1	Case	study
---	------	-------

2 Intrahepatic multicystic/ biliary hamartomas: presentation of a case report and

magnetic resonance imaging /magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography findings
 4

5	Abstract : Biliary hamartomas, known as von Meyenburg complexes (VMCs), are benign
6	liver malformations. They are histologically characterized by cystic dilated bile ducts
7	surrounded by numerous fibrous stromal elements measuring up to 5 mm in diameter.
8	Incidental detection of VMCs by autopsy is difficult. Detection of VMCs by imaging is also
9	difficult because of their asymptomatic nature and small size and also the rarity. Moreover,
10	they are easily confused with metastatic diseases of the liver, especially on imaging.
11	A 39-year-old man presented to our hospital with a 6-month history of recurrent nonspecific
12	abdominal pain. Abdominal ultrasonography (US) revealed multiple cystic lesions in the liver.
13	The diagnosis of metastases was suggested. However, the final diagnosis of VMCs was
14	confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging and magnetic resonance
15	cholangiopancreatography.
16	This case report highlights the routine differential diagnosis of biliary hamartomas by
17	magnetic resonance imaging and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography.
18	
19	Key words : biliary hamartomas, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), magnetic resonance
20	cholangiopancreatography(MRCP)
21	Introduction
22	
23	Biliary hamartomas, known as von Meyenburg complexes (VMCs), are benign liver
24	malformations. They are histologically characterized by cystic dilated bile ducts surrounded
25	by numerous fibrous stromal elements measuring up to 5 mm in diameter [1,2]. Incidental

26	detection of VMCs by autopsy is difficult. Detection of VMCs by imaging is also difficult
27	because of their asymptomatic nature and small size [3]. VMCs are also rare. Moreover, they
28	are easily confused with metastatic lesions of the liver, especially on imaging [4].
29	
30	Therefore, an understanding of the imaging traits of VMCs is needed to establish a list of
31	differential diagnoses, which will decrease the need for methods such as biopsy or laparotomy
32	[5]. We herein report a case of VMCs and describe the routine diagnostic magnetic resonance
33	imaging (MRI) and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) findings of
34	biliary hamartomas.
35	
36	
37	Case report
38	
39	A 39-year-old man presented to our hospital with a 6-month history of recurrent nonspecific
40	abdominal pain. Physical examination findings were unremarkable. Laboratory examination
41	results were normal with the exception of a slight elevation of gamma-glutamyl transferase
42	(142 mg/dL; reference range, 0–55 mg/dL).Tumor markers were normal. His mother has
43	history of biliary hamartomas. Patient has no alarm symptoms and has no weight loss Body
44	mass index was normal .Abdominal ultrasonography (US) revealed multiple cystic lesions in
45	the liver that appeared similar to metastases. Subsequent MRI showed multiple small cysts
46	that were hypointense on T1-weighted images (Fig. 1a,b) and hyperintense on T2-weighted
47	images; they were scattered in the liver parenchyma (Fig. 2a,b). MRCP showed small cysts
48	distributed uniformly within the contour of the liver, creating a "starry sky" configuration
49	(Fig. 3a, b).

51 The patient was diagnosed with multiple VMCs based on the typical MRI features.

52 Verification using these imaging techniques within the 6-month follow-up confirmed the

53 diagnosis of VMCs.

