

Interaction of Eggplant Genotypes by Cropping Systems and Correlations Between Characters

José Carlos Costa¹, Adônis Queiroz Mendes¹,
Islan Diego Espindula de Carvalho^{2*}, Jackson da Silva²,
José Luiz Sandes de Carvalho Filho², Dimas Menezes²

¹Federal Institute of Pernambuco (IFPE), Recife, PE, Brazil.

²Federal Rural University of Pernambuco (UFRPE), Recife, PE, Brazil.

ABSTRACT

The eggplant, *Solanum melongena* L. is a crop that is in the expansion phase, mainly due to the medicinal properties of its fruits in lowering cholesterol levels and blood pressure. The objective of this work was to evaluate eggplant genotypes in different cropping systems, identifying those most adapted to the Meso-region of Atlantic Forest. The experiment was conducted between December 2011 and May 2012, in the experimental area of the Department of Agronomy of the Federal Rural University of Pernambuco - UFRPE, Recife, PE, and at the Experimental Station Luiz Jorge da Gama Wanderley - IPA in Vitória de Santo Antão, PE, located in the Meso-region of Atlantic Forest. We assessed two open-pollinated cultivars and six eggplant hybrids in three cultivation systems: conventional, organic and hydroponic. A randomized complete block design with eight treatments and six replicates was used in each of the three systems. The hydroponic cultivation system exhibited the best results in all the genotypes in the studied variables, in which five hybrids presented better performance in this system. The hybrid of Ciça and Embu, open pollinated cultivar, showed no significant difference between the systems. The hybrids Comprida, Chica and Blanca showed higher commercial fruit yield in all cultivation systems. The hydroponic system presented that the majority of the genotypic and phenotypic correlations smaller than those of the conventional and organic systems.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

Keywords: *Solanum melongena* L., organic crop, hydroponics, conventional cropping.

1. INTRODUCTION

The eggplant, *Solanum melongena* L., is a vegetable that belongs to the Solanaceae family. Its cultivation has achieved good productivity and providing income on small properties agricultural. It is a source of flavonoids, alkaloids and steroids and their roots have antioxidant properties that can lower cholesterol level [1,2].

The improvement of *S. melongena* is well developed in several countries such as Turkey, India, China and Japan. However, cultivars of this species, often they have insufficient levels of resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses [3]. In the last thirty years, many F1 hybrids with differentiated phenotypes have been selected for characteristics of interest such as precocity, productivity, absence of spines and intense color [4,5].

In experiments, each cultivation system presents a differentiated management, whether in the conventional, organic or hydroponic system. In these evaluations, changes in the relative behavior of the genotype in different environments are generally observed, this phenomenon is called genotype-environment interaction (GxE), and should be estimated by the breeder to understand the performance of the genotype in different environments [6].

39 In conventional crops, vegetables grow on the soil with adequate supply of nutrients and water.
40 For better production, fertilizers are often used. Modern agricultural practices or conventional
41 ones are mainly characterized by the high dependence of external inputs, intensive use of
42 chemical products for pest control, intensive use of soil and monoculture of commercial species
43 [7].
44

45 The hydroponic cultivation of plants in Brazil has grown in recent years, seeking to meet a
46 market increasingly demanding in quality. Hydroponics presents a very promising technique,
47 due to its main advantages: control in the use of nutrients; anticipation of the harvest;
48 homogeneity of supply and product quality throughout the year; absence of crop rotation needs,
49 allowing the producer a very high level of specialization [8].
50

51 Another form of cultivation that has been gaining prominence is the organic system, mainly,
52 because, in the last decade, the level of awareness of the relationship between agriculture and
53 the environment, to natural resources and the quality of food, substantially increased [9].
54

55 The literature indicated that there is difference in production when the genotypes of vegetables
56 are submitted to different environments, mainly because the characters evaluated and of
57 greater economic interest generally are quantitative: production, height, diameter and several
58 other characters in diverse cultures. Quantitative characters, especially affected by the
59 environment, present frequent significance of this effect. The different conditions in the
60 vegetable production systems justify the search for information necessary for the rational
61 exploitation of existing resources [10,11].
62

63 The objective of this work was to evaluate eggplant genotypes in conventional culture systems,
64 organic and hydroponic, and to estimate the correlations between the variables analyzed in the
65 experiments.
66

