

1
2
3
4
5

Original Research Article

Evaluation of the disintegration properties of *Khaya senegalensis* gum using paracetamol tablets

6 **ABSTRACT**

Background: Disintegrants are essential in the formulation of solid dosage forms such as tablets because they aid in the release of the active drug for therapeutic action. Disintegrating agents such as starch are currently posing challenges such as tablet softening and slow disintegration. In the quest for alternatives that are cheaper, readily available and possessing same or better disintegrating property, *Khaya senegalensis* gum was considered. Currently, there is no available literature pertaining to its disintegrating property.

Objective: To investigate the disintegrating properties of *Khaya senegalensis* gum using paracetamol tablets.

Methods: *K. senegalensis* gum was obtained by making an incision on the stem bark of the mahogany tree. The dried purified *K. senegalensis* gum was employed in the formulation of granule I while Tragacanth gum was used in formulating granule II using the wet granulation technique. The flow properties of both granules were subsequently determined and compared. Paracetamol tablets were then produced with the formulated granules I and II. Friability, hardness, weight uniformity and disintegration testing were performed on the paracetamol tablets formulated with both granules.

Results: The results showed granule I had a better flowability with angle of repose 31.63 °C, Hausner's ratio 1.24 and Carr's index 19.57 as compared to granule II with angle of repose 34.72 °C, Hausner's ratio 1.31 and Carr's index 23.84. The study also revealed, paracetamol tablets formulated with granule I (*K. senegalensis* gum) passed the hardness test (6.57 Kg.f), disintegration time (2.44 min), weight uniformity test (2.2 % standard deviation) and friability test (0.69 %). Paracetamol tablets formulated with granule II (Tragacanth gum) also passed the hardness test (8.20 Kg.f), disintegration time (7.69 min), weight uniformity test (1.6 % standard deviation) and friability test (0.86 %).

Conclusion: *Khaya senegalensis* gum can therefore be explored as an alternative disintegrant in the formulation of paracetamol tablets for improved bioavailability.

7 **Keywords:** *Khaya senegalensis*; Meliceae; disintegrants; Tragacanth; paracetamol

8 **1. INTRODUCTION**

9 In recent times, gums and mucilages have elicited great importance owing to their varied pharmaceutical uses such as
10 disintegrants, emulsifying agents, suspending agents, binding agents, diluents, a thickening agent in both solid and liquid
11 dosage forms and their usage has been conferred to be efficient [1]. Polymers from natural origin possess several
12 benefits as compared to the synthetic and semi-synthetic polymers such as having better biocompatibility, comparatively
13 cheap, safe and readily available [2]. In solid dosage forms particularly with tablets, disintegrants play a critical role as it
14 enables the drug to be released from the matrix as quickly as possible to permit its rapid dissolution [3]. Disintegrants
15 exert their actions by deformation, swelling and wicking [1].

16 Starch is the oldest and was the first most regularly utilized disintegrant in compressed tablets. They exert their
17 disintegrating action by deformation [4]. On account of prerequisites for quicker disintegration and issues with
18 compression and tablet softening and in the bid to explore alternatives that possess better-disintegrating properties,

19 *Khaya senegalensis* gum was considered. The gum is obtained as exudates from the *Khaya* tree popularly known as
20 mahogany belonging to the Meliceae family as shown in figure 1.



21 Figure 1: *Khaya senegalensis* tree (Mahogany)

22 The gum comes as long, slender and semi-transparent in nature. The binding property of the gum has already been
23 established by Mahmud *et al.* [5], however there is no literature pertaining to its disintegrating property.

24 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

25 Study site and Materials

26 The study was conducted in the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST), Department of
27 Pharmaceutics Laboratory, Ghana. Paracetamol powder and magnesium stearate were obtained from Pokupharma
28 Pharmaceutical Limited, Ghana, lactose and starch were obtained from Ernest Chemist Pharmaceutical Limited, Ghana,
29 and Tragacanth gum was from A. F. Suter & Co Limited, United Kingdom.

