1 234 5

## The Impact of transportation Infrastructure on Economic growth: Empirical evidence from Saudi Arabia

## ABSTRACT

The transport sector is an important factor of economic activity, where it contributes directly to economic activities and employment. The road has a large indirect impact on all the other sectors and activities in the economy. The study aims to investigate the causality relations between road land and economic growth in Saudi Arabia. The study is based on secondary data gained from Saudi Arabia Monetary Agency and World Bank over the period of 1988 to 2017. The Granger causality test was used to investigate the relationship between the variables with Akiake Lag Length Selection Information Criteria, while Vector Autoregtression (VAR) model was used in order to find the causality. The result reveals unidirectional causality form real GDP to road; however, there is no evidence to support that transportation infrastructure is the cause of economic growth. Granger causality from GDP to investment in infrastructure indicates that reinvestment in infrastructure is caused by economic growth and not vice versa. Economic growth drove pressures on existing transport infrastructure and required additional investment. The finding is in line with the commonly accepted notion advocating that economic growth or development provide necessary financial and technical support for transportation infrastructure investment and improvement.

6 7

Keywords: Transportation; causality; road; real growth domestic product, infrastructure;

8 economic growth.

## 9 1. INTRODUCTION

10

Infrastructure is defined as a structure, facilities, services and systems serving a country, city, or area, necessary for functioning the economy. It typically characterizes technical structures such as roads, bridges, tunnels, water supply, sewers, electrical grids, telecommunications, and so forth, and is defined as, "the physical components of interrelated systems providing commodities and services essential to enable, sustain, or enhance societal living conditions. (1).

16 The transport sector is an important component of the economy, because of its intensive use and a common tool used for development. This is even more in a global economy where economic 17 18 opportunities have been increasingly related to the mobility of people, goods and information. A 19 relation between the quantity and quality of transport infrastructure and the level of economic 20 development is apparent. High-density transport infrastructure and highly connected road networks 21 are indicators of high levels of development. At the macroeconomic level, the evidence shows that 22 there is a strong relationship between expenditure on infrastructure and the growth of real GDP. 23 While investment in infrastructure has a very high return, the importance of particular types of 24 infrastructure declines beyond ascertains the level of GDP. At higher income levels - as in developed 25 countries - its power and telecommunication tend to have higher share in GDP than roads and water. On the other hand low-income levels, as in developing counties, water shows the highest GDP share 26

followed by transport (2).

28 Transport projects have various impacts on a community's economic development In general; 29 transport projects improve overall accessibility and reduce production costs. This tends to increase 30 economic activities and development. Some examples of the effectiveness of transportation include:

- 31 A new highway or public transport service increases a community's access to other areas. This
- 32 increases businesses' labor pool, reduces their costs to obtain input materials and services, and

expands their potential market. This may increase "economies of scale" in production processes,
which means higher productivity through lower costs per unit of output.

Improved accessibility may increase workers' ability to access education and employment
 opportunities (increasing their productivity and income) and increase access to recreation and
 cultural opportunities (increasing their welfare).

New transportation links between cities and ports, and new types of inter-modal facilities and services at those locations make it possible for new patterns of international trade to develop. In
 some cases, the new links may improve the efficiency of business customer/client visits as well as
 product deliveries.

Rising demand is driven by increased urbanization of population that creates a challenge for
 transportation providers in terms of maintaining an efficient and productive transport system in
 the face of population changes.

45 One of the key factors that play a pivotal role in a region's economic growth is the presence of a reliable and efficient transportation system. The provision of efficient infrastructure encourages 46 47 investment in less developed areas by allowing wider movement of goods and people facilitates 48 information flows and helps to commercialize and diversify the economy. Efficient transport systems 49 provide economic and social opportunities and benefits that result in positive multipliers effects such 50 as better accessibility to markets, employment and additional investments. When transport systems 51 are deficient in terms of capacity or reliability, they can have an economic cost such as reduced or 52 missed opportunities and lower quality of life. At the aggregate level, efficient transportation reduces 53 costs in many economic sectors, while inefficient transportation increases these costs. In addition, the 54 impacts of transportation are not always intended and can have unforeseen or unintended 55 consequences. Transport sector carries an important social and environmental load, which cannot be 56 neglected. Assessing the economic importance of transportation requires categorization of the type of 57 impacts it conveys. These involve core (the physical characteristics of transportation), operational and 58 geographical dimension. (303).