67 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

68
69 The experiments were carried out between December 2011 and May 2012. The hydroponic
70 system was conducted in a protected environment in the experimental area of the Department
71 of Agronomy of the Federal Rural University of Pernambuco - UFRPE, Recife, PE, in Brazil,
72 located in the latitude of 8° 10' 52" S and longitude of 34° 54' 47" W. While experiments in
73 conventional and organic farming systems, were conducted at the Experimental Station Luiz
74 Jorge da Gama Wanderley, IPA, located in Vitória de Santo Antão, PE, located in the South
75 Latitude of 8° 8' 00" and West Longitude of 35° 22' 00", in the Meso-region of Atlantic Forest.
76

77 Six hybrids of eggplant were used: Girl, Ciça, Onaga, Violete, Roxelle and Blanca, and two
78 open-pollinated cultivars: Embu and Florida Market. These genotypes were evaluated in three
79 cultivation systems: the conventional, the organic and the hydroponic, in the randomized block
80 design. The useful part consisted of an area of 4.8 m² containing six plants, transplanted in
81 spacing of 1.0 m X 0.8 m in six replicates.
82

83 In the production of seedlings, trays of expanded polystyrene of 128 cells containing
84 commercial substrate and coconut powder in a ratio of 1:1. Three seeds were sown per cell.
85 The thinning was done 14 days after sowing, leaving one plant in each cell. The transplanting of
86 the seedlings to the definitive site was performed when the plants had six definitive leaves.
87 Experiments were realized weekly sprays preventive measures for the control of pests and
88 diseases.
89

90 In conventional and organic farming systems, the preparation of the area consisted of a soil
91 plowing at 30 cm depth, followed by harrowing. For the conventional cultivation system, the
92 fertilization was performed according to the soil analysis of the site. The planting fertilization
93 was composed of 6.5 g of urea, 140 g of single superphosphate and 21 g of potassium chloride
94 per plot of 4.8 m², plus two liters of barnyard manure tanned per linear meter of furrow. Three
95 cover fertilizations were carried out with 11.8 g of urea and 9.5 g of potassium chloride per
96 plant, in each application.
97

98 In the organic farming system, fertilization consisted of the addition of 3 liters of tanned corral
99 manure and 50 g of castor bean cake in each well [12]. Three cover fertilizations were
100 performed with 36 g of castor bean cake in each application. Phytosanitary treatments for this
101 system were restricted to weekly sprays with sulphocalcica (1%) and neem oil (5%). For
102 conventional cropping systems and organic were used irrigation by micro sprinkler.

103
104 In the hydroponic production system vessels were used with a capacity of five liters containing
105 washed coconut powder as substrate. The nutritional needs were supplied with nutrient solution
106 containing the essential macro and microelements, applied two to three times a day, by means
107 of a pressurized drip system.

108
109 The harvest was performed once a week, starting in March 2012 and ending in May 2012. The
110 fruits were harvested separately, when they reached the peak of growth, harvesting before they
111 begin to become fibrous. For all commercial fruits the following agronomic characteristics were
112 evaluated: average fruit mass, length, diameter, number of fruits per plant and production per
113 plant.

114
115 The collected data were submitted to analysis of the variance according to the experimental
116 design used, considering the fixed model. The significance of the analysis of variance was
117 tested by the F test and the comparison of means by the Scott-Knott test at 5% probability. We
118 also estimated the components of variance, from these estimates the phenotypic correlation
119 coefficients (r_P), genotypic (r_G) and environmental (r_E) for the evaluated characteristics, both
120 for the three environments together (joint analysis), as well as for each individual, conventional,
121 organic and hydroponic environment.

122
123 Then, the bootstrap method was used [13,14] with 10,000 simulations to verify the statistical
124 significance of the correlation estimates at the 1 and 5% probability level, and the t-test was
125 used for the phenotypic correlations. Statistical analyzes were carried out using the Genes
126 application [15].

127
128

129 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

130

131 The estimates with relationship analysis of genotypes in different environments were significant
132 by the F test at 5% probability for all characteristics evaluated, with the exception of the
133 genotype environment interaction of the characteristic fruit mean length, which was not
134 significant. This shows the existence of genetic variability for the other characteristics among
135 the genotypes used. This significance also implies the performance of open pollinated hybrids
136 and cultivars resulting from the influence of each cultivation system.

137

138 The analysis of joint variance of the characteristics evaluated indicated the environments as
139 being the main source of variation, although it has also occurred for genotypes and for genotype
140 environment interaction in all characteristics evaluated, evidencing differentiated performances
141 of the genotypes due to the environmental variation.

142

143 There were significant differences between the environments averages for the characteristics
144 evaluated (Table 1), indicating a broad range of variation in the environmental conditions in
145 which the experiments were conducted.

