

# **Micronutrient Biofortification in Pulses: an Agricultural Approach**

## **ABSTRACT**

Micronutrients are important growth promoting elements not only for crops but also for human being. More than two billion of the global populations are malnourished. For developing countries like India, micronutrient malnutrition among the people of every age is very common. The impact is highly seen in poor and landless rural people who can't afford diverse foods or supplements in their diets with needed nutrients. To alleviate this micronutrient deficiency, biofortification has come to the surface as a potent option. Biofortification of crops can increase the level of micronutrients in final food products. Pulses are the cheapest sources of proteins, vitamins and micronutrients and can be supplied to the people through daily diet. Pulses are irrefutable contender for Biofortification since it is easily available to the each and every group of people. This paper focuses on the role of micronutrients on human health and various mechanisms to get nutrient rich staple food along with main emphasis on biofortification.

*Keywords: Biofortification, Pulse, Micronutrient, Malnutrition, Hunger*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Worldwide, more than two billion of people or one in every three persons is spotted to be troubled with multiple micronutrient deficiencies (FAO, 2015). Growing children are grievously affected by nutrient deficiencies compared to adults, as their nutrients requirement changes according to growth and developmental phases (Prieto and Cid, 2011). In Kolhapur district, 40% children between the age group of 8-9 years are micronutrients deficient (iron in 38.8% and fluoride in 36.6% respectively) (Bharati et al 2018) and globally it is 22% (GNR, 2018). In the whole India, 18% of infants had a birth weight of less than 2.5kg, 38% children below five years were under-weight, 28% mild, 29% moderately and 2% severely anaemic (NFHS- 4, 2015-16). Malnutrition caused by vitamins and minerals is also known as "Hidden hunger", which don't give any visual symptom usually. As per GHI 2018, India ranked 103<sup>rd</sup> among 119 countries while world-wide level of hunger declines from 29.2 in 2000 to 20.9 in 2018. Micronutrient deficiencies are the fountainhead of various health issues like poor neurological function, impaired eye sight, diabetes, hypertension, weak immunity, diarrhea, food allergies, thinning hair, leaky gut, acne or rashes (Lynch and Green, 2001; Beard, 2001; Shankar and Prasad, 1998; Gilbert and

Foster, 2001; Stein *et al.*, 2005). Those deficiencies are attributable to low intake of quality diet riched with proteins, vitamins and minerals (Bhatnagar *et al.*, 2011 and Bouis and Saltzman, 2017). Increased price of non staple commodities is one of the important reasons of decreasing dietary quality, especially to resource poor people (Bouis *et al.*, 2011). In developing countries agricultural products are the prime source of nutrients (Graham *et al.*, 2001; Schneeman, 2001). Main concern of green revolution was laid on yield increase not on quality food production. And it scale down soil productivity accompanied by less nutritive food grain production (Bhatnagar *et al.*, 2011). Micronutrient rich vegetables, pulses and animal products have also not been increased in last fifty years (Bouis and Saltzman, 2017). Possible ways to combat those deficiencies encircle dietary diversification (healthy balance diet), food fortification, biofortification and supplementation (Allen *et al.*, 2006). Biofortification is the process of increasing nutrient concentration in plant edible parts by fertilization (agronomic intervention), breeding approaches or microbes (White and Broadley, 2005), whereas fortification is nutrient enrichment during processing ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Food\\_fortification](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Food_fortification)). Biofortification is an effective strategy in long run to overcome the current situation as it is more cost effective, sustainable and practical one to reach poorest of the poor population (Meenakshi *et al.*, 2010, Hoddinott *et al.*, 2013, Garcia-Banuelos *et al.*, 2014). Besides quality enhancement, micronutrient has some added advantages like yield increase, biomass enhancement and disease control in micronutrient deficient soils (Hussain *et al.*, 2010). A healthy balance diet must include pulses as they are rich source of energy, protein, dietary fibre and also content considerable amount of vitamins and minerals like thiamin, riboflavin, pyridoxine, folic acid, vitamin E and K, zinc, iron etc (Ofuya and Akhidue, 2005; Thavarajah *et al.*, 2011; Johnson *et al.*, 2005). So, pulses can be considered as good option for biofortification to provide nutritious food sustainably (Thavarajah *et al.*, 2011).

## 2. ROLE OF MICRONUTRIENTS ON HUMAN HEALTH

Iron plays key role in haemoglobin formation and oxygen transport (Underwood and Suttle, 1999). Iron deficiency exerts influence on learning ability (CDC 2010), immune system (Fiall, 2003), ability to work (Viteri, 1974) and cognitive development (Bread and Connor, 2003). Its deficiency is also associated with anemia and pregnancy related issues like mortality, low birth weight etc (CDC 2010).

Zinc requirement get larger during pregnancy and puberty. Zinc deficiency curtails physical growth and development of children (Brown *et al.*, 2002). Gastrointestinal, central nervous, epidermal, immune, skeletal, and reproductive systems are known to be affected by zinc deficiency (Hambidge and Walravens, 1982). The daily requirement of Zn and Fe varies with the age of people (Table 1).

Selenium is a good source of antioxidant which narrow down heart and skin diseases, cancer, alzheimer, (Elahi *et al.*, 2009; Marksbery and Lovell, 2006; Klaunig and Kamendulis, 2004; Cui *et al.*, 2012; Shirley *et al.*, 2014), thyroid (Ventura *et al.*, 2017), asthma (Norton and Hoffmann, 2012). Patients

having tuberculosis, influenza and hepatitis C delineated to be benefited by selenium (Steinbrenner *et al.*, 2015).

**Table 1. Daily requirements of Zn and Fe in Indian context (ICMR, 2010)**

	Group	Recommended Daily Allowance (mg day <sup>-1</sup> )	
		Zinc	Iron
Adult men		12	21
Adult women	Normal	10	17
	Pregnant	12	35
Children	1-3 Years	5	9
	4-6 Years	7	13
	7-9 Years	8	16
Adolescents	Boys	11-12	21-28
	Girls	9-12	26-27

### 3. CRITERIA OF BIOFORTIFIED CROP

Bouis and Welch (2010) suggested the following criteria to be a potential biofortified crop.

