

Original Research Article

Agronomic Characteristics, Chemical Composition and *In Vitro* Gas Production of Sugarcane Cultivars (*Saccharum spp.*) for Feeding Ruminants

ABSTRACT

The aim of this work was to evaluate the agronomic characteristics, chemical composition and *in vitro* gas production of sugarcane (*Saccharum spp.*) cultivars used in ruminant feed. The experimental design was a randomized block containing seven treatments and three replications totaling 21 plots. The cultivars RB835436, RB925211, RB925345, RB937570, RB945961, RB955970 e SP91-1049 was evaluated for dry matter, dry matter yield, stalk percentage, stalk tons per hectare, plant height, stalk diameter, number of tillers per linear meter, mass per stem, soluble solids content (BRIX), NDF/BRIX ratio and tons of sucrose/ha, total digestible nutrients, digestible energy, metabolizable energy, net energy and *in vitro* digestibility of organic matter, crude protein, neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent, of digestion and *In vitro* gas production of non-fibrous carbohydrates, latency time, digestion rate and gas production of fibrous carbohydrates, and *in vitro* digestibility of organic matter. There was a significant difference between the cultivars regarding the neutral detergent fiber content, *in vitro* digestibility of organic matter, total digestible nutrients, digestible energy, net energy, degradation rates of fibrous and non-fibrous carbohydrates and latency period. There was a negative correlation between stem percentage and NDF/BRIX and positive correlation between *in vitro* digestibility of organic matter and total digestible nutrients. The results were submitted to analysis of variance and mean test by Scott-Knott and Pearson's correlation analysis. The statistical program used was SAEG 2000. The RB835486 variety was superior to the other cultivars, as it presented 93.28% of stem, 57.5% of *in vitro* digestibility of organic matter, NDF / BRIX ratio of 2.68, 43.78% NDF, latency period 2.86h and fibrous carbohydrate degradation rate of 2.26% per hour. Therefore, this cultivar was better indicated for animal feeding between May and July in the State of Mato Grosso, Brazil.

Keywords: *Saccharum spp.*, Feeding Ruminants, digestibility, *in vitro* degradation kinetics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane forage is a bulky option for cattle, due to the high sucrose content coincident with the dry period of the year, high production of dry mass and energy per unit area. It presents a different characteristic of other forage grasses, since its nutritive value rises with the advancement of age, becoming an important bulk for use in ruminant feed mainly during the dry season [1].

Sugarcane is used as forage resource aiming to supplement the lack of forage during the dry season, when the nutrition of ruminants is impaired by the low quantity and quality of forages available for animal production systems in pasture. In addition to the high degradability sucrose in the rumen, sugarcane structural carbohydrates are a low-cost source of energy for animals in this system [2].

Despite being a food rich in high degradability of sucrose in the rumen, sugarcane presents low levels of crude protein and minerals as its main limitations, besides high

26 content of low ruminal degradation fiber [3]. This high fibre content reduces digestibility,
27 and consequently decreases the dry matter intake by the animal.

28 NDF/Brix ratio proposed by [4] and digestibility are fundamental characteristics in the
29 selection of sugarcane cultivars for ruminant feeding since they take into account the
30 fibre content in relation to the plant's sugar. Combining fibre content with digestibility, it is
31 possible to select cultivars that can provide greater dry matter intake by animals.

32 The evaluation of the degradation kinetics of fibrous carbohydrates (FC) and non-fibrous
33 carbohydrates (NFC) of sugarcane cultivars allows separating completely indigestible
34 fractions, or those that reduce the availability of energy for microorganisms and are
35 negatively correlated with dry matter intake. For sugarcane, the factors that basically
36 determine its quality as ruminant feeding are the plant age and the genotype, and the
37 former affects the nutritional value of plants due to architectural changes, ratio between
38 leaves and stalks, and chemical composition of these fractions [5].

39 In this sense, this study aimed to evaluate early cultivars for the selection and use in
40 ruminant feeding, considering the soil and climatic conditions of the State of Mato
41 Grosso.