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

Table 1. Mean estimates for mean fruit diameter (DMF), mean fruit length (CPM), average mass of fruits per plant (MMF), number of fruits per plant (NMF), average yield of fruits per plant (PMF) of eggplant genotypes evaluated in three environments.

Genotypes	Characters								
	Diameter (cm) ¹			Length (cm) ¹			Mass(g) ¹		
	Conventional	Organic	Hydroponics	Conventional	Organic	Hydroponics	Conventional	Organic	Hydroponics
Comprida	3.78Be	3.43Bd	4.37Ad	28.20Aa	24.67Ba	27.95Aa	158.33Bd	121.67Bb	230.00Ac
Chica	6.48Bd	6.50Bc	7.23Ac	13.90Ab	12.90Ab	12.90Ab	200.00Ac	195.00Aa	221.67Ac
Embu	6.95Bc	6.60Bc	7.33Ac	12.42Ab	12.65Ab	13.63Ab	200.83Ac	180.00Aa	225.50Ac
Viollete	8.58Aa	6.85Bb	8.62Ab	11.84Ab	12.37Ab	13.02Ab	305.83Ab	211.67Ba	334.17Aa
Roxelle	8.68Ba	7.60Ca	9.37Aa	10.17Ab	8.97A c	10.67Ab	284.12Bb	190.00Ca	330.00Aa
Blanca	8.58Aa	7.95Bb	8.80Ab	12.34Ab	10.52Ab	12.85Ab	358.33Aa	243.33Ba	373.33Aa
Ciça	6.92Ac	6.35Bc	7.23Ac	11.27Bb	14.27Ab	15.82Ab	217.50Bc	198.33Ba	263.33Ab
Florida Market	7.75Bb	6.82Cb	8.33Ab	11.98Ab	10.42Ab	12.23Ab	223.33Bc	196.67Ba	281.67Ab

Genotypes	Characters					
	Number of fruits per plant ¹			Production (kg / plant) ¹		
	Conventional	Organic	Hydroponics	Conventional	Organic	Hydroponics
Comprida	15Ba	14Ba	23Ab	2.48Bb	1.75Ba	5.40Ab
Chica	16Ba	10Ca	26Aa	3.27Bb	2.02Ca	5.76Ab
Embu	13Ab	11Aa	13Ad	2.63Ab	1.95Aa	2.95Ad
Viollete	12Bb	10Ba	15Ad	3.87 Ba	2.18Ca	5.27Ab
Roxelle	12Bb	12Ba	18Ac	3.48Bb	2.20Ca	5.92Ab
Blanca	13Bb	13Ba	21Ab	4.78Ba	3.13Ca	8.15Aa
Ciça	14Ab	12Aa	14Ad	2.98Bb	2.40Ba	3.93c
Florida Market	11Bb	11Ba	19Ac	2.50Bb	2.27Ba	5.43Ab

¹ Means followed by different letters, capital letters between the environments and lowercase letters between genotypes differ by Scott-Knott test ($P < 0.05$).

156 It was observed that the hydroponic cultivation system presented the best results in all
157 genotypes in the variables studied (Table 1). The hybrids Viollete, Blanca and Roxelle
158 presented the highest values (give values here) for characteristic fruit diameter in the three
159 environments. In the characteristic average length of the fruits, the Comprida hybrid was the
160 one that presented the highest values in the three cropping systems, differing statistically by
161 the Scott-Knott test with a 5% probability of the other genotypes.
162

163 Regarding the average mass of fruits per plant, only the Roxelle hybrid showed differences
164 between the three systems, presenting better results in the hydroponic system. The hybrid
165 White in the conventional system presented the largest mass. The same happened in the
166 hydroponic system, in which the said hybrid stood out accompanied by the hybrids Viollete
167 and Roxelle. For the organic system, seven of the eight genotypes showed no significant
168 difference, being only the long-lived hybrid with the lowest value for the average mass of the
169 fruits.
170

171 The Chica hybrid produced the highest amount of commercial fruits per plant in the
172 hydroponic cultivation system, 26 fruits, differing significantly from the other evaluated
173 hybrids. On the other hand, the hybrid Chica presented the lowest amount of commercial
174 fruits per plant, 10 fruits, among the other cultivars and hybrids tested in the organic
175 production system. It should also be noted that the highest number of fruits per plant was
176 obtained in the hydroponic system, however, these were small and with lower mass which
177 reduced production and productivity. This characteristic, number of fruits per plant, has been
178 a prime factor for the improvement of the eggplant [16].
179