30 Equipment

31 The equipment used included oven, Hanseaten Wihlem Fette single punch tableting machine, electronic balance, Erweka
32 ZT3 disintegration apparatus and Erweka TA 20 friabilator.

33 METHODS

34 Extraction and purification of *Khaya senegalensis* gum

35 *Khaya senegalensis* gum was collected from Kwahu-Asakraka in the Eastern region of Ghana by incision on the stem
36 bark of the tree. The crude *Khaya senegalensis* gum was cleaned by getting rid of the bark and other foreign materials by
37 hand picking, breaking and sieving. The gum was dried in an oven at 60 °C for about 7 hours until it turned out to be
38 adequately brittle. The dried gum was then classified into two shades; light-colored shade and dark colored shade. The
39 light colored shade dried gum powder as shown in figure 2 was picked out for further processing by milling in a blender
40 into a fine powder.



41 Figure 2: Dried *Khaya senegalensis* gum powder (Light – colored shade)

42 The powdered gum was utilized as a part of some of the consequent tests and investigations as rough *Khaya*
43 *senegalensis* gum powder. For the filtration process, 100 g of the crude gum powder was dissolved in 200 mL of distilled
44 water and was permitted to remain for 24 hours. The gum mucilage obtained was filtered using a calico cloth by gripping

45 and pressing firmly to withdraw any insoluble material. The filtered mucilage was re-filtered to ensure that all debris was
46 removed. The filtrate was precipitated with three times the volume of 96 % ethanol, to obtain the purified gum which was
47 then filtered and washed with diethyl ether. The gum was subsequently dried in a hot air oven at 40 °C for 24 hours [6].
48 The dried purified gum was milled and sifted through sieve number 80. The powdered gum was packed in an airtight
49 container and stored in a desiccator pending subsequent tests and analysis as purified *Khaya senegalensis* gum.

50 Granulation

51 Wet granulation technique was employed in the preparation of granules for paracetamol tablets as described in the U.S.P
52 38 [7]. Two granules were prepared. Granule I was formulated with *Khaya senegalensis* gum (8 %) as the disintegrant
53 while granule II was formulated with Tragacanth gum (8 %) as the disintegrant. The active pharmaceutical ingredient
54 (paracetamol powder), diluent (Lactose) and disintegrants (*Khaya senegalensis* gum and Tragacanth gum) were weighed
55 and mixed by doubling the bulk technique. Solutions of the binding agent (starch) were added to the powder mix while
56 kneading.

57 The powder mix was wetted with the binding solution until the powder mix had consistency of damp snow. The wet mass
58 was forced through a number 8 mesh (Mesh no. is the number of wires passing through an inch) screen. It was then dried
59 in the oven. After drying, the granules were then reduced to smaller particle sizes by passing it through sieve 16. The
60 lubricant (magnesium stearate) was added as fine powder to promote flow of granules. These granules were then
61 compressed to get the tablets.

62 Flow properties of granules I and II

63 The flow properties of the granules I and II were carried out as described in the B.P 2014 [8].

64 Bulk and tapped densities

65 A mass of 60 g of granules was weighed and placed in a 100 mL measuring cylinder and the volume occupied by the
66 granules was recorded as the bulk volume. The bulk density was obtained using Equation (1):

$$67 \text{ Bulk density} = \frac{\text{Mass of powder}}{\text{Bulk volume of powder}} \quad (1)$$

68
69 The cylinder was tapped on a flat surface until there was no appreciable change in volume reduction. The volume
70 occupied by the granules was then recorded as the tapped volume. The tapped density was obtained using Equation (2):

$$72 \text{ Tapped density} = \frac{\text{Mass of powder}}{\text{Tapped volume of powder}} \quad (2)$$

