Case report

CONTOURING AND PRESERVATION OF EMERGENCE PROFILE AROUND DENTAL IMPLANT IN ESTHETIC ZONE - CASE REPORT

ABSTRACT-

Despite successful osseointegration of dental implants, it does not ensure patient satisfaction. Patients can be dissatisfied with the definitive restoration because of a poor esthetic result. An esthetic implant restoration depends on correct implant placement and a well-designed & fabricated prosthesis that includes the prosthetic teeth and the surrounding whether it is acrylic resin or soft tissue. This article present a conservative treatment approach for replacement of missing tooth in esthetic zone with implant supported restoration and providing natural soft tissue emergence profile around dental implant.

Keywords: Anterior esthetic implant, emergence profile, customized prosthetic components, Immediate non function loading.

INTRODUCTION

Implantology becoming important in surgical dental practice and one of the major objectives of an implant is considered the successful osseointegration. Considering success as a goal, patient's expectations play a crucial role concerning aesthetic outcomes. Soft tissue health and esthetics are critical to patient's perception and this is the most challenging task

for clinician for successful restoration. Implant position, abutment selection and final restoration are important parameters for a successful long term results of implant therapy. Due to multiple surgical procedures soft tissue contours are often compromised and it affects the esthetic of final restoration. Balance and symmetry of gingival margin and emergence profile of restoration are imperative for esthetic results. The emergence profile around dental implant should mimic the adjacent natural tooth.

The term “emergence profile” was first used in 1977 by Stein and Kuwata[1] to describe tooth and crown contours as they traversed soft tissue and rise towards the contact area interproximally and height of contour bucally and lingually. The soft tissue contours created during the provisional restoration must be preserved on the definitive model to have an accurate communication with the laboratory. Direct and indirect techniques are used to create the emergence profile. Indirect techniques use the fabrication of custom impression copings, whereas direct techniques use the interim restoration or an in situ registration of the surrounding tissues.

The aim of the present clinical report is to create emergence profile by transferring the soft tissue contour from provisional to the final restoration and fabrication of final implant restoration with predictable esthetic results.

CLINICAL REPORT -

A 25 years old non-smoker, male patient presented at out patient department, department of prosthodontics Subharti Dental College & Hospital with the chief complaint of missing teeth upper front region since 4 years. On intraoral examination it was found that maxillary right central incisor was missing due to history of trauma and mesiodistal width

reduced to 4 mm due to drifting of abutment tooth. Routine laboratory examinations were requested and no general disease were reported. Patient were explained about different treatment options. As patient was willing for fixed implant supported restoration so orthodontic tooth movement was planned to gain optimum space upto 8mmm before implant placement. Patient was explained about the treatment plan before starting the procedure. A written informed consent was obtained from the patient and referred to the department of orthodontics to provide an optimum space between right lateral incisor and left central incisor for prosthetically driven implantation. Patient's gingiva was thick biotype which is suitable for creating emergence profile around dental implant.

After 8 months of completion of orthodontic treatment and obtaining sufficient space, implant surgery was planned. CBCT was obtained to select the implant sizes (fig 1). Local anesthetic solution was administered using lignocaine 2% (1:80,000) and full thickess mucoperiosteal flap was reflected. Osteotomy was prepared (using physiodispenser Aseptico) with sequential drilling and pilot drill angulation was checked to ensure final implant positioning (fig 2). 3.75 X 11.5 mm diameter (Alpha-bio SPI Dental Implant System, Israel) was placed (fig 3) and then stability of implant was checked using ostell devise (fig 4). The implant stability quotient value was 62 therefore immediate non-functional loading was planned. A provisional screw retained acrylic crown was prepared on definitive abutment and screwed on implant, flap was sutured using Vicryl 4-0 non resorbable interrupted sutures (fig 5). Post operatively patients asked to take antibiotic Augmentin 625 mg thrice daily for 5 days. Analgesic Diclofenac sodium twice daily for 5 days. Patients was also asked to perform routine oral hygiene and advised to rinse with chlorhexidine gluconate for a period of 15 days.

Patient was recalled after 2 weeks of surgery and sutures were removed and patient was advised to maintain the oral hygiene. After 1 month of surgery, orthodontic braces removed

and provision acrylic crown was relined by 3M ESPE light cured micro-filled composite to push the gingiva and to create the emergence profile (fig 6). Relining was done extra orally in which composite material was added on cervical 3rd of provisional crown to create the emergence profile closest to adjacent natural tooth.

After 3 month of surgery, emergence profile became scalloped (fig 7) by adding material on provisional restoration and due to pressure on soft peri-implant tissue. Crown was removed with abutment and placed in implant analogue. A putty index was made, later impression coping was placed in implant analogue. Then light cured composite material added in space between implant analogue and impression coping on the putty index (fig 8) and later customized impression coping was placed in patient's mouth(fig 9) and closed tray implant impression was made. Final implant restoration was fabricated on final cast and delivered according to the design made with the provisional restoration (fig 10). Postoperative OPG was obtained (fig 11) Follow up was done for 12 months after definitive prosthesis.