**High Yielding:** Crop productivity must be maintained.

**Effective:** The increased level of micronutrient must have significant positive impact on human.

**Stable:** Increased level of micronutrients in crop must be stable year after year.

**Quality:** Good Taste And Cooking Quality

### 4. POTENTIAL WAYS OF BIOFORTIFICATION

Biofortification of crop can be done through agronomic, breeding and microbial interventions.

#### 4.1 AGRONOMIC INTERVENTIONS

Agronomic biofortification is the application of micronutrients via chemical fertilizer with the aid of foliar application, soil application, seed priming and seed coating of fertilizers to increase the bioavailability of nutrients in edible plant parts (De Valença *et al.*, 2017). Several factors like source of

fertilizer, quantity of fertilizer and time and methods of application regulate the nutrient intake to the edible plant parts and it's bioavailability to the consumer (Singh and Prasad, 2014, Rietra *et al.*, 2015). Micronutrient amendment in soil is a useful strategy to increase micronutrient quantity in crop (Manzeke *et al.*, 2012; Vanlauwe *et al.*, 2015 and Voortman and Bindraban, 2015). Among the different methods of application, foliar application is more efficient (Lawson *et al.*, 2015) as it can manage soil immobilization (Garcia-Banuelos *et al.*, 2014) and quick availability of nutrients to the crop. Hidoto *et al.* (2017) reported 85 g ha<sup>-1</sup> grain zinc yield with foliar application in chickpea which was significantly higher than soil application (71 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) and priming (68 g ha<sup>-1</sup>). Combined application in both soil and foliar often showed better results (Phattarakul *et al.*, 2012). Other biofortification methods like seed priming and seed coating are spotted to give very infrequent result (Duffner *et al.*, 2014). Johnson *et al.* (2005) found that seed priming with both B and Zn increased the seed Zn and B content of chickpea and lentil respectively (table 2). Zinc and selenium biofortification is most fruitful with agronomic interventions (Cakmak, 2014).

**Table 2. Effect of seed priming on Zn, B and Mo content of chickpea and lentil**

Treatments	Seed content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )					
	Chickpea			Lentil		
	Zn	B	Mo	Zn	B	Mo
(purchased)	40	9	3	50	6	2
water	60	10	4	50	6	2
B	60	100	3	50	100	2
Zn	700	7	3	630	5	2
1/2(B + Zn)**	400	50	2	400	50	2
B + Zn	800	80	3	660	100	2
B, 12 h	40	100	3			
Zn, 12 h	500	8	2			
Mo	60	4	300			

(Source: Johnson *et al.*, 2005) \*\*Priming times were 8 h and 12 h for chickpea and lentil respectively. Solutions used were 0.004M ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (for Zn), 0.008 M H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>(for B), 0.0026M Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (for Mo).

#### 4.1.1 ZINC FORTIFICATION

Application of zinc to the pulse crops greatly helps in enhancing the level of zinc in harvested (economic) plant parts. Zinc fertilization increases bioavailability of Zn in human by increasing phytate content (Hussain *et al.*, 2013). Guillén-Molina *et al.* (2016) concluded that application of zinc chelate (7 and 14 mM L<sup>-1</sup> of Zn-EDTA) increase grain zinc and iron concentration in cowpea. Shivay *et al.* (2015) reported that foliar spray of zinc at three different stages of chickpea had significant influence on zinc uptake both in grain and straw during 2011-12 and 2012-13 (Table 3). Foliar spray of Zn-EDTA at active vegetative, flowering and grain filling stages had greatest crop recovery of applied Zn (17.33%) during 2011-12 (table 2). Zinc fertilization improves zinc bioavailability in bean and pea (Cakmak *et al.*, 2010, Zhang *et al.*, 2010). Zinc content in seed helps in significant liner increase of protein biosynthesis (Martre *et al.*, 2003). Maximum Fe content was recorded with application of 50µM Zn-DTPA (183.7±2.16 ppm) and 100 µM ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (197.9±3.45 ppm) whereas highest Zn with 100µM Zn-DTPA (46.3±3.87 ppm) and 100 µM ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (49.6±2.54 ppm) of bean in hydroponic situation (Table 4). Hidoto *et al.* (2016) stated that maximum grain Zn content and Zn yield in chickpea were noted in soil application of 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn which had an advantage of 7% over control (table 5).

**Table 3. Zinc content by grain and straw of Chickpea**

Treatment	Zn uptake in grain (g ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Zn uptake in straw (g ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
Check (no Zn)	78.5	71.3	78.0	68.5
ZnSHH soil at 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup>	102.3	93.9	104.2	93.9
ZnSHH one spray (V)	96.3	87.9	103.3	92.8
ZnSHH two sprays (V + F)	112.3	103.2	128.6	116.2
ZnSHH, three sprays (V + F + G)	124.9	114.8	166.8	152.0
Zn-EDTA soil at 2.5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup>	102.7	93.9	114.5	103.5
Zn-EDTA one spray (V)	98.8	90.9	117.0	106.0
Zn-EDTA two sprays (V + F)	125.4	115.8	139.2	126.6
Zn-EDTA three sprays (V + F + G)	162.8	135.4	181.0	148.9
LSD (P = 0.05)	14.93	15.52	10.45	20.25

ZnSHH= Zn sulfate hepta hydrate V= active vegetative stage, F= flowering stage, G= grain filling stage (Source: Shivay *et al.*, 2015)

**Table 4. Iron and zinc concentration of bean in hydroponic situation**

Dose	Micronutrient concentration	
Zn-DTPA ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	Fe	Zn
0	146.5 $\pm$ 0.41	28.4 $\pm$ 1.12
25	174.4 $\pm$ 1.45	45.7 $\pm$ 2.35
50	183.7 $\pm$ 2.16	42.8 $\pm$ 3.55
100	153.0 $\pm$ 1.63	46.3 $\pm$ 3.87
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	Fe	Zn
0	146.5 $\pm$ 0.41	28.4 $\pm$ 1.12
25	189.2 $\pm$ 2.89	42.3 $\pm$ 3.11
50	162.1 $\pm$ 2.03	42.6 $\pm$ 2.87
100	197.9 $\pm$ 3.45	49.6 $\pm$ 2.54

Source: (Sida-Arreola *et al.*, 2017)

**Table 5. Effect of zinc sulphate soil application on Chickpea**

Zn rate	Straw Zn	Grain Zn	Zn yield
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(g ha <sup>-1</sup> )
0	20.63	37.05	91.0
5	20.48	37.54	98.3
10	23.24	34.20	87.7
15	22.15	33.11	86.2
20	21.82	35.52	86.3
25	21.57	39.55	99.7
30	22.31	39.18	98.0

Source: Hidoto *et al.*, 2016.