73 Angle of repose

74 The granules I and II were allowed to flow through a funnel until the apex of the conical pile just touches the tip of the
75 funnel. The maximum angle between the surface of the pile of granules and horizontal plane, when granules were allowed
76 to flow freely from a certain height was the angle of repose. It was measured by the cone method. The diameter and
77 height (h) of pile were measured and recorded. The angle of repose was obtained using Equation (3):

$$78 \text{ Angle of repose } (\Theta) = \tan^{-1} \frac{h}{r} \quad (3)$$

79 Carr's Index

80
81 This was obtained by determining the bulk density and the tapped density of the prepared granules I and II. The
82 percentage ratio of the difference between the tapped and bulk densities with the tapped density was used to obtain the
83 Carr's index. The Carr's index was obtained using Equation (4):

$$84 \text{ Carr's index } (\%) = \frac{\text{Tapped density} - \text{Bulk density}}{\text{Tapped density}} \times 100 \quad (4)$$

85

86 **Hausner's ratio**

87 The ratio of the tapped density with the bulk density of the granules resulted in the Hausner's ratio. The Hausner's ratio
88 was obtained using Equation (5):

89

90 Hausner's ratio = $\frac{\text{Tapped density}}{\text{Bulk density}}$ (5)

91

92 **Tablet formulation**

93 The formulated granules I and II were compressed into paracetamol tablets with a single punch tableting machine. Thirty-
94 five tablets each from granules I and II were obtained in total and used for quality control testing.

95 **Quality Control of tablets with granules I and II**

96 Quality evaluation of the formulated tablets was carried out as described in the B.P 2014 and U.S.P 38 [7, 8].

97 **Friability testing**

98 The weight of twenty tablets was determined. The twenty tablets were then placed in a friabilator. The weight of the
99 friabilated tablets were then determined and the percentage friability was determined for tablets made with granules I and
100 II.

101 **Hardness testing**

102 The hardness of five tablets made with granules I and II were obtained by placing each tablet between the anvils of a
103 hardness tester. The hardness was obtained in Newton (N) and converted to Kilogram-force (Kg.f).

104 **Uniformity of weight testing**

105 Twenty tablets were weighed individually and the average weight determined. The individual weights were then compared
106 to the average and the percentage deviations calculated.

107 **Disintegration testing**

108 The Erweka zT3 disintegration device was used in the disintegration testing of tablets made with granules I and II. The
109 device was operated using distilled water as medium maintained at 37.0 ± 0.5 °C. Each of six tablets of the granules I and
110 II were placed in each of the cylindrical holes and the tester allowed to run till all tablets disintegrated and the time for
111 complete disintegration of the tablets recorded.

112 **Statistical Analysis**

113 Data were analysed by unpaired T – test with Welch's correction. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

114 **RESULTS**

115 **Flow properties of granules**

116 The flowability of granules from *K. senegalensis* gum and Tragacanth gum is shown in Table 1.

117 **Table 1** Flow properties of granules I and II

Formulation	Angle of repose	Hausner's ratio	Carr's Index (%)
Granule I	31.63°	1.24	19.57
Granule II	34.72°	1.31	23.84

118 **Quality evaluation of tablets**

119 The quality indicators for tablets are indicated in Tables 2 – 5. The Mean ± Standard Error were calculated for each quality
 120 evaluation parameter.

121 **Table 2** Friability of granules I and II paracetamol tablets
 122

Formulation	%Friability
Granule I	0.69
Granule II	0.86

123 USP limit: Not more than 1%

124 **Table 3** Hardness of granules I and II paracetamol tablets

	Granule I (Kg.f)	Granule II (Kg.f)
	7.50	8.35
	5.90	6.16
	9.51	11.69
	6.23	7.08
	3.73	7.72
Mean ± S.E	6.57 ± 0.9523	8.20 ± 0.9447