42 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

43 The experiment was carried out at the Alcohol Distillery Libra, member of the Inter-
44 University Network for the Development of Sugarcane Industry (RIDESA), in Sao José
45 do Rio Claro-MT (lat. 13°45'33 "S, long. 56°36'41"W, at 350 m asl). According to the
46 Koppen classification, the climate is Aw, rainy tropical, characterized by well-defined dry
47 season between May and September. The soil was classified as Quartzarenic Neosol.

48 Rainfall during the experiment was 1,101 mm; however, in the months of July and
49 August, rainfall was zero. During the experiment, the annual mean temperature was
50 31.2°C, with maximum of 37.5°C and minimum of 10.3°C observed in July.

51 The experiment was established in an area that had been cultivated for three years and
52 consisted of a complete randomized block design with seven treatments (cultivars), and
53 three replications. Each plot consisted of five 8.0 m rows, spaced 1.3m, totaling 52 m²,
54 and the three central rows were considered as useful area, discarding 0.5m at the ends.
55 The cultivars RB835486, RB925211, RB925345, RB937570, RB945961, RB955970 and
56 SP91-1049 were evaluated in this experiment.

57 For the agronomic characteristics, five tillers were sampled from the useful area, where
58 plant height (PH) and stalk diameter at 30 cm from the ground (DIAM) were determined.
59 Afterwards, tillers were cut close to the ground with the aid of an axe, to determine the
60 matter per stalk (MPS) and percentage of stalks (PS). For the evaluation of tillering in
61 plants regrowth, the number of tillers per meter (NTM) was obtained by the mean of
62 tillers counted on a linear meter in the useful area.

63 Dry matter yield (DMY) was obtained by multiplying the fresh matter yield (t ha⁻¹) and the
64 dry matter content. The value of tons of stalks per hectare (TSH) was obtained by
65 multiplying the fresh matter yield by the percentage of stalks.

66 BRIX and POL values, which represent soluble solids and sucrose contents,
67 respectively, in the fresh matter, were obtained by the methodology proposed by [6].
68 After that, the NDF/Brix ratio and tons of POL (TPH) per hectare were calculated, and
69 the latter was obtained by multiplying the POL content by the fresh matter yield.

70 For the determination of the dry matter content (DM), tillers were crushed using a 2 cm
71 stationary chopper. After chopping, the material was weighed, stored in paper bags, and

72 were dried in forced-air ovens, at 55°C for 72 hours. Afterwards, the material was
 73 grounded through 2 mm sieves in a Willey mill. Then, crude protein (CP), ash and ether
 74 (EE) were determined [7]. For analysis of insoluble neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and
 75 insoluble acid detergent fiber (ADF), solutions described by [8] were used, and extraction
 76 in autoclave was carried out according to [9], using TNT bags (non-woven textile (NWT
 77 100 g/m²).

78 The technique of semi-automatic *in vitro* gas production was used to estimate *in vitro*
 79 organic matter digestibility (IVOMD), total digestible nutrients (TDN), digestible energy
 80 (DE), metabolizable energy (ME), and net energy (NE), according to the equations of
 81 [10]. The kinetics of cumulative production of gas was analyzed using the
 82 bicompartamental logistic model, as recommended by [11].

83 Data was subjected to analysis of variance and Scott-Knott mean clustering test to 5%
 84 probability. Pearson's correlation analysis to 5% probability was also carried out. The
 85 statistical program used was SAEG 2000.

86 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

87 No significant difference was observed ($p > .05$) among cultivars in terms of dry matter
 88 content (DM), dry matter yield (DMY), percentage of stalk (PS), tons of stalks per
 89 hectare (TSH), stalk diameter (DIA), number of tillers per linear meter (NT), and mass
 90 per stalk (MPS). The means were 32.50%; 29.89 t ha⁻¹; 91.49%; 84.14 t ha⁻¹; 2.3 cm;
 91 11.18 tillers/ m; 0.97 kg; respectively (Table 1).

92 Mean dry matter content was high at the beginning of the dry season, which can be
 93 observed when comparing with the means obtained by [12] (24.40%) for cultivars
 94 harvested in May, in the city of Oratorio-MG. The high DM values found in this study
 95 (mean of 32.5%) can be explained by the sandy soil of the experimental area, which
 96 resulted in low water retention, and consequently in water loss by the culture at the
 97 beginning of the dry season.