#### 4.1.2 IRON FORTIFICATION

Iron is another most important micronutrient which improves human health. Supply of iron through fortification of pulses is helpful and economic for major portion of Indian population. Iron content of cowpea bean seed increased 29.4% with application of 100 $\mu\text{M L}^{-1}$  ferrous sulphate and 32% with 50 $\mu\text{M L}^{-1}$  ferrous chelate over control (Mirquez- Quiroz *et al.*, 2015). Ali *et al.* (2014) observed that application of 1.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub> at branching and flowering resulted 55%, 66% and 81% increase in iron content in leaf, stem and grain in mungbean over control respectively (Table 6). Khalid *et al.* (2015) reported that application of PGPR along with iron (5.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) resulted grain, root and shoot iron content 4.6 mg, 3.16 mg and 1.7 mg in 100 g chickpea seed respectively (Table 7). According to Salih (2013), foliar fertilization of 2 ppm Fe and 2 ppm Zn reported maximum increase in Fe (154 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Zn (42 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) content of cowpea

seed respectively (Table 8). Nandan *et al.* (2018) pointed out that foliar spray of 0.05% Fe along with recommended dose of fertilizer resulted significantly higher iron content in seed (66.46 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and stover (66.83 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) whereas, maximum zinc content in seed (44.98 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and straw (44.08 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was noted with Zn (0.5%) and Fe (0.05%).

**Table 6. Iron content in leaves, stems and grains in mungbean**

Treatment	Iron content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Leaves	Stems	Grains
Control	511.37	380.07	78.50
0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at branching	601.73	470.42	90.43
0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at flowering	623.70	488.17	96.10
0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at branching + 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at flowering	675.43	520.24	101.50
1.0% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at branching	654.07	515.22	96.83
1.0% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at flowering	668.37	505.16	99.60
1.0% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at branching + 1.0% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at flowering	717.17	585.54	127.80
1.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at branching	672.60	550.33	115.73
1.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at flowering	698.70	559.51	121.43
1.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at branching + 1.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> at flowering	794.90	634.27	146.43

Source: Ali *et al.*, 2014

**Table 7. Iron uptake in different plant parts of chickpea**

Treatment	Fe Concentration (mg 100 g <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Grains	Shoot	Root
Absolute control	1.20	0.66	0.14
Fe (5.6 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2.40	1.80	0.86
S1	3.26	2.23	1.40

S2	3.30	2.50	1.30
S3	3.36	2.26	1.33
S4	3.20	2.36	1.36
S5	3.40	2.40	1.30
S1+Fe (5.6 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.60	2.73	1.70
S2+Fe (5.6 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	4.36	3.16	1.56
S3+Fe (5.6 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.50	2.80	1.50
S4+Fe (5.6 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.53	2.70	1.50
S5+Fe (5.6 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.63	2.63	1.46

Source: Khalid *et al.*, 2015

**Table 8: Effect of foliar fertilization on Fe, B and Zn content of cowpea**

	Treatment	Fe	B	Zn
		Mg kg <sup>-1</sup>		
	Control, 0 ppm	40.00	16.00	8.00
	Fe, 1 ppm	90.00	31.00	25.00
	Fe, 2 ppm	154.00	47.00	42.00
	B, 1 ppm	51.00	31.00	18.00
	B, 2 ppm	58.00	40.00	24.00
	Zn, 1 ppm	47.00	26.00	13.00
	Zn, 2 ppm	50.00	37.00	17.00
Tukey's	Treatment and concentration	1.28	1.35	1.35
HSD	Interaction	2.61	2.94	2.94

Source: Salih, 2013

#### 4.1.3 SELENIUM FORTIFICATION

Selenium fertilization by means of inorganic fertilizer results increased selenium concentration in diet (White and Broadley, 2009; Alfthan *et al.*, 2015). Unlike selenite ( $\text{SeO}_3^{2-}$ ), selenate ( $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ ) provides immediate availability to plants when added to soil (Broadley *et al.*, 2006; Fordyce, 2013; Pilbeam *et al.*,



2015). Selenium foliar application increases concentration in pea and common bean from 21  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  to 743  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  (Smrkolj *et al.*, 2005) and 30 to 2379  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  (Smrkolj *et al.*, 2007) respectively.

Further credibility of agronomic biofortification requires much more research on micronutrient bioavailability, including metabolic pathways that affect absorption and health benefits of different chemical forms of micronutrients.

## 4.2 BREEDING INTERVENTIONS

When utilizable genetic variability is present in a species then genetic biofortification is conductible, but when there is no variability, transgenic approaches are well qualified (Garg *et al.*, 2018). Initially reduction of Phytic acid and polyphenols are used to be the fundamental approach of biofortification as these compounds are known to narrow down iron bioavailability. But recent studies implies that priority should be given to increase iron concentration rather than Phytic acid and Polyphenol reduction because those also have some beneficial properties and resist cancer cell (Pixley *et al.*, 2011, Murgia *et al.*, 2012). Zein protein over expression on soybean increases methionine and cysteine content (Dinkins *et al.*, 2001) and methionine content by cystathionine  $\gamma$ -synthase (Song *et al.*, 2013, Hanafy *et al.*, 2013). Increase in beta carotene and oleic acid in soybean has been attended by introducing bacterial PSY gene (Schmidt *et al.*, 2015) and siRNA-mediated gene silencing had been used to reduce  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids (Flores *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, linoleic acid and palmitic acid content of soybean was reduced by antisense RNA technology (Zhang *et al.*, 2014). Storage albumin of Brazil nut which is rich source of methionine has been used to increase common bean methionine content (Aragao *et al.*, 1999) whereas, lupines methionine has been intensified by albumin of Sunflower (Molvig *et al.*, 1997). A sensitive approach to understand the escalated zinc uptake is DNA strand breakage (King *et al.*, 2015).

