

# STRATEGIES FOR INCREASING THE APPLE EPIDERMIS RED COLORED WITH PHYSIOGROW® COLOR

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** Evaluate different dosages and number of applications of the commercial product Physiogrow® Color on the percentage of red coloration of the epidermis, productivity and fruit quality in 'Royal Gala' apple trees, in the Midwest region of the state of Santa Catarina, Brazil. **Study design:** The experiment was arranged in a randomized block design with five replications. **Place and Duration of Study:** The experiment was carried out in the municipality of Fraiburgo-SC, Brazil (latitude 27°01'S, longitude 50°77' W, altitude 950 meters), during the growing seasons of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019. **Methodology:** The treatments were: Control (No application), Physiogrow® color (4, 8 and 12 L ha<sup>-1</sup>) 7 days before harvest (DBH), Physiogrow® Color 2 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 DAC) + 2 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 DBH, Physiogrow® Color 4 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 DBH) + 4 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 DBH, and Physiogrow® Color 6 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 DBH) + 6 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 DBH. The variables evaluated were: Production (kg plant<sup>-1</sup> and fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>), average fresh fruit mass (g), classification of fruits by percentage of red coloration of the epidermis (<50%, 50-80% and > 80%), firmness of the pulp (lb in<sup>-2</sup>) and soluble solids (°Brix). The harvest was carried out on January 25, 2018 and February 16, 2019, first and second year, respectively. Plant production, as well as pulp firmness of fruits, were not affected by treatments, in both years. Physiogrow® Color promoted better distribution of fruits in categories of greater red coloration of the epidermis and reduction of the percentage of fruits in the category with

coloration inferior to 50%, although a different behavior among the harvests was observed. The application of Physiogrow® Color 8 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 DBH contributes to the improvement of the coloration of 'Royal Gala' apples.

*Keywords: Malus domestica L., Maturation, Post-harvest.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In Brazil, apple tree cultivation has evolved a lot in the last years, mainly with the development of management techniques that have increased productivity and quality of fruit produced [1]. In 2017, Brazilian production was about 1.3 million tons, in a planted area of 33,138 hectares [2]. Being this production concentrated in the South Region of the country, with more than 2,300 producers involved [3]. The domestic market is the main destination of the fruits produced, mainly in fresh form, and only 7% for the exportation [4].

The visual quality and size of the fruits play an important role in the national and international markets, since they establish the price of the apple when classified for packaging and export [5,6,7].

According to Iglesias and Alegre [8], the most important visual quality attribute to determine the market value of red or bicolor apples is the amount and intensity of the red epidermis. Considering that at the time of purchase, consumers first analyze the visual appearance of the fruits, only later to consider the internal or nutritional characteristics of the fruits [9,10].

The red color formation in the apple epidermis is linked to a complex series of interactions between environmental factors, orchard management, genetic characteristics of the cultivar and the stage of development of the fruit. The accumulation

35 of anthocyanins corresponds to the genetic potential of the cultivar, which, in turn, is  
36 dependent on balanced nutrition and environmental factors such as light and  
37 temperature [11].

38

39 For Iglesias et al. [12], the easiest and most economical way to improve the color  
40 of apples is to plant new cultivars or mutations of cultivars with greater potential to  
41 synthesize anthocyanins in the region of interest. The most planted clones of the 'Gala'  
42 apple tree, have a tendency to develop greater coloring of the fruits, however, they are  
43 still mostly bicolor.

44 In addition to orchard management techniques that aim to increase fruit  
45 exposure to light, commercial products (chemical or natural), fertilizers and growth  
46 regulators with biostimulant action, may promote increased red coloration of fruits. The  
47 growth regulators based on ethephon release ethylene, a hormone bound to maturation,  
48 increasing and intensifying the red coloration of the epidermis of apples [13]. However,  
49 ethephon may increase the risk of fruit drop losses in preharvest and reduce storage  
50 potential [14]. Some studies have shown that ethephon application may adversely affect  
51 the starch hydrolysis rate, reducing pulp firmness, titratable acidity and increasing  
52 respiratory rate, carotenoid biosynthesis and chlorophyll degradation [15,16].