98 **Table 1. Dry matter content (DM), dry matter yield (DMY), percentage of stalk (PS),**
 99 **tons of stalks per hectare (TSH), plant height (PH), stalk diameter (DIA), number of**
 100 **tillers per meter (NT), and matter per stalk (MPS) of early sugarcane cultivars in**
 101 **Mato Grosso.**

Cultivar	DM (%)	DRY (t DM ha ⁻¹)	PS (%)	TSH (t ha ⁻¹)	PH ¹ (m)	DIA (cm)	NT (n ^o)	MPS (kg)
RB835486	30.05	29.05	93.28	89.14	2.95 B	2.27	11.44	1.00
RB925211	34.35	30.29	93.36	82.48	3.12 B	2.08	12.88	0.84
RB925345	33.8	30.98	95.12	87.25	3.62 A	2.27	12.33	0.92
RB937570	34.42	37.26	94.43	101.91	3.49 A	2.55	11.55	1.15
RB945961	31.28	31.64	90.8	91.54	2.90 B	2.37	10.88	1.09
RB955970	30.67	25.51	84.18	69.92	2.05 C	2.57	9.44	0.94
SP91-1049	33.1	21.8	89.82	72.98	2.44 C	2.02	10.11	0.92
Mean	32.5	29.89	91.49	84.14	2.96	2.3	11.18	0.97
CV (%)	5.58	15.15	3.65	16.31	9.5	9.04	17.36	12.94

102 ¹ Means followed by different letters in the column statistically differ by the Scott-Knott
 103 test to 5% probability.

104 [13] obtained mean DMY of 10.14 t DM ha⁻¹ for the early cultivars IAC86- 2210, IAC86-
 105 2480, IAC93-6006, SP81-3250, IAC87-3396 and RB72454, in Red-Yellow Latosol, with

106 harvest at 15 months after planting. The value found by these authors was much lower
107 than that found in this study (29.89 t DM ha⁻¹).

108 [4] recommended PS above 80% for cultivars used to produce forage, since it does not
109 hinder consumption by low ruminal degradation fiber intake. Although there was no
110 significant difference, all cultivars responded to this premise.

111 The mean value obtained for TSH in a third clipping sugarcane field (84.14 t ha⁻¹) was
112 higher than that observed by [14], who found mean value of 57.81 and 91.23 t ha⁻¹ for the
113 third and first clippings, respectively.

114 Cultivars differed in PH (p<.05). Plant height is a characteristic associated with growth
115 rate and is highly important for 12-month cycle genotypes [15]. PH was positively
116 correlated with TSH (r = 0.80) and DMY (r = 0.78), which evidences the great importance
117 of this characteristic on the productive aspects of cultivars. However, the high PH
118 observed for cultivars RB925345 and RB937570 did not reflect increases in DMY, TSH,
119 BRIX, and POL.

120 NT indicates greater potential for growth and closing lines [15], reducing the number of
121 hoeing, which is interesting for the production system in order to reduce costs. Aside
122 from cultivars RB925211 and RB925345, all the others presented NT lower than 12 – 13
123 stalks/linear m, which is recommended by [16] for good establishment and continuity of a
124 sugarcane field with forage purposes.

125 MPS depends on the density of plants per hectare and on the potential of cultivar
126 tillering, and the plant will always maintain the balance between tiller number and size.
127 No differences were found among cultivars for MPS, with means ranging from 0.92 to
128 1.15 kg.

129 No significant difference (p>0.05) was found among cultivars in relation to soluble solids
130 (BRIX), sucrose (POL), crude protein (CP), acid detergent fiber (ADF), NDF/BRIX ratio,
131 and tons of sucrose per hectare (TPH). Means were 16.86 g/100 g juice; 14.77 g/100 g
132 juice; 1.39%; 32.45%; 2.82; 14.89 t ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 2).