180 The difference found between the analyzed genotypes is related to the intrinsic
181 characteristics of each cultivar or hybrid analyzed. These characteristics include water and
182 nutrient uptake capacity, photosynthetic efficiency and the assimilated partition, the which
183 determine the differences in plant growth and fruit production [17].
184

185 The Ciça hybrid, released in 1991, is well accepted by producers and consumers due to the
186 high productivity, quality of fruit, resistance to diseases and precocity [18]. This hybrid,
187 despite having the lowest number of commercial fruits per plant, 14 fruits, in the hydroponic
188 cultivation system, did not vary among the three cultivation systems.
189

190 In relation to the hybrid Comprida, this one stood out in the hydroponic system, producing 23
191 commercial fruits per plant evidencing once again the great influence of the hydroponic
192 system. Despite the good result, the hybrid Comprida still does not have a good acceptance
193 in the Nordeste market, due to its long shape and small diameter. A similar fact occurred
194 with the hybrid Blanca that presented prominence both in relation to the characteristic
195 number of commercial fruits per plant as well as in relation to the mass, where in the
196 conventional system presented the best result, reaching yield per plant of 8.15 kg differing
197 significantly from the other genotypes. However, the white color of the fruit does not attract
198 the interest of the Pernambucano consumer.
199

200 One approach to be considered in the study of interaction genotypes by environments is
201 their nature. The interaction is caused by two factors: the first, also called the simple part, is
202 due to the magnitudes of the variability differences between genotypes; the second, called a
203 complex part, depends on the correlation of the genotypes in [19]. In the present study, a
204 strong expression of the factors denominated complex was observed. According to the
205 statistical analysis presented, it is possible to observe different behavior of the genotypes in
206 the different production systems.
207

208 In the joint analysis the correlations for all pairs of characters evaluated the genotypic and
 209 phenotypic correlation coefficients, besides being of the same sign, were similar in
 210 magnitude and level of significance. With the exception of the correlation number of fruits per
 211 plant x average mass of the fruits, all estimates had higher genotypic correlations than
 212 phenotypic and environmental correlations. Thus, there is likely to be a greater contribution
 213 of genotypic than environmental factors to estimates of phenotypic correlations between the
 214 characters studied (Table 2).

215
 216 Therefore, the hydroponic system stood out from the other systems. The characteristic
 217 number of fruits per plant of commercial fruits per plant presents as a decisive variable to
 218 express the behavior of the genotypes in the different environments [16]. Commercial fruit
 219 production per plant of Rochelle, Viollete and Blanca presented averages similar to those
 220 found in other experiments [17]. The genotypes that had the best performance were the
 221 hybrids Comprida, Chica and Blanca. For this characteristic it was noticed that among the
 222 cultivars of open pollination only the Florida Market presented a significant difference in the
 223 hydroponic system. As for hybrids, only the Ciça hybrid did not differ significantly.

224
 225 There were significant differences between the environments averages for the evaluated
 226 characteristics. Comparing the organic and conventional systems, the hybrids Rochelle,
 227 Viollete and Blanca presented better results in the conventional system for fruit mass
 228 characteristics with significant difference between the two systems.

229
 230 If an estimate of positive and high genotypic correlation between characters is obtained it
 231 shows that in practice it is necessary to evaluate only the character of easier determination,
 232 because the selection will be performed indirectly also for the other character [20]. In this
 233 way, it is possible to make inference that genes which control a character may be the same
 234 as those that control the other, pleiotropy, or linked genes. Such information is important and
 235 can be applied in plant breeding to decrease the time of evaluation of certain characters, as
 236 was verified in the genotypic and phenotypic correlation between mean fruit diameter and
 237 mean fruit length.

238
 239 There were high phenotypic and genotypic correlations for mean fruit diameter with mean
 240 fruit mass ($r_P = 0.84^{**}$) and ($r_G = 0.86$) indicating that an increase in fruit diameter would
 241 probably result in an increase in the mean fruit mass (Table 2). The correlation mean fruit
 242 diameter x mean fruit mass usually presents high values of correlation and can be proven in
 243 studies with other crops, tomato [21], with passion sour [22], passion sweet [23] and
 244 chestnut-of-gurguéia [24]. The mean mass of the fruits in turn presented the estimates of the
 245 correlations, with positive and high signs, with fruit production per plant ($r_P = 0.82^*$) and (r_G
 246 $= 0.90$), being possible to obtain gains in the average production of fruits per plant selecting
 247 materials with higher average mass of the fruits (Table 2). The genotype correlation between
 248 the variables mean fruit length x number of fruits per plant presented a high value ($r_G =$
 249 0.81), showing that for these characteristics the influence of the genetic effects were greater
 250 than the environmental ones and consequently the phenotypes (Table 2).