Saudi Arabia is a vast country of 2,149,690 km<sup>2</sup>, and is the second largest Arab state in Western Asia. 59 60 The Kingdom has been categorized as a high-income state, and it is member of the "Group of 61 Twenty" (G-20) world major economies. With a total population of approximately 32 million, motor 62 vehicles remain the major means of transportation within, and in-between cities in the country. The 63 country is endowed with abundant natural resources that could be well utilized to achieve higher 64 levels of economic development. However, these resources cannot be isolated for getting efficient 65 infrastructure, most importantly well developed transport system. Considering the fact that the 66 Kingdom possesses all these ample resources, it would have achieved the desired economic progress 67 (economic growth, trade (imports and exports), domestic capital formation and unemployment

reduction and utilization of resources. Against this background, and due to the importance of efficient
infrastructure systems, the country needs to transform her abundant resources into real economic
development (4).

The transport sector of Saudi Arabia emerged over the past four decades, as a driving force for the economic and social development of the Kingdom. The highway network with over 56,000 km of paved roads facilitates the movement of people and goods across the whole Kingdom (4) (UNDP/SAU10). Ministry of Transport (MOT) of Saudi Arabia in collaboration with international organizations had drafted a National Transportation (5) for developing sustainable transport systems

76 and improving road safety.

77 Transportation system and intercity movement in megacities of Saudi Arabia is mainly land transport 78 system. Private vehicles are dominating roads, representing the common transport mean for the 79 majority of the population. The number of car ownership in Saudi Arabia rose from 423 per 1,000 80 people in 2012 to 430 2017 (6). To support the policymakers and to fill the gap in the literature, the 81 study will try to analyze the causality between current transportation infrastructure and economic 82 growth in Saudi Arabia over the period 1988-2017. The importance of the research stems from the vital role of investment in the development process through improving infrastructure. Infrastructure 83 84 and investment are both a driver and an engine of growth in developed and developing countries. It is 85 necessary to sustain growth, create employment and it allows entrepreneurs to set economic activities in motion by bringing resources together to produce goods and services. Rapid and sustained 86 87 economic growth is facilitated by competitive and well-functioning markets. They also have an 88 important role in making the growth process more socially and geographically inclusive. The 89 importance of study takes into consideration the size and abundant resources of Saudi Arabia; it 90 becomes crucial to identify how transport is maximized by making the country's infrastructure more 91 efficient. Moreover, it is very important to recognize how the transport system can contribute to 92 economic growth rates through exports performance, imports, and high employment rates. Therefore, 93 identifying the causality between transport and economic growth helps to know to what extent Saudi 94 Arabia could adjust its transport infrastructure to maximize its national benefits and interests.

95 The study endeavours to achieve two broad and complementary objectives; firstly, is to analyze the 96 role of the transport sector in economic development in the country. Secondly, to provide decision-97 making, planning with thorough explanation of the applied relationship between the transport system 98 and the economic development in Saudi Arabia. These objectives are attained by testing two 99 hypotheses; the first is if there is a positive relationship between road infrastructure and economic 100 growth? Second hypotheses if there is a positive relationship between economic growth and road 101 infrastructure?

102 The rest of the paper proceeds as follows; section two provides a literature review of the transport

103 sector from different aspects and expressed the effects of transportation on economic growth. In

104 addition, a background of the economic growth of Saudi Arabia and its infrastructure is provided.

105 Subsequently, section three outlines the data and methodology adopted; the Dickey-Fuller Unit Root

106 Test applied to test the stationary of the time series. Granger causality test was used to examine the

107 causal relationship between the interested variables. Followed by section reports the results and policy

108 implications of the finding the last section concludes the paper.2.1. Review of the empirical

109 literature

110 Transport sector contributes to economic development through job creation and its derived 111 economic activities. Accordingly, a direct (freighters, managers, shippers) and indirect 112 (insurance, finance, packaging, handling, travel agencies, transit operators) employment are 113 associated with the transport sector. Producers and consumers make economic decisions 114 on products, markets, costs, location, prices that are themselves based on transport 115 services, their availability, costs, capacity, and reliability.

