Original Research Article

Studies on the Antibacterial Activity and Chemical Composition of Methanol Extract of Cochlospermum Tinctorium Root

4 5

1

2

6 ABSTRACT

7 The antibacterial activity of the methanol extract of Cochlospermum tinctorium root powder 8 were tested against 10 strains of antibiotic resistant food-borne pathogens Staphylococcus aureus 9 and *Listeria monocytogene* whereby the pathogens showed sensitivity at different concentration. 10 The antibacterial activity of the methanol extract of Cochlospermum tinctorium root powder 11 were tested against Ten (10) strains of antibiotic resistant food-borne pathogens Staphylococcus 12 aureus and Listeria monocytogene procured from Microbiology Research Laboratory Usman 13 Danfodiyo University Sokoto. Methanol was used for extraction. The results revealed the 14 percentage yield of the methanol extract 5.17%. The methanol extract of Cochlospermum 15 tinctorium was effective in inhibiting the isolates at high concentration of 10 mg/mL. The results 16 thin layer chromatography revealed four spots with R_f values 0.02, 0.37, 0.44 and 0.80 17 respectively. The GC-MS analysis of the active methanol extract of Cochlospermum tinctorium 18 root powder revealed the existence of major peaks 1-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2.6-dihexadecanoate 19 (R.T: 13.666), Diethyl phthalate (R.T: 10.440), Undecyl acetate (R.T: 10.007), 3-tetradecanone 20 (R.T: 9.793), 3-hexadecanone (R.T: 12.427). The result provided evidence that Cochlospermum 21 tinctorium root powder has immense potential to be used in the area of pharmacology as it 22 possess antimicrobial activity against the antibiotic resistant food-borne pathogens, thus could be 23 exploited as alternative antimicrobial drugs for the treatment of diseases caused by this 24 pathogens.

25 **Keywords:** Methanol, *Cochlospermum tinctorium*, Antibiotic-resistant, Pharmacology and 26 Pathogens.

27

28 Introduction

29 Cochlospermum tinctorium is a shrub that can grow up to 10 meters high. The slash is iodine30 like in colour. Leaves are alternate, palmately lobed with stipules. Inflorescence consists of
31 brightly colored yellow flowers that are regular and borne in racemes or panicles. Fruits are
32 elongated, 3-5 valve, capsules containing seeds that are embedded in cotton foam. The seeds are
33 bean-shaped with brown to black colour. It contains oily endosperm with broad cotyledon, it is a
34 savannah plant found on fallow farm lands [1]. The bark, roots and seeds are used in the
35 treatment of various ailments in different areas around the world. In Nigeria, a decoction of the
36 root is used for treating gonorrhoea. It is used in the treatment of diabetes by the Igede people of
37 Benue State [2]. The leaves are used in the treatment of malaria fever in some parts of Kogi
38 State. In Mali the plant is variously used against jaundice, abdominal pains, haemorrhoids,

39 intestinal worms, helminth, bilhazia and hepatitis. It was also reported to have been used against 40 gastrointestinal diseases like ulcer, stomach ache, flatulence and constipation [3].

41 Staphylococcus aureus is capable of reproducing in wide range of physical conditions of 42 temperature, pH and salt concerntration [4]. Staphylococcus aureus can be found in a variety of 43 foods because of its ability to reside broad array of spaces in close proximity of human beings 44 [5][6]. Moreover, S. aureus is a leading cause of foodborne illness worldwide causing 2.41 45 million illnesses per year in the United State alone [7]. The basic cause of all these reported 46 illness is by consuming food contaminated with S. aureus derived toxins. About 1000 patients 47 are hospitalized based on the severity of infection; 6 deaths may happen each year [7]. Severity 48 of the symptoms depends on the amount of toxin consumed [8]. Disease condition is caused 49 when the concerntration of toxin in the body is increased from 10⁵ CFU/ml. Disease symptoms 50 generally appear in 1-6 hours after eating the contaminated food.

51 *Listeria monocytogenes*, a member of the genus Listeria, naturally occurs in agricultural 52 environments such as soil, manure and water [9]. Scientific literature frequently discusses the 53 ability of this microorganism to survive in the food-processing, produce-packing environment 54 and equipment, diverse habitat like soil, silage, marine and freshwater, sewage, vegetation, 55 domestic and wild animal as well as humans [10][11][12]. Adzitey and Huda [13] pointed out 56 that studies on *L. Monocytogene* and its association with foods is important to create more 57 awareness in order to reduce its colonisation, transmission, cross contaminations and infections. 58 Even though the reasons for the increasing number of pathogens causing food and water diseases 59 in North America are found in Nigeria, occurence of food-borne *Listerial* infectionis not well 60 reported. The reasons for the increasing number of pathogens include improved ability to isolate 61 and identify organisms, import of a variety of products from abroad, large animal feeding 62 stations and an increase in the number of immune compromised persons [14]. Hoelzer *et al* [15] 63 have reported that one major determinant of the listeriosis risk is the ability of a food to support 64 the growth of *L. monocytogenes* during storage but data regarding the ability to support growth 65 of the organisms are scarce or non-existent for many produce commodities.