251
 252
 253
 254
 255

Table 2. Matrix of phenotypic (r_P), genotypic (r_G) and environmental (r_E) correlations among average fruit diameter (DMF), average fruit length (CMF), average mass of fruits per plant (MMF), number of fruits per plant (NFP) and average yield of fruit per plant (PMF) of 8 genotypes of eggplant in three environments, joint analysis.

Characters	Correlations	Characteres			
		CMF	MMF	NFP	PMF
DMF	r_P	-0.94 ^{**}	0.84 ^{**}	-0.52	0.50
	r_G	-0.96	0.86	-0.70	0.56
	r_E	-0.22	0.65 ⁺	-0.04	0.27

CMF	rP	-	-0.63	0.57	-0.27
	rG	-	-0.67	0.81	-0.30
	rE	-	0.24	-0.35	-0.13
MMF	rP	-	-	-0.25	0.82 ⁺
	rG	-	-	-0.31	0.90
	rE	-	-	-0.15	0.46
NFP	rP	-	-	-	0.35
	rG	-	-	-	0.15
	rE	-	-	-	0.74 ⁺

256 **, * Significant at 1 and 5%, by the t test, respectively (significant at 1% and 5% through the
 257 t test, respectively); ++, + Significant at 1 and 5%, respectively, by the bootstrap method with
 258 10,000 simulations (significant at 1 and 5% through the bootstrap method with 10,000
 259 simulations).
 260

261 The characteristic number of fruits per plant did not present significant genotypic and
 262 phenotypic correlation with the production of fruits per plants and with the average mass of
 263 fruits per plant, however, in another work that was evaluated 24 genotypes of eggplant (rF =
 264 -0.63 **) and (rG = -0.64 **) were found to be correlated between the number of fruits per
 265 plant and the average mass of the fruits and number of fruits per plant x fruit production per
 266 plant (rF = 0.56) and (rG = 0.56) [16]. However, it should be emphasized that genetic
 267 correlations are characteristic of a population under study and, therefore, its extrapolation is
 268 not adequate [25].
 269

270 In relation to correlations with environmental effects, when they were significant, presented
 271 relatively high values as in the correlations mean fruit diameter x mean fruit mass (rE = 0.65
 272 +), and number of fruits per plant x production of fruit plants (0.74 +). This shows that these
 273 characters are similarly affected by the same environment conditions [26]. The other
 274 correlations were low and not significant, indicating a lower influence of the environment
 275 (Table 2).
 276

277 For the three evaluated environments, the mean diameter of the fruits presented estimates
 278 of significant phenotypic correlation with the characteristic average length of the fruits,
 279 however it was negative sign, in the systems, conventional (rP = -0.89 **), organic (rP = -
 280 0.97 **) and hydroponic (rP = -0.93 **) with respect to the genotypic correlation for the same
 281 characteristics, were high and with negative signals for the three systems, (rG = -0.90),
 282 organic (rG = -0.99) and hydroponic (rG = -0.94), confirming the relationship between the
 283 two variables (Table 3).
 284

285 **Table 3. Matrix of phenotypic (r_p), genotypic (r_g) and environmental (r_e) correlations**
 286 **among average fruit diameter (DMF), average fruit length (CMF), average mass**
 287 **of fruits per plant (MMF), number of fruits per plant (NFP) and average yield of fruit**
 288 **per plant (PMF) of 8 genotypes of eggplant in conventional, organic and hydroponic**
 289 **system.**

Characters	Correlations	Conventional System			
		CMF	MMF	NFP	PMF
DMF	rP	-0.89**	0.85**	-0.64	0.66
	rG	-0.90	0.87	-0.79	0.71
	rE	0.14	0.13	0.32	0.38 ⁺
CMF	rP	-	-0.55	0.57	-0.38
	rG	-	-0.58	0.72	-0.43
	rE	-	0.34 ⁺	-0.14	0.10
	rP		-	-0.42	0.92