125 Key: S.E – Standard Error

126 Kg.f – Kilogram.force

127 B.P limit: 4 – 10 Kg.f

Table 4 Weight uniformity of granules I and II paracetamol tablets

Tabs	Granule I			Granule II		
	Weights (A)g	Deviations (A – Mean)g	%Deviations	Weights (C)g	Deviations (C– Mean)g	%Deviations
1	0.582	0.003	0.52	0.570	0.014	2.46
2	0.550	0.029	5.27*	0.581	0.003	0.52
3	0.580	0.001	0.17	0.578	0.006	1.04
4	0.581	0.002	0.34	0.583	0.001	0.17
5	0.601	0.022	3.66	0.590	0.006	1.02
6	0.560	0.019	3.39	0.577	0.007	1.21
7	0.602	0.023	3.82	0.584	0.000	0.00
8	0.560	0.019	3.39	0.589	0.005	0.85
9	0.584	0.005	0.86	0.610	0.026	4.26
10	0.582	0.003	0.52	0.570	0.014	2.46
11	0.583	0.004	0.69	0.575	0.009	1.57
12	0.572	0.007	1.22	0.578	0.006	1.04
13	0.567	0.012	2.12	0.583	0.001	0.17
14	0.584	0.005	0.86	0.586	0.002	0.34
15	0.577	0.002	0.35	0.582	0.002	0.34
16	0.569	0.010	1.76	0.603	0.019	3.15
17	0.575	0.004	0.70	0.588	0.004	0.68
18	0.564	0.015	2.66	0.581	0.003	0.52
19	0.571	0.008	1.40	0.584	0.000	0.00
20	0.570	0.009	1.58	0.591	0.007	1.18

%RSD = 2.2

%RSD = 1.6

129 Key: R.S.D – Relative standard deviation

Table 5 Disintegration time (min) of granules I and II tablets

Formulations	Dtime I (Min)	Dtime II (Min)	Dtime III (Min)	Mean ± S.E (Min)
Granule I	2.57	2.43	2.32	2.44 ± 0.0723
Granule II	8.01	7.58	7.49	7.69 ± 0.1605

130 Key: S.E – Standard Error

131 **Table 6** Statistical data analysis of formulated granules I and II tablets

Khaya senegalensis gum Versus Tragacanth gum	Two – tailed P – value	P – value summary (P > 0.05)
Flow properties	0.3379	Ns
Hardness (Kg.f)	0.260	Ns
Disintegration time (min)	0.0001	***

132 Data analysis performed using T – test with Welch’s correction
 133 α – value = 0.05 *** Extremely significant Ns – Not significant

134 **DISCUSSION**

135 **The flow properties of granules I and II**

136 The flow properties of granules give an indication of the efficiency of the granules in formulating pharmaceutical products.
 137 The flowability of granules I (Khaya senegalensis) and granules II (Tragacanth) was shown to be good (Table 1). Carr’s
 138 index in the range of 5 to 16 % indicates good flow, 18 to 21 % shows fair flow, while values above 38 % show very poor
 139 flow [8].The angle of repose, Hausner’s ratio as well as the Carr’s index of both granules I and II were within limit of BP
 140 2014. Data analysis showed no significant difference in the flow properties between granules I and II (Table 6). From
 141 Table 1, it can be observed that paracetamol granules prepared with *Khaya senegalensis* gum (granule I) had a
 142 comparable flowability to that of Tragacanth gum (granule II) probably owing to similar interparticulate friction. Similar
 143 result was reported when granules prepared with *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* starch was used as a disintegrant in the
 144 formulation of metronidazole tablets [9]. The compressibility index indicates that the prepared granules had good
 145 flowability and consolidation properties. The Hausner’s ratio together with the Carr’s index, when both are within the
 146 suitable range, the powder flows at low bulk density. When bulk density is high, it specifies low porosity which causes a
 147 low deformation potential. Inadequate space for deformation in the course of compression will cause the particles from
 148 having strong internal contact within the tablet resulting in the formation of weaker tablets [9]. The results prove that *K.*
 149 *senegalensis* gum (granule I) can be suitable for the formulation of tablets.