54 After 6 months of follow-up, the lesions remained stable.

55

56 **Discussion**

57

58 A VMC is a benign congenital malformation of the biliary duct. It was first defined in 1918 59 by von Meyenburg [6]. They originate from embryonic bile ducts that fail to involute. VMCs 60 are ductal plate malformations. Ductal plate malformations include different polycystic liver 61 and kidney diseases, Caroli disease and Caroli syndrome, congenital hepatic fibrosis, and 62 biliary atresia. VMCs may be isolated or associated with one or several of these 63 malformations. Biliary hamataromas are rare, clinically asymptomatic, and diagnosis is 64 usually incidental. Technical/advances in radiology have made them easily detectable 65 providing more accuracy rate diagnosis to avoid biopsy, which should be performed for confirmation of diagnosis when in doubt [7]. Von Meyenburg complexes are one of the 66 67 polycystic liver diseases, characterized by bile duct hamartomas. These cysts come from the biliary tract but the cysts do not communicate with them. Because of asymptomatic course, 68 69 the lesions usually are confirmed in the course of diagnosis for another reason. It is not 70 possible to define the entire diagnosis based upon ultrasonography imaging, as cyst could 71 mimic metastasis, micro-abscesses and multiple focal nodular lesions. Because of the small 72 size of the lesions (0.5-15 mm), computed tomography is may be also inconclusive. On the 73 basis of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and cholangio-MRI we can determine the 74 diagnosis of the complexes. Liver biopsy is obligatory in case of suspicion of a neoplastic 75 process. These complexes do not require treatment, but a long-term follow-up is indicated

because of the risk of cholangiocarcinoma development in a patient with von Meyenburg
complexes.Although jaundice and portal hypertension may be caused by a mass effect,
patients are usually asymptomatic [8].

79 The prevalence of VMCs on autopsy ranges from 0.6% to 2.8% [9]. Histologically, the 80 lesions include disorganized and dilated bile ducts and ductules surrounded by fibrous stroma [10]. US imaging shows hypoechoic, hyperechoic, or mixed heterogenic echoic structures 81 [1,3,4]. The multiple comet-tail sign is considered to be a specific US finding of VMCs [3]. 82 83 Additionally, lesional echogenicity might be related to the number and size of dilated bile 84 ducts and the degree of fibrosis [10]. Sonographic findings of VMCs vary and are not very 85 specific. Liver parenchymal echotexture often appears heterogeneous and coarse. VMC 86 appear as multiple micro-nodules, either hypo- or hyperechoic These micronodules are often 87 very tiny and may show comet-tail artifacts, which explains why they are difficult to differentiate from aerobilia and from intrahepatic stones [6,9,12]. Variations in imaging 88 89 findings may be explained by the difference in number and size of the dilated bile duct 90 (hypoechoic lesions), and by the different density of the fibrous tissue surrounding them 91 (hyperechoic) This explains why on sonography VMC can be confused with liver metastases, 92 micro-abscesses, biliary stones or fibrosis[5] 93 In contrast, enhanced computed tomography shows that VMCs are usually of low attenuation

with irregular margins. Most reported cases have suggested that VMCs do not demonstrate
contrast enhancement [3,10]. They are difficult to characterize due to their small size, often
below the centimeter. It is impossible to exclude the possibility that the lesions are small
metastases, in particular in a patient with known primary neoplasm [13].On MRI, VMCs are
defined as hypointense on T1 and hyperintense on T2 compared to the surrounding liver
parenchyma [1,10]. VMCs are often irregular in shape with well-defined margins. On

100 diffusion-weighted MRI, they mimic cystic lesions. On heavily T2-weighted sequences, the

101	contrast with liver parenchyma is more marked, and the signal intensity is identical to that of
102	the cerebrospinal fluid [9,12]. Because of a high contrast resolution, MR cholangiography
103	reveals more VMCs and highlights those that are smaller [12,15]. MR cholangiography also
104	makes it possible to see if there is any communication between VMCs and the biliary tree.
105	Intra- and extrahepatic bile ducts look normal [6,14]. On T1-weighted MR images obtained
106	after intravenous administration of gadolinium chelate, VMC may display different patterns.
107	They can show no enhancement [6,9] or display a thin, regular rim of enhancement on early
108	dynamic images that persist on late images . This enhancement correlates with compressed
109	liver parenchyma that surrounds the lesions [5]. Finally, in a recent study, a small enhancing
110	mural nodule can be observed in 9/11 patients, correlating at histopathologic examination
111	with polypoid projection [14]. VMCs do not communicate with the intrahepatic bile ducts.
112	The administration of contrast medium that has biliary excretion does not result in a change of
113	the signal inside VMCs unlike saccular dilatations observed in Caroli disease. To date, MRI is
114	considered as the best imaging tool to assess VMCs MR cholangiography sequences and,
115	more generally, heavily T2-weighted sequences are essential for differential diagnosis
116	MRCP can also help the differantion of VMCs from liver metastases, polycystic disease and
117	Caroli disease, requiring the admistration of intravenous gadolinium.Contrast enhancement is
118	seen metastatic lesions and Caroli Disease, and lack of communication the biliary tree can be
119	observed in the later [16]
120	

121 Although VMCs are benign, some reports have described hepatic malignancies with a

background of VMCs, including hepatocellular carcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma [17].

