

ABSTRACT

Seed dormancy in wheat (*Triticum aestivum.L*) is a major problem attributing to yield loss. It is a complex evolutionary trait that temporarily prevents seed germination, thus allowing seedling growth at a favorable season. This experiment was conducted to determine the effect of different pre-treatments on germination. The pre-germination treatments included mechanical scarification, soaking seeds in hot water at 100°C for 5 minutes, cold water for 24 hours and untreated (control). Two hundred seeds were used for each treatment. Seeds treated with cold and hot water commenced germination after 4 days and achieved 84% ,78.5% respectively germination within 10 days which was significantly different (P < 0.05) from other treatments, especially the untreated seeds which had the lowest germination of 30%, and commenced first germination after 10 days. The results showed significant differences (P < 0.05) in germination percentage and germination time. Results obtained in this experiment indicate that the pregermination treatment of Farasi wheat seeds by using cold and hot water treatments can enhance germination of the seeds by breaking dormancy.

Keywords: germination percentage, germination time, pretreatments, Seed dormancy, wheat

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., Poaceae) is the most economical important cereal crops in the world; however, its production is affected by a multitude of biotic and abiotic factors including the occurrence of wet and moist conditions prior to harvest that causes pre-harvest sprouting (Gao and Ayele, 2014). Cereal farmers' world wide experience yield losses attributed to poor germination of seeds due to various environmental conditions and seed dormancy (Savage and Leubner, 2006; Omokhua et. al., 2015).

Kenya's wheat production deficit has increased over the years, with local production currently accounting for less than 20% of the total supply, and therefore necessitating imports from other countries. This high dependency leads to nutrition deficiency in most poor families which cannot afford the cost of purchasing the imported wheat flour. In order to enhance local wheat production and reduce overreliance on imports, it is necessary to ensure production is enhanced by addressing among other constraints the challenge of dormancy which is prone in seeds susceptible to pre-harvest sprouting (Kocheshkova et al., 2017).

Seed dormancy research in wheat often involve after-ripening, a period of dry storage during which seeds loose dormancy, or comparative analysis of seeds derived from dormant and non-dormant cultivars (Gao et al., 2012). Coat factors like germination inhibitors, reduced permeability of seed coat to water or oxygen contribute to dormancy in wheat grains. Wheat grain seed sometimes due to some environmental factors like drought and the seeds hormonal imbalance tend to take long to germinate and sometimes fail to germinate completely. This

study was therefore carried out to investigate the effect of pre-treatment methods on germination in wheat grain seed.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

49

51

To study the pre-treatment effects on wheat germination, a research was conducted in botany laboratory at University of Nairobi Upper Kabete campus, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences. A total of 800 seeds of "Farasi" wheat cultivar were sourced from Kenya Seed Company and tested for three pretreatment methods with untreated seeds used as a control. Each pre-treatment and the control were replicated four times and the experiment was laid in Completely Randomized Design (CRD).

2.1 Pretreatment procedures

2.1.1 Mechanical Scarification

- A total of 200 wheat seeds were scrubbed to modify the seed coat to enhance water permeability before sowing.
 - The seeds were spread on a clean and sterilized hard brown paper on top of a flat laboratory bench. A sand
 - paper was placed on top (opposite to the embryonal end) of the seeds and scrubbing done to the seeds.
- Scrubbing was continued until the seed coat colour changed from light brown to greyish in colour.

2.1.2 Cold water treatment

- A sample of 200 randomly selected seeds was placed in one of the plastic germination containers before
- 47 sterilized distilled water at room temperature was added to half way full. The container was tightly closed using
- a lid. The soaked seeds were left on the laboratory maintained at approximately 25°C for 24 hours. The seeds
 - were removed and air dried before subdividing them into 4 replicates of 50 each. Each of the seeds in a replicate
- 50 were placed in a different plastic germination container and closed ready to be sowed.

2.1.3 Hot water pretreatment

- A sample of 200 seeds randomly selected wheat seeds were soaked in hot water at 100°C for 5 minutes. Seeds
- were placed in fabric bags and immersed in preheated water at 100° C and left to cool gradually at room

- 54 temperature for 24 hours. Pretreated seeds were air dried before they were sown for germination (Schelin et al.,
- 55 2004).

59

62

63

65

66

67

75

- 56 **2.1.4 Control**
- For control, seeds were not treated; this is to allow comparative effect of no pretreatment of wheat seeds on
- 58 germination.

