1	Original Research Article
2 3	Studies on the Antibacterial Activity and Chemical Composition of Methanol Extract of Cochlospermum Tinctorium Root
4 5	
6	ABSTRACT

7 The antibacterial activity of the methanol extract of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder 8 were tested against 10 strains of antibiotic resistant food-borne pathogens Staphylococcus aureus 9 and *Listeria monocytogene* whereby the pathogens showed sensitivity at different concentration. 10 The antibacterial activity of the methanol extract of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder 11 were tested against Ten (10) strains of antibiotic resistant food-borne pathogens Staphylococcus 12 aureus and Listeria monocytogene procured from Microbiology Research Laboratory Usman 13 Danfodiyo University Sokoto. Methanol was used for extraction. The results revealed the 14 percentage yield of the methanol extract 5.17%. The methanol extract of Cochlospermum 15 *tinctorium* was effective in inhibiting the isolates at high concentration of 10 mg/mL. The results 16 thin layer chromatography revealed four spots with Rf values 0.02, 0.37, 0.44 and 0.80 17 respectively. The GC-MS analysis of the active methanol extract of Cochlospermum tinctorium 18 root powder revealed the existence of major peaks 1-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2.6-dihexadecanoate 19 (R.T: 13.666), Diethyl phthalate (R.T: 10.440), Undecyl acetate (R.T: 10.007), 3-tetradecanone 20 (R.T: 9.793), 3-hexadecanone (R.T: 12.427). The result provided evidence that Cochlospermum 21 tinctorium root powder has immense potential to be used in the area of pharmacology as it 22 possess antimicrobial activity against the antibiotic resistant food-borne pathogens, thus could be 23 exploited as alternative antimicrobial drugs for the treatment of diseases caused by this 24 pathogens.

25 Keywords: Methanol, Cochlospermum tinctorium, Antibiotic-resistant, Pharmacology and 26 Pathogens.

27

### 28 Introduction

29 Cochlospermum tinctorium is a shrub that can grow up to 10 meters high. The slash is iodine-30 like in colour. Leaves are alternate, palmately lobed with stipules. Inflorescence consists of 31 brightly colored yellow flowers that are regular and borne in racemes or panicles. Fruits are 32 elongated, 3-5 valve, capsules containing seeds that are embedded in cotton foam. The seeds are 33 bean-shaped with brown to black colour. It contains oily endosperm with broad cotyledon, it is a 34 savannah plant found on fallow farm lands [1]. The bark, roots and seeds are used in the 35 treatment of various ailments in different areas around the world. In Nigeria, a decoction of the 36 root is used for treating gonorrhoea. It is used in the treatment of diabetes by the Igede people of 37 Benue State [2]. The leaves are used in the treatment of malaria fever in some parts of Kogi 38 State. In Mali the plant is variously used against jaundice, abdominal pains, haemorrhoids, 39 intestinal worms, helminth, bilhazia and hepatitis. It was also reported to have been used against 40 gastrointestinal diseases like ulcer, stomach ache, flatulence and constipation [3].

41 *Staphylococcus aureus* is capable of reproducing in wide range of physical conditions of 42 temperature, pH and salt concerntration [4]. *Staphylococcus aureus* can be found in a variety of 43 foods because of its ability to reside broad array of spaces in close proximity of human beings 44 [5][6]. Moreover, *Staphyloccoccus aureus* is a leading cause of foodborne illness worldwide 45 causing 2.41 million illnesses per year in the United State alone [7]. The basic cause of all these 46 reported illness is by consuming food contaminated with *Staphyloccoccus aureus* derived toxins. 47 About 1000 patients are hospitalized based on the severity of infection; 6 deaths may happen 48 each year [7]. Severity of the symptoms depends on the amount of toxin consumed [8]. Disease 49 condition is caused when the concerntration of toxin in the body is increased from 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml. 50 Disease symptoms generally appear in 1-6 hours after eating the contaminated food.

51 *Listeria monocytogenes*, a member of the genus Listeria, naturally occurs in agricultural 52 environments such as soil, manure and water [9]. Scientific literature frequently discusses the 53 ability of this microorganism to survive in the food-processing, produce-packing environment 54 and equipment, diverse habitat like soil, silage, marine and freshwater, sewage, vegetation, 55 domestic and wild animal as well as humans [10][11][12]. Adzitey and Huda [13] pointed out 56 that studies on *L. Monocytogene* and its association with foods is important to create more 57 awareness in order to reduce its colonisation, transmission, cross contaminations and infections. 58 Even though the reasons for the increasing number of pathogens causing food and water diseases 59 in North America are found in Nigeria, occurence of food-borne *Listerial* infectionis not well 60 reported. The reasons for the increasing number of pathogens include improved ability to isolate 61 and identify organisms, import of a variety of products from abroad, large animal feeding 62 stations and an increase in the number of immune compromised persons [14]. Hoelzer *et al* [15] 63 have reported that one major determinant of the listeriosis risk is the ability of a food to support 64 the growth of *L. monocytogenes* during storage but data regarding the ability to support growth 65 of the organisms are scarce or non-existent for many produce commodities.