MMF	rG	-	-0.51	0.98	
	rE	-	-0.08	0.38 ⁺	
NFP	rP	-	-	-0.02	
	rG	-	-	-0.27	
	rE	-	-	0.86 ⁺⁺	
Organic System					
Characters	Correlations	Characters			
		CMF	MMF	NFP	PMF
DMF	rP	-0.97 ^{**}	0.90 ^{**}	-0.53	0.68
	rG	-0.99	0.93	-0.68	0.75
	rE	0.23 ⁺	0.40 ⁺	0.07	0.26 ⁺
CMF	rP	-	-0.82	0.63	-0.53
	rG	-	-0.88	0.79	-0.63
	rE	-	0.55 ⁺⁺	0.20	0.43 ⁺⁺
MMF	rP	-	-	-0.41	0.84
	rG	-	-	-0.53	0.90
	rE	-	-	-0.06	0.47 ⁺⁺
NFP	rP	-	-	-	0.15
	rG	-	-	-	-0.10
	rE	-	-	-	0.83 ⁺⁺
Hydroponics System					
Caracteres	Correlations	Caracteres			
		MMF	NFP	PMF	
DMF	rP	-0.93 ^{**}	0.73	-0.32	0.31
	rG	-0.94	0.76	-0.33	0.32
	rE	0.64	0.36 ⁺⁺	0.14	0.34 ⁺⁺
CMF	rP	-	-0.45	0.30	-0.11
	rG	-	-0.48	0.30	-0.13
	rE	-	0.34 ⁺	0.12	0.30 ⁺
MMF	rP	-	-	-0.12	0.67
	rG	-	-	-0.14	0.66
	rE	-	-	0.15	0.82 ⁺⁺
NFP	rP	-	-	-	0.64
	rG	-	-	-	0.64
	rE	-	-	-	0.66 ⁺⁺

290 ^{**}, ^{*} Significant at 1% and 5% through the t test, respectively; ⁺⁺, ⁺ Significant at 1 and 5%
 291 through the bootstrap method with 10.000 simulations.
 292

293 It was also verified a significant phenotypic correlation for mean diameter of the fruits x
 294 average mass of the fruits in the three environments, being these compounds of high values,
 295 conventional (rP = 0.85 ^{**}), organic (rP = 0.90 ^{**}) and hydroponic (rP = 0.73 ^{*}) the genotypic
 296 correlations for the same characteristics were also high, conventional (rG = 0.86), organic
 297 (rG = 0.93) and hydroponic (rG = 0.76) thus showing a high influence of the genotypic
 298 effects and with potential to be explored using indirect selection (Table 3).
 299

300 The phenotypic correlation mean fruit length x mean fruit mass was significant only in the
 301 organic environment (rP = -0.82 ^{*}), and presented genotypic correlation with high value also
 302 (rG = -0.88), in the conventional and hydroponic environments they were not significant, but
 303 also presented a negative sign (Table 3). It was verified in the conventional and organic
 304 systems, significant and high phenotypic correlation for the characteristics average mass of
 305 the fruits x production of fruits per plant, (rP = 0.92 ^{**}) and (rP = 0.84 ^{**}) respectively, the
 306 genotypic correlations in the two systems also presented high values rG = 0.98 in the
 307 conventional system and rG = 0.90 in the organic system, this correlation was not significant

308 in the hydroponic system, even the value being $rP = 0.67$ (Table 3). The other phenotypic
309 correlations were not significant.

310

311 Most estimates of the genotypic correlations of the analyzed variables of the genotypes
312 studied were superior to those of the phenotypic and environmental correlations. In some
313 cases, genotypic correlations showed high values only in certain culture systems, as in the
314 correlation between mean fruit diameter x number of fruits per plants in the conventional
315 system ($rG = -0.79$), between average fruit diameter x average fruit yield per plant, ($rG =$
316 0.71) for the conventional system and ($rG = 0.75$) for the organic system and between the
317 mean fruit length x number of fruits per plant, with ($rG = 0.72$) for the conventional system
318 and $rG = 0.79$ for the organic system (Table 3). In this case, the genotypic correlation is that
319 which represents the genetic portion of the phenotypic correlation, and is inheritable in
320 nature and, therefore, used to guide breeding programs in the selection of certain traits [27].

321

322 The environmental correlation mean fruit diameter x mean fruit mass was significant in the
323 organic systems ($rE = 0.40+$) and hydroponic ($rE = 0.36 ++$), not being significant only in the
324 conventional system (Table 3). The correlation diameter of the fruits x mean fruit length was
325 significant only in the organic environment ($rE = 0.23 +$) (Table 3).