Field trials regarding genetic effect on selenium concentration reported significant difference among genotypes (Thavarajah *et al.*, 2010; Garrett *et al.*, 2013; Ray *et al.*, 2014). 94 pea genotypes were grown in Saskatchewan field (University of Saskatchewan) and not a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) marker was noted to affect seed Se concentration (Diapari *et al.*, 2015). In contrast, lentil and chickpea revealed genotypic variation associated with selenium concentration in Saskatchewan (Thavarajah *et al.*, 2008 ; Thavarajah, 2012; Ray *et al.*, 2014; Rahman *et al.*, 2015). Field experiments conducted in Morocco, Nepal, Syria, Australia and Turkey were also ensured significant genetic variance in lentil Se concentration (Thavarajah *et al.*, 2011). Mungbean (Nair *et al.*, 2015) and soybean (Yang *et al.*, 2003) also shown genetic variation. Bean has a potential to increase zinc content by 50% and iron by 60-80% as it evidence high heritability in zinc and iron content (Blair *et al.*, 2009; Beebe *et al.*, 2000; Petry *et al.*, 2015).

## 4.3 MICROBIAL INTERVENTIONS

Phytoavailability of micronutrients can be increased by soil microorganisms like *Rhizobium*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas* etc (Rengel *et al.*, 1999; Smith, 2007). PGPR can be an alternate approach to biofortify pulses as it increases disease resistance (Phi *et al.*, 2010; Dary *et al.*, 2010), solubility of phosphorus (Richardson, 2001; Wani, 2007) and root growth (Glick, 1995; Zhang *et al.*, 2010). But the implication of PGPR and other microorganisms in biofortification of pulses are sparse (De *et al.*, 2011). Rhizobacteria produce siderophores which promote iron fortification in crop as well as revamps soil fertility directly by enhancing iron availability at rhizosphere or indirectly by reducing pathogen effect (Rana *et al.*, 2012; Srivastava *et al.*, 2013).

Grain protein concentration of chickpea ranged from 180 to 309 mg g<sup>-1</sup> with inoculation of *Bacillus* PSB1 and *M. ciceri* RC3 + *A. chroococcum* A4 + *Bacillus* PSB10 respectively with 25% yield advantage (Wani, 2007).

Fungi and bacteria improves bioavailability of zinc at rhizosphere zone (Fasim *et al.*, 2002; Biari *et al.*, 2008) due to decline in soil pH (Koide and Kabir, 2000; Subramanian *et al.*, 2000), chelation (Whiting *et al.*, 2001) and increased root sphere (Burkert and Robson, 1994).

Some biofortified pulse crop varieties were released across the world helping to combat the present situation of malnutrition and hidden hunger of mineral nutrients among the people (table 9 and 10).

**Table 9. Several Lentil released varieties that possess high iron and zinc levels (The 2nd Global Conference on Biofortification: Getting Nutritious Foods to People, Ashutosh Sarker (ICARDA))**

Country	Variety	Content (ppm)	
		Fe	Zn
Bangladesh	Barimusur-4	86.2	---
	Barimusur-5	86	59
	Barimusur-6	86	63
	Barimusur-7	81	---
Nepal	Sisir	98	64
	Khajurah-2	100.7	59
	Khajurah-1	---	58
	Shekhar	83.4	---
India	Pusa Vaibhav	102	---
	L4704	125	74
	IPL 220	73-114	51-64

	Pusa Ageti Masoor	65.0	---
Syria	Idlib-2	73	---
	Idlib-3	72	---
Ethiopia	Alemaya	82	66

**Table 10. Iron biofortified bean variety released by Harvest Plus** (Garg *et al.*, 2018)

Rwanda	Democratic Republic of Congo
RWR 2245, RWR 2154, MAC 42, MAC 44, CAB 2, RWV 1129, RWV 3006, RWV 3316, RWV 3317, and RWV 2887	COD MLB 001, COD MLB 032, HM 21-7, RWR 2245, PVA 1438, COD MLV 059, VCB 81013, Nain de Kyondo, Cuarentino, Namulenga.

## 1. CONCLUSION

Largest number of hungry people especially children and women live in India which is quite alarming. In a developing country like India, where maximum people does not have sufficient access to afford commercially fortified food, diversified diet and food supplements, biofortification is an acceptable cost effective way to eliminate malnutrition. And evidences revealed that a nutritious food like pulse is one of the good options to fortify.

## 2. REFERENCES

- Alfthan G, Eurola M, Ekholm P. Effects of nationwide addition of selenium to fertilizers on foods, and animal and human health in Finland: from deficiency to optimal selenium status of the population. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*, 2015;31: 142–147.
- Ali B, Ali A, Tahir M and Ali S. Growth, Seed yield and quality of mungbean as influenced by foliar application of iron sulfate. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences*, 2014;12(1): 20-25.
- Allen L, Benoist B, Dary O and Hurrell R. Guidelines on food fortification with micronutrients. World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2006.
- Aragão FJL, Barros LMG, De Sousa MV, Grossi de Sá MF, Almeida ERP, Gander et al. Expression of a methionine-rich storage albumin from the Brazil nut (*Bertholletia excelsa* HBK, Lecythidaceae) in transgenic bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L., Fabaceae). *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 1999;22(3): 445-449.
- Beard JL. Iron biology in immune function, muscle metabolism and neuronal functioning. *The Journal of nutrition*, 2001;131(2): 568S-580S.

217 Beard JL & Connor JR. Iron status and neural functioning. Annual review of nutrition, 2003;23(1): 41-58.

218 Beebe S, Gonzalez AV and Rengifo J. Research on trace minerals in the common bean. Food and  
219 Nutrition Bulletin, 2000;21(4): 387-391.