133 **Table 2. Soluble solids (BRIX), sucrose (POL), crude protein (CP), neutral**
134 **detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF), NDF/BRIX ratio and tons of**
135 **sucrose/ha (TPH) for early sugarcane cultivars in Mato Grosso.**

Cultivar	BRIX (g/100g juice)	POL (g/100g juice)	CP (%)	NDF ¹ (%)	ADF (%)	NDF/BRIX -	TPH (t ha ⁻¹)
RB835486	16.68	14.29	1.23	43.78 B	32.26	2.68	16.38
RB925211	17.42	15.49	1.15	46.60 B	32.76	2.75	13.15
RB925345	17.11	14.98	1.26	47.91 B	34.66	2.8	15.18
RB937570	18.24	16.51	0.96	44.86 B	30.6	2.48	19.28
RB945961	16.69	14.61	1.48	45.82 B	31.05	2.64	16.6
RB955970	15.76	13.62	2.1	51.25 A	32.45	3.13	12
SP91-1049	16.13	13.88	1.61	51.60 A	33.86	3.24	12.5
Mean	16.86	14.77	1.39	47.19	32.45	2.82	14.89
CV (%)	5.83	6.83	21.83	5.78	6.67	9.51	16.38

136 ¹ Means followed by different letters in the column statistically differ by the Scott-Knott
137 test to 5% probability.

138 BRIX contents higher than 13% are considered acceptable to be used as sugarcane
139 cultivar by the industry [13]. All cultivars showed BRIX values greater than 13%, even
140 though no significant difference was found among them.

141 Although the evaluation of BRIX is still useful, POL is a more efficient variable to indicate
142 the sugar content in the juice. For this reason, it has been more frequently used by the
143 sugar industry as an indicator of maturation. For [17], a sugarcane cultivar is considered
144 mature when POL is greater than 14.4%. Thus, from the animal nutrition point of view,
145 POL is more appropriate measurement for the quantitation of non-fibrous carbohydrates,
146 representing the high degradability carbohydrate in the rumen.

147 For [18] evaluated the influence of harvest time on second clipping cultivars and found
148 variation in POL and TPH from 13.07% and 10.66 t ha⁻¹ to 17.77% and 16.06 t ha⁻¹ in
149 early and late maturation cultivars, respectively. The values obtained by these authors
150 for early cultivars are below the mean found for the cultivars of this study (14.77%), and
151 this response can be explained by the stressful condition that probably occurred in the
152 experimental sites.

153 For a long time, the choice of cultivars for animal feeding was based on the high
154 proportion of leaves in the total fresh matter [19], since the CP content in the leaf is
155 higher than in the stalk. Since the stalk is the portion of greatest interest for animal
156 feeding, CP content in sugarcane is not a selection criteria. The low CP content in
157 sugarcane is intrinsic to forage; besides, breeding programs do not aim to increase it. In
158 addition, low CP content can be corrected at a low cost, such as by adding urea and
159 ammonium sulfate to the chopped cane [20].

160 The thickening of the cell wall, in detriment of cellular content, increases NDF, causing
161 losses at qualitative level, and hinders the microbial attack in the rumen by decreasing
162 the surface area. The lower rate of degradation and passage of fibrous food through the
163 rumen decreases dry matter intake and energy [21].

164 RB955970 and SP91-1049 cultivars showed NDF contents higher than those of the
165 other cultivars (51.25 and 51.60%, respectively). These results corroborate those found
166 by [4], [20], [14], [13] and [22], who observed mean NDF lower than 52%. RB835486
167 cultivar presented similar response in the study carried out by [23], who evaluated 60
168 genotypes at two clipping ages (early and intermediate) and found NDF of 45.07% for
169 early clipping against 43.78% found in this study.

170 Similar to NDF, ADF content decreases with older sugarcane plants, due to the
171 accumulation of carbohydrates in the plant. Also, it is common that early cultivars
172 present higher ADF content than intermediate cultivars. ADF correlates negatively with
173 the digestibility of the food, and therefore, cultivars with low ADF content should be used
174 for animal feeding.

175 NDF/BRIX ratio takes into account the amount of energy consumed in relation to the low
176 rumen degradation fiber content and is used as a parameter to prevent DM and energy
177 intake by the animal from being limited by the high NDF rates. The values for this
178 variable should be less than 2.7 for the cultivar to be suitable for ruminants feeding [4],
179 and for that, cultivars with high PS should be selected, since this variable presented
180 negative correlation with NDF/BRIX ($r = -0.69$).