116 Weiss (7) examined the impact of infrastructure on economic growth for a sample of 31 117 developing counties over the period of 1970 to 1992. He adopted a growth accounting 118 approach with infrastructure proxies by two variables; power capacity per capita and road 119 length per capita. The estimate suggests that infrastructure positively related to output 120 growth and that the coefficient of the lagged infrastructure variable on current per capita 121 GDP was significant and has a positive sign. In contrast, (8) in their attempt to explain 122 Africa's growth using cross-section regression found no significant effect of either roads 123 railways or electricity generation on productivity. This is interesting and in line with the many 124 studies of Africa, which cite the poor state of its infrastructure.

Most evaluations of Structural Adjustment Programs in Africa aimed to search deficiencies in infrastructure as a major cause of poor supply response in economics under reforms. Adequate transport links encourage farmers to increase their marketable surplus and to use land more intensively and to adopt more efficient techniques and modern inputs in the end (9). Furthermore, tested the relationship between infrastructure and per capita GDP involves both sides in terms of the contribution of infrastructure to generate higher demand for infrastructure services (10).

The evidence obtained from the Survey of African Businesses, which measures the competitiveness index of 23 African countries, shows a strong correlation between the quality of infrastructure and the sentiments of foreign business. The result indicates the importance of infrastructure in business decision and operations; it ranks high on a list of complaints about all business and third for foreign-owned firms. Firms overwhelmingly indicate that roads are the most important (11).

138 Cantos et al (12) tested the impact of transport infrastructures on the economic growth of139 both regions and sectors in Spain. An attempt was made to capture the spillover effects

140 associated with transport infrastructures. Two different methodologies were used: the first 141 one adopts an accounting approach based on a regression on indices of total factor 142 productivity, the second uses an econometric estimation of the production function. Very 143 similar elasticity was obtained with both methodologies for the private sector of the economy, 144 both for the aggregate capital stock of transport infrastructure and for the various types of 145 infrastructure. However, the disaggregated results for production sectors are not conclusive. 146 The result confirmed the existence of very substantial spillover effects associated with 147 transport infrastructures.

Peterson and Jessup (13) examined the interrelationship between infrastructure and activity using two Washington State highway infrastructure datasets in combination with county-level employment, wages, and establishment numbers for several industrial sectors for a subset of counties from of 1990 to2004. Methodologies adopted such as vector autoregressions, error correction models, and directed acyclic graphs. Results show the relationship between infrastructure investment and economic activity are often weak and are not uniform in effect.

155 Kruger (14) investigated the relationship between infrastructure's investments and 156 economic activity in Sweden for the period of 1980 to 2000. In order to overcome the problem 157 of endogeneity, independent time scales were used to analyze the relationship. He also 158 examined the dynamics between the variables by testing causality in the Granger point and 159 constructing a vector autoregressive model separately for each timescale. The finding shows 160 the causality nexus between growth and transport infrastructure investment is timescale-161 dependent since it reverses in a comparison of the short-run dynamics (2 - 4 years) and the 162 longer-run dynamics (8 -16 years). This causality reversal is unique for infrastructure 163 investments compared to investments in other sectors of the economy.

164 Deng (15) provided an updated survey focusing on estimation of transport infrastructure 165 contributions to productivity and economic growth. The central questions addressed were 166 possible reasons behind the conflicting results reported in the literature on the elasticity of 167 economic output with respect to transportation infrastructure investment. The study 168 remarked that controversial results attributed to ten causes (grouped into three categories). 169 The first related to different contexts; research period, geographical scale, and country's 170 capability in enabling economic development. Second is related to different phenomena that 171 measured different economic sectors, different types of transport, and different quality levels 172 of transport infrastructure; and third is related to distinct ways of measuring a similar 173 phenomenon; to describe the dependent variable and explanatory variable, functional 174 specification, and estimation method of the econometric model. Strong network externalities 175 of transport infrastructure may result in nonlinearity of the relationship between transport

infrastructure and economic growth. Moreover, the absence of spatial concerns ininfrastructure's impacts is another important source of inconclusive results.