220 Bharati HP, Kavthekar SO, Kavthekar SS and Kurane AB. Prevalence of micronutrient deficiencies  
221 clinically in rural school going children. International Journal of Contemporary Pediatrics, 2018;5(1): 234-  
222 238.

223 Bhatnagar M, Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Reddy SD, Anjaiah V and Sharma K K. Crop Biofortification Through  
224 Genetic Engineering: Present Status and Future Directions. Institute of Biotechnology, Acharya NG  
225 Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad 500 030 India. 2011.  
226 <file:///H:/Papers/Bio/2011Bhatnagaretal.BiofortRev.392-407.pdf>.

227 Biari A, Gholami A and Rahmani HA. Growth promotion and enhanced nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea*  
228 *mays* L.) by application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in arid region of Iran. Journal of Biological  
229 Science, 2008;8: 1015–1020.

230 Blair MW, Astudillo C, Grusak MA, Graham R and Beebe SE. Inheritance of seed iron and zinc  
231 concentrations in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Molecular Breeding, 2009;23(2): 197-207.

232 Bouis HE and Saltzman A. Improving nutrition through biofortification: A review of evidence from Harvest  
233 Plus, 2003 through 2016. Global Food Security, 2017;12 :49–58.

234 Bouis HE and Welch RM. Biofortification—a sustainable agricultural strategy for reducing micronutrient  
235 malnutrition in the global south. Crop Science, 2010;50: S-20.

236 Bouis HE, Eozenou P and Rahman A. Food prices, household income, and resource allocation:  
237 socioeconomic perspectives on their effects on dietary quality and nutritional status. Food and Nutrition  
238 Bulletin, 2011;32 (1): S14–S23.

239 Broadley MR, White PJ, Bryson RJ, Meacham MC, Bowen HC, Johnson SE et al.. Biofortification of UK  
240 food crops with selenium. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 2006;65(2): 169-181.

241 Brown KH, Pearson JM, Rivera J & Allen LH. Effect of supplemental zinc on the growth and serum zinc  
242 concentrations of prepubertal children: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. The American  
243 journal of clinical nutrition, 2002;75(6): 1062-1071.

244 Bürkert B, and Robson A. 65Zn uptake in subterranean clover (*Trifolium subterraneum* L.) by three  
245 vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a root-free sandy soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 1994;  
246 26(9): 1117-1124.

247 Cakmak I. Agronomic biofortification. Conference brief #8, In: Proceedings of the 2nd Global Conference  
248 on Biofortification: Getting Nutritious Foods to People, Rwanda. 2014.

249 Cakmak I, Pfeiffer WH, McClafferty B. Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc and iron. *Cereal*  
 250 *Chemistry*. 2010; 87(1): 10-20.

251 CDC. Breastfeeding Report Card, United states: Outcome Indicators (Publication, from Centers for  
 252 Disease Control and Prevention, National Immunization Survey. 2010.

253 Cui H, Kong Y & Zhang H. Oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and aging. *Journal of signal*  
 254 *transduction*, 2012; pp. 13.

255 Dary M, Chamber-Peerez MA, Palomares AJ, and Pajuelo E. "In situ" phytostabilisation of heavy metal  
 256 polluted soils using *Lupinus luteus* inoculated with metal resistant plant-growth promoting rhizobacteria.  
 257 *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2010; 177(1): 323-330.

258 De Santiago A, Quintero JM, Aviles M and Delgado A. Effect of *Trichoderma asperellum* strain T34 on  
 259 iron, copper, manganese, and zinc uptake by wheat grown on a calcareous medium. *Plant and Soil*,  
 260 2011;342(1-2): 97-104.

261 De Valena AW, Bake A, Brouwer I D and Giller K E. Agronomic biofortification of crops to fight hidden  
 262 hunger in sub-Saharan Africa. *Global food security*, 2017;12: 8-14.

263 Diapari M, Sindhu A, Warkentin TD, Bett K and Tar'an B. Population structure and marker-trait  
 264 association studies of iron, zinc and selenium concentrations in seed of field pea (*Pisum sativum*  
 265 L.). *Molecular Breeding*, 2015;35(1): 30.

266 Dinkins RD, Reddy MS, Meurer CA, Yan B, Trick H, Thibaud-Nissen F et al.. Increased sulfur amino acids  
 267 in soybean plants over expressing the maize 15 kDa zein protein. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental*  
 268 *Biology-Plant*, 2001;37(6): 742-747.

269 Duffner A, Hoffland E, Stomph TJ, Melse-Boonstra A, Bindraban PS. Eliminating zinc deficiency in rice-  
 270 based systems. VFRC Report 2014/2. Virtual Fertilizer Research Center, Washington, D.C. 2014.

271 Elahi MM, Kong YX and Matata BM. Oxidative stress as a mediator of cardiovascular disease. *Oxidative*  
 272 *medicine and cellular longevity*, 2009;2(5): 259-269.

273 Failla ML. Trace elements and host defense: recent advances and continuing challenges. *The Journal of*  
 274 *nutrition*, 2003;133(5): 1443S-1447S.

275 FAO, IFAD, WFP. The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2015. FAO, Rome. 2015.

276 Fasim F, Ahmed N, Parsons R and Gadd GM. Solubilization of zinc salts by a bacterium isolated from  
 277 the air environment of a tannery. *FEMS microbiology letters*, 2002;213(1): 1-6.

278 Flores T, Karpova O, Su X, Zeng P, Bilyeu K, Slepier DA et al. Silencing of GmFAD3 gene by siRNA leads  
 279 to low  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids (18: 3) of fad3-mutant phenotype in soybean [*Glycine max* (Merr.)]. *Transgenic*  
 280 *research*, 2008;17(5): 839-850.

281 Fordyce FM. Selenium deficiency and toxicity in the environment. In Essentials of medical geology.  
 282 Springer, Dordrecht. 2013;pp. 375-416

283 Garcia-Banuelos ML, Sida-Arreola JP and Sanches E. Biofortification – promising approach to increasing  
 284 the content of iron and zinc in staple food crops. Journal of Elementology, 2014;19(3): 865–888.