150 **Quality evaluation of tablets**

151 The quality indicators such as friability, hardness, weight uniformity, and disintegration testing used in assessing tablets
 152 after their formulation helps in determining that the formulated tablets conform to standard specification. The U.S.P 38
 153 specifies not more than (NMT) 1 % friability of tablets [7]. From Table 2, both granules I and II tablets were within the
 154 U.S.P 38 limit. This implies, tablets made from granule I and II would have optimal mechanical strength required to
 155 withstand abrasion, shock and vibration during processing, packaging, transportation and distribution [7].

156 The hardness of tablets is an important indicator in assessing the crushing strength of tablets. Tablets that are too hard
 157 would take a longer time than required to break up affecting the disintegrating time. The B.P 2014 specifies 4 – 10 Kg.f
 158 hardness of tablets [8, 11]. From Table 3, tablets from both granule I and II were within the B.P 2014 range. There was no

159 significant difference (P - value = 0.2600) between tablets from granules I and II comparing their hardness (Table 6). This
160 infers that the mechanical properties of the tablets would not be compromised during packaging, transportation and use.
161 The weight uniformity of tablets gives an indication of the uniform distribution of active ingredients within a batch of the
162 tablets. The U.S.P 38 specifies not more than 5 % deviation of individual tablet weight from the average weight of tablets.
163 From Table 4, tablets formulated from granule I and II had relative standard deviations of 2.2 % and 1.6 % respectively,
164 implying these tablets fell within the stipulated U.S.P 38 limit. This indicates good compression characteristics as well as
165 uniform distribution of active ingredients within the tablets.

166 Tablet disintegration has been considered as the rate limiting step in faster drug release. According to the B.P (2014), the
167 disintegration time for uncoated tablets should not exceed 15 minutes [8]. From Table 5, it can be inferred that all the
168 tablets complied with BP (2014) specifications for the disintegration time for uncoated tablets. Tablets formulated with
169 Granule I had a faster and shorter disintegration time as compared to tablets formulated with granule II. The disintegration
170 time for tablets formulated with granule I was significantly different (P < 0.0001) from tablets formulated with granule II.
171 Several mechanisms of disintegration exist, tragacanth gum exhibit disintegration properties due to their swelling nature
172 [8] and that could be attributed to that of *Khaya senegalensis* gum although no data is present yet.

173 This study affirmatively compares with reports from [12 – 18] where other mucilages/ natural gums from *Plantago ovate*,
174 *Ocimum gratissimum*, *Ocimum americanum*, *Salicornia fruticosa*, *Hibuscus rosasinensis*, *Lepidium sativum* (Cruciferae),
175 elicited similar disintegrating property as *Khaya senegalensis* gum.

176 CONCLUSION

177
178 *Khaya senegalensis* gum can be used as a disintegrant in the formulation of paracetamol tablets giving optimal
179 disintegration time. The properties of the formulated tablets showed that they were of good quality as conventional release
180 tablets.