66 Nigeria is bestowed with rich and diverse resources of plant wealth including an enormously 67 large number of medicinal plants which are used extensively as anti-tumor, immune-modulators, 68 anti-diabetics, purgatives, anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidants and antidotes. Most of these medicinal 69 plants are undocumented in regards to their phytochemical characteristics, pharmacognostic 70 characters, extractive value and also antibacterial activities. Since plants produce a diverse range

of bioactive molecules making them a rich source of different types of medicines, researches in bioactive substances might result to the discovery of new compounds that could be used to formulate new and more potent antibacterial drugs to overcome the problem of resistance to the currently available antibiotics. Also the importance of proper identification of these medicinal plants and their individual peculiar traits cannot be overstressed, it is vital that proper taxonomy is recorded in order not to confuse the plant in question with closely related species. The aim of this research is to study the antibacterial activity and chemical composition of methanol extract of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder and to determine the chemical composition of the most active methanol extract of *Cochclospermum tinctorium* root powder using GC-MS (Gas 80 chromatography- Mass spectrometry).

81 Material and Method

82 Sample Collection

- 83 The roots of Cochlospermum tinctorium were collected from the rock side in Dambu Gomo,
- 84 Rafin Zuru District, Zuru Local Government Area of Kebbi State. The samples were packaged in
- 85 sterile polythene bags and it was transported to the Department of Microbiology Laboratory of
- 86 Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto.

87 Sample Processing and Preparation

88 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* roots were washed, air-dried and milled to powder using mortal and 89 pestle and sieved to obtained fine powder and stored at room temperature with plastic packaging 90 until use.

91 Methanol Extraction of Plant

92 The method of extraction employed in this research was maceration extraction. The powdered 93 plant material (300g) of the root of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* was extracted with 2000 mL of 94 methanol by subjecting it to maceration at room temperature (35°C) for 24 hours and later 95 filtered with Whatmans filter paper 12. The extract were transferred into an evaporating dish and 96 allowed to dry at 35°C. The percentage (%) yield of methanol extract of *Cochlospermum* 97 *tinctorium* was calculated as follow:

98 Percentage yield = Mass of Extract × 100
99 Mass of Sample
100

102 Test Bacteria

103 The test bacteria used in this research were obtained from an ongoing research. The organisms 104 collected from Ten (10) food-borne isolates strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria* 105 *monocytogene* isolated from onion, cabbage, lettuce and tomato

106 Antimicrobial Screening of Cochlospermum tinctorium against Test Bacteria

107 Preparation of Extract Concerntrations

108 In different test tubes One (1 gram) of the extract was weighed and were dissolved in 5 mL of 109 DiMethyl Sulphoxide (DMSO) to obtained concentration of 200 mg/mL. This was the initial 110 concerntration of the extract used to check the antimicrobial activities of the plant. Mueller 111 Hinton agar was used as the growth medium for antibacterial screening [16].

112 Preparation of Inocula

113 The stock cultures were sub-culture on nutrient agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After 114 incubation, a sterile wire loop was used to pick up the colonies of test bacterium and suspended 115 in a test tube containing 10 mL of sterile normal saline. The turbidity of the innocula suspension 116 was adjusted and standadized to that of 0.5 McFarland standard.

117 Antibacterial Sensitivity

118 The antibacterial activity of methanol extracts of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* was determined 119 using agar well diffusion method. Sterilized cotton swabs were dipped in the bacterial culture in 120 nutrient broth and then swabbed on the Mueller Hinton plates. Wells of equal size (10.00 mm) 121 were made with the aid of sterile cork borer and the plant extracts were added aseptically into 122 the well. Then the plates were incubated at 37°C and observed for zones of growth inhibition 123 after 24 hours.

124 Determination of minimum inhibitory concerntration (MIC) of the extracts.

125 The minimum inhibitory concerntration of the extracts was determined using the broth dilution 126 method in nutrient broth. Normal saline was used to make a turbid suspension of the microbes; 127 the dilution of microorganisms was done continuously in normal saline until the turbidity 128 matched that of the McFarland's standard by visual comparison. Five hundred micro-litres 129 (500μL) of the test organism were aseptically inoculated in each of the four tubes containing the 130 extract in order of increasing dilution (500, 250, 125 and 62.5 mg/mL). Thereafter, the test tubes 131 were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After incubation, the test tube with the lowest

132 concerntration of extracts without visible turbidity was taken to be the minimum inhibition 133 concerntration (MIC) [16]'

134 Determination of Minimum Bactericidal Concerntration (MBC) of the Extracts.

135 Sample were taken from the broth with no visible growth in the MIC assay and subculture on 136 freshly prepared nutrient agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The MBC was taken as the 137 concentration of the extracts that did not show any visible growth on a new set of agar plates 138 [17].