326

327 It was verified a significant environmental correlation in the three environments for the
328 average length of the fruits with the average mass of the fruits, conventional system ($rE =$
329 $0.34 +$), organic ($rE = 0.55 ++$) and hydroponic ($rE = 0.34 +$) (Table 3). The mean fruit length
330 showed significant correlation estimates with mean fruit production per plant in the organic
331 ($rE = 0.43 ++$) and hydroponic ($rE = 0.30+$) environments (Table 3). In the three cropping
332 systems the correlations were significant for mean fruit mass x fruit production per plant,
333 obtaining values of $rE = 0.38 +$, $rE = 0.47 ++$ and $rE = 0.82 ++$ for the conventional, organic
334 and hydroponic systems, respectively (Table 3).

335

336 The hydroponic system was the one that presented the majority of the genotypic correlations
337 and phenotypes smaller than those of the conventional and organic systems, these
338 differences are due to the way the hydroponic system is conducted providing all the
339 essential nutrients to the development of the plant, in this way the physiology becomes
340 affected, causing the correlations to present different values of the other systems. For the
341 studied variables, the genotypic correlations were superior to the phenotypic correlations,
342 demonstrating that the phenotypic expression for these characteristics is reduced by
343 environmental influences, due, probably, the causes of genetic variation and the
344 environment have influenced the characters through different physiological mechanisms
345 (Falconer & Mackay, 1996).

346

347 In the evaluated cultivation systems the superiority in hybrids productivity was observed on
348 open pollinated cultivars. The hybrids Rochelle, Viollete and Blanca showed better results for
349 fruit mass and fruit yield per plant. Although the Ciça hybrid did not present a good yield in
350 the evaluated experiments, is the most cultivated because it is the fruit most accepted by
351 consumers.

352

353 Both in the joint analysis considering the conventional, organic and hydroponic
354 environments, as in the analyzes considering each individual environment the correlations of
355 the variables of the hybrids and evaluated cultivars that stood out and could be used for
356 breeding purposes were: mean fruit diameter x average fruit length; mean fruit diameter x
357 mean fruit mass per plant and average mass of fruits per plant x average yield of fruits per
358 plant.

359

360 **4. CONCLUSION**

361

362 Number of commercial fruits per plant and production per plant are decisive variables to
363 express the behavior of the genotypes in the different cropping systems.

364

365 Hydroponic system as the environment that provided the best performance for all genotypes.

366

367 In the organic and conventional cultivation systems no significant difference was observed
368 for fruit production per plant.

369

370 The Blanca genotype presented the best result in all systems.

371 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

372

373 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

374

375

376 **REFERENCES**

377

378 1. Gonçalves MDCR, Diniz MFFM, Dantas AHG, Borba JDC. Modest lipid-lowering
379 effect of the dry extract of Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) in women with
380 dyslipidemias, under nutritional control. Braz. Jour. Pharm. 2006;16(5):656-663.
381 English.

382

383 2. Gomes DP, Silva AFD, Dias DCF, Alvarenga EM, Silva LJD, Panozzo LE. Priming
384 and drying on the physiological quality of eggplant seeds. Braz. Hort. 2012;30(3):484-
385 488. English.

386

387 3. Şekara A, Cebula S, Kunicki E. Cultivated eggplants—origin, breeding objectives and
388 genetic resources, a review. Fol. Horti. 2007;19(1):97-114. English.

389

390 4. Daunay M-C, Janick J. History and iconography of eggplant. Chron. Hort.
391 2007;47(3):16-22. English.

392

393 5. Prohens J, Plazas M, Raigón MD, Seguí-Simarro JM, Stommel JR, Vilanova S.
394 Characterization of interspecific hybrids and first backcross generations from crosses
395 between two cultivated eggplants (*Solanum melongena* and *S. aethiopicum* Kumba
396 group) and implications for eggplant breeding. Euphytica 2012;186(2):517-538.
397 English.

398

399 6. Kandus M, Almorza D, Ronceros RB, Salerno J. Statistical models for evaluating the
400 genotype-environment interaction in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Fyton. 2010;79(1):39-46.
401 English.

402

403 7. Guadagnin S, Rath S, Reyes F. Evaluation of the nitrate content in leaf vegetables
404 produced through different agricultural systems. Foo. Add. Cont. 2005;22(12):1203-
405 1208. English.

406

407 8. Luz JMQ, Guimarães S, Korndörfer GH. Hydroponic production of lettuce in nutritive
408 solution with and without silicon. Braz. Hort. 2006;24(3):295-300. English.