66 Nigeria is bestowed with rich and diverse resources of plant wealth including an enormously 67 large number of medicinal plants which are used extensively as anti-tumor, immune-modulators, 68 anti-diabetics, purgatives, anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidants and antidotes. Most of these medicinal 69 plants are undocumented in regards to their phytochemical characteristics, pharmacognostic 70 characters, extractive value and also antibacterial activities. Since plants producea diverse range 71 of bioactive molecules making them a rich source of different types of medicines, researches in 72 bioactive substances might result to the discovery of new compounds that could be used to 73 formulate new and more potent antibacterial drugs to overcome the problem of resistance to the 74 currently available antibiotics. Also the importance of proper identification of these medicinal 75 plants and their individual peculiar traits cannot be overstressed, it is vital that proper taxonomy 76 is recorded in order not to confuse the plant in question with closely related species. The aim of 77 this research is to study the antibacterial activity and chemical composition of methanol extract 78 of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder and to determine the chemical composition of the 79 most active methanol extract of *Cochclospermum tinctorium* root powder using GC-MS (Gas 80 chromatography- Mass spectrometry).

## 81 Material and Method

#### 82 Sample Collection

83 The roots of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* were collected from the rock side in Dambu Gomo, 84 Rafin Zuru District, Zuru Local Government Area of Kebbi State. The samples were packaged in 85 sterile polythene bags and it was transported to the Department of Microbiology Laboratory of 86 Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto.

## 87 Sample Processing and Preparation

88 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* roots were washed, air-dried and milled to powder using mortal and 89 pestle and sieved to obtained fine powder and stored at room temperature with plastic packaging 90 until use.

# 91 Methanol Extraction of Plant

92 The method of extraction employed in this research was maceration extraction. The powdered 93 plant material (300 g) of the root of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* was extracted with 2000 mL of 94 methanol by subjecting it to maceration at room temperature ( $35^{\circ}$ C) for 24 hours and later 95 filtered with Whatmans filter paper 12. The extract were transferred into an evaporating dish and 96 allowed to dry at  $35^{\circ}$ C. The percentage (%) yield of methanol extract of *Cochlospermum* 97 *tinctorium* was calculated as follow:

- 98 Percentage yield =  $\underline{\text{Mass of Extract}} \times 100$ 99 Mass of Sample
- 100

101

## 102 Test Bacteria

103 The test bacteria used in this research were obtained from an ongoing research. The organisms 104 collected from Ten (10) food-borne isolates strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria* 105 *monocytogene* isolated from onion, cabbage, lettuce and tomato

#### 106 Antimicrobial Screening of Cochlospermum tinctorium against Test Bacteria

## **107 Preparation of Extract Concerntrations**

108 In different test tubes One (1 gram) of the extract was weighed and were dissolved in 5 mL of 109 DiMethyl Sulphoxide (DMSO) to obtained concentration of 200 mg/mL. This was the initial 110 concerntration of the extract used to check the antimicrobial activities of the plant. Mueller 111 Hinton agar was used as the growth medium for antibacterial screening [16].

#### **112 Preparation of Inocula**

113 The stock cultures were sub-culture on nutrient agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After 114 incubation, a sterile wire loop was used to pick up the colonies of test bacterium and suspended 115 in a test tube containing 10 mL of sterile normal saline. The turbidity of the innocula suspension 116 was adjusted and standadized to that of 0.5 McFarland standard.

#### 117 Antibacterial Sensitivity

118 The antibacterial activity of methanol extracts of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* was determined 119 using agar well diffusion method. Sterilized cotton swabs were dipped in the bacterial culture in 120 nutrient broth and then swabbed on the Mueller Hinton plates. Wells of equal size (10.00 mm) 121 were made with the aid of sterile cork borer and the plant extracts were added aseptically into 122 the well. Then the plates were incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C and observed for zones of growth inhibition 123 after 24 hours.

# 124 Determination of minimum inhibitory concerntration (MIC) of the extracts.

125 The minimum inhibitory concerntration of the extracts was determined using the broth dilution 126 method in nutrient broth. Normal saline was used to make a turbid suspension of the microbes; 127 the dilution of microorganisms was done continuously in normal saline until the turbidity 128 matched that of the McFarland's standard by visual comparison. Five hundred micro-litres 129 (500 $\mu$ L) of the test organism were aseptically inoculated in each of the four tubes containing the 130 extract in order of increasing dilution (500, 250, 125 and 62.5 mg/mL). Thereafter, the test tubes 131 were incubated at 37<sup>o</sup>C for 24 hours. After incubation, the test tube with the lowest 132 concerntration of extracts without visible turbidity was taken to be the minimum inhibition 133 concerntration (MIC) [16].

#### 134 Determination of Minimum Bactericidal Concerntration (MBC) of the Extracts.

135 Sample were taken from the broth with no visible growth in the MIC assay and subculture on 136 freshly prepared nutrient agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The MBC was taken as the 137 concentration of the extracts that did not show any visible growth on a new set of agar plates 138 [17].

#### 139 Thin-Layer Chromatography Analysis of Cochlospermum tinctorium Methanolic Extracts

140 The TLC plate used for the separation was made with silica gel on aluminium (TLC silica gel 141  $60_{254}$  20.0cm × 20.0cm). Thin layer chromatography was carried out using TLC pre-coated plate 142 (TLC silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub>) by conventional one dimensional ascending technique. Spotting was 143 done using capillary tube and developed chromatography tank at room temperature. TLC 144 separations were conducted using 100% methanol as the solvent system. The positions of the 145 different compounds were observed on TLC plates. They were placed under UV light which 146 showed the presence of different spots on the chromatogram. The movement of the active 147 compound was expressed by its retention factor (R<sub>f</sub>), values were calculated for different 148 samples.