139 Thin-Layer Chromatography Analysis of Cochlospermum tinctorium Methanolic Extracts

140 The TLC plate used for the separation was made with silica gel on aluminium (TLC silica gel 141 60_{254} 20.0cm × 20.0cm). Thin layer chromatography was carried out using TLC pre-coated plate 142 (TLC silica gel 60 F_{254}) by conventional one dimensional ascending technique. Spotting was 143 done using capillary tube and developed chromatography tank at room temperature. TLC 144 separations were conducted using 100% methanol as the solvent system. The positions of the 145 different compounds were observed on TLC plates. They were placed under UV light which 146 showed the presence of different spots on the chromatogram. The movement of the active 147 compound was expressed by its retention factor (R_f), values were calculated for different 148 samples.

149	$R_f = \underline{\text{Distance traveled by the solute}}$
150	Distance moved by solvent front

.-. C 1

151

152 Column chromatography (CC) analysis of Cochlospermum tinctorium methanolic extracts

153 A glass tube with a circle large inlet and a small outlet with a plug or tap known as column was 154 cleaned and dried. Cotton pad was placed at the bottom of the column. The column was packed 155 with 107 gram of column grade silica (60 grade, Mesh size was 70-230um). The silica was 156 added to the column by;

157 **Elution:** The elution was done using methanol, and ethyl acetate in different ratio as given 158 below:

Solvent system	Ratio
Methanol and Ethyl acetate	80:20
Methanol and Ethyl acetate	60:40
Methanol and Ethyl acetate	0:100
Methanol and Ethyl acetate	100:0

All the fractions were collected separately and subjected to antimicrobial screening.

160

161 Antibacterial Sensitivity of Active Fractions of Cochlospermum tinctorium root powder

162 The antibacterial activity of active fractions of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder was 163 determined by well diffusion method. Sterilized cotton swabs were dipped in the bacterial culture 164 in nutrient broth and then swabbed on the Mueller Hinton plates. Wells of 10.00 mm size were 165 cut on Mueller Hinton agar and the extracts were added into it. Then the plates were incubated at 166 37°C and observed for zones of growth inhibition after 24 hours.

167 Gas Chromatography Mass Spectoscopy (GC-MS) analysis of the active fractions

168 GC-MS analysis was performed using GC-MS-QP2010 Plus (Shimadzu, Japan) and Gas 169 chromatograph interfaced to a mass spectrometer (GC-MS) instrument employing the following; 170 Column Elite-1 fused silica capillary column (30m x 0.25mm 1D x μl df, composed of 100% 171 Trisil). For GC-MS detection, an electron ionization system with ionization energy of 70eV was 172 used. Helium gas (99.999%) was used as the carrier gas at constant flow rate 1ml/min and an 173 injection volume of 2 μL was employed (Split ratio of 20:0) injector temperature 250°C; ion-174 source temperature 200°C. the oven temperature was programmed from 60.0 (for 0.00 minute) 175 with an increase of 160°C (Isothermal for 2.00 minutes) ending with a 2.00 minutes isothermal 176 at 280°C. Mass spectra were taken at 70eV; a scan interval of 0.5s and fragments from 45 to 177 700Da. Total GC running time was 19 minutes. The relative percentage amount of each 178 component was calculated, by comparing its average peak area to the total areas, Software 179 adopted to handle mass spectra and chromatogram was a turbomass. The detection employed the 180 NIST Ver.2.0 year 2009 library [18].

181 Identification of components

182 Interpretation on mass spectrum of GC-MS was done using the database of National Institute of 183 Standard and Technology (NIST) having more than 62,000 patterns. The mass spectrum of the 184 unknown component was compared with the spectrum of the known components stored in the 185 NIST library. The name, molecular weight and structure of the components of the test materials 186 were ascertained.

187

188

189

190

192 RESULTS

193 The percentage yield of the crude extracts (g) obtained from the methanol extracts are presented 194 on Table 1. The result indicates that methanol had the percentage yield of 5.17%.

195 **Table 1:** Percentage Yield of Crude Methanol Extract of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* Root 196 Powder

Solvent	Mass of sample (g)	Yield of the extract (g)	Percentage Yield (%w/w)
Methanol	300	15.5	5.17

197

198 The result of the thin layer chromatography (TLC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* crude methanol 199 extract are presented on Table 2. The solvent system used was 100% methanol and four spots 200 were visible and their Rf values are 0.02, 0.37, 0.44 and 0.80.

201 **Table 2:** Thin layer chromatography (TLC) of the Crude Methanolic Extract of *Cochlospermum* 202 *tinctorium* Root Powder

Solvent system	Spots	Solvent	R _f value
	movement (cm)	front (cm)	
	0.2	9.8	0.02
Methanol extract	3.6	9.8	0.37
	4.3	9.8	0.44
	7.8	9.8	0.80

203

204 The result of the column chromatography (CC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* crude methanol 205 extract are shown on Table 3. The result indicates that ratio (80:20) had the highest number of 206 active fractions of 3, followed by ratio (60:40) having 2, and lastly ratio (100:0) having 1 207 fraction only.