DISCUSSON-

In 1990, a photographic analysis of natural teeth by Croll, confirmed that most emergence profiles are relatively straight as opposed to convex or concave.[2] Improperly contoured emergence profile may accumulate plaque and are difficult to maintain their hygiene. Accordingly, properly contoured restoration with a natural emergence profile and gingival architecture that harmonizes with adjacent teeth is very important for aesthetic and functional implant therapy.[3] Neale and Chee in 1994 were perhaps the first to describe a technique for surgically sculpting soft tissue around an implant to more closely mimic nature.[4] More recent published technique describes modifying provisional crowns

incrementally rather than a surgical approach.[5] Aesthetic result of implant restorations depends on prosthetically and biologically driven implant placement, visually satisfying restoration and architecture of the surrounding peri-implant soft tissue.[6-9]

In the present case report emergence profile was created and transferred to the final restoration via indirect or extraoral method to minimize errors. This dynamic compression technique in the aesthetic zone is a clinical method based on the initial pressure and subsequent modification of provisional restoration by adding composite material to mimic the natural tooth contours. The dynamic compression technique described in this article differs from other techniques in that it reduces gingival trauma by eliminating the intraoral use of resin monomer and minimizing surgical procedures. There is no chemical or thermal insult to the tissues. By using properly contoured provisional restorations and taking advantage of the elastic nature of the gingiva, the need for additional surgeries may be reduced.

The described indirect technique will permit the dental laboratory to fabricate a customized definitive crown with optimum margin location relative to the patient's newly formed gingival margin. This will allow the crown margin and contours to fit as planned, and to support the custom-formed gingival contours for superior esthetic results.

The transfer of information to the laboratory is critical to achieve a successful esthetic outcome. Previous authors have described a technique whereby a final impression is made of the customized interim restoration itself, which is then placed back into the impression together with the implant analog before pouring the working cast[11] A major disadvantage of this procedure is that the patient has to wait to receive the interim restoration after the cast is

separated from the impression. In the described technique, however, the patient receives the interim restoration immediately, eliminating chair time, because most procedures are done in the laboratory. A further advantage of this technique is that direct tissue contact with resin while being added for sulcus modification is minimized, because the modified interim crown is only screwed in after being completely polymerized and polished extra orally.

Several procedures have been developed over the past few years to repair the defects resulting from tooth loss. The concept of atraumatic extraction followed by socket grafting and placement of an ovate pontic to preserve gingival architecture was presented by Schlar. In his original technique he recommended: (1) atraumatic extraction, (2) perforation of the socket wall to create a bleeding surface, (3) condensation of deproteinized bovine bone xenograft (Bio-Oss%) filled to the osseous crest, (4) placement of a collagen matrix material (CollaPlug&) over the graft, (5) horizontal mattress suture over the extraction site, in order to retain the graft and collagen matrix, (6) cyanoacrylate (Iso-Dent*) placed over the suture and collagen to harden the material and decrease permeability of this barrier, and (7) placement of an ovate pontic into the surgery site. The purpose of this article is to present a modified approach to what Schlar termed “The Bio-Col Technique[11].

CONCLUSION-

This case report aimed to restore the complicated case of anterior maxillary implant where aesthetic is of major concern. A successful emergence profile was created, preserved, transferred, and maintained in the long term with excellent aesthetic clinical outcomes.

REFERENCES-

1. Stein RS, Kuwata M. A dentist and a dental technologist analyze current ceramo-metal procedures. Dent Clin North Am. 1977; 21:729-749.
2. Croll BM. Emergence profiles in natural tooth contour. Part II: Clinical considerations. J Prosthet Dent. 1990; 63:374-379.
3. Wöhrle PS. Nobel perfect esthetic scalloped implant: rationale for a new design. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2003; 5(Suppl 1):64-73.
4. Neale D, Chee WW. Development of implant soft tissue emergence profile: a technique. J Prosthet Dent. 1994; 71:364-368
5. Wittneben JG, Buser D, Belser UC, Braegger U. Peri implant soft tissue conditioning with provisional restorations in the esthetic zone: the dynamic compression technique. Int J periodontics Restorative Dent. 2013; 33:447-455.
6. Brugnami F, Caleffi C. Prosthetically driven implant placement. How to achieve the appropriate implant site development. Keio J Med. 2005; 54:172-178.
7. Cooper LF. Objective criteria: guiding and evaluating dental implant esthetics. J EsthetRestor Dent. 2008; 20:195-205.
8. Buser D, Wittneben J, Bornstein MM, Grutter L, Chappuis V, Belser UC. Stability of contour augmentation and esthetic outcomes of implant-supported single crowns in the esthetic zone: 3-year results of a prospective study with early implant placement postextraction. J Periodontol. 2011; 82:342-349.
9. Hermann JS, Buser D, Schenk RK, Higginbottom FL, Cochran DL. Biologic width around titanium implants. A physiologically formed and stable dimension over time. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2000; 11:1-11.
10. Phillips K, Kois JC: Aesthetic peri-implant site development: the restorative connection. Dent Clin North Am 1998;42:57-70

11. Fowler EB , Whicker R. Modified Approach to the Bio-Col Ridge Preservation Technique: A Case Report . J Contemp Dent Pract 2004 August;(5)3:082-096.

LIST OF FIGURES-

FIGURES

Fig 1. Pre-operative OPG



Fig 2. Osteotomy site prepared and angulation checked to ensure final implant position.



Fig 3. Minimally invasive implant placement, right central incisor



Fig 4. The implant stability quotient value

Fig 5. Immediate non functional loading with provisional acrylic crown

Fig 6. One month post-operative clinical view

Fig 7. Creation of planned soft tissue esthetic emergence profile



Fig 8. a. The provisional restoration connected to an implant analog.

b. filing the discrepancy with acrylic resin.

Fig 9 The customized impression post.

Fig 10. The ceramic restoration after cementation.

Fig 11. Post operative OPG