- 149  $R_f = \underline{Distance traveled by the solute}$
- 150 Distance moved by solvent front
- 151

# 152 Column chromatography (CC) analysis of Cochlospermum tinctorium methanolic extracts

153 A glass tube with a circle large inlet and a small outlet with a plug or tap known as column was 154 cleaned and dried. Cotton pad was placed at the bottom of the column. The column was packed 155 with 107 gram of column grade silica ( 60 grade, Mesh size was 70-230um). The silica was 156 added to the column by;

157 Elution:	The	elution	was	done	using	methanol,	and	ethyl	acetate	in	different	ratio	as	given
158 below:														

Solvent system	Ratio
Methanol and Ethyl acetate	80:20
Methanol and Ethyl acetate	60:40
Methanol and Ethyl acetate	0:100
Methanol and Ethyl acetate	100:0

- 159 All the fractions were collected separately and subjected to antimicrobial screening.
- 161 Antibacterial Sensitivity of Active Fractions of Cochlospermum tinctoriumroot powder

162 The antibacterial activity of active fractions of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder was 163 determined by well diffusion method. Sterilized cotton swabs were dipped in the bacterial culture 164 in nutrient broth and then swabbed on the Mueller Hinton plates. Wells of 10.00 mm size were 165 cut on Mueller Hinton agar and the extracts were added into it. Then the plates were incubated at 166  $37^{0}$ C and observed for zones of growth inhibition after 24 hours.

# 167 Gas Chromatography Mass Spectoscopy (GC-MS) analysis of the active fractions

168 GC-MS analysis was performed using GC-MS-QP2010 Plus (Shimadzu, Japan) and Gas 169 chromatograph interfaced to a mass spectrometer (GC-MS) instrument employing the following; 170 Column Elite-1 fused silica capillary column (30m x 0.25mm 1D x  $\mu$ l df, composed of 100% 171 Trisil). For GC-MS detection, an electron ionization system with ionization energy of 70eV was 172 used. Helium gas (99.999%) was used as the carrier gas at constant flow rate 1ml/min and an 173 injection volume of 2  $\mu$ L was employed (Split ratio of 20:0) injector temperature 250°C; ion-174 source temperature 200°C. the oven temperature was programmed from 60.0 (for 0.00 minute) 175 with an increase of 160°C (Isothermal for 2.00 minutes) ending with a 2.00 minutes isothermal 176 at 280°C. Mass spectra were taken at 70eV; a scan interval of 0.5s and fragments from 45 to 177 700Da. Total GC running time was 19 minutes. The relative percentage amount of each 178 component was calculated, by comparing its average peak area to the total areas, Software 179 adopted to handle mass spectra and chromatogram was a turbomass. The detection employed the 180 NIST Ver.2.0 year 2009 library [18].

# 181 Identification of components

182 Interpretation on mass spectrum of GC-MS was done using the database of National Institute of 183 Standard and Technology (NIST) having more than 62,000 patterns. The mass spectrum of the 184 unknown component was compared with the spectrum of the known components stored in the 185 NIST library. The name, molecular weight and structure of the components of the test materials 186 were ascertained.

187

160

- 189
- 190
- 191

# 192 RESULTS

193 The percentage yield of the crude extracts (g) obtained from the methanol extracts are presented 194 on Table 1. The result indicates that methanol had the percentage yield of 5.17%.

195 **Table 1:** Percentage Yield of Crude Methanol Extract of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* Root 196 Powder

Solvent	Mass of sample (g)	Yield of the extract (g)	Percentage Yield (%w/w)
Methanol	300	15.5	5.17

197

198 The result of the thin layer chromatography (TLC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* crude methanol 199 extract are presented on Table 2. The solvent system used was 100% methanol and four spots 200 were visible and their Rf values are 0.02, 0.37, 0.44 and 0.80.

201 Table 2: Thin layer chromatography (TLC) of	the Crude Methanolic Extract of Cochlospermum
202 <i>tinctorium</i> Root Powder	

Solvent system	Spots movement (cm)	Solvent front (cm)	<b>R</b> <sub>f</sub> value
	0.2	9.8	0.02
Methanol extract	3.6	9.8	0.37
	4.3	9.8	0.44
	7.8	9.8	0.80

203

204 The result of the column chromatography (CC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* crude methanol 205 extract are shown on Table 3. The result indicates that ratio (80:20) had the highest number of 206 active fractions of 3, followed by ratio (60:40) having 2, and lastly ratio (100:0) having 1 207 fraction only.

208 **Table 3**: Column Chromatography (CC) of the Crude Methanolic Extract of *Cochlospermum* 209 *tinctorium* Root Powder

Solvent	Solvent ratio	Fractions
	80:20	3
Methanol	60:40	2
	0:100	0
	100:0	1

210

211 The antibacterial activity of the crude methanol extracts of the roots of *Cochlospermum tintorium* 212 against antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria monocytogene* (Table 4). The 213 methanol extract reveals maximum zone of inhibition of 22.00 mm against antibiotic resistant 214 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from tomato and 21.00 mm against *L. monocytogene* R1 at 215 concerntration of 10mg/ml, while the lowest zones of inhibition of 12.00 mm was recorded 216 against *Staphyloccoccus aureus* isolated from spring onion and *L. monocytogene*.