208 **Table 3**: Column Chromatography (CC) of the Crude Methanolic Extract of *Cochlospermum* 209 *tinctorium* Root Powder

olvent	Solvent ratio	Fractions
	80:20	3
Iethanol	60:40	2
	0:100	0
	100:0	1

210

211 The antibacterial activity of the crude methanol extracts of the roots of *Cochlospermum tintorium* 212 against antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria monocytogene* (Table 4). The 213 methanol extract reveals maximum zone of inhibition of 22.00 mm against antibiotic resistant

214 Staphylococcus aureus isolated from tomato and 21.00 mm against L. monocytogene R1 at 215 concerntration of 10mg/ml, while the lowest zones of inhibition of 12.00 mm was recorded 216 against S. aureus isolated from spring onion and L. monocytogene.

217 **Table 4**: Antibacterial activity of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* crude methanol extract against the 218 antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria monocytogene*

	Concentrations/Zone of inhibition in (mm)					
Test Organisms	10 mg/mL	5 mg/mL	2.5 mg/mL	Negative Control	Positive Control	
S. aureus SP1	19.0 ± 0.6	16.0 ± 0.2	12.0 ± 0.9	0.00	22.0±0.3	
S. aureus SP2	20.0 ± 0.9	18.0 ± 0.4	13.0 ± 0.8	0.00	20.0±0.8	
S. aureus SP2	19.0 ± 0.5	15.0 ± 0.6	12.0 ± 0.6	0.00	20.0±0.4	
S. aureus L	20.0 ± 0.4	16.0 ± 0.1	14.0 ± 0.2	0.00	21.0±0.6	
S. aureus T	22.0 ± 0.6	20.0 ± 0.6	17.0 ± 0.4	0.00	24.0±0.6	
L. monocytogene R1	21.0 ± 0.5	18.0 ± 0.5	13.0 ± 0.7	0.00	25.0±0.3	
L. monocytogene R2	20.0 ± 0.6	18.0 ± 0.3	14.0 ± 0.3	0.00	26.0±0.5	
L. monocytogene R3	21.0 ± 0.1	19.0 ± 0.4	14.0±0.5	0.00	26.0 ± 0.4	
L. monocytogene R4	19.0 ± 0.4	16.0 ± 0.6	12.0±0.6	0.00	24.0 ± 0.2	
L. monocytogene R5	20.0 ± 0.3	15.0 ± 0.6	14.0 ± 0.3	0.00	28.0 ± 0.6	

Key: SP = Spring onion, R = Cabbage, L = Lettuce and T = Tomato. The result is presented

220 as mean±SD

The result of the minimum inhibitory concerntration (MIC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* crude methanol extract against antibiotic resistant *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogene* are presented on Table 5. It was observed that the *S. aureus* SP1, SP2, and L showed MIC at 2.5mg/mL while *S. aureus* T show MIC at 1.25 mg/mL, the *L. monocytogene* R1, R2, R3, R4 showed MIC at 2.5 mg/mL while R5 showed MIC at 0.625 mg/mL. The minimum inhibitory concerntration of the crude methanol extract was obtained between 2.5-0.625 mg/mL for both *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogene*.

228 **Table 5**: Minimum Inhibitory Concerntration (MIC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* Crude 229 Methanol Extract Against Antibiotic Resistant *S. aureus* and *L. Monocytogene*

	Concentrations of extracts					
Test Organisms	10mg/m	5mg/ml	2.5mg/ml	1.25mg/ml	0.625mg/ml	
S. aureus SP1	-	-	©	+	+	
S. aureus SP2	-	-	©	+	+	
S. aureus SP2	-	-	C	+	+	
S. aureus L	-	-	C	+	+	
S. aureus T	-	-	-	©	+	
L. monocytogene R1	-	-	C	+	+	
L. monocytogene R2	-	-	C	+	+	
L. monocytogene R3	-	-	C	+	+	
L. monocytogene R4	-	-	©	+	+	
L. monocytogene R5	-	-	-	-	©	

231 The result of the minimum bactericidal concerntration (MBC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* 232 crude methanol extract against antibiotic resistant *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogene* are presented 233 in Table 6. From the results obtained isolates *S. aureus* SP1, SP2 and L showed MBC at 5 234 mg/mL while *S. aureus* T showed MBC at 2.5 mg/mL, the *L. monocytogene* R1, R2, R3, R4 235 showed MIC at 5 mg/mL while R5 showed MBC at 2.5 mg/mL.