53

54 Blanke [17] reports that there are always new products on the market with high  
55 expectations to increase the anthocyanin synthesis and red color of the apple epidermis,  
56 especially leaf fertilizers and biostimulants. Fenili [8] reports the increase in red staining  
57 of apple fruits treated with foliar fertilizers based on potassium and micronutrients;  
58 however, their effects vary greatly from one year to the next, as well as changes in the  
59 quality and storage capacity of the fruits.

60

61 Physiogrow® Color, which is an organic liquid leaf fertilizer based on free L-  
62 amino acids and organic acids, with potential to be used to improve the coloring of fruits

63 in the apple tree. Since it contains, in its formulation, precursor amino acids of ethylene  
64 biosynthesis and anthocyanins, chlorophyllase and monosaccharide regulators.

65

66 The objective of the present study was to evaluate different dosages and number  
67 of applications of the commercial product Physiogrow® Color on the percentage of red  
68 coloration of the epidermis and fruit quality in 'Royal Gala' apple trees, in the Midwest  
69 region of the state of Santa Catarina.

70

## 71 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

72

73 The experiment was conducted in an experimental orchard in the Midwest  
74 Region of the state of Santa Catarina, Fraiburgo, Brazil (latitude 27°01'S, longitude  
75 50°77 'W, altitude 950 meters).

76

77 'Royal Gala' apple trees grafted on the Marubakaido rootstock with 15-year-old  
78 M-9 grafts were harvested in the 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons. The planting  
79 density of the orchard used was 2,500 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, with a spacing of 4 m between rows  
80 and 1 m between plants. The plants were managed in the central leader's system,  
81 according to the recommendations of the apple production system and practices  
82 recommended in the integrated system of production of apple trees [18, 19].

83

84 The experimental design was randomized blocks, with seven treatments and five  
85 replications. The treatments were: Control (No application), Physiogrow® Color (4, 8 and  
86 12 L ha<sup>-1</sup>) 7 days before harvest (DBH), Physiogrow® color 2 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 DBH) + 2 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7  
87 DBH, Physiogrow® Color 4 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 DBH) + 4 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 DBH, and Physiogrow® Color 6  
88 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 DBH) + 6 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 DBH. The application of the treatments was performed with a  
89 motorized costal spray, containing a tip with three spray tips D-S fan type, using a  
90 volume of syrup equivalent to 1000 L ha<sup>-1</sup>, until the point of drip.

The harvest was carried out on January 25, 2018 1/25/2018 and February 16, 2019, first and second year, respectively. The variables evaluated were: Production (kg plant<sup>-1</sup> and fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>), average fresh fruit mass (g), classification of fruits by percentage of red coloration of the epidermis (<50%, 50-80% and > 80%); firmness of the pulp (Lb in<sup>-2</sup>) and soluble solids (°Brix) according to Scolaro et al [20].

The firmness of the pulp was determined with the aid of an analogue penetrometer (GÜSS), equipped with a 11 mm diameter tip, in two opposite regions, in the equatorial portion of the fruits, after removal of a thin layer of the bark.

The statistical analysis of the data was performed through analysis of variance, the variables whose results revealed significance ( $P < 0.05$ ), were submitted to the comparison of means by the Scott-Knott test, and or regression analysis at 5% probability. Statistical analyzes were performed by Sisvar®, version 5.6. [21]

### 3.1 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In both years, the application of Physiogrow® Color did not promote alteration or reduction in the production (kg and fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>) and in the average fresh mass of the fruits of the treated plants compared to the control treatment (Table 1). This fact, of great importance, as it shows that the product did not cause too much acceleration of fruit maturation, which could cause pre-harvesting of fruits, as reported by Sun et al. [14], who identified this problem in apple trees treated with etephon.