		Concentrations/Zone of inhibition in (mm)						
Test Organisms	10 mg/mL	5 mg/mL	2.5 mg/mL	<b>Negative Control</b>	<b>Positive Control</b>			
Staphyloccoccus aureus SP1	19.0±0.6	16.0±0.2	12.0±0.9	0.00	22.0±0.3			
Staphyloccoccus aureus SP2	20.0±0.9	18.0±0.4	13.0±0.8	0.00	20.0±0.8			
Staphyloccoccus aureus SP2	19.0±0.5	15.0±0.6	12.0±0.6	0.00	20.0±0.4			
Staphyloccoccus aureus L	20.0±0.4	16.0±0.1	14.0±0.2	0.00	21.0±0.6			
Staphyloccoccus aureus T	22.0±0.6	20.0±0.6	17.0±0.4	0.00	24.0±0.6			
L. monocytogene R1	21.0±0.5	18.0±0.5	13.0±0.7	0.00	25.0±0.3			
L. monocytogene R2	20.0±0.6	18.0±0.3	14.0±0.3	0.00	26.0±0.5			
L. monocytogene R3	21.0±0.1	19.0±0.4	14.0±0.5	0.00	26.0±0.4			
L. monocytogene R4	19.0±0.4	16.0±0.6	12.0±0.6	0.00	24.0±0.2			
L. monocytogene R5	20.0±0.3	15.0±0.6	14.0±0.3	0.00	28.0±0.6			

217 **Table 4**: Antibacterial activity of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* crude methanol extract against the 218 antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria monocytogene* 

**Key:** SP = Spring onion, R = Cabbage, L = Lettuce and T = Tomato. The result is presented

as mean±SD

221 The result of the minimum inhibitory concerntration (MIC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* crude 222 methanol extract against antibiotic resistant *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and *L. monocytogene* are 223 presented on Table 5. It was observed that the *Staphyloccoccus aureus* SP1, SP2, and L showed 224 MIC at 2.5mg/mL while *Staphyloccoccus aureus* T show MIC at 1.25 mg/mL, the *L.* 225 *monocytogene* R1, R2, R3, R4 showed MIC at 2.5 mg/mL while R5 showed MIC at 0.625 226 mg/mL. The minimum inhibitory concerntration of the crude methanol extract was obtained 227 between 2.5-0.625 mg/mL for both *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and *L. monocytogene*.

228

229

230

231

	<b>Concentrations of extracts</b>							
Test Organisms	10 mg/mL	5 mg/mL	2.5 mg/mL	1.25 mg/mL	0.625 mg/mL			
Staphyloccoccus aureus SP1	-	-	©	+	+			
Staphyloccoccus aureus SP2	-	-	©	+	+			
Staphyloccoccus aureus SP2	-	-	©	+	+			
Staphyloccoccus aureus L	-	-	©	+	+			
Staphyloccoccus aureus T	-	-	-	C	+			
L. monocytogene R1	-	-	©	+	+			
L. monocytogene R2	-	-	©	+	+			
L. monocytogene R3	-	-	©	+	+			
L. monocytogene R4	-	-	©	+	+			
L. monocytogene R5	-	-		-	©			

**233 Table 5**: Minimum Inhibitory Concerntration (MIC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* Crude **234** Methanol Extract Against Antibiotic Resistant *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and *L. Monocytogene* 

**Key:** SP = Spring onion, R = Cabbage, L = Lettuce and T = Tomato and  $\mathbb{C}$  = MIC

236 The result of the minimum bactericidal concerntration (MBC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* 237 crude methanol extract against antibiotic resistant *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and *L. monocytogene* 238 are presented in Table 6. From the results obtained isolates *Staphyloccoccus aureus* SP1, SP2 239 and L showed MBC at 5 mg/mL while *Staphyloccoccus aureus* T showed MBC at 2.5 mg/mL, 240 the *L. monocytogene* R1, R2, R3, R4 showed MIC at 5 mg/mL while R5 showed MBC at 2.5 241 mg/mL.

Test isolate	Concentrations							
	10 mg/mL	5 mg/mL	2.5 mg/mL	1.25 mg/mL	0.6 mg/mL			
S. aureus SP 1	-	¢	+	+	+			
S. aureus SP 2	-	¢	+	+	+			
S. aureus C	-	¢	+	+	+			
S. aureus L	-	¢	+	+	+			
S. aureus T	-	-	¢	+	+			
L.monocytogene R1	-	¢	+	+	+			
L.monocytogene R2	-	¢	+	+	+			
L.monocytogene R3	-	¢	+	+	+			
L.monocytogene R4	-	¢	+	+	+			
L.monocytogene R5	-	-	¢	+	+			

242 **Table 6**: Minimum bactericidal concerntration (MBC) of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* Crude 243 Methanol Extract Against Antibiotic Resistant *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and *L. Monocytogene* 

**Key:** SP = Spring onion, R = Cabbage, L = Lettuce and T = Tomato,  $\phi$  = MBC

245 The results for the antibacterial activity of the active methanol extract of *Cochlospermum* 246 *tinctorium* root powder against antibiotic resistant *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and *L. monocytogene* 247 are presented in Table 7. The active methanol extract of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder 248 reveals maximum zone of inhibition 26.00 mm against *Staphyloccoccus aureus* L, 20.00 mm 249 against *L. monocytogene* R4 and minimum inhibition of 15.00 mm against *Staphyloccoccus* 250 *aureus* L, 12.00 mm against *L. monocytogene* R2.