236 **Table 6**: Minimum bactericidal concerntration (MBC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* Crude 237 Methanol Extract Against Antibiotic Resistant *S. aureus* and *L. Monocytogene*

	Concentrations				
Test Organisms	10mg/m	5mg/ml	2.5mg/ml	1.25mg/ml	0.625mg/ml
S. aureus SP1	-	-	©	+	+
S. aureus SP2	-	-	C	+	+
S. aureus SP2	-	-	©	+	+
S. aureus L	-	-	C	+	+
S. aureus T	-	-		©	+
L. monocytogene R1	-	-	©	+	+
L. monocytogene R2	-	- (©	+	+
L. monocytogene R3	-		C	+	+
L. monocytogene R4	-	- X	C	+	+
L. monocytogene R5	-		-	-	©

238 **Key:** SP = Spring onion, R = Cabbage, L = Lettuce and T = Tomato, © - MIC

240 tinctorium root powder against antibiotic resistant *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogene* are presented 241 in Table 7. The active methanol extract of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder reveals 242 maximum zone of inhibition 26.00 mm against *S. aureus* L, 20.00 mm against *L. monocytogene* 243 R4 and minimum inhibition of 15.00 mm against *S. aureus* L, 12.00 mm against *L. 244 monocytogene* R2.

Table 7: Antibacterial activity of active methanol fractions of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder against antibiotic resistant *S. aureus* and *L. Monocytogene*

Fraction	Test organism		Zone of Inhibition	(mm)
F - A	S. aureus L	22.0 ± 0.6	26.0 ± 0.5	23.0 ± 0.6
F - B	S. aureus L	15.0 ± 0.3	16.0 ± 0.6	16.0 ± 0.2
F - D	L. monocytogene R5	14.0 ± 0.8	14.0 ± 0.9	13.0 ± 0.3
F - E	L. monocytogene R4	20.0 ± 0.6	19.0 ± 0.4	21.0 ± 0.8
F - F	L. monocytogene R2	13.0±0.5	13.0±0.1	12.0±0.4

Key: L = Lettuce, R = Cabbage. The result is presented as mean \pm SD

The result of the minimum inhibitory concerntration (MIC) of the active methanol fractions of 250 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder against antibiotic resistant *S. aureus* and *L. aureus* are presented on Table 8. From the results obtained isolate *S. aureus L* showed 252 MIC at 4.0 mL, *L. monocytogene* R5 showed MIC at 5.0 mL, *L. monocytogene* R2 showed MIC at 3.0 mL and *S. aureus* R showed MIC at 3.0 mL.

Table 8: The minimum inhibitory concerntration (MIC) of the active methanol fractions of 255 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder

Fraction	Test organism	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
F - A	S. aureus L	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	L. monocytogene R5	-	-	-	-	<i>/</i> -	+	+
F - E	L. monocytogene R2	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	S. aureus R	-	-	-	+	+	+	+

Key: L= Lettuce, R = Cabbage

257 The result of the volatile organic compound profile of the active methanol fraction (A) of 258 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder tested against antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* 259 and *Listeria monocytogene* are presented on Table 9a. The chromatogram shows 23 peaks 260 (compounds) in fraction A of which the highest peak intensity was observed at peak 3 (3-261 Tetradecanone- 20.99%) and the lowest at peak 15 (5-Hexyn-1-ol- 0.22%).

Table 9a: Volatile organic compound profile of the active methanol fraction A of 288 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder tested against antibiotic resistant *S. aureus* and *L.* 289 *monocytogene*

RT ⁻¹	Compound	Molecular	Peak Area
		formular	Normalised
			(%)
4.673	Tris (trimethylsilyl) amine	C ₉ H ₂₇ NSi ₃	9.60
9.702	Undecane, 3-methylene-	$C_{12}H_{24}$	11.36
9.793	3-Tetradecanone	$C_{14}H_{28}O$	20.99
10.007	Undecyl acetate	$C_{13}H_{26}O_2$	7.82
10.926	1-Tridecene	$C_{13}H_{26}$	1.16
11.231	2-Heptanone, 4-methyl-	$C_8H_{16}O$	0.45
11.950	Saccharin	C ₇ H ₅ NO ₃ S	0.23
12.285	Heptanoic acid, 2-ethyl-, methyl ester	$C_{10}H_{20}O_2$	1.50
12.359	Tridecane, 3-methylene-	$C_{14}H_{28}$	1.80
12.427	3-Hexadecanone	$C_{16}H_{32}O$	2.05
12.584	1-Hexadecanol, acetate	$C_{18}H_{36}O_2$	20.82
12.947	Butanoic acid, 3-methyl-, 3,7-dimethyl-6-octenyl ester	$C_{15}H_{28}O_2$	0.99
13.022	3,3-Dimethyl-4-heptanol	$C_9H_{20}O$	0.68
13.436	(R)-(-)-(Z)-14-Methyl-8-hexadecen-1-ol	$C_{17}H_{34}O$	0.80
13.819	5-Hexyn-1-ol	$C_6H_{10}O$	0.22
14.311	Lauric acid, isopentyl ester	$C_{17}H_{34}O_2$	10.05
14.537	Heptanal n-Heptaldehyde	$C_7H_{14}O$	1.02
14.792	1-Hexadecanol, acetate	$C_{18}H_{36}O_2$	3.09
14.870	Stearic acid, ethyl ester	$C_{20}H_{40}O_2$	0.77
15.300	(R)-(-)-(Z)-14-Methyl-8-hexadecen-1-ol	$C_{17}H_{34}O$	0.76
16.010	Oleyl alcohol, trifluoroacetate	$C_{20}H_{35}F_3O_2$	0.60
16.318	Tridecane, 3-methylene-	$C_{12}H_{24}$	1.67
17.077	Oleyl alcohol, trifluoroacetat	$C_{20}H_{35}F_3O_2$	1.58

