increase by one unit, the adoption of BRR1 dhan49 increased by 2.041 units. This interpretation is true  
415 only if the effects of all other predictors are held constant.

416 Based on the above finding, it can be said that annual family income increases cultivators' willingness  
417 which helps him/her to adopt it for own benefit. So, annual family income influenced significantly on  
418 adoption of BRR1 dhan49.

419

#### 420 **A significant contribution of extension media contact on adoption of BRR1 dhan49**

421

422 The contribution of the extension media contact on adoption of BRR1 dhan49 was at 5% significance  
423 level.

424

425 So, the null hypothesis could be rejected.

426

427 The b-value of extension media contact is 0.447. So, it can be stated that an extension media contact  
428 increase by one unit, the adoption of BRR1 dhan49 increased by 0.447 units. This interpretation is true  
429 only if the effects of all other predictors are held constant.

430

431 Based on the above finding, it can be said that extension media contact increases cultivators'  
432 willingness which helps him/her to adopt it for own benefit. So, extension media contact influenced on  
433 adoption of BRR1 dhan49.

434

435

#### 436 **Conclusions**

437

438 The present study concluded that the composite adoption of BRR1 dhan49 is adequate and needs  
439 further advancement for maintaining. Level of education of the farmers showed the most important  
440 contributing factor in the adoption of BRR1 dhan49. This means that high literacy and educational  
441 level among the farmers might have influenced high BRR1 dhan49. Annual family income of the  
442 farmers had a significant contribution in adoption of BRR1 dhan49. The above facts lead to conclude  
443 that necessary arrangements should be made to increase the annual family income of farmers which  
444 would ultimately increase the adoption of selected rice cultivation. Extension media contact of the  
445 farmers had a significant contribution in adoption of BRR1 dhan49. The above facts lead to conclude  
446 that necessary arrangements should be made to increase the extension media contact of farmers  
447 which would ultimately increase the adoption of selected rice cultivation. Rice cultivation knowledge of  
448 the farmer had a significant contribution in adoption of BRR1 dhan49. The above facts lead to the  
449 conclusion that necessary arrangements should be made to increase the knowledge of farmers which  
450 would ultimately increase the adoption of selected rice cultivation. Farmer's attitude towards BRR1  
451 dhan49 had a significant contribution in adoption of BRR1 dhan49. It is, therefore, concluded that  
452 extension workers should vocation adequately with the farm people through various teaching methods  
453 and correctly envisaging those characteristics of the farmers which have some bearing on these  
454 activities.

455

456

#### 457 **REFERENCES**

458

459

460 1. Akpabio, I. A., and Inyang, E. B. (2007). Major constraints affecting aquaculture development  
461 in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Aquatic Science*, **32**(1), 45-50.

462

463 2. Amin, M. R. (2015). Adoption of Modern Technologies by the Rice Cultivators in the Selected  
464 Areas of Jhalokathi District. M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Extension and  
465 Information System, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

466

467 3. Anderson, J. R. and Feder, G. (2007). Agricultural extension. Handbook of agricultural  
468 economics, **3**: 2343-2378.

469

470 4. Azilah, M. E. (2007). Adoption of Cassava Technology for Sustainable Livelihoods: A Thesis  
471 Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Applied  
472 Science in Rural Development at Massey University, New Zealand. Massey University,  
473 Palmerstone North.