251	Table 7: Antibacterial activity of active methanol fractions of Cochlospermum tinctorium root
252	powder against antibiotic resistant <i>Staphyloccoccus aureus</i> and <i>L. Monocytogene</i>

Fraction	Test organism	2	Zone of Inhibition	(mm)
Fraction A	Staphyloccoccus aureus L	22.0±0.6	26.0±0.5	23.0±0.6
Fraction B	Staphyloccoccus aureus L	15.0±0.3	16.0±0.6	16.0±0.2
Fraction D	L. monocytogene R5	14.0±0.8	14.0±0.9	13.0±0.3
Fraction E	L. monocytogene R4	20.0±0.6	19.0±0.4	21.0±0.8
Fraction F	L. monocytogene R2	13.0±0.5	13.0±0.1	12.0±0.4

**Key:** L = Lettuce, R = Cabbage. The result is presented as mean $\pm$ SD

254

255 The result of the minimum inhibitory concerntration (MIC) of the active methanol fractions of 256 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder against antibiotic resistant *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and 257 *L. monocytogene* are presented on Table 8. From the results obtained isolate *Staphyloccoccus* 258 *aureus L* showed MIC at 4.0 mL, *L. monocytogene* R5 showed MIC at 5.0 mL, *L. monocytogene* 259 R2 showed MIC at 3.0 ml and *Staphyloccoccus aureus* R showed MIC at 3.0 mL.

260 **Table 8**: The minimum inhibitory concerntration (MIC) of the active methanol active fractions 261 of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder

Fraction	Test organism	0.1mL	0.2mL	0.3mL	0.4mL	0.5mL	0.6mL	0.7mL
Fraction A	S. aureus L	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	L.monocytogene R5	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Fraction E	L.monocytogene R2	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	S. aureus R	-	-	-	+	+	+	+

**Key**: L= Lettuce, R = Cabbage, + = Positive, - = Negative

263 The result of the volatile organic compound profile of the active methanol fraction (A) of 264 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder tested against antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* 265 and *Listeria monocytogene* are presented on Table 9a. The chromatogram shows 23 peaks 266 (compounds) in fraction A of which the highest peak intensity was observed at peak 3 (3-267 Tetradecanone- 20.99%) and the lowest at peak 15 (5-Hexyn-1-ol- 0.22%). 268 Table 9a: Volatile organic compound profile of the active methanol fraction A of
269 Cochlospermum tinctorium root powder tested against antibiotic resistant Staphyloccoccus
270 aureus and L. monocytogene

RT <sup>-1</sup>	Compound	Molecular formular	Peak Area Normalised
			(%)
4.673	Tris (trimethylsilyl) amine	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>27</sub> NSi <sub>3</sub>	9.60
9.702	Undecane, 3-methylene-	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	11.36
9.793	3-Tetradecanone	$C_{14}H_{28}O$	20.99
10.007	Undecyl acetate	$C_{13}H_{26}O_2$	7.82
10.926	1-Tridecene	$C_{13}H_{26}$	1.16
11.231	2-Heptanone, 4-methyl-	$C_8H_{16}O$	0.45
11.950	Saccharin	C7H5NO3S	0.23
12.285	Heptanoic acid, 2-ethyl-, methyl ester	$C_{10}H_{20}O_2$	1.50
12.359	Tridecane, 3-methylene-	$C_{14}H_{28}$	1.80
12.427	3-Hexadecanone	$C_{16}H_{32}O$	2.05
12.584	1-Hexadecanol, acetate	$C_{18}H_{36}O_2$	20.82
12.947	Butanoic acid, 3-methyl-, 3,7-dimethyl-6-octenyl ester	$C_{15}H_{28}O_2$	0.99
13.022	3,3-Dimethyl-4-heptanol	$C_9H_{20}O$	0.68
13.436	(R)-(-)-(Z)-14-Methyl-8-hexadecen-1-ol	$C_{17}H_{34}O$	0.80
13.819	5-Hexyn-1-ol	$C_6H_{10}O$	0.22
14.311	Lauric acid, isopentyl ester	$C_{17}H_{34}O_2$	10.05
14.537	Heptanal n-Heptaldehyde	$C_7H_{14}O$	1.02
14.792	1-Hexadecanol, acetate	$C_{18}H_{36}O_2$	3.09
14.870	Stearic acid, ethyl ester	$C_{20}H_{40}O_2$	0.77
15.300	(R)-(-)-(Z)-14-Methyl-8-hexadecen-1-ol	$C_{17}H_{34}O$	0.76
16.010	Oleyl alcohol, trifluoroacetate	$C_{20}H_{35}F_{3}O_{2}$	0.60
16.318	Tridecane, 3-methylene-	$C_{12}H_{24}$	1.67
17.077	Oleyl alcohol, trifluoroacetat	$C_{20}H_{35}F_{3}O_{2}$	1.58

271

272 The result of the volatile organic compound profile of the active methanol fraction (E) of 273 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder tested against antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* 274 and *Listeria monocytogene* are presented on Table 9 b. The chromatogram shows 11 peaks 275 (compounds) in fraction E of which the highest peak intensity was observed at peak 11 (i-Propyl 276 9,12-octadecenadienoate - 69.12%) and the lowest at peak 3 (Silane, trimethyl(2-phenylethoxy)-277 0.26%). Other compounds identified in fraction E include; Cyclotrisiloxane,hexamethyl-, 4-278 Isothiazolecarboxamide, .Omega.-Phenylacetic acid, Benzeneethanol, 4-hydroxy-, Pyrazolo[5,1-279 c]-as-triazine-, 1,2-Butadiene,1,1,4-triphenyl-3-trimethylsilyl-4-trimethylsilyloxy-, Diethyl 280 Phthalate1, 1-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-dihexadecanoate, Heptanoic acid, 2-ethyl-.