178 Mohmand et al (16) tested the impact of transportation infrastructure on economic growth in 179 Pakistan. A panel of data was employed using the unit root, cointegration and Granger 180 Causality (GC) model to test whether causal linkages between economic growth and 181 transportation infrastructure exist. The findings suggest that in the short run, there is no 182 causality between the two variables at the national level, however, a unidirectional causality 183 from economic development to infrastructure investment exist in the long run. At the provincial level, bidirectional causality in the rich and much-developed provinces exists, 184 185 whereas a unidirectional GC exists from economic growth to transportation infrastructure in 186 the underdeveloped provinces.

187 Zuo et (17) tested the government subsidies to the new energy vehicles (NEVs) technology to help 188 the NEVs companies research their generic technology. Based on the lack of the effective decision-189 making mechanism for R&D subsidies by the government, avoiding the problems like a waste of the 190 public resources, cheating for taking the subsidy and so on. Three-way decision theory is employed to 191 solve the mechanism design, and the government's actions represent subsidize, delay decision-making 192 and do not subsidize

## 193 2.2. Transport sector in Saudi Arabia:

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is a vast country, where the main populated areas are not only 194 195 scattered all over the country but also separated by deserts, sand dunes, valleys and 196 mountains. Fast and reliable means of transportation become more important and essential. 197 The principal aim of road construction in Saudi Arabia is to connect major urban centres with 198 surrounding villages and towns, thereby opening up the entire nation to develop and to enable improvements in the quality of life by providing citizens with the ability to commute or 199 200 move from place to another. Road construction has been a significant feature in the 201 Kingdom's development and has dictated patterns of traffic movement. Most development 202 projects, whether for public services, religious purposes, agriculture or industry, have 203 required the construction of new roads (5)

204 The transport sector of Saudi Arabia emerged in the past as a driving force for economic and 205 social development. The highway network length with over 56,000 km of paved roads 206 facilitates the movement of goods and people across the whole country. Road fatalities in 207 KSA have increased over the last decade from 17.4 - 24 km per 100,000 population 208 compared with 10 in USA, and 5 in UK. Updated traffic regulations and technology-209 supported procedures to manage traffic and detect traffic violation have increased road 210 safety and significantly reduced accident fatalities. To improve urban transportation in the 211 major cities of the Kingdom integrated public transport concepts need to be developed, to

include light rail and dedicated bus services. The railway network is expanding and thereby
creating a regional railway network to facilitate high-speed passenger trains and support
multimodal transport of goods. Private sector participation in aviation is enhancing
competitive air transport services. (5).

216

217 The massive growth in the use of motor transport worldwide witnessed the early 20<sup>th</sup> century 218 and has transformed every country on the planet. However, no country has changed more 219 dramatically than Saudi Arabia; the world's leading oil producer. At the start of the 20th 220 century, Saudi Arabia's population was small and the country had few industries, but 221 currently is heavily industrialized with its enormous oil production slaking the world's demand 222 for fuel. The government has now set aside huge sums of money to develop further its 223 transport infrastructure system. Public and private transportation will both benefit from this 224 massive investment program. Saudi Arabia government's plan to implement a multimodal 225 transportation system includes new railways, metros, traffic systems, buses, bridges and roads. Huge infrastructure development at Riyadh (Saudi capital) where a multimodal 226 227 transportation system of metros and buses will be ready to use by the end of 2019 (18).