**Table 1. Production (kg and fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>) and average fresh mass of the fruits (g) of 'Royal Gala' apple trees submitted to different treatments. 2017/2018 and 2018/2019. Fraiburgo-SC, Brazil, 2019.**

Treatments	Production		Fresh mass of the fruits (g)
	kg plant <sup>-1</sup>	fruits plant <sup>-1</sup>	
Season 2017/2018			

Control (No application)	17,2 <sup>ns</sup>	169,3 <sup>ns</sup>	102,0 <sup>ns</sup>
Physiogrow Color 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	19,4	209,3	93,7
Physiogrow Color 8L ha <sup>-1</sup>	20,9	196,3	106,9
Physiogrow Color 12L ha <sup>-1</sup>	17,6	175,3	101,0
Physiogrow Color 2 + 2L ha <sup>-1</sup>	26,8	277,3	99,5
Physiogrow Color 4 + 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	24,2	240,5	101,5
Physiogrow Color 6 + 6L ha <sup>-1</sup>	22,8	215,5	107,8
Average	21,2	211,9	101,8
CV (%)	31,5	35,7	9,9
Season 2018/2019			
Control (No application)	18,7 <sup>ns</sup>	151,6 <sup>ns</sup>	128,5 <sup>ns</sup>
Physiogrow Color 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	25,6	206,2	127,2
Physiogrow Color 8L ha <sup>-1</sup>	23,8	196,2	120,7
Physiogrow Color 12L ha <sup>-1</sup>	22,0	175,8	124,5
Physiogrow Color 2 + 2L ha <sup>-1</sup>	28,4	218,4	132,5
Physiogrow Color 4 + 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	25,4	182,2	138,1
Physiogrow Color 6 + 6L ha <sup>-1</sup>	16,9	126,6	133,7
Average	23,0	179,6	129,3
CV (%)	33,6	17,8	12,7

\* ns: not significant ( $P > .05$ ).

The pulp firmness of the harvested fruits did not change, in both evaluated harvests. However, differences were observed in soluble solids contents between seasons (Table 2). In the 2017/2018 year, the plants treated with the split application of Physiogrow® Color, regardless of the dose, presented lower levels of soluble solids. In the 2018/2019 year, the treatments Physiogrow® Color 8 L ha<sup>-1</sup> and Physiogrow® Color 12 L ha<sup>-1</sup> applied to 7 DBH did not differ from the control treatment, which presented the lowest concentrations of soluble solids in comparison to the other treatments. The increase of soluble solids concentration in the fruits can be attributed to higher ethylene production, which in turn increases starch hydrolysis, respiration and soluble solids content, as well as increasing the yellowing of the fruit, leading to maturation. or not, to reduce the flesh firmness of the fruits [16, 22,23].

130 **Table 2.** Firmness of pulp (**Lb in<sup>-2</sup>**) and soluble solids (<sup>o</sup>Brix) of the fruits of 'Royal  
 131 **Gala' apple trees submitted to different treatments. 2017/2018 and 2018/2019.**  
 132 **Fraiburgo-SC, Brazil, 2019.**

Treatments	Firmness of pulp ( <b>Lb in<sup>-2</sup></b> )	Soluble solids ( <sup>o</sup> Brix)
<b>Season 2017/2018</b>		
Control (No application)	21,2 <sup>ns</sup>	13,7a
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	21,5	11,5a
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 8L ha <sup>-1</sup>	21,7	11,6a
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 12L ha <sup>-1</sup>	22,8	11,4a
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 2 + 2L ha <sup>-1</sup>	22,6	9,0b
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 4 + 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	21,2	9,7b
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 6 + 6L ha <sup>-1</sup>	20,8	10,1b
Average	21,7	11,0
CV (%)	5,7	13,8
<b>Season 2018/2019</b>		
Control (No application)	17,7 <sup>ns</sup>	12,1 b
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	16,9	13,4 a
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 8L ha <sup>-1</sup>	16,9	12,0 b
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 12L ha <sup>-1</sup>	17,0	11,9 b
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 2 + 2L ha <sup>-1</sup>	18,1	13,9 a
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 4 + 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	16,8	13,7 a
Physiogrow <b>Color</b> 6 + 6L ha <sup>-1</sup>	16,4	13,2 a
Average	17,1	12,9
CV (%)	11,8	4,9

134 Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ from one another by the  
 135 Scott-Knott test (**P**≤.05). ns: not significant (**P**>.05).