The result of the volatile organic compound profile of the active methanol fraction (E) of 292 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder tested against antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* 293 and *Listeria monocytogene* are presented on Table 9 b. The chromatogram shows 11 peaks 294 (compounds) in fraction E of which the highest peak intensity was observed at peak 11 (i-Propyl 295 9,12-octadecenadienoate - 69.12%) and the lowest at peak 3 (Silane, trimethyl(2-phenylethoxy)-296 0.26%). Other compounds identified in fraction E include; Cyclotrisiloxane,hexamethyl-, 4-297 Isothiazolecarboxamide, .Omega.-Phenylacetic acid, Benzeneethanol, 4-hydroxy-, Pyrazolo[5,1-298 c]-as-triazine-, 1,2-Butadiene,1,1,4-triphenyl-3-trimethylsilyl-4-trimethylsilyloxy-, Diethyl 299 Phthalate1, 1-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-dihexadecanoate, Heptanoic acid, 2-ethyl-.

Table 4.9b: Volatile organic compound profile of the active methanol fraction (E) of Cochlospermum tinctorium root powder tested against antibiotic resistant S. aureus and L. monocytogene

RT ⁻¹	Compound	Molecular Formular	Peak Area Normalised (%)
5.014	Cyclotrisiloxane, hexamethyl-	$C_6H_{18}O_3Si_3$	2.31
6.071	4-Isothiazolecarboxamide	$C_4H_4N_2OS$	0.59
6.490	Silane, trimethyl(2-phenylethoxy)-	$C_{11}H_{18}OSi$	0.26
6.670	.OmegaPhenylacetic acid	$C_8H_8O_2$	0.38
8.654	Benzeneethanol, 4-hydroxy-	$C_8H_{10}O_2$	0.64
10.042	Pyrazolo[5,1-c]-as-triazine-	$C_7H_6N_4O_2$	0.58
10.234	1,2-Butadiene,1,1,4-triphenyl-3-trimethylsilyl-4-trimethylsilyloxy-	$C_{28}H_{34}OSi_2$	0.36
10.440	Diethyl Phthalate 1	$C_{12}H_{14}O_4$	2.83
13.666	1-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-dihexadecanoate	$C_{38}H_{68}O_{8}$	22.02
13.934	Heptanoic acid, 2-ethyl-	$C_9H_{18}O_2$	0.92
15.064	i-Propyl 9,12-octadecenadienoate	$C_{21}H_{38}O_2$	69.12

305 Discussions

306 The results from this finding revealed that the methanol extracts of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* 307 root posses antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria monocytogene* 308 isolated from vegetable foods. This study also suggests that the *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root 309 could be useful in prevention of food borne diseases associated with onion, cabbage, lettuce and 310 tomato. The result of methanol extraction yield 5.17% extracts. This proves that the root of *C*. 311 *Tinctorium* possess high potential source for the phyto-compounds. This is similar with the 312 finding of Ibrahim *et al.* [19] reported that the methanol extraction of *Ceiba pentandra* yield 5% 313 of the extracts. The results of thin layer chromatography revealed that the component which 314 shows less R_f value in a less polar solvent has high polarity and a high R_f values in less polar 315 solvents shows that the compound is less polar [20].
316 The results of the antibacterial studies showed that the methanol extract of *C. tinctorium* was 317 active against the various test bacteria at different concentrations tested. However, both the 318 bacteria were found to be susceptible to the antimicrobial activity of the extract. The reason for 319 high antbacterial activity could be attributed to fact that *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogene* are 320 gram-positive bacteria whose outer peptidoglyan layer is not an effective permeability barrier.