Table 4.9b: Volatile organic compound profile of the active methanol fraction (E) of
 *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder tested against antibiotic resistant *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and *L. monocytogene*

RT <sup>-1</sup>	Compound	Molecular Formular	Peak Area Normalised (%)
5.014	Cyclotrisiloxane, hexamethyl-	$C_6H_{18}O_3Si_3$	2.31
6.071	4-Isothiazolecarboxamide	$C_4H_4N_2OS$	0.59
6.490	Silane, trimethyl(2-phenylethoxy)-	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>18</sub> OSi	0.26
6.670	.OmegaPhenylacetic acid	$C_8H_8O_2$	0.38
8.654	Benzeneethanol, 4-hydroxy-	$C_8H_{10}O_2$	0.64
10.042	Pyrazolo[5,1-c]-as-triazine-	$C_7H_6N_4O_2$	0.58
10.234	1,2-Butadiene,1,1,4-triphenyl-3- trimethylsilyl-4-trimethylsilyloxy-	$C_{28}H_{34}OSi_2$	0.36
10.440	Diethyl Phthalate 1	$C_{12}H_{14}O_4$	2.83
13.666	1-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-dihexadecanoate	$C_{38}H_{68}O_8$	22.02
13.934	Heptanoic acid, 2-ethyl-	$C_9H_{18}O_2$	0.92
15.064	i-Propyl 9,12-octadecenadienoate	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>38</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	69.12

285

#### 286 Discussions

The methanol extract yield obtained in this study appears promising for the plant. This is similar with the finding of Ibrahim *et al.* [19] who reported 5% yield for methanol extract of *Ceiba pentandra*. Plants are known to possess different chemical constituents and varies between plant species. The % yield obtained might be attributed to the variability in the constituents of the plant that have impact on the solubility of the constituents and the polarity of methanol [20]. The yield obtained is within ranges reported and suggest that the root of *C. tinctorium* possess posses an extractable yield of phyto-chemicals. The choice of methanol is due to the fact that it has been shown to significantly affect the antibacterial activity of certain class of compounds [22] 296

297 The result of the column chromatography of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* crude methanol extract 298 revealed that the methanol and ethyl acetate in ratio of 80:20 had the highest fractions of three 299 compound. This indicated its suitability in separation of different phyto-chemical constituents 300 found within the plants. It was reported that the column chromatography is one of the most 301 popular and widely used separation techniques used in characterizing both organic and inorganic 302 material and thus suggesting its potential usefulness in chemical analysis of complex extract 303 materials [23].

305 The results of thin layer chromatography revealed different  $R_f$  values. The differences in  $R_f$  value 306 is an indication that different compounds were presence in the methanol extract of plant. The 307 used of TLC is an inexpensive which provides answer as to how many components are in a 308 mixture. It is also used to support the identity of a compound in a mixture when the Rf of a 309 compound is compared with the Rf of a known compound. The TLC is one of the common 310 practice for isolation and separation of the bioactive compounds that are then used for the 311 determination of structure and biological activity [21]. It was reported that the component which 312 shows less  $R_f$  value in a less polar solvent has high polarity and a high  $R_f$  values in less polar 313 solvents shows that the compound is less polar [24]

#### 314

315 The results of the antibacterial of crude methanol extracts showed that the C. tinctorium was 316 active against the various test bacteria at different concentrations tested. However, the plant 317 showed good inhibition toward test bacterial isolates. It was observed that at higher 318 concentration of 10 mg/mL the inhibition activity fall within 19.00 - 21.00 mm which very 319 closed to the control antibiotic with inhibition zone of 20 - 28mm. The reason for high 320 antbacterial activity could be attributed to fact that *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and L. 321 monocytogene are gram-positive bacteria whose outer peptidoglyan layer is not an effective 322 permeability barrier. However, the high activity of crude methanol extract of the plant might 323 attributed to the presence of varying different bioactive compounds which exerted their action in 324 a different ways and thus resulting in inhibition the growth of bacteria. The mechanisms of 325 action of plant constituents is not yet fully understood it is clear that the effectiveness of the 326 extracts is largely depend on the type of solvent used. This observation is clearly indicated that 327 the existence of non-polar residue in the plant extracts have contributed to its bactericidal and 328 bacteristatic s activity [25]. Cowan [26] also reported that most antibiotics compounds already 329 identified in the plants are reportedly aromatic or saturated organic molecules which can easily 330 solubilized in the organic solvents. However, due to the emergence of antibiotic resistant, plants 331 are being looked upon as an excellent alternate to combat the further spread of multidrug 332 resistant microorganisms [27].

333

The minimum inhibitory concerntration of the crude methanol extract was obtained between 2.5 335 - 0.625 mg/mL for both *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and *L. Monocytogene* while the MBC was 336 observed between 5.0 - 2.5 mg/mL. In this study we observed that the MIC value obtained were 337 lower than the MBC values. This indicates that the plant extracts were bacteriostatic at lower 338 concentration but bactericidal at higher concentration. Similar finding of Aliyu *et al.* [28] 339 obtained MIC at 2.09 mg/mL against *Staphyloccoccus aureus* in the antibacterial activity of leaf 340 extract of *Stereospermum kunthianum* (Bignoniaceae), and Kim *et al.* [29] obtained MIC at 2.0 341 mg/mL against *L. monocytogene* in the antibacterial activity of *Saposhnikovia divaricata*, 342 *Peucedanum japonicum* and *Glehnia littoralis*. The Previous studies by Okemo *et al.* [30] 343 suggested that at higher concerntration of plants extract the more rapidly organisms would be 344 killed.