409

410 9. Oliveira FD, Ribas RGT, Junqueira RM, Padovan MP, Guerra JGM, Almeida DD,
411 Ribeiro RDL. Performance of the consortium between cabbage and radish with pre-

- 412 cultivation of crotalaria, under organic management. *Braz. Hort.* 2005;23(2):184-188.
413 English.
- 414
- 415 10. Ikeda FS, Carmona R, Mitja D, Guimaraes RM. Light and KNO₃ on germination of
416 seeds of Bernardo R. *Breeding for Quantitative Traits in Plants*. Woodbury Minesota:
417 Stem. Pres. 369p. 2002. English.
- 418
- 419 11. Augustin L, Milach S, Bisognin DA, Suzin M. Genotype x environment interaction of
420 agronomic and processing quality traits in potato. *Braz. Hort.* 2012;30(1):84-90.
421 English.
- 422
- 423 12. Castro CMD, Almeida DLD, Ribeiro RDL, Carvalho JFD. Direct planting, green
424 manuring and supplementation with poultry manure in the organic production of
425 eggplant. *Braz. Agric. Res.* 2005;40(5):495-502. English.
- 426
- 427 13. Efron B, Tibshirani R. *An Introduction to the Bootstrap*. London: Chapman & Hall.
428 436p. 1993. English.
- 429
- 430 14. Ferreira A, Cruz CD, Vasconcelos ESD, Nascimento M, Ribeiro MF, Silva MFD. Use
431 of non-parametric bootstrap for the evaluation of phenotypic, genotypic and
432 environmental correlations. *Act. Sci. Agro.* 2008;30(5):657-663. English.
- 433
- 434 15. Cruz CD. *GENES Program: Computational application in genetics and statistics*.
435 Viçosa: UFV. 648p. 2007. English.
- 436
- 437 16. Tatis AH, Ayala CCE, Camacho EMM. Correlaciones fenotípicas, ambientales y
438 genéticas en berenjena. *Act. Agro.* 2009;58(4):285-291. English.
- 439
- 440 17. Antonini ACC, Robles WGR, Tessarioli Neto J, Kluge RA. Production capacity of
441 eggplant cultivars. *Braz. Hort.* 2002;20(4):646-648. English.
- 442
- 443 18. Ribeiro CSDC, Reifschneider F. Evaluation of eggplant hybrids by producers and
444 technicians. *Braz. Hort.* 1999;17(1):49-50. English.
- 445
- 446 19. Cruz C, Castoldi F. Decomposicao da interacao genotipos x ambientes em partes
447 simples e complexa. *Ceres.* 1991;38(219):422-430. English.
- 448
- 449 20. Ramalho MAP, Ferreira DF, Oliveira ACD. *Experimentation in Genetics and Plant
450 Breeding*. 3 ed. Lavras: UFLA. 305p. 2012. English.
- 451
- 452 21. Fernandes C, Corá JE, Braz LT. Classification of cherry tomatoes according to fruit
453 size and weight. *Braz. Hort.* 2007;25(2):275-278. English.
- 454
- 455 22. Santos CEMD, Bruckner CH, Cruz CD, Siqueira DLD, Pimentel LD. Physical
456 characteristics of passion fruit according to genotype and fruit mass. *Braz. Jour. Frut.*
457 2009;31(4):1102-1119. English.
- 458
- 459 23. Alves RR, Salomão LCC, Siqueira DLD, Cecon PR, Silva DFPD. Relationship
460 between physical and chemical characteristics of passion fruit fruits cultivated in
461 Viçosa-MG. *Braz. Jour. Frut.* 2012;34(2):619-623. English.
- 462

- 463 24. Ribeiro FSDC, Souza VABD, Lopes ÂCDA. Physical characteristics and chemical-
464 nutritional composition of the castanheira-do-gurguéia fruit (*Dipteryx lacunifera*
465 Ducke). *Agro. Sci. Jour.* 2012;43(2):301-311. English.
466
- 467 25. Gonçalves GM, Viana AP, Reis LSD, Bezerra Neto FV, Amaral Júnior ATD, Reis LSD.
468 Phenotypic and genetic-additive correlations in yellow passion fruit by Design I. *Sci.*
469 *Agrot.* 2008;32(5):1413-1418. English.
470
- 471 26. Falconer DS, Mackay TFC. *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*: Longman. 480p.
472 1996. English.
473
- 474 27. Ferreira MAJF, Queiróz MAD, Braz LT, Vencovsky R. Genotypic, phenotypic and
475 environmental correlations among ten characters of watermelon and their implications
476 for genetic improvement. *Braz. Hort.* 2003;21(3):438-442. English.

UNDER PEER REVIEW