228

229

## Table (1): Contribution of Transport Sector in Saudi's GDP

Years	Share of Transport Sector in GDP as %	Budget of the transport
		sector in million (SR)
1995 – 1990	2.11	8,268.1
<u> 1990-1995</u> 2000 – 1996	1.99	6,652.2
1996-2000	1.99	0,032.2
2001 – 2005	1.36	6,458.4
- 20062010	4.02	11,951.3
2011–2015	5.46	47,261.4

230 Source: Saudi General Authority for Statistics (2015).

Considering the Saudi budget for the period of 1990 to 2015, allocations of the transport and 231 232 communications sector have seen escalating, as shown in the Table (1a). It is observed that 233 when there is a budget increase for the sector, the contribution to GDP increases at a high 234 rate, indicating that the transport sector is a high-productive sector in terms of its growing 235 contribution to the GDP growth of the country. However, there are a number of challenges ahead in the Kingdom's pursuit to meet its Saudi Vision 2030 objective of leveraging its 236 237 location at the crossroads of three continents. The country's ranking with regard to global indices of competitiveness and logistics have declined since 2016 when the national plan 238 239 was unveiled. The government expenditure on infrastructure and transportation increased 240 86% from SR 29bn (\$7.7bn) to SR 54bn (\$14.4bn) in 2018 budget.

241 Saudi Vision 2030 clearly acknowledge that it is necessary to improve the commercial 242 environment and logistics systems if the nation and its businesses are to play an 243 increasingly significant role in global trade, it must make improvements to its commercial 244 environment and logistics systems. One of the strategic objectives is to increase the country's position in the World Bank's 2016 Logistics Performance Index (LPI). The LPI is 245 246 composed of three inputs: customs, infrastructure and service quality. In line with Saudi Vision 2030, Saudi Arabia is aiming to improve its current LPI position of 52<sup>nd</sup> to 25<sup>th</sup>." 247 According to (19The Kingdom's cross-border trade systems also a welcoming alarm with the 248 country's performance., "Doing Business 2018".a world bank survey among 190 countries' 249 business environments, the ease of doing business index shows Saudi Arabia ranked 161<sup>st</sup> 250 out of the 190 countries. In terms of transport infrastructure, the Kingdom ranked 53<sup>rd</sup> for 251 railways, 46<sup>th</sup> for air transport and 42<sup>nd</sup> for the guality of its ports, while its roads were ranked 252 34<sup>th</sup> – this reflects the improving situation or stable scores in each category. In addition to 253 254 that, the reforms outlined in Saudi Vision 2030 and the objectives detailed in the NTS would 255 help Saudi Arabia improve its ranking in all of these international indices and comparisons 256 (19).

## 257 3. METHODOLOGY AND DATA:

To accomplish the prescribed objectives and to validate the hypotheses, the study utilized econometric Granger (20) causality test and Akiake Lag Length Selection information criteria. The study also used Vector Autoregressive Model (VAR) to interpret the dynamic relationship between the variables. Since Granger test and (VAR) Model were performed between stationary time's series, the stationary (unit root) test was used. To make this reliable, a time series secondary macroeconomic dataset comprising annual observations for the periods from 1988 to 2017) was generated from World Bank reports and Saudi Arabian Monetary Agency.

265 The unit root is a commonly used statistical test to determine whether each data series is non-266 stationary (that is unit root exist) or stationary (unit root do not exist). The importance of this test 267 stems from the fact that it forms the preamble to the econometric analysis of long-run equilibrium 268 relationships proposed by economic theory. On the economic grounds, the conceptual existence of 269 equilibrium relationship proposed by economic theory that there exists the belief that certain 270 economic variables should not wander freely or be independent to each other, instead, they are 271 expected to move so that, they do not drift too far apart. Therefore, to develop a meaningful 272 relationship between the underlying variables, the stationary properties of the data are examined in a 273 preliminary step under a univariate analysis by implementing the Augmented Dickey- Fuller (ADF) test for the unit root (non- stationary), on pair of time series of paved roads and real gross domestic 274 275 product that denoted as (ROAD) and (RGDP), respectively.