285 Garg M, Sharma N, Sharma S, Kapoor P, Kumar A, Chunduri V et al. Biofortified crops generated by  
 286 breeding, agronomy, and transgenic approaches are improving lives of millions of people around the  
 287 world. Frontiers in nutrition, 2018;5: 12. [doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2018.00012](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2018.00012)

288 Garrett RG, Gawalko E, Wang N, Richter A and Warkentin TD. Macro-relationships between regional-  
 289 scale field pea (*Pisum sativum*) selenium chemistry and environmental factors in western  
 290 Canada. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 2013;93(6):1059-1071.

291 Gilbert C and Foster A. Childhood blindness in the context of VISION 2020: the right to sight. Bulletin of  
 292 the World Health Organization, 2001;79: 227-232.

293 Glick BR. The enhancement of plant growth by free-living bacteria. Canadian journal of  
 294 microbiology, 1995;41(2): 109-117.

295 Global Hunger Index, 2018.

296 Global Nutrition report, 2018.

297 Graham RD, Welch RM & Bouis H. Addressing micronutrient malnutrition through enhancing the  
 298 nutritional quality of staple foods: principles, perspectives and knowledge gaps. Advances in Agronomy,  
 299 2001;70: 77–142.

300 Hambidge KM and Walravens PA. Disorders of mineral metabolism. Clinics in  
 301 gastroenterology, 1982;11(1): 87-117.

302 Hanafy MS, Rahman SM, Nakamoto Y, Fujiwara T, Naito S, Wakasa K. et al. Differential response of  
 303 methionine metabolism in two grain legumes, soybean and azuki bean, expressing a mutated form of  
 304 Arabidopsis cystathionine  $\gamma$ -synthase. Journal of plant physiology, 2013;170(3): 338-345.

305 Hidoto L, Worku W, Mohammed H and Taran B. Agronomic Approach to Increase Seed Zinc Content and  
 306 Productivity of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Varieties on Zinc Deficient Soils of Southern Ethiopia.  
 307 Advances in Life Science and Technology, 2016;42.

308 Hidoto L, Worku W, Mohammed H and Taran B. Effects of zinc application strategy on zinc content and  
 309 productivity of chickpea grown under zinc deficient soils. Journal of Soil Science and Plant  
 310 Nutrition, 2017;17(1): 112-126.



311 Hidoto L, Tar'an B, Worku W and Mohammed H. Towards zinc biofortification in chickpea: performance of  
 312 chickpea cultivars in response to soil zinc application. *Agronomy*, 2017;7(1): 11.

313 Hussain S, Maqsood M A and Rahmatullah M. Increasing grain zinc and yield of wheat for the  
 314 developing world: A Review. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*, 2010; 326-339.

315 Hussain S, Maqsood MA, Rengel Z, Aziz T and Abid M. Estimated zinc bioavailability in milling fractions  
 316 of biofortified wheat grains and in flours of different extraction rates. *International Journal of Agriculture  
 317 and Biology*, 2013;15(5): 921–926.

318 (ICMR (2010) <http://icmr.nic.in/final/rda-2010.pdf>)

319 Johnson S E, Lauren JG, Welch RM and Duxbury JM. A comparison of the effects of micronutrient seed  
 320 priming and soil fertilization on the mineral nutrition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*), lentil (*Lens culinaris*),  
 321 rice (*Oryza sativa*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in Nepal. *Experimental Agriculture*, 2005;41(4): 427-  
 322 448.

323 Khalid S, Asghar HN, Akhtar MJ, Aslam A and Zahir ZA. Biofortification of iron in chickpea by plant  
 324 growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 2015;47(3): 1191-1194.

325 King JC, Brown KH, Gibson RS, Krebs NF, Lowe NM, Siekmann JH et al. Biomarkers of Nutrition for  
 326 Development (BOND)—zinc review. *The Journal of nutrition*, 2015;146(4): 858S-885S.

327 Klauni EJ and Kamendulis ML. The role of oxidative stress in carcinogenesis. *Annual Review of  
 328 Pharmacology and Toxicology*, 2004;44: 239-267.

329 Koide RT, and Z Kabir. Extra radical hyphae of the mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices* can hydrolyse  
 330 organic phosphate. *New Phytologist*, 2000;148: 511–517.

331 Lawson PG, Daum D, Czauderna R, Meuser H and Harling JW. Soil versus foliar iodine fertilization as a  
 332 biofortification strategy for field-grown vegetables. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 2015;6: 450.

333 Manzeke GM, Mapfumo P, Mtambanengwe F, Chikowo R, Tendayi T, Cakmak I. Soil fertility  
 334 management effects on maize productivity and grain zinc content in smallholder farming systems of  
 335 Zimbabwe. *Plant and Soil*, 2012;361(1-2): 57–69.

336 Markesbery WR and Lovell MA. DNA oxidation in Alzheimer's disease. *Antioxidants and redox  
 337 signaling*, 2006;8(11-12): 2039-2045.

338 Márquez-Quiroz C, De-la-Cruz-Lázaro E, OsorioOsorio R, Sánchez-Chávez E. Biofortification of cowpea  
 339 beans with iron: iron's influence on mineral content and yield. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*,  
 340 2015;15(4): 839-847.

341 Martre P, Porter JR, Jamieson PD and Triboi E. Modeling grain nitrogen accumulation and protein  
 342 composition to understand the sink/source regulations of nitrogen remobilization for wheat. Plant  
 343 physiology, 2003;133(4): 1959-1967.

344 Meenakshi JV, Johnson NL, Manyong VM, DeGroote H, Javelosa J, Yanggen DR et al.. How cost-  
 345 effective is biofortification in combating micronutrient malnutrition? An ex ante assessment. World  
 346 Development, 2010;38(1):64-75.

347 Molina MG, Quiroz CM, de la Cruz Lazaro E, Martinez JRV, Parra JMS, Carrillo MG et al.. Biofortification  
 348 of cowpea beans (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) with iron and zinc. Mexican Journal of Agricultural  
 349 Sciences, 2016;17: 3427-3438.