321 Similarly, flavonoids are reported to have antibacterial activity [21], thus, they may be partly 322 responsible for the antimicrobial activity of the extract. The minimum inhibitory concerntration 323 of the crude methanol extract was obtained between 2.5 mg - 0.625 mg for both S. aureus and L. 324 monocytogene. This is similar with previous studies of Alivu et al. [22] obtained MIC 2.09 325 mg/ml against S. aureus in the phytochemical and antibacterial properties of leaf extract of 326 Stereospermum kunthianum (Bignoniaceae), and Kim et al. [23] obtained 2.0 mg/ml against L. 327 monocytogene in the antibacterial and antioxidant activity of Saposhnikovia divaricata, 328 Peucedanum japonicum and Glehnia littoralis. The MBC of the crude methanol extract showed 329 that the extract have bactericidal activity to L. monocytogene and S. aureus between 5.0 mg - 2.5 330 mg. Previous studies by Okemo et al. [24] suggested that at higher concerntration the organisms 331 would be killed at a faster rate. The active methanol extract of Cochlospermum tinctorium root 332 powder reveals maximum zone of inhibition 26.00 mm against S. Aureus L, 21.00 mm against L. 333 Monocytogene R4 and minimum zone of inhibition 15.00 mm against S. Aureus L, 12.00 mm 334 against L. Monocytogene R2. This study is in close agreement with a previous studies of Arora et 335 al. [25] that obtained 22.30 mm against L. Monocytogene in the antibacterial activity of seed, 336 pomace and leaf extract of *Hippophae rhamnoides L.* (sea buckthorn). 337 The GC-MS analysis of the active fractions showed the existence of various bioactive compounds with different chemical structures. The major compound in both fraction (A and E) 338 are: 1-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-dihexadecanoate, Diethyl phthalate, Undecyl acetate, 3-339 tetradecanone, 3-hexadecanone. Previous studies reported that 1-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-340 dihexadecanoate which is identified in the ageous extract of *Indigofera tinctoria* possess an 341 342 antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anti-nociceptive properties [26]. Diethyl phthalate was identified in the methanol extract of the flower of Quisqualis indica plant extract and it was 343 found effective against E.coli and least effective against S. pnemoniae, S. aureus [27][28]. Undecyl acetate was identified in the essential oil of C. planchonii and was effective against 345 diarrhoea and some other infections [29]. 3-tetradecanone, 3-hexadecanone were identified in 346 the essential oil of whole tubercle of C. tinctorium and was found to posess anti plasmodial 347 properties [30]. 348

350 Conclusion

349

351 From the above research it can be concluded that *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder has 352 immense potential to be used in the area of pharmacology as it possess antimicrobial activity 353 against the antibiotic resistant food-borne pathogens, thus could be exploited as alternative

354 antimicrobial drugs for the treatment of diseases caused by those pathogens. Due to the presence 355 of various compounds that are essential for good health, it can also be used to improve the health 356 status of the mankind. The volatile organic compound profiling of the major compounds showed 357 that they possess antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive properties.

358359 References

- 1. Mann, Abdullahi, Muhammad, G. and Abdulkadir, N.U. Medicinal and Economic Plants of Nupeland. Jube-Evans Books and Publication State, 1st edition. 2003; page 34-98.
- 2. Igoli, J.O., I.C., Igwe and N.P., Igoli. Traditional Medicinal Practices among the Igede people of Nigeria, *Journal of Herbs, Spices and Medicinal Plants*, 2003; 10(4):1-10
- 3. Diallo, B., Vanhaelen, M., Kiso, Y., Hikino, H. Antihepatotoxic actions of Cochlospermum tinctorium Rhizomes. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 1987; 20:239-243
- Chaibenjawong, P., Foster, S.J. Desiccationtolerance in staphylococcus aureus. Arch.
 Microbiol., 2011; 193:125-135
- Le, L.Y., F. Baron and M. Gautier. *Staphylococcus aureus* and food poisoning. *Genetics and Molecule Research*, 2003; 2:63-76.
- Tango, C.N., I. Khan, Y.S. Park and D.H. Oh. Growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* in cookedready-to-eat ground fish as affected by inoculumsize and potassium sorbate as food preservative. *Food Science Technology*, 2016; 71:400-408.
- Scallan, E., R.M. Hoekstra, F.J. Angulo, R.V. Tauxe, M.-A.Widdowson, S.L. Roy, J.L.
 Jones and P.M. Griffin. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States-major pathogens.
 Emerging Infectious Disease, 2011; 17:231-253.
- 8. Safety, F. *Staphylococcus aureus* a problem when food is left out too long. Available at: http://ohioline.Osu.Edu (assessed on 05 July, 2015).
- Jeyaletchumi, P., Tunung, R., Selina, P.M., Chai, L.C., Radu, S., Farinazleen, M.G.,
 Cheah, Y.K., Mitsuaki, N., Yoshitsugu, N. and Kumar, M.P. Assessment of *Listeria monocytogenes* in salad vegetables through kitchen simulation study. *Journal of Tropical* Agriculture and Food Science, 2012; 40:55-62
- 10. Azizoglu, R.A., Gorski, L., Kathariou, S. Listeria and produce: A troublesome liaison!