123 VMCs are rare and usually only seen as multiple small nodules. They are sometimes confused

124 with metastatic liver disease, microabscesses, diffuse primary hepatocellular carcinoma,

biliary cysts, or Caroli disease [1,6,9]. When it is diagnosed, patients require monitoring

- because of malignant transformation to hepatic cholangiocarcinoma. The use of Ca 19-9 to
- 127 diagnose malignant transformation should be discouraged, since persistent elevation of this
- tumor marker has been described with multiple biliary hamartomas without
- 129 malignancy[18,19]. In case of alarm symptoms or elevation of the tumor marker, perform
- 130 MRCP. If a suspicious lesion is found consider a biopsy.
- 131 There was no significant lesion and elevation of the tumor marker after 6 months of follow-132 up.
- 133

134 Conclusion

- 135 VMCs are not so rare imaging findings in everyday practice and are easily recognizable and
- differentiated from other intrahepatic conditions by MRI and MR cholangiography. Once
- 137 diagnosed, may be present in more complex pathologies and have a potential for malignant
- transformation.VMC could easily be considered as minor malformations. Although it is
- impossible to consider genetic screening for diffuse VMC or regularly monitor patients with
- 140 VMC, it is important to remember that VMC
- 141 The use of various imaging modalities with follow-up has proven helpful for the diagnosis of
- 142 VMCs. A correct diagnosis is easier to reach when typical imaging findings are present.
- 143 Otherwise, histological verification may be needed.

144 **Consent Disclaimer:**

- As per international standard or university standard, patient's consent has been collected andpreserved by the author.
- 147
- 148
- 149
- 150

151 **References**

- 152
- 153 1. Lev-Toaff AS, Bach AM, Wechsler RJ, Hilpert PL, Gatalica Z, Rubin R. The radiologic
- and pathologic spectrum of biliary hamartomas. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1995; 165: 309 –313.
- 155 2. Wei SC, Huang GT, Chen CH, et al. Bile duct hamartomas. A report of two cases. J Clin
- 156 Gastroenterol 1997; 25: 608–611.
- 157 3. Luo TY, Itai Y, Eguchi N, et al. Von Meyenburg complexes of the liver: imaging findings. J
- 158 Comput Assist Tomogr 1998; 22: 372–378.
- 159 4. Cooke JC, Cooke DA. The appearances of multiple biliary hamartomas of the liver (von
- 160 Meyenberg complexes) on computed tomography. Clin Radiol 1987; 38: 101–102.
- 161 5. Mortelé B, Mortelé K, Seynaeve P, Vandevelde D, Kunnen M, Ros PR. Hepatic bile duct
- 162 hamartomas (von Meyenburg Complexes): MR and MR cholangiography findings. J Comput
- 163 Assist Tomogr 2002; 26: 438–443.
- 164 6. Zheng RQ, Zhang B, Kudo M, Oanda H, Inoue H. Imaging findings of biliary hamartomas.
- 165 World J Gastroenterol. 2005;13(40):6354–6359.
- 166 7. Quentin M, Scherer A. The "von Meyenburg Complex". Hepatology 2010; 52: 1167-8. 4.
- 167 Davidoff S, Kim S, Friedman B. Von Meyenburg Complexes (Bile duct hamartomas). Clin
- 168 Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006; 4.
- 169 8. Wajtryt O, Tomczak E, Zielonka TM, Rusinowicz T, Kaszyńska A, Życińska K. Von
- 170 Meyenburg complexes. case report. Wiad Lek. 2017;70(6 pt 1):1137–1141.
- 171 9. Wohlgemuth WA, Bottger J, Bohndorf JB. MRI, CT, US and ERCP in the evaluation of
- bile duct hamartomas (von Meyenburgcomplex): A case report. Eur Radiol, 1998; 8:1623–
- 173 1626.
- 174 10. Markhard BK, Rubens DJ, Huang J, Dogra VS. Sonographic, Features of Biliary
- Hamartomas with Histopathologic Correlation.J Ultrasound Med, 2006; 25:1631–1633.