- 276 Since the critique of Sims (21) in the early eighties of the last century, multivariate data analysis in the
- 277 context of VAR (henceforth: VAR) has evolved as a standard instrument in econometrics. Because
- 278 statistical tests frequently used in determining inter-dependencies and dynamic relationships between
- 279 variables, this methodology soon enriched by incorporating non-statistical a priori information. VAR
- 280 models explain the endogenous variables solely by their own history.
- 281 The stationary VAR allows interpretations on the dynamic relationship between the variables. The

1)

(3)

(2)

282 VAR model for paved roads and real gross domestic product, formulated as:

$$283 \qquad RGDP_t = \delta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{P} \beta_{1i} RGDP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{P} \beta_{2i} Road_{t-i} + U_{1t} \qquad ($$

 $284 \qquad Road_t = \delta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{P} \alpha_{1i} RGDP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{P} \alpha_{2i} Road_{t-i} + U_{2t}$ 

- 285 Where:
- **286**  $\delta$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ , are parameters.
- 287 RGDP: Real Gross Domestic Product.
- 288 Road : Paved Roads.
- 289  $U_t$  : are the stochastic error terms.
- 290 Assumptions about the error terms:
- 291 1. The expected residuals are zero:  $E(U_{1T}) = E(U_{2t}) = 0$
- 292 2. The vector error terms are not auto-correlated:
- 293  $E(U_t U_s) = \sigma_i^2$  if s = t and
- 294  $E(U_t U_s) = 0$  if  $s \neq t$
- 295 Different tests are conducted using equations (1) and (2), in order to analyze the dynamic relationship
- between those variables.
- 297 The selected order is lag one (1) according to the criteria of Akaike information criterion, implies that

298 we have VAR (1). The equations (1) and (2) of VAR is shown as:

299 
$$RGDP_t = \delta_1 + \beta_1 RGDP_{t-1} + \beta_2 Road_{t-1} + U_{1t}$$

 $300 \quad Road_t = \delta_2 + \alpha_1 RGDP_{t-1} + \alpha_2 Road_{t-1} + U_{2t}$ (4)

The Granger causality test is a statistical hypothesis test for determining whether one-time series is useful in forecasting another, first proposed in 1969. Ordinarily, regressions reflect "mere" correlations, but Clive Granger argued that causality in economics tested for by measuring the ability is to predict the future values of a time series using prior values of another time series. Since the question of "true causality" is deeply philosophical, and because of the post hoc ergo propter hoc fallacy of assuming that one thing preceding another used as a proof of causation, econometricians assert that the Granger test finds only "predictive causality".

- 308 A time series X is said to Granger-cause Y if it can be shown, usually through a series of t-tests and
- 309 F-tests on lagged values of X (and with lagged values of Y also included), that those X values provide
- 310 statistically significant information about future values of Y.

311 To examine the causal relationship between infrastructure and economic activity, Granger (20) 312 causality test was used. Granger's definition of causality based on two notions. The first is that the 313 future cannot cause the past, while the past and present cause the future. The second notion is that 314 causality exists only between two stochastic variables. It is not possible to talk about causality when 315 the two variables are deterministic. Granger's test utilizes a one-sided distributed lag method, which is 316 based to the incremental forecasting value of the past (or past plus present) history of one variable on 317 another. A time series X is said to Granger-cause Y if it can be shown, usually through a series of F-318 tests on lagged values of X (and with lagged values of Y also known), that those X values provide 319 statistically significant information about future values of Y. From an effective method, the test can be 320 done by first doing a regression of  $\Delta Y$  on lagged values of  $\Delta Y$ . Once the appropriate lag interval for 321 Y is proved significant (t-stat or p-value), subsequent regressions for lagged levels of  $\Delta X$  are 322 performed and added to the regression provided that they are significant in and of themselves, and add 323 explanatory power to the model.

324 The above exercise repeated for multiple  $\Delta X$ 's (with each  $\Delta X$  tested independently of other  $\Delta X$ 's, but 325 in conjunction with the proven lag level of  $\Delta Y$ ). More than one lag level of a variable can be included 326 in the final regression model, if it is statistically significant and provides explanatory power.