The active methanol extract of *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder reveals maximum zone of inhibition 26.00 mm against *Staphyloccoccus aureus* L and 21.00 mm was observed against *L. Monocytogene* R4. The high activity of fraction A against *Staphyloccoccus aureus* and fraction E against *L. monocytogene* is an indication that the active compound presence in the plant exerted more antibacterial activity when it's in active and pure form. The low activity of active fraction B, D and F against the test bacteria indicated that the constituent might exert synergistic effect in inhibiting the growth of test organisms. This study is in close agreement with a previous studies of Arora *et al.* [31] that obtained 22.30 mm against *L. Monocytogene* in the antibacterial activity of seed, pomace and leaf extract of *Hippophae rhamnoides L.* 

355 The GC-MS analysis of the active fractions showed the existence of various bioactive 356 compounds with different chemical structures. The major compound in both fraction (A and E) 357 are: 1-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-dihexadecanoate, Diethyl phthalate, Undecyl acetate, 3-358 tetradecanone, 3-hexadecanone. Previous studies reported that 1-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-359 dihexadecanoate which is identified in the aqeous extract of *Indigofera tinctoria* possess an 360 antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anti-nociceptive properties [32]. Diethyl phthalate was 361 identified in the methanol extract of the flower of *Quisqualis indica* plant extract and it was 362 found effective against *E.coli* and least effective against *S. pnemoniae, Staphyloccoccus aureus* 363 [33][34]. Undecyl acetate was identified in the essential oil of *C. planchonii* and was effective 364 against diarrhoea and some other infections [35]. 3-tetradecanone, 3-hexadecanone were 365 identified in the essential oil of whole tubercle of *C. tinctorium* and was found to posess anti 366 plasmodial properties [36].

367

# 368 Conclusion

369 From the above research it can be concluded that *Cochlospermum tinctorium* root powder has 370 immense potential to be used in the area of pharmacology as it possess antimicrobial activity 371 against the antibiotic resistant food-borne pathogens, thus could be exploited as alternative 372 antimicrobial drugs for the treatment of diseases caused by those pathogens. Due to the presence 373 of various compounds that are essential for good health, it can also be used to improve the health 374 status of the mankind. The volatile organic compound profiling of the major compounds showed 375 that they possess antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive properties.

376

# 377 **References**

	1	
378	1.	Mann, Abdullahi, Muhammad, G. and Abdulkadir, N.U. Medicinal and Economic Plants
379	ſ	of Nupeland. Jube-Evans Books and Publication State, 1 <sup>st</sup> edition. 2003; page 34-98.
380	۷.	Igoli, J.O., I.C., Igwe and N.P., Igoli. Traditional Medicinal Practices among the Igede
381	n	people of Nigeria, Journal of Herbs, Spices and Medicinal Plants, 2003; 10(4):1-10
382	3.	
383	4	Cochlospermum tinctorium Rhizomes. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 1987; 20:239-243
384	4.	Chaibenjawong, P., Foster, S.J. Desiccationtolerance in staphylococcus aureus. Arch.
385	5	Microbiol., 2011; 193:125-135
386	Э.	Le, L.Y., F. Baron and M. Gautier. <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and food poisoning. <i>Genetics</i>
387	6	and Molecule Research, 2003; 2:63-76.
388	6.	
389		cookedready-to-eat ground fish as affected by inoculumsize and potassium sorbate as
390	7	food preservative. Food Science Technology, 2016; 71:400-408.
391	7.	Scallan, E., R.M. Hoekstra, F.J. Angulo, R.V. Tauxe, MA.Widdowson, S.L. Roy, J.L.
392		Jones and P.M. Griffin. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States-major pathogens. <i>Emerging Infectious Disease</i> , 2011; 17:231-253.
393	0	Safety, F. <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> a problem when food is left out too long. Available
394 395	0.	at: <u>http://ohioline.Osu.Edu</u> (assessed on 05 July, 2015).
395 396	9.	
390 397	9.	Cheah, Y.K., Mitsuaki, N., Yoshitsugu, N. and Kumar, M.P. Assessment of <i>Listeria</i>
398		monocytogenes in salad vegetables through kitchen simulation study. Journal of Tropical
399		Agriculture and Food Science, 2012; 40:55-62
400	10	Agriculture and Food Science, 2012, 40.55-02 Azizoglu, R.A., Gorski, L., Kathariou, S. Listeria and produce: A troublesome liaison!
400	10	Available online: <u>http://www.newfoodmagazine.com/advent-calendar/listeria-and</u>
401		produce/ (assessed on 10 February 2017).
402	11	. Ivanek, R., Y.T. Gröhn and. Wiedmann, M. <i>Listeriamonocytogenes</i> in multiple habitats
404	11	and hostpopulations: Review of available data formathematical modeling. <i>Food-borne</i>
404		Pathology of Disease, 2006; 3:319-336.
406	12	. Sauders, B.D. and. Wiedmann, M. Ecology of <i>Listeria spp</i> and <i>L. monocytogenes</i> in the
407	12	natural environment. Food Science and Technology, 2007; 161:21.
408	13	. Adzitey, F. and. Huda, N. <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> in foods: incidences and possible
409	15	control measures. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 2010; 4: 2848-2855.
410	14	Wadhwa, S. G., Khaled, G. H. and Edberg, S. C. Comparative microbial character of
411		consumed food and drinking water. Critical Reviews in Microbiology, 2002; 28: 249-279.
412	15	Hoelzer, K., Pouillot, R., Dennis, S. <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> growth dynamics on
413	10	produce: A review of the available data for predictive modeling. <i>Food borne Pathogens</i>
414		and Disease, 2012; 9:661-673.
415	16	Williams, L., Wilkins, S. Textbook of Microbiology, 2nd Edition, New Delhi, India:
416	10	Kluwer Health Publishers, 2007; pp 30-31.
417	17	Akinjogunla <i>et al.</i> Antimicrobial potential of <i>Nymphae lotus</i> (Nymphaeaceae) against
418	1/	wound pathogens. International Journal of Ethnopharcognosy, 2009; 3(3):138-141
0		