474

- 475 5. Baloch, A. W., Soomro A. M., Javed, M. A., Ahmed, M., Bughio, H. R., Bughio, M. S and  
476 Mastoi, N. N. (2002). Optimum Plant Density for High Yield in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Asian*  
477 *Journal of Plant Sciences*, **1**: 25-27.  
478
- 479 6. Bannan-Rittand, B. (2003). The Role of Design in Research: The Integrative Learning Design  
480 Framework. *Educational Res.***32**(1): 21-24.  
481
- 482 7. Chau, P. Y. K. and Tam, K. Y. (1997). Factors affecting the adoption of open systems: an  
483 exploratory study. *Mis Quarterly*, 1-24.  
484
- 485 8. Curtis, A., Byron, I. and MacKay, J. (2005). Integrating socioeconomic and biophysical data to  
486 underpin collaborative watershed management 1. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water*  
487 *Resources Association*, **41**(3), 549-563.  
488
- 489 9. Daberkow, S. G. and McBride, W. D. (2003). Farm and operator characteristics affecting the  
490 awareness and adoption of precision agriculture technologies in the US. *Precision*  
491 *Agriculture*, **4**(2), 163-177.  
492
- 493 10. Damanpour, F. and Schneider, M. (2009). Characteristics of innovation and innovation  
494 adoption in public organizations: Assessing the role of managers. *J. of Public Administration*  
495 *Res. and Theory*, **19**(3), 495.  
496
- 497 11. Deressa, T. T., Hassan, R. M., Ringler, C., Alemu, T. and Yesuf, M. (2009). Determinants of  
498 farmers' choice of adaptation methods to climate change in the Nile Basin of Ethiopia. *Global*  
499 *Env. Change*, **19**(2), 248-255.  
500
- 501 12. Doss, C. R. and Morris, M. L. (2000). How does gender affect the adoption of agricultural  
502 innovations? *Agric. Economics*, **25**(1), 27-39.  
503
- 504 13. D'Emden, F. H., Liewellyn, R. S. And Burton, M.P. (2008). Factors Influencing Adoption of  
505 Conservation Tillage in Australian Cropping Regions. *The Australian Journal of Agricultural*  
506 *and Resource Economics*. DOI: 10.1111/J.1467-8489.2008.00409.  
507
- 508 14. Erenstein, O. and Farooq, U. (2009). Factors affecting the adoption of zero tillage wheat in  
509 the rice wheat systems of India and Pakistan. *Outlook on Agric.*, **38**(4), 367-373.  
510
- 511 15. Floyd, C., Harding, A.H., Paudel, K.C., Rasali, D.P., Subedi, K. and Subedi, (2003).  
512 Household adoption and the associated impact of multiple agric. technologies in the western  
513 hills of Nepal. *Agric. Sys.***76** (2), 715-738.  
514
- 515 16. Hassan, R. and Nhemachena, C. (2008). Determinants of African farmers' strategies for  
516 adapting to climate change: Multinomial choice analysis. *African J. of Agric. and Resource*  
517 *Economics*, **2**(1), 83-104.  
518
- 519 17. Hossain, M., Bose, M. L. and Mustafi, B. A. A. (2006). Adoption and productivity impact of  
520 modern rice varieties in Bangladesh. *The Developing Economies*, **44**(2), 149-166.  
521
- 522 18. Kathuria, H., Giri, J., Tyagi, H. and Tyagi, A.K. (2007). Advances in transgenic rice  
523 biotechnology. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, **26**, 65-103.  
524
- 525 19. Knowler, D. and Bradshaw, B. (2007). Farmers' adoption of conservation agriculture: A review  
526 and synthesis of recent research. *Food Policy*, **32**(1), 25-48.  
527
- 528 20. Kurkalova, L., Kling, C. and Zhao, J. (2006). Green subsidies in agriculture: Estimating the  
529 adoption costs of conservation tillage from observed behavior. *Canadian J. of Agric. Eco.*,  
530 **54**(2), 247-267.  
531
- 532 21. Lawrence, G., Richards, C. A. and Cheshire, L. (2004). The environmental enigma: Why do  
533 producers professing stewardship continue to practice poor natural resource management? *J.*  
534 *of Env. Policy and Planning*, **6**(3), 251-270.  
535
- 536 22. Ogunlana, E. A. (2004). The technology adoption behavior of women farmers: The case of  
537 alley farming in Nigeria. *Renewable Agric. and Food Sys.*, **19**(01), 57-65.  
538  
539

- 540 23. Pannell, D. J., Marshall, G. R., Barr, N., Curtis, A., Vanclay, F. and Wilkinson, R. (2006).  
541 Understanding and promoting adoption of conservation practices by rural landholders.  
542 *Australian J. of Exp. Agric.*, **46**(11), 1407-1424.  
543
- 544 24. Rodriguez, J. M., Molnar, J. J., Fazio, R. A., Sydnor, E., & Lowe, M. J. (2009). Barriers to  
545 adoption of sustainable agriculture practices: Change agent perspectives. *Renewable Agric.*  
546 *and Food Sys.*, **24**(01), 60-71.  
547
- 548 25. Ssewanyana, J. and MakerereBusler, M. (2007). Adoption and usage of ICT in developing  
549 countries: Case of Ugandan firms. *Int. J. of Edu. and Dev. using Info. and Communication*  
550 *Technol.*, **3**(3): 49-59.  
551
- 552 26. Tiarniyu, S., Akintola, J. and Rahji, M. (2009). Technology Adoption and Productivity  
553 Difference among Growers of New Rice for Africa in Savanna Zone of Nigeria. *Tropicultura*,  
554 **27**(4), 193-197.  
555
- 556 27. Twati, J. M. and Tripoli, L. (2008). The influence of societal culture on the adoption of  
557 information systems: The case of Libya. *Communications of the IIMA*, **8**(1), 1-12.  
558  
559

UNDER PEER REVIEW