181 RB835486, RB937570 and RB945961 cultivars presented NDF/Brix lower than 2.7[4],
182 and of these, only RB835486 had the greatest IVOMD and TDN (Table 3). Age
183 influences the digestibility of sugarcane, since sucrose accumulation occurs during the
184 dry season. The use of IVOMD as selection criteria is explained for this variable present
185 positive correlation with the TDN content ($r = 0.99$), indicating the best cultivars to be
186 used by animals.

187 This was observed by the TDN values of RB835486 and SP91-1049 cultivars of 57.56
 188 and 58.24%, respectively, which are higher values than those of the other cultivars and
 189 are in accordance with those observed by [24], of 55.8% for the early cultivar SP80-
 190 1842, harvested at 426 days of age. The highest TDN values reflected in higher DE and
 191 ME values, and in greater mean values for RB835486 and SP91-1049 cultivars.
 192 However, only the first cultivar showed **highest** NE (Table 3).

193 **Table 3. Total digestible nutrients (TDN), digestible energy (DE), metabolizable**
 194 **energy (ME), net energy (NE) and *in vitro* organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) for**
 195 **early sugarcane cultivars.**

Cultivar	TDN¹ (%)	DE Mcal/kg	ME Mcal/kg	NE Mcal/kg	IVOMD (%)
RB835486	57.6 A	2.53 A	2.08 A	1.59 A	57.56 A
RB925211	55.46 B	2.44 B	2.00 B	1.50 B	55.47 B
RB925345	52.11 C	2.29 C	1.88 C	1.46 C	52.13 C
RB937570	54.99 B	2.42 B	1.98 B	1.52 B	55 B
RB945961	50.83 C	2.24 C	1.83 C	1.39 C	50.89 C
RB955970	55.68 B	2.45 B	2.01 B	1.62 A	55.78 B
SP91-1049	58.23 A	2.56 A	2.10 A	1.52 B	58.24 A
Mean	54.99	2.42	1.98	1.51	55.01
CV (%)	2.96	2.96	2.96	3.92	2.92

196 ¹ Means followed by different letters in the **column** statistically differ by the Scott-Knott
 197 test to 5% probability.

198 Nevertheless, the mean TDN content (54.99%) observed for the early cultivars
 199 RB765418, RB855453, RB855336, SP80-1842 and SP81-1763, on the first clipping,
 200 grown in Minas Gerais was lower than the value of 62.47% observed by [20] for the
 201 early cultivars RB765418, RB855453, RB855336, SP80-1842 and SP81-1763 (62.47%),
 202 on the first clipping, grown in Minas Gerais. Higher TDN values may be due to the lower
 203 mean value of ADF found by these authors (28.78%), when compared with the present
 204 study (32.45%).

205 The nutritional value of sugarcane is limited by the low cell wall digestion rate, which
 206 contributes to the low metabolizable energy to the animal and also reduces the efficiency
 207 of use of soluble sugars by the negative effect on ruminal ecosystem, due to low ruminal
 208 passage rate.

209 All characteristics related to rates of digestion of the fibrous and non-fibrous
 210 carbohydrate rates were different among cultivars (Table 4). Although RB835486 and
 211 SP91-1049 cultivars showed **highest** IVOMD and TDN, the former may result in lower
 212 limitation of DM intake and energy by the animals, since has a latency period of 2.86 h,
 213 when compared with 3.74 h of the latter.

214 The time required for colonization and bacterial fixation to the substrate is called latency
 215 period. During this period, hydration of food particles, removal of inhibitory substances,
 216 and events related to effective adhesion and colonization of food particles by rumen
 217 microorganisms **may occur**.

218 The determination of the extent and of the nutrient degradation rate is important to
 219 estimate the energy supply to the microorganisms present in the rumen. While there is
 220 no difference between cultivars for POL content, cultivar RB937570 showed higher

221 digesting rate for non-fibrous carbohydrates (C1) (0.68 h^{-1}). However, this cultivar had the
 222 highest latency period (3.42 h), together with the cultivar SP91-1049.