 Available online: http://www.newfoodmagazine.com/advent-calendar/listeria-and-produce/ (assessed on 10 February 2017).
- 11. Ivanek, R., Y.T. Gröhn and. Wiedmann, M. *Listeriamonocytogenes* in multiple habitats and hostpopulations: Review of available data formathematical modeling. *Food-borne Pathology of Disease*, 2006; 3:319-336.
- 12. Sauders, B.D. and. Wiedmann, M. Ecology of *Listeria spp* and *L. monocytogenes* in the natural environment. *Food Science and Technology*, 2007; 161:21.
- 13. Adzitey,F. and. Huda, N. *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods: incidences and possible control measures. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 2010; 4: 2848- 2855.
- 14. Wadhwa, S. G., Khaled,G. H. and Edberg, S. C. Comparative microbial character of consumed food and drinking water. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 2002; 28: 249-279.
- 15. Hoelzer, K., Pouillot, R., Dennis, S. *Listeria monocytogenes* growth dynamics on produce: A review of the available data for predictive modeling. *Food borne Pathogens* and *Disease*, 2012; 9:661-673.
- 16. Williams, L., Wilkins, S. Textbook of Microbiology, 2nd Edition, New Delhi, India: Kluwer Health Publishers, 2007; pp 30-31.
- 17. Akinjogunla *et al.* Antimicrobial potential of *Nymphae lotus* (Nymphaeaceae) against wound pathogens. *International Journal of Ethnopharcognosy*, 2009; 3(3):138-141

- 18. Umar, Z.U. and Mahaneem M. Analysis of Phytochemical compound in water and methanol extracts of Malaysian Propilis. *International Journal of Pharma. and Bioscience*, 6(2): 374-380.
- 19. Ibrahim, R., Abubakar, E.M., Modibbo S.M. and Lamaran, B.G. Percentage yield and acute toxicity of plant extracts of *Ceiba pentandra* grown in Bauchi State, North Estern Nigeria. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2017; 6(5):1777-1779
- 20. Das Talukdar, M. Dutta Choudhury, M. Chakraborty, B.K. Dutta. Phytochemical
 screening and TLC profiling of plant extracts of *Cyathea gigantea* (Wall. Ex. Hook.)
 Haltt and *Cyathea brunoruana* Wall. Ex Hook (Cl and Bak). Assam University Journal
 of Science and Technology, 2010; 5(1):70-74.
- 21. Kinjumgiev, A., Tsvetkova, L., Sarkedjieve, Y., Bankova, V., Christo, R. and Propov, S. Antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral activity of propilis for different geographical region. *Journal of Ethnopharmacognosy*, 1999; 64: 235-240.
- 414 22. Aliyu, M.S., Hauwa, U.A., Tijjani, A.B., Aliyu, A.B. and Ya'u B. Phytochemical and 415 Antibacterial Activity of *Stereospermum kunthianum* (Bignoniaceae). *Nigerian Journal* 416 of *Basic and Applied Science*, 2017; 17(2):235-239
- 23. Kim, M., Seo, S.K and. Yun, W. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of *Saposhnikovia* divaricata, Peucedanum japonicum and Glehnia littoralis. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Science, 2018; 80(3):560-563
- 24. Arora, R., Suni, M., Ashish, Y., Ravi, B. and Tsering, S. Antimicrobial activity of sed, pomace and leaf extracts of sea buckthorn (*Hippophae rhamnoides* L.) against foodborne and food spoilage pathogens. *Africa Journal of Biotechnology*, 2012; 11(45):10424-10430
- 25. Okemo, P.O., W.E. Mwatha, S.C. Chhabra and W. Fabry. The kill kinetics of
 Azadirachta indica (Meliaceae) extracts in Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli,
 Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Candida albicans. African Journal of Science and
 Technology, 2001; 2:113-118
- 26. Ogunlesi M, Okiei W, Osibote EA. Analysis of the essential oil from the leaves of Sesamum radiatum, a potential medication for male infertility factor, by Gas chromatography – mass spectrometry. African Journal of Biotechnology, 2010; 9:1060-1067.
- 27. Kiruthika KA, Jaisheeba AA, Sornaraj R. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of some selected Angiosperm flower extract. *International Journal of Chem Tech Research*, 2011; 3(4):1945-51.
- 28. Kumar M, Gitika, Sharma A. In vitro antibacterial activity of flower extracts of Quisqualis indica Linn. against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria International Journal of Advances in Pharmacy, Biology and Chemistry. 2014, 3(3):781-5.
- 29. Lassina, Ouattara, Jean Koudou , Louis C.E. Obame , Damintoti S. Karou , Alfred Traore
 and Jean Marie Bessiere. Chemical Composition and Antibacterial Activity
 ofCochlospermumplanchoni Hook.f. exPlanch Essential Oil from Burkina Faso.Pakistan
 Journal of Biological Sciences, 2007; 10:4177-4179
- 30. Benoit-Vical F, Valentin A, Mallie M, Bessiere J-M: Antiplasmodial activity of Cochlospermum planchonii and Cochlospermum tinctoriumTubercles Essential Oils. Journal of Essential Oil Research 2001, 13:65-67.