327 The Granger causality test involves estimating the following pair of regressions:

328

329 
$$x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i x_{t-i} + \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j y_{t-j} + \epsilon_{2t}$$

$$\begin{split} y_t &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \, x_{t-i} + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \, y_{t-j} + \epsilon_{1t} \quad (i) \\ x_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \, x_{t-i} + \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \, y_{t-j} + \epsilon_{2t} \quad (i) \\ \text{With the assumption that the disturbances } \epsilon_{1t} \text{ and } \epsilon_{2t} \text{ are uncorrelated. Four cases will be} \end{split}$$
330 331 distinguished:

- 332 1. Unidirectional causality from  $x_i$  to  $y_t$  is indicated if the estimated coefficients on the lagged  $x_i$  in (i)
- are statistically different from zero as a group  $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \neq 0)$  and the set of estimated coefficients on 333
- the laggedy<sub>t</sub> in (ii) is not statistically different from zero $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{j} \neq 0\right)$ 334
- 335 2. Unidirectional causality from  $y_t$  to  $x_i$  is indicated if the estimated coefficients on the lagged  $y_t$  in
- 336 the (ii) are statistically different from zero as a group  $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i \neq 0)$  and the set of estimated
- coefficients on the laggedx<sub>i</sub> in (i) is not statistically different from zero  $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \neq 0)$ 337
- 338 3. Bilateral causality is indicated when the set of  $x_i$  and  $y_t$  coefficients are statistically different from 339 zero in both regression equations (i) and (ii).

340 4. Independence – occurs when the set of  $x_i$  and  $y_t$  coefficients are not statistically significant in both

- 341 regression equations (i) and (ii).
- 342 In all the four cases, it is assumed that the two variables are stationary.
- 343 The Granger causality test was used in this study to examine whether there is a relationship feedback
- 344 between econometric models, paved roads and real gross domestic product, or not (22).

- 345 Akaike (23) definition of causality used to determine the optimum lag for each variable. The Akaike
- 346 Information Criterion (commonly referred to simply as AIC) is a criterion for selecting the nested
- 347 statistical or the AIC is essentially an estimated measure of the quality of each of the available
- 348 econometric models as they relate to one another for a certain set of data, making it an ideal method
- 349 for model selection.
- 350 The AIC is a number associated with each model:

351 AIC=ln  $(s_m^2) + 2m/T$ 

352 Where m is the number of parameters in the model, and  $s_m^2$  (in an AR (m) example) is the estimated 353 residual variance:  $s_m^2 = (sum of squared residuals for model m)/T$ . That is the average squared 354 residual for model m. The criterion may minimize over choices of m to form a trade-off between the 355 fit of the model (which lowers the sum of squared residuals) and the model's complexity, which 356 measured by m. Thus an AR (m) model versus an AR (m+1) can be compared by this criterion for a 357 given batch of data.

An equivalent formulation is: AIC=T ln (RSS) + 2K where K is the number of regression, T is the
number of observations, and RSS is the residual sum of squares; minimize over K to pick K..

360

## 361 **4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

# 362 4.1. RESULTS OF THE STUDY:363

The result of the ADFunit root tests is presented in table (1). The table illustrate RGDP is stationary in different one with intercept and significance at 10%, and Road is stationary in different one with intercept and significance at 5%.

367 Table2: ADF unit root test for paved roads (Road) and Real Gross Domestic Product 368 (RGDP)

Variable	Test for unit root in	ADF Test Statistic	Critical Value
Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP)	1st difference	-4.135695	$1\% \rightarrow -3.689194$ $5\% \rightarrow -2.971853$ $10\% \rightarrow -2.625121$
Paved roads (ROAD)	1st difference	-7.512889	$\begin{array}{rcrr} 1\% \to & -3.689194 \\ 5\% \to & -2.971853 \\ 10\% \to & -2.625121 \end{array}$

369 Source: Author calculations based on data from WB and. SAMA.

370 Table 2 explains Akaike information criterion (AIC) by determining the optimum lag length via

371 choosing the lower AIC value, as a result lag 2 is the optimum lag for the period from 1988 to

- 372 2017.
- 373

Table 3 Akaike information criterion (AIC) for the period of1988-2017

|--|

1	44.50*
2	45.46
3	45.48

374 Source: Author calculations based on data from WB and. SAMA 2017

Table 3 below presents Granger causality tests results for the period of 1988 to 2017. The results recorded unidirectional causality from real GDP to road in lag (1) representing that

377 the correlation was positive at the last years, because the economic activity was consistently