223 **Table 4. Estimate of maximum gas volume of the NFC1 fraction (Vf1), digestion**
 224 **rate for the fraction of non-fibrous carbohydrates (C1), latency period (L),**
 225 **maximum gas volume of the FC2 fraction (Vf2) and digestion rate for the fraction**
 226 **of fibrous carbohydrates (C2) to determine the *in vitro* degradation kinetics of**
 227 **carbohydrates by the technique of gas production of early sugarcane cultivars in**
 228 **Mato Grosso.**

Cultivar	Vf ₁ (mL)	C1 (h ⁻¹)	L ¹ (h)	Vf ₂ (mL)	C2 (h ⁻¹)	r
RB835486	19.82 B	0.35 C	2.86 B	62.47 B	0.0226 A	0.99
RB925211	16.72 C	0.39 C	3.00 B	58.16 C	0.0229 A	0.99
RB925345	14.60 D	0.48 B	2.98 B	56.22 C	0.0234 A	0.99
RB937570	18.36 B	0.68 A	3.42 A	58.15 C	0.0224 A	0.99
RB945961	16.27 C	0.40 C	2.88 B	52.81 D	0.0218 B	0.99
RB955970	13.67 D	0.30 C	2.69 B	68.08 A	0.0224 A	0.99
SP91-1049	21.89 A	0.53 B	3.74 A	59.30 C	0.0212 B	0.99
Mean	17.33	0.45	3.08	59.31	0.0224	0.99
CV (%)	6.92	23.89	11.85	5.21	2.86	0.35

229 ¹ Means followed by different letters in the column statistically differ by the Scott-Knott
 230 test to 5% probability.

231
 232 Cultivars RB835486, RB925211, RB925345, RB937570 and RB955970 formed a group
 233 with higher rates of degradation of fibrous carbohydrates (C2). Among the cultivars,
 234 RB835486 stood out for its high C2 value (0.0226 h^{-1}), associated with higher values of
 235 IVOMD, TDN, DE and NE.

236 In this work, since the cultivars did not differ in relation to production variables, NDF,
 237 IVOMD, TDN, DE, NE, rates of degradation of fibrous and non-fibrous carbohydrates,
 238 and latency period were taken as crucial characteristics in selection of cultivars.
 239 Selection criteria are more related to the nutritional value, since evaluation of voluntary
 240 feed intake are not carried out with animals.

241 4. CONCLUSIONS

242 Cultivars present different rates of neutral detergent fiber, *in vitro* organic matter
 243 digestibility, total digestible nutrients and digestible energy values, net energy, rates of
 244 degradation of fibrous and non-fibrous carbohydrates, and latency period. Cultivar
 245 RB835486 is the most suitable for ruminant feeding between May and July for the state
 246 of Mato Grosso.

247 REFERENCES

- 248 1. Silveira RN, Berchielli TT, Canesin RC, Messana DJ, Resi RA, Resende KT. Effects
 249 of nitrogen sources in the intake, total and partial digestibility of steers feeding on
 250 sugarcane. Acta Scientia Animal Sciences.2009; 31 (3): 279-285. Portuguese.
- 251 2. Oliveira MDS. Sugarcane in cattle feeding. Jaboticabal: FUNEP; 1999. Portuguese.
- 252 3. Moraes KAK, Valadares Filho SC, Moraes EHBK, Leão MI, Valadares RFD, Detmann
 253 E, et al. Sugarcane treated with calcium oxide and different concentrate levels for