185 REFERENCES

- 187 [1]. Carter, S. (2005). Solutions In Carter S. J. (Ed.) Cooper & Gunn's Tutorial Pharmacy, CBS Publishers & Distributors
188 Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi – India, 6(1): 43-46.
189
190 [2]. Anekant, J., Yashwant, G. and Sanjay, K. J. (2007). Perspectives of Biodegradable Natural Polysaccharides for Site-
191 Specific Drug Delivery to the Colon. J Pharm Pharmaceutical Science, 10 (1); 86-128.
192
193 [3]. Mohanachandran, P. S., Sindhumol, P. G. and Kiran, T. S. (2011). Superdisintegrants: an overview. Journal of
194 Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research; 6(1): 105-109.
195
196 [4]. Pahwa, R., Piplani, M., Sharma, P. C., Kaushik, D. and Nanda, S. Orally disintegrating tablets – friendly to pediatrics
197 and geriatrics. Archives of Applied Science Research 2010; 2(2): 35-48.
198
199 [5]. Mahmud, H. A. (2010). Evaluation of the Suspending Property of *Khaya senegalensis* Gum in Co-Trimoxazole
200 Suspensions. Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology, 1(1): 12-15.
201
202 [6]. Femi-Oyewo, M. N. (2004). Evaluation of the Suspending Properties of *Albizia zygia* Gum on Sulphadimidine
203 Suspension. Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 3(1): 279-284.
204
205 [7] The United States Pharmacopoeia (2015c). USP 38, National Formulary 33. Volume 3, United States Pharmacopoeia
206 Convention 12601: Rockville. United Book Press Inc., Baltimore, pp. 5125 – 5390.
207
208 [8]. British Pharmacopoeia (B.P) (2014). Quality Control Tests, Volume II, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, pp.
209 1013.
210

- 211 [9]. Onyishi Ikechukwu, V., Chime Salome, A. and Ugwu, J. C. (2013). Evaluation of binder and disintegrant properties of
212 starch derived from *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* in metronidazole tablets. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 12(20), 3064-
213 3070.
- 214
- 215 [10]. Momoh, M. A., Brown, S. A., Onunkwo, G. C., Chime, S. A., Adedokun, M. and Akpabio, E. I. (2012). Effect of
216 hydrophilic and hydrophobic binders on the physico-chemical properties of sodium salicylate tablet formulation. *J. Pharm.*
217 *Res.* 5(4): 2045-2048.
- 218
- 219 [11]. Aulton, M., Taylor, K. (2013). *Pharmaceutics, The design and manufacture of medicines*, Edinburgh, Churchill
220 Livingstone, 4(1): 23-35.
- 221
- 222 [12]. Shirsand, S.B., S. Suresh, M.S. Para, P.V. Swamy and D.N. Kumar, 2009. *Plantago ovate* Mucilage in the design of
223 fast disintegrating tablets. *International J. Pharmaceutical Sci.*, 71: 41-45.
- 224
- 225 [13]. Deveswaran, R., S. Furtado, S. Bharath, S. Abraham, B.V. Basavaraj and V. Madhavan, 2010. Evaluation of
226 disintegrant properties of *Plantago ovata* mucilage in comparison with other super disintegrants. *Arch Pharm Sci. and*
227 *Res.*, 2: 230-235.
- 228
- 229 [14]. Kumar, R., A. Shirwaikar, S. Prabu, R. Mahalaxmi, K. Rajendran and D. Kumar, 2007. Studies of disintegrant
230 properties of seed mucilage of *Ocimum gratissimum*. *Indian J. Pharmaceutical Sci.*, 69: 753-758.
- 231
- 232 [15]. Patel, D.M., D.G. Prajapati and N.M. Pate, 2007. Seed mucilage from *Ocimum americanum* Linn as disintegrant in
233 tablets: separation and evaluation. *Indian J. Pharmaceutical Sci.*, 69: 431-435.
- 234
- 235 [16]. Kumar, R., M.B. Patil, S.R. Patil and M.S. Paschapur, 2009. Isolation and evaluation of disintegrating properties of
236 *Salicornia fruticosa* (L.) mucilage. *International J. Pharm. Tech. Res.*, 1: 537-543.
- 237
- 238 [17]. Shah, V. and R. Patel, 2010. Studies on mucilage from *Hibiscus rosasinensis* Linn as oral disintegrant. *International*
239 *J. Appl. Pharmaceutics*, 2: 18-21.
- 240
- 241 [18]. Mehta, K.K., H.H. Patel, N.D. Patel, C.N. Vora and N.J. Patel, 2010. Comparative evaluation of natural and synthetic
242 super disintegrant for promoting nimesulide dissolution for fast dissolving technology. *Int. J. Pharmacy and Pharm. Sci.*, 2:
243 102-108.