136  
 137 The application of Physiogrow® Color promoted a better fruit distribution in  
 138 categories with higher commercial value. That is, reduction of the percentage of fruits  
 139 with epidermal coloration inferior to 50% and increase of the percentage of fruits with red  
 140 color of the epidermis superior to 80%. Differential behavior was observed between the  
 141 harvests studied (Table 3). In season 2017/2018, the plants were treated with  
 142 Physiogrow® Color 8 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 **DBH**, which reduced the production of fruits with red  
 143 epidermis color by 50% and 51%, and increased 187, 6% of fruits allocated in the  
 144 category of greater coloration of the epidermis, compared to untreated plants (Control).  
 145 Physiogrow® Color 12 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 **DBH** and Physiogrow® Color 6 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 30 **DBH** + 6 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7  
 146 **DBH** treatments also increased the percentage of fruits in the higher staining category.  
 147 In the second harvest, 2018/2019, there was greater variation among treatments, mainly

148 in the percentage of fruits in the category of less staining that was quite irregular.  
 149 However, the gain in the percentage of fruits in the highest color category observed in  
 150 the previous crop, was repeated again in this harvest with the treatments Physiogrow®  
 151 Color 8 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 DBH, but with less intensity, only 24,9%, not differing (4 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 30 DBH +  
 152 4 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 DBH) and (6 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 30 DBH + 6 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 DBH)], which increased by 13.2% and  
 153 25,2% the fruits of greater red coloration of the epidermis, respectively.

154

155 **Table 3. Red coloration of the epidermis (%) of fruits produced by 'Royal Gala'**  
 156 **apple trees submitted to different treatments. 2017/2018 and 2018/2019. Fraiburgo-**  
 157 **SC, Brazil, 2019.**

158

Treatments	Red coloration of the epidermis (%) of fruits		
	<50%	50-80 %	>80%
<b>Season 2017/2018</b>			
Control (No application)	30,9 a	58,6 <sup>ns</sup>	10,5 b
Physiogrow Color 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	32,5 a	57,4	10,0 b
Physiogrow Color 8L ha <sup>-1</sup>	15,9 b	53,9	30,2 a
Physiogrow Color 12L ha <sup>-1</sup>	30,7 a	45,2	24,1 a
Physiogrow Color 2 + 2L ha <sup>-1</sup>	38,5 a	49,6	11,9 b
Physiogrow Color 4 + 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	49,0 a	46,4	4,6 b
Physiogrow Color 6 + 6L ha <sup>-1</sup>	35,1 a	44,7	20,2 a
Average	33,2	50,8	15,9
CV (%)	16,7	10,6	24,4
<b>Season 2018/2019</b>			
Control (No application)	12,8 c	52,3 <sup>ns</sup>	34,9 b
Physiogrow Color 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	14,2 c	48,3	37,6 b
Physiogrow Color 8L ha <sup>-1</sup>	14,1 c	42,3	43,6 a
Physiogrow Color 12L ha <sup>-1</sup>	20,4 b	46,4	33,2 b
Physiogrow Color 2 + 2L ha <sup>-1</sup>	27,6 a	41,5	30,9 b
Physiogrow Color 4 + 4L ha <sup>-1</sup>	13,1 c	47,3	39,5 a
Physiogrow Color 6 + 6L ha <sup>-1</sup>	18,6 b	37,7	43,7 a
Average	17,3	45,1	37,6
CV (%)	17,1	9,8	10,1

159 Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ from one another by the  
 160 Scott-Knott test ( $P=0.05$ ). \* ns: not significant ( $P>0.05$ ).



161

162           It is worth mentioning that there was a difference of 22 days in the date of  
163 harvest between seasons. Since the 2017/2018 harvest was held in ideal harvesting  
164 point for storage, while the crop 2018/2019 was held in later time, and there may be  
165 impairment of the observed data, the natural ripening of the fruit.

166

167           These results were evident in the data presented in tables 2 and 3, characterized  
168 by the lower firmness of pulp, higher concentration of soluble solids and greater  
169 allocation of fruits in the category of greater red coloration of the epidermis.