2.2 Sowing and germination

- The seeds for each treatment and their replications were sown in plastic germination containers lined at the base
- with absorbent papers. Enough distilled water was added to moisten the papers to promote germination. The
 - seeds were spread out onto the absorbent papers to ensure each seed occupied its own space for uniform and
 - equal chance to germinate. Seeds were kept on the laboratory bench and germination monitored for up to 20
- 64 days.

2.3 Data collection and analysis

Data was collected on germination percentage and mean germination time for pre-treated seeds to germinate.

2.3.1 Germination percentage

- 68 Germination of the sowed seeds was recorded in three phases after 5, 10 and 15 days. Seedlings were pricked-
- out after counting to avoid error. Germination was allowed to proceed for 20 days, and then the experiment was
- 70 terminated. A seed was considered to have germinated if the hypocotyls hook have emerged completely
- 71 (Fredrick et al., 2016).
- 72 Data collected on germination was used to calculate mean germination time and germination percentage (G %)
- 73 based on the following formula:
- 74 Germination percentage = Total number of germinated seeds X 100%
 - Total number of sowed seeds
- Mean germinations were separated by calculating Least Square Differences at 95% confidence levels.

77 **2.3.2 Mean Germination Time**

$$Mean \ germination \ time = \frac{\sum (ti \times ni)}{\sum ni}$$

Where ti is the number of days starting from the date of sowing and ni is the number of seeds germinated at each day (Bewley and Black 1994).

Data collected were statistically analyzed using SAS software 13th edition to explore maximum possible variation.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Germination percentage

Significant variation (P<0.05) on germination percentage was recorded among the varied pre-treatments. Seeds soaked in cold water recorded the highest value (84%) with the control recording the lowest percentage (30%) after 15 days of germination (**Table 1**). In this study, germination occurred first at 4 days after sowing among the seeds soaked in hot and cold water. However the untreated seeds (control) germinated after 10 days. Seeds treated with cold and hot water took a period of 10 days while the control took 15 days (**Table 1**).

Table 1: Effectiveness of pre-germination treatments on Germination

	No. of seeds treated and	Total No. of seed	Germination	Days after	Germination period
Treatments	sown	germinated	%	sowing	(days)
Scarification	200	138	69 ^b	5 ^b	13 ^b
Hot water	200	157	78.5 ^{ab}	4 ^b	10 ^c
Cold water	200	168	84 ^a	4 ^b	10 ^c
control	200	60	30°	10 ^a	15 ^a

Means followed by different superscripts are significantly different at 0.05 level of significance

3.2 Germination time

Germination of seeds occurred between 4 to 15 days. The germination time among the pre-treatments varied significantly (p<0.05). By day 5, untreated seeds (control) had not germinated and scarification had the least germination rate of 62 plants while seeds soaked with cold water recorded the highest number of plants of 106 (**Table 2**). Hot and cold water treatments had the highest effect on germination by taking only 10 days to complete its germination time. Overall germination period for hot water and cold water were not significantly different (**Table 1**).

Table 2: Effects of varied pre-germination treatments on germination time

Treatments	No. of seeds treated and sown	Mean germination time			No. of seed germinated
		5 days	10 days	15 days	
Scarification	200	62 ^b	40 ^b	36 ^b	138 ^b
Hot water	200	97 ^a	70^{a}	-	157 ^a
Cold water	200	106 ^a	62 ^a	-	168 ^a
Control	200	-	14 ^c	46 ^a	60°

Means followed by different superscripts are significantly different at 0.05 level of significance.

4. DISCUSSION

106

107

112

114

115

116

117

118

120

121 122 123

124

125

126

127

128

129 130 131

132 133

134 135 136

137 138

139

140 141

142

143 144

- Seed coat hardness is an important factor that affects germination in seed (Aref et al.
- 108 2011). Seed pretreatment are species specific and no one type of treatment has been reported to be universally
- effective (Uniyal et al., 2000). Therefore breaking the seed dormancy by softening the seed testa to allow water
- imbibition is very crucial (Aref et al. 2011).
- Soaking seeds in either cold water at room temperature or hot water at 100°C improves germination in
 - agreement with experiments done for germination of dormant Albizia lebbeck Benth. (Fabaceae) (Missanjo et
- al., 2013). Soaking softens hard seed coats making it permeable to water allowing seeds to imbibe and swell as
 - the water cools leading to more rapid seed germination (Schimdt, 2000).
 - Scarification also improves germination by allowing entry of water and exchange of gases resulting in
 - enzymatic hydrolysis and thus transforming the embryo into a seedling (Hodge et al., 2009).
 - The enhanced germination observed in seeds soaked in cold and hot water could be attributed to water uptake
 - by the quiescent dry seed, which ended up with the elongation of the embryonic axis (Botsheleng et al., 2014)
- The subsequent increase in the germination percentage and decrease in mean germination time when subjected
 - to different pretreatment methods is an indication that the hard seed coat is responsible for the dormancy in
 - wheat seeds.