- 254 feedlot beef heifers. Brazilian Journal of Animal Science. 2008; 37 (7): 1301-1310.
255 Portuguese.
- 256 4. Rodrigues AA, Primavesi O, Esteves SN. Effect of sugar cane varieties quality on its
257 value as cattle feed. Brazilian Journal of Agricultural Research.1997; 32 (12): 1333-
258 1338. Portuguese.
- 259 5. Rodrigues AA, Esteves SN. Sugarcane and urea for feeding cattle in the dry season.
260 São Carlos: Embrapa Livestock Southeast; 1992. Portuguese.
- 261 6. COOPERSUCAR - Central Cooperative of Sugar and Alcohol Producers of São
262 Paulo state. Sampling and analysis of sugarcane. Piracicaba: CTC; 1980.
263 Portuguese.
- 264 7. AOAC - Association of Official Agricultural Chemists.Official methods of analysis of
265 the association of official analytical chemists. Washington: USDA; 1975.
- 266 8. Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent
267 fiber, and no starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. Journal of Dairy
268 Science.1991;74(10):3583-3597.
- 269 9. Pell AN, Schofield P. Computerized monitoring of gas production to measure forage
270 digestion *in vitro*. Journal of Dairy Science. 1993;76(9):1063-1073.
- 271 10. Menke KH,Steingass H. Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from
272 chemical analysis and gas production using rumen fluid. Animal Research
273 Development. 1988; 28:7-55.
- 274 11. Schofield P, Pitt RE, Pell NA. CINETICS of fiber digestion from *in vitro* gas production.
275 Journal of Animal Science. 1994; 72(11):2980-2991.
- 276 12. Freitas AWP, Pereira JC, Rocha FC, Detmann E, Barbosa MHP, Ribeiro MD, et al.
277 Evaluation of the nutritional divergence of sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) genotypes.
278 Brazilian Journal of Animal Science. 2006; 35 (1): 229-236. Portuguese.
- 279 13. Abreu JBR, Almeida JCC, Mello WA, Pereira VV, Ferreira MCM, Marques RAFS, et
280 al. Production, morphological traits and ripeness characteristics of sugar cane
281 cultivars with different maturity cycle at Barbacena region/ MG, Brazil. Animal
282 Industry Bulletin. 2007; 64 (2): 115-121. Portuguese.
- 283 14. Mello SQS, France AFS, Lima MLM, Ribeiro DS, Miyagi ES, Reis JG. Parameters for
284 nutritive value of nine sugarcane varieties cultivated under irrigation. Brazilian Animal
285 Science. 2006; 7 (4): 373-380. Portuguese.
- 286 15. Silva MA, Landell MGA, Campana MP, Zimback L.Competition of sugarcane
287 genotypes under conditions of cane planting of year, in a dark red Oxisol eutrophic of
288 the region of Jaú (SP). In: National Congress of the Sugar and Alcohol Technicians
289 Society of Brazil. Londrina, STAB. 1999. Portuguese.
- 290 16. Landell MGA, Campana MP, Rodrigues AA, Cruz GM, Batista LAR, Figueiredo P, et
291 al. The cultivar IAC86-2480: new option for sugar cane for forage purposes:
292 management of production and use in animal feeding. Campinas: IAC. 2002.
293 Portuguese.
- 294 17. Andrade LAB. Culture of sugarcane. In: Cardoso MG. Production of sugarcane
295 brandy. Lavras: UFLA. 2006. Portuguese.

- 296 18. Silva MA, Jerônimo EM, Lucio AD. Height of cut and harvest period effects on tillering
297 and yield of sugarcane. Brazilian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2008; 43 (8): 979-
298 986. Portuguese.
- 299 19. Boin C, Mattos WRS, D'arce RD. Sugarcane and its by-products in feed for
300 ruminants. In: Paranhos, SB Sugarcane, cultivation and utilization. Cargill
301 Foundation, Campinas. 1987. Portuguese.
- 302 20. Fernandes AM, Queiroz AC, Pereira JC, Lana RP, Barbosa MHP, Fonseca DM, et al.
303 Chemical Composition of Sugar Cane Varieties (*Saccharum* spp l.) with Different
304 Cycles of Production in Three Cut Time. Brazilian Journal of Animal Science. 2003;
305 32 (4): 977-985. Portuguese.
- 306 21. Van Soest PJ. Nutritional ecology of the ruminant. Comstock, Ithaca, 1994.
- 307 22. Bonomo P, Cardoso CMM, Pedreira MS, Santos CC, Pires AJV, Silva FF. Forage
308 potential of sugarcane varieties for ruminant feeding. Acta Scientiarum Animal
309 Sciences. 2009; 31 (1): 53-59. Portuguese
- 310 23. Andrade JB, Ferrari Junior E, Possenti R, Otsuk IP, Zimback L, Landell MGA.
311 Chemical composition of sugarcane in two ages, for animal nutrition. Bragantia. 2004;
312 63 (3): 341-349. Portuguese.
- 313 24. Azevedo JAC, Pereira JC, Queiroz ACE, Carneiro PCS, Lana RP, Barbosa MHP, et
314 al. Chemical-Bromatological Composition, Fractionation of Carbohydrates and in vitro
315 Fiber Degradation Kinetics of Three Sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) Varieties. Brazilian
316 Journal of Animal Science. 2003; 32 (6): 1443-1453. Portuguese.