350 Molvig L, Tabe LM, Eggum BO, Moore AE, Craig S, Spencer D et al. Enhanced methionine levels and  
 351 increased nutritive value of seeds of transgenic lupins (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.) expressing a sunflower  
 352 seed albumin gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 1997;94(16): 8393-8398.

353 Murgia I, Arosio P, Tarantino D, & Soave C. Biofortification for combating 'hidden hunger' for iron. Trends  
 354 in plant science, 2012;17(1): 47-55.

355 Nair RM, Thavarajah P, Giri RR, Ledesma D, Yang RY, Hanson P et al. Mineral and phenolic  
 356 concentrations of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek var. radiata] grown in semi-arid tropical  
 357 India. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 2015;39: 23-32.

358 Nandan B, Sharma BC, Chand G, Bazgalia K, Kumar R and Banotra M. Agronomic Fortification of Zn and  
 359 Fe in Chickpea an Emerging Tool for Nutritional Security – A Global Perspective. Acta Scientific  
 360 Nutritional Health, 2018;2(4): 12-19.

361 National Family Health Survey Report-4, M/o Health & Family Welfare (2015-16).

362 Norton LR and Hoffmann RP. Selenium and asthma. Molecular Aspects of Medicine, 2012;33(1): 98–  
 363 106.

364 Ofuya ZM, & Akhidue V. The role of pulses in human nutrition: a review. Journal of Applied Sciences and  
 365 Environmental Management, 2005;9(3): 99-104.

366 Petry N, Boy E, Wirth JP and Hurrell RF. Review: The potential of the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*)  
 367 as a vehicle for iron biofortification. Nutrients, 2015;7: 1144–1173.

368 Phattarakul N, Rerkasem B, Li LJ, Wu LH, Zou CQ, Ram H et al. Biofortification of rice grain with zinc  
 369 through zinc fertilization in different countries. Plant and Soil, 2012;361(1-2): 131–141.

370 Phi QT, Park YM, Seul KJ, Ryu CM, Park SH, Kim JGet al. Assessment of root-associated Paenibacillus  
 371 polymyxa groups on growth promotion and induced systemic resistance in pepper. Journal of  
 372 Microbiology and Biotechnology, 2010;20(12): 1605-1613.



373 Pilbeam DJ, Greathead HMR and Drihem K. Selenium. In: AV Barker, DJ Pilbeam, eds. A handbook of  
 374 plant nutrition, 2nd edn. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2015;165–198.

375 Pixley KV, Palacios-Rojas N and Glahn RP. The usefulness of iron bioavailability as a target trait for  
 376 breeding maize (*Zea mays* L.) with enhanced nutritional value. *Field Crops Research*, 2011;123(2): 153-  
 377 160.

378 Podder R, Tar'an B, Tyler R, Henry C, DellaValle D and Vandenberg A. Iron fortification of lentil (*Lens*  
 379 *culinaris* Medik.) to address iron deficiency. *Nutrients*, 2017;9(8), p.863.

380 Prieto MB and Cid JLH. Malnutrition in the critically ill child: the importance of enteral  
 381 nutrition. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 2011;8(11): 4353-4366.

382 Rahman MM, Erskine W, Materne MA, McMurray LM, Thavarajah P, Thavarajah D et al. Enhancing  
 383 selenium concentration in lentil (*Lens culinaris* subsp. *culinaris*) through foliar application. *The Journal of*  
 384 *Agricultural Science*, 2015;153(4): 656-665.

385 Rana A, Joshi M, Prasanna R, Shivay YS, and Nain L. Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of  
 386 plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 2012;50:  
 387 118-126.

388 Ray H, Bett K, Tar'an B, Vandenberg A, Thavarajah D and Warkentin T. Mineral micronutrient content of  
 389 cultivars of field pea, chickpea, common bean, and lentil grown in Saskatchewan, Canada. *Crop*  
 390 *Science*, 2014;54(4): 1698-1708.

391 Rengel Z, Batten GD and Crowley DD. Agronomic approaches for improving the micronutrient density in  
 392 edible portions of field crops. *Field crops research*, 1999;60(1-2): 27-40.

393 Richardson AE. Prospects for using soil microorganisms to improve the acquisition of phosphorus by  
 394 plants. *Functional Plant Biology*, 2001;28(9): 897-906.

395 Rietra RPJJ, Heinen M, Dimpka C, Bindra PS. Effects of nutrient antagonism and synergism on  
 396 fertilizer use efficiency. VFRC Report 2015/5. Virtual Fertilizer Research Centre, Washington, DC. 2015;p  
 397 47.

398 Salih HO. Effect of Foliar Fertilization of Fe, B and Zn on nutrient concentration and seed protein of  
 399 Cowpea "*Vigna unguiculata*". *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 2013;6(3): 42-46.

400 Schmidt MA, Parrott WA, Hildebrand DF, Berg RH, Cooksey A, Pendarvis K et al. Transgenic soya bean  
 401 seeds accumulating  $\beta$ -carotene exhibit the collateral enhancements of oleate and protein content  
 402 traits. *Plant biotechnology journal*, 2015;13(4): 590-600.

403 Schneeman BO. Linking agricultural production and human nutrition. *Journal of the Science of Food and*  
 404 *Agriculture*, 2001;81(1): 3-9.

405 Shankar AH and Prasad AS. Zinc and immune function: the biological basis of altered resistance to  
 406 infection. The American journal of clinical nutrition, 1998;68(2): 447S-463S.

407 Shirley R, Ord E, and Work L. Oxidative stress and the use of antioxidants in  
 408 stroke. Antioxidants, 2014;3(3): 472-501.

409 Shivay YS, Prasad R and Pal M. Effects of source and method of zinc application on yield, zinc  
 410 biofortification of grain, and Zn uptake and use efficiency in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*  
 411 L.). Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2015;46(17): 2191-2200.

412 Sida-Arreola JP, Sánchez E, Ojeda-Barrios DL, Ávila-uezada GD, Flores-Córdova MA, Márquez-Quiroz C  
 413 et al. Can biofortification of zinc improve the antioxidant capacity and nutritional quality of  
 414 beans? Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture, 2017;29(3): p.237.