170           For Severino et al. [24], in the early harvest cultivars, where the light level is  
171 adequate, the main limiting factor for anthocyanins and red color accumulation in the  
172 apple epidermis is temperature, since during the maturation stage of these cultivars,  
173 thermal amplitude and especially the night temperature are generally not ideal for  
174 anthocyanin synthesis. On the other hand, in late cultivars, the factor that becomes  
175 limiting is light, since the temperature and its amplitude are favorable for anthocyanin  
176 synthesis and red color.

177

178           In the second year of the study, it was observed an increase in the yellow  
179 background color in the fruits harvested due to the later harvest season and climatic  
180 conditions unfavorable to the development of the red color in the fruits, marked by rainy  
181 weeks and cloudy days. The yellowing of the background color is another negative  
182 attribute, since in addition to reducing the post-harvest life of the fruits, the interest of the  
183 consumers also diminishes, since the yellow color conveys the sensation of a very  
184 mature fruit [22]. The red color formation in the apple epidermis starts simultaneously  
185 with the yellowing of the background color of the fruit, when chlorophyll degradation and  
186 carotenoid synthesis is occurring. For Tijskens et al. [25], the most important process in  
187 the formation of red color is related to the degradation of chlorophyll, rather than the  
188 formation of any flavonoid.

189

## 4.1 CONCLUSION

Physiogrow® Color does not affect the production of the plants, nor the firmness of the fruit pulp produced. However, it may influence the content of soluble solids (° Brix).

Physiogrow® Color improves the distribution of fruits in categories of greater red color of the epidermis and reduces the percentage of fruits in the category with coloration inferior to 50%, with variable intensity between the years.

The application of Physiogrow® Color 8 L ha<sup>-1</sup> 7 DBH contributes to the improvement of the coloration of 'Royal Gala' apples

### COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

## REFERENCES

1. Petri JL, Leite GB, Couto M, Francescatto P. Avanços na cultura da macieira no Brasil. **Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura**, Jaboticabal, v. 33, n. especial 1, p.048-056, 2011. DOI:10.1590/S0100-29452011000500007
2. FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistical Databases. Available at: <<http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC>>. Accessed on: March 15, 2019.

- 222 3. Dal'Sant SR. Reguladores Vegetais na Frutificação e Produção da Macieira 'Imperial  
223 Gala'. [tese] Curitiba, 2013, 48 p.
- 224 4. Fernandes CA. Two-year study on chemical thinning agents for "Rocha" pear (*Pyrus*  
225 *communis* L.). *Acta Horticulturae*, 2018;(1221):59-64. DOI:  
226 10.17660/ActaHortic.2018.1221.9
- 227
- 228 5. Sharma R, Pal R, Sagar V, Parmanick K, Paul V, Gupta V, Kumar K, Rana M. Impact  
229 of pre-harvest fruit-bagging with different coloured bags on peel colour and the  
230 incidence of insect pests, disease and storage disorders in 'Royal Delicious' apple.  
231 *The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology*, 2014;6(89):613-618. DOI:  
232 10.1080/14620316.2014.11513128.
- 233 6. Gouws A, Steyn WJ. The effect of temperature, region and season on red colour  
234 development in apple peel under constant irradiance. *Scientia Horticulturae*,  
235 2014;(173):79-85. DOI:10.1016/j.scienta.2014.04.040
- 236 7 Blanke M. Möglichkeiten zur Verbesserung der Rotfärbung bei Äpfeln. *Erwerbs-Obstbau*,  
237 2015;2(57):47-62.
- 238 8. Iglesias I, Alegre S. The effects of reflective film on fruit color, quality, canopy light  
239 distribution, and profitability of 'Mondial Gala' apples. *HortTechnology*,  
240 2009;3(19):488-498. DOI:10.21273/HORTSCI.19.3.488
- 241 9. Chen B, Mao J, Huang B, Mi B, Liu Y, Hu Z, Ma Z. Effect of bagging and time of  
242 harvest on fruit quality of 'Red Fuji' apple in high altitude area in China. *Fruits*, 2017;  
243 1(72):36-46. DOI: 10.17660/th2017/72.1.4
- 244 10. Musacchi S, Serra S. Apple fruit quality: Overview on pre-harvest factors. *Scientia*  
245 *Horticulturae*, 2018;(234):409-430. DOI:10.1016/j.scienta.2017.12.057
- 246 11. Fenili CL. Alternativas para incrementar a coloração vermelha da epiderme de  
247 maçãs. Dissertation (Mestrado) - Universidade do Estado de Santa Catarina, Centro  
248 de Ciências Agroveterinárias, Lages, 2018;119p.