- 419 18. Umar, Z.U. and Mahaneem M. Analysis of Phytochemical compound in water and
  420 methanol extracts of Malaysian Propilis. *International Journal of Pharma. and*421 *Bioscience*, 6(2): 374-380.
- Ibrahim, R., Abubakar, E.M., Modibbo S.M. and Lamaran, B.G. Percentage yield and
  acute toxicity of plant extracts of *Ceiba pentandra* grown in Bauchi State, North Estern
  Nigeria. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2017; 6(5):1777-1779
- 425 20. Hostettman K. Strategy for chemical evaluation of plant extracts. *Pure Applied* 426 *Chemistry*, 1998, 70(11): 1-9
- 427 21. Kinjumgiev, A., Tsvetkova, L., Sarkedjieve, Y., Bankova, V., Christo, R. and Propov, S.
  428 Antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral activity of propilis for different geographical
  429 region. *Journal of Ethnopharmacognosy*, 1999; 64: 235-240.
- 430 22. Aliyu, M.S., Hauwa, U.A., Tijjani, A.B., Aliyu, A.B. and Ya'u B. Phytochemical and
  431 Antibacterial Activity of *Stereospermum kunthianum* (Bignoniaceae). *Nigerian Journal*432 *of Basic and Applied Science*, 2017; 17(2):235-239
- 433 23. Kim, M., Seo, S.K and. Yun, W. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of Saposhnikovia
  434 divaricata, Peucedanum japonicum and Glehnia littoralis. Indian Journal of
  435 Pharmaceutical Science, 2018; 80(3):560-563
- 436 24. Das Talukdar, M. Dutta Choudhury, M. Chakraborty, B.K. Dutta. Phytochemical
  437 screening and TLC profiling of plant extracts of *Cyathea gigantea* (Wall. Ex. Hook.)
  438 Haltt and *Cyathea brunoruana* Wall. Ex Hook (Cl and Bak). Assam University Journal
  439 of Science and Technology, 2010; 5(1):70-74.
- Antara, S and Amla, B. Evaluation of Antimicrobila activity of Different Solvent Extracts
  of Medicinal Plant: *Melia azedarach L*. International Journal Of Current Pharmaceutical
  Research, 2012; 4(2): 67-73
- 26. Cowan, M. Plants products as Microbial As agents. *Clinical Microbiology Review*, 1999;
   12:564-582
- 27. Rosina, K., Barira, Islam, I., Mohd, A., Shazi, S., Anis, A.S. and Manazir, A.,
  Mashiatullah, S. and Asad, U.K. Antimicrobial Activity of Five Herbal Extracts Against
  Multi Drug Resistant (MDR) Strains of Bacteria and Fungus of Clinical Origin. *Molecules*, 2009; 14: 586-597
- 28. Aliyu, M.S., Hauwa, U.A., Tijjani, A.B., Aliyu, A.B. and Ya'u B. Phytochemical and
  Antibacterial Activity of *Stereospermum kunthianum* (Bignoniaceae). *Nigerian Journal*of Basic and Applied Science, 2017; 17(2):235-239
- 452 29. Kim, M., Seo, S.K and. Yun, W. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of Saposhnikovia
   453 divaricata, Peucedanum japonicum and Glehnia littoralis. Indian Journal of
   454 Pharmaceutical Science, 2018; 80(3):560-563
- 30. Okemo, P.O., W.E. Mwatha, S.C. Chhabra and W. Fabry. The kill kinetics of *Azadirachta indica* (Meliaceae) extracts in *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Candida albicans*. *African Journal of Science and Technology*, 2001; 2:113-118
- 31. Arora, R., Suni, M., Ashish, Y., Ravi, B. and Tsering, S. Antimicrobial activity of sed,
  pomace and leaf extracts of sea buckthorn (*Hippophae rhamnoides* L.) against foodborne
  and food spoilage pathogens. *Africa Journal of Biotechnology*, 2012; 11(45):1042410430
- 32. Ogunlesi M, Okiei W, Osibote EA. Analysis of the essential oil from the leaves of *Sesamum radiatum*, a potential medication for male infertility factor, by Gas
  chromatography mass spectrometry. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 2010; 9:10601067.

- 467 33. Kiruthika KA, Jaisheeba AA, Sornaraj R. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of some
  468 selected Angiosperm flower extract. *International Journal of Chem Tech Research*, 2011;
  469 3(4):1945-51.
- 470 34. Kumar M, Gitika, Sharma A. In vitro antibacterial activity of flower extracts of
  471 *Quisqualis indica Linn.* against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria *International*472 *Journal of Advances in Pharmacy, Biology and Chemistry.* 2014, 3(3):781-5.
- 473 35. Lassina, Ouattara, Jean Koudou , Louis C.E. Obame , Damintoti S. Karou , Alfred Traore
  474 and Jean Marie Bessiere. Chemical Composition and Antibacterial Activity
  475 of*Cochlospermumplanchoni Hook.f. exPlanch* Essential Oil from Burkina Faso.*Pakistan*476 *Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2007; 10:4177-4179
- 477 36. Benoit-Vical F, Valentin A, Mallie M, Bessiere J-M: Antiplasmodial activity of
   478 *Cochlospermum planchonii* and *Cochlospermum tinctorium*Tubercles Essential Oils.
   479 *Journal of Essential Oil Research* 2001, 13:65-67.