415 Singh MK and Prasad SK. Agronomic aspects of zinc biofortification in rice (*Oryza sativa*  
 416 L.). Proceedings of the national academy of sciences, India section B: biological Sciences, 2014;84(3):  
 417 613-623.

418 Smith SE, Read DJ. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. 3rd ed. London, UK: Elsevier 2007.

419 Smrkolj P, Germ M, Kreft I, & Stibilj V. Respiratory potential and Se compounds in pea (*Pisum sativum*  
 420 L.) plants grown from Se-enriched seeds. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2006;57(14): 3595-3600.

421 Smrkolj P, Osvald M, Osvald J, & Stibilj V. Selenium uptake and species distribution in selenium-  
 422 enriched bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) seeds obtained by two different cultivations. European Food  
 423 Research and Technology, 2007;225(2): 233-237.

424 Song S, Hou W, Godo I, Wu C, Yu Y, Matityahu I et al.. Soybean seeds expressing feedback-insensitive  
 425 cystathionine  $\gamma$ -synthase exhibit a higher content of methionine. Journal of experimental  
 426 botany, 2013;64(7): 1917-1926.

427 Sperotto RA, Ricachenevsky FK, de Abreu Waldow V and Fett JP. Iron biofortification in rice: it's a long  
 428 way to the top. Plant Science, 2012;190: 24-39.

429 Srivastava MP, Tewari R, and Sharma N. Effect of different cultural variables on siderophores produced  
 430 by *Trichoderma* spp. International Journal of Advance Research, 2013;1: 1-6.

431 Stein AJ, Meenakshi JV, Qaim M, Nestel P, Sachdev HPS, Bhutta ZA. Technical Monograph  
 432 4. Analysing the Health Benefits of Biofortified Staple Crops by Means of the Disability-Adjusted Life  
 433 Years Approach: A Handbook Focusing on Iron, Zinc and Vitamin A. Washington, WA: HarvestPlus.  
 434 2005.

435 Steinbrenner H, Al-Quraishy S, Dkhil MA, Wunderlich F and Sies H. Dietary selenium in adjuvant  
 436 therapy of viral and bacterial infections. Advances in nutrition, 2015;6(1): 73-82.

437 Subramanian KS, Tenshia V, Jayalakshmi K, & Ramach V. Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus  
 438 (*Glomus intraradices*)(fungus aided) in zinc nutrition of maize. Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and  
 439 Sustainable Development, 2009;1(1): 029-038.

440 Thavarajah D, Ruszkowski J, Vandenberg A. High potential for selenium biofortification of lentils (*Lens*  
 441 *culinaris* L.). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2008;57: 10747–10753.

442 Thavarajah D, Warkentin T, Vandenberg A.. Natural enrichment of selenium in Saskatchewan field peas  
 443 (*Pisum sativum* L.). Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 2010;90: 383–389.

444 Thavarajah P. Evaluation of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) micronutrient composition: Biofortification  
 445 opportunities to combat global micronutrient malnutrition. Food research international, 2012;49(1): 99-  
 446 104.

447 Thavarajah P, Sarker A, Materne M, Vandemark G, Shrestha R, Idrissi O et al. A global survey of effects  
 448 of genotype and environment on selenium concentration in lentils (*Lens culinaris* L.): implications for  
 449 nutritional fortification strategies. Food Chemistry, 2011;125(1):72-76.

450 Underwood EJ and Suttle NF. 3rd ed. Wallingford: CABI International Publishin. The mineral nutrition of  
 451 livestock, 1999;p. 614.

452 Vanlauwe B, Descheemaeker K, Giller KE, Huising J, Merckx R, Nziguheba G et al. Integrated soil fertility  
 453 management in sub-Saharan Africa: unravelling local adaptation. Soil, 2015;1(1): 491–508.

454 Ventura M, Melo M and Carrilho F. Selenium and Thyroid Disease: From Pathophysiology to Treatment.  
 455 International Journal of Endocrinology, 2017;9

456 Viteri FE. Anemia and physical work capacity. Clinics in Haematolgy, 1974;3:609-626.

457 Voortman R and Bindraban PS. Beyond N and P: Toward a land resource ecology perspective and  
 458 impactful fertilizer interventions in sub-Saharan Africa. VFRC Report 2015/1. Virtual Fertilizer Research  
 459 Center, Washington, DC. 2015.

460 Wani P, Khan M and Zaidi A. Co-inoculation of nitrogen-fixing and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria to  
 461 promote growth, yield and nutrient uptake in chickpea. Acta Agronomica Hungarica, 2007;55(3): 315-323.

462 White PJ and Broadley MR. Biofortifying crops with essential mineral elements. Trends in plant  
 463 science, 2005;10(12): 586-593.

464 White PJ and Broadley MR. Biofortifying crops with essential mineral elements. Trends in plant  
 465 science, 2005;10(12): 586-593.

466 White PJ and Broadley MR. Biofortification of crops with seven mineral elements often lacking in human  
 467 diets—iron, zinc, copper, calcium, magnesium, selenium and iodine. New Phytologist, 2009;182(1): 49-84.

468 Whiting SN, de Souza MP, and Terry N. Rhizosphere bacteria mobilize Zn for hyperaccumulation by  
469 *Thlaspi caerulescens*. Environmental Science and Technology, 2001;35: 3144–3150.

470 Yang F, Chen L, Hu Q and Pan G. Effect of the application of selenium on selenium content of soybean  
471 and its products. Biological Trace Element Research, 2003;93(1-3): 249-256.

472 Zhang L, Yang XD, Zhang YY, Yang J, Qi GX Guo DQ, Xing GJ et al. Changes in oleic acid content of  
473 transgenic soybeans by antisense RNA mediated posttranscriptional gene silencing. International journal  
474 of genomics, 2014;8.

475 Zhang Y, Shi R, Rezaul KM, Zhang F and Zou C. Iron and zinc concentrations in grain and flour of winter  
476 wheat as affected by foliar application. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 2010;58(23): 12268-  
477 12274.