- 249 12. Iglesias I, Echeverría G, Soria Y. Differences in fruit colour development, anthocyan  
250 in content, fruit quality and consumer acceptability of eight 'Gala' apples trains.  
251 Scientia Horticulturae, 2008;1(119):32-40. DOI:10.1016/j.scienta.2008.07.004
- 252 13. Steffens CA, Brackmann A. Maturação da maçã 'Fuji' com aplicação précolheita de  
253 aminoetoxivinilglicina e ethephon. Revista da FZVA, 2006;2(13):76-84.
- 254 14. Sun L, BukovacMJ, Forsline PL, Van Nocker S. Natural variation in fruit abscission-  
255 related traits in apple (Malus). Euphytica, 2009;1(165):55-60
- 256 15. Alba R, Payton P, Fei Z, Mcquinn R, Debbie P, Martin GB, Tanksley SD, Giovannoni  
257 JJ. Transcriptome and selected metabolite analyses reveal multiple points of ethylene  
258 control during tomato fruit development. The PlantCell, 2005;11(17):2954-2965.  
259 DOI:10.1105/tpc.105.036053
- 260 16. Pesteanu, A. Effects of ethephon application on color development of 'Gala Must'  
261 apples. Bulletin of University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-  
262 Napoca. Horticulture, 2017;1(74):26. DOI:10.15835/buasvmcn-hort:12267
- 263 17. Blanke M. Biostimulantien—von Algenextrakten bis Shrimpsschalen—eine Branche (er-  
264 ) findet sich Biostimulants—a wide range from algae extracts to shrimpshells. Erwerbs-  
265 Obstbau, 2016;2(58):81-87.
- 266 18. Sanhueza RMV, Protas JFS, Freire JM. **Manejo da Macieira no Sistema de**  
267 **Produção Integrada de Frutas**. Bento Gonçalves: Embrapa Uva e Vinho,  
268 2006;164p.
- 269 19. SEZERINO AA. Sistema de produção para a cultura da macieira em Santa Catarina.  
270 Florianópolis: Epagri, 2018, 136p (ISSN 1414-6118).
- 271 20. Scolaro AMT, Argenta LC, Amarante CVT, Petri JL, Hawerroth FJ. Controle da  
272 maturação pré-colheita de maçãs 'Royal Gala' pela inibição da ação ou síntese do  
273 etileno. **Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura**, Jaboticabal, 2015;1(37):38-47.  
274 DOI:10.1590/0100-2945-010/14
- 275 21. Ferreira DF. SISVAR – programa estatístico. Versão 5.6 (Build 86). Lavras:  
276 Universidade Federal de Lavras, 2010.

- 277 22. Li F, Min D, Song B, Shao S, Zhang X. Ethylene effects on apple fruit cuticular wax  
278 composition and content during cold storage. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*,  
279 **2017;(134):98-105**. DOI:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2017.08.011
- 280 23. Singh Z, Shafiq M. Training systems and pre-harvest ethrel application affect fruit  
281 colour development and quality of 'Pink Lady™' apple at harvest and in controlled  
282 atmosphere storage. *Acta Horticulturae*, **2008;(774):165-172**.  
283 DOI:10.17660/ActaHortic.2008.774.20
- 284 24. Severino V, Ferenczi A, Galiger S, González J, Mara V, Urraburu M, Arias M.  
285 Medidas de manejo para aumentar el sobrecolor de manzanas en montes instalados.  
286 In: Programa de Investigación en Producción Frutícola. Serie Actividades de Difusión,  
287 **2014;(739):85-91**.
- 288 25. Tijskens L, Unuk T, Tojnko S, Hribar J, Simcic M. Colour development in the apple  
289 orchard. *Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research*, **2011;1(19):113-121**.  
290