5. CONCLUSION

Soaking seeds in hot at 100°C and cold water at room temperature are the most effective methods in improving

seed germination of wheat species by increasing germination percentage and reducing dormancy period (mean

germination time). Soaking before sowing enables more rapid imbibition than is usually the case in a nursery

bed, resulting in more rapid seed germination. Therefore, wheat farmers may be advised to be soaking wheat

seeds in cold water since it is less complicated and improves germination.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

REFERENCES

Aref IM, Ali H, Atta E, Al Shahrani T, Ismail A. (2011). Effects of seed pretreatment and seed source on germination of five Acacia spp. Afr J Biotechnol. 10(71):15901–15910. doi:10.5897/AJB11.1763

Bewley JD, Black M .(1994). Seeds physiology of development and germination. Plenum press, New York

Botsheleng B, Mathowa T, Mojeremane W. (2014). Effects of pre-treatments methods on the 145 germination of Pod maho-gany (afzelia quanzensis) and mukusi (Baikiaea plurijuga) seeds. Int J Innov 146 Res Sci Eng Technol 3(1):8108–8113 147 148 Fredrick C, Muthuri C, Ngamau K and Sinclair F.(2016). Provenance and pretreatment effect on seed 149 germination of six provenances of Faidherbia albida (Delile) A. Chev. International Journal 150 incorporating Agroforestry Forum DOI 10.1007/s10457-016-9974-3 151 152 Gao F and Avele BT. (2014) Functional genomics of seed dormancy in wheat: advances and prospects. 153 Frontiers in Plant Science. Vol 5. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00458. 154 155 Gao F., Jordan M. C., Ayele B. T. (2012). Transcriptional programs regulating seed dormancy and its 156 release by after-ripening in common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Plant Biotechnol. J. 10 465–476 157 158 Hodge DB, Karim MN, Schell DJ, McMillan JD.(2009). Model-based fed-batch for high-solids 159 enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 152(1):88-107. doi: 10.1007/s12010-008-160 8217-0 161 Kocheshkova AA, Kroupin PY, Bazhenov MS, Karlov GI, Pochtovyy AA, Upelniek VP. (2017). Pre-162 harvest sprouting resistance and haplotype variation of ThVp-1 gene in the collection of wheat-163 wheatgrass hybrids. PLoS ONE 12(11): https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188049 164 165 Missanjo E, Maya C, Kapira D, Banda H, and Kamanga GT. (2013). Effect of seed size and 166 pretreatment methods on germination of Albizia lebbeck.PP 1-4 167 168 Savage, F and Leubner, WMG.(2006). Seed dormancy and the control of germination. Pubmed. 169 171(3):501-23. 170 171 Schmidt, L. H. (2000). Guide to handling of tropical and subtropical forest seed. Danida Forest Seed 172 Centre. Pp 1-10. 173 174 Serhal BI, Taconnat SL, Bailly C, Leymarie J. (2015). Germination potential of dormant and 175 Nondormant Arabidopsis seeds is driven by distinct recruitment of messenger RNAs to polysomes. Plant 176 physiology. 168(3):1049-65. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.00510 177 178 Schelin M, Tigabu M, Eriksson I, Sawadogo L, Ode PERC (2004) Predispersal seed predation in Acacia 179 macro- stachya, its impact on seed viability, and germination responses to scarification and dry heat 180 treatments. New For 27:251–267

181

Uniyal AK, Bhatt BP, Todaria NP (2000) Provenance characteristics and pretreatment effects on seed

germination of Grewia oppositifolia roxba promising agroforestry tree crop of garhwal himalaya, India.

Omokhua, G. E., Aigbe, H. I., & Uko, I. J. (2015). Effect of pre-treatments on germination and early

seedling growth of Maesobotrya barteri. International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research,

Int Tree Crops J. 10(3):203-213. doi:10.1080/01435698.2000.9753007

(3), 921-925.