- 176 11. Yong Moon Shin. Biliary hamartroma presented as a single mass. The Korean Journal of
- 177 Hepatology, 2011; 17:331–334.
- 178 12.Erlinger S. Low phospholipid-associated cholestasis and cholelithiasis. Clin Res Hepatol
- 179 Gastroenterol 2012;36:S36–40.
- 180 13.Legou F, Chiaradia M, Baranes L, et al. Imaging strategies before beginning treatment of
- 181 colorectal liver metastases. Diagn Interv Imaging 2014;95:505–12.
- 182 14.Tohmé-Noun C, Cazals D, et al.Multiple biliary hamartomas: magnetic resonance features
- 183 with histopathologic correlation. Eur Radiol 2008;18:493–9.
- 184 15.Nagano Y, Matsuo K, Gorai K, et al. Bile duct hamartomas (von Mayenburg complexes)
- 185 mimicking liver metastases from bile duct cancer: MRC findings. World J Gastroenterol
- **186** 2006;12:1321–3.
- 187 16. Jáquez-Quintana JO, Reyes-Cabello, EA, Bosques-Padilla FJ.Multiple Biliary
- 188 Hamartomas, The "Von Meyenburg Complexes". Ann Hepatol. 2017 Sep-Oct;16(5):812–
- 189 813.
- 190 17. Maher MM, Dervan P, Keogh B, Murray JG. Bile ducthamartomas (von Meyenburg
- complexes): Value of MR imaging indiagnosis. Abdominal Imaging, 1999; 24:171–173.
- 192 18. Souza-Gallardo LM, de la Fuente-Lira M, Galaso-Trujillo R, Martínez-Ordaz JL.
- 193 Elevación persistente de Ca 19-9 y un hallazgo inesperado. Reporte de un caso. Cirugía y
- 194 Cirujanos .2017; 85:449–453.
- 195 19. Morinagaa T, Katsunoria I, Yamashitaa YI, et al. Multicystic biliary hamartomas with
- extremely elevated CA 19-9: a case report. Scand J Gastroenterol 2017; 52: 916–9.
- 197
- 198 Figure1A: T2-weighted three-dimensional magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography
- images (coronal plane). Multiple hyperintense cysts with scattered placement are observed in

the liver parenchyma, the largest diameter reaching about 2 cm. No significant association
between the cysts and biliary ducts is present.

Figure 1b: T2-weighted three-dimensional magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography images (coronal plane). Multiple hyperintense cysts with scattered placement are observed in the liver parenchyma, the largest diameter reaching about 2 cm. No significant association between the cysts and biliary ducts is present.

- Figure2a :T1-weighted contrast-enhanced axial fat-suppressed sequences. (a, b) Multiple
- 208 hypointense cysts, the largest of which is 2 cm in diameter, are observed in the liver
- 209 parenchyma without contrast enhancement.
- Figure 2b :T1-weighted contrast-enhanced axial fat-suppressed sequences. (a, b) Multiple
- 211 hypointense cysts, the largest of which is 2 cm in diameter, are observed in the liver
- 212 parenchyma without contrast enhancement.
- Figure 3a :Multiple hyperintense cysts in the liver parenchyma. (a) Coronal-plane T2-
- 214 weighted sequence, (b) axial fat-suppressed T2-weighted sequence
- Figure 3b: Multiple hyperintense cysts in the liver parenchyma. (a) Coronal-plane T2-
- 216 weighted sequence, (b) axial fat-suppressed T2-weighted sequence.
- 217
- 218
- 219





226Figure 1b



229 Figure2a



233 Figure 2b