- increasing during the 1988 to 2017.
- 379 Table 4: Correlation test for the period of 1988 to 2017

	GDP(	ROAD
GDP	1.000000	0.778845
ROAD	<mark>0.778845</mark>	<mark>1.000000</mark>

380

There is a strong positive correlation between the two variables paved roads (Road) and Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP)  $R^2=0.78$  reflecting the variables that the infrastructure plays a tangible role in contributing to economic growth and economic growth plays a

384 tangible role in contributing to infrastructure. This indicates that Granger causality analysis

385 can be conduct

386

Table 5: Granger Causality test results for the period of 1988-2017

Null hypothesis	Observations	F-statistic	Probability	Decision
Lags <mark>1</mark> :2		·		·
ROAD does not Granger Cause RGDP	29	1.69121	0.2049	Don't reject
RGDP does not Granger Cause ROAD	29	8.25450	0.0080	Reject
7 Source: Author calculations based on (	data from WB and	SAMA 201	7	

387 Source: Author calculations based on data from WB and. SAMA 2017. 388

Vector autoregressive (VAR) models facilitate is to ascertain that there are substantial feedback effects and to determine the inter-relationships among the variables. The result presented in Table 5 shows that the coefficients of lagged RGDP (-1) and ROAD (-1) are significant in the regression of the RGDP, and coefficients of lagged RGDP (-2), and ROAD (-2) are insignificant in the regression of the RGDP. While coefficients of RGDP (-1), ROAD (-1) RGDP (-2) and ROAD (-2) are insignificant in the regression of the ROAD.

395

Table 6: Vector Auto regression (VAR) results for the period of 1988 to 2017)

Dependent Variable	RGDP	ROAD
	1.057152	0.017374
RGDP(-1)	(0.22532)	(0.01180)
	[ 4.69173]	[ 1.47190]

RGDP(-2)	-0.099995 (0.22476) [-0.44490]	-0.012358 (0.01177) [-1.04961]
ROAD(-1)	6.142121 (4.60106) [1.33494]	0.140884 (0.24103) [ 0.58451]
ROAD(-2)	-1.631691 (4.61867) [-0.35328]	0.405803 (0.24195) [ 1.67722]
С	73074.85 (54891.1) [ 1.33127]	-3484.717 (2875.48) [-1.21187]

396 Source: Author calculations based on data from WB and. SAMA 2017.

397

### 398 4.2DISSCUSION:

399

400 The results presented in table 6, shows that there is an unidirectional causality from real 401 GDP to road in lag (1), representing that the correlation was positive for the last years, 402 because the economic activity was consistently increasing during the duration, 1988 to 2017. 403 In addition, the change in the rate of economic growth is a does cause for a significant 404 change in transportation infrastructure. The analysis provides sufficient proof that there is a 405 unidirectional causal relationship from economic growth to transportation infrastructure and 406 that real GDP Granger causes transportation development. This indicates that GDP is a 407 significant cause for the development of transportation infrastructure in Saudi Arabia, 408 because economic growth drove pressures on existing transport infrastructure and required 409 additional investment. The result is in line with the commonly accepted support advocating 410 that economic growth provide necessary financial and technical support for the investment in 411 transportation sector(citation needed please). On the other hand, there is no evidence to 412 support that transportation infrastructure is the cause of economic growth.

- 413 5. CONCLUSION:
- 414

415 The analysis provides sufficient evidence that there exists a unidirectional causal relationship between 416 economic growth and transportation investment in Saudi Arabia, which means that GDP is indeed a 417 significant cause of development of Saudi's transport infrastructure. Saudi Arabia is expected to 418 maintain its position as the Middle East's largest market by more investment in 419 infrastructure, because of the positive association between economic growth and investment

420 in infrastructure. Demand is on the rise for industrial properties, including industrial cities and

421 logistic facilities, and transportation and utilities projects plans. This follows the commonly

- 422 accepted notion that economic growth provides necessary financial and technical support to
- 423 transportation, infrastructure and investment for improvement. Hence, improved transportation
- 424 infrastructure can enhance the efficiency of goods and labour movement for production. The
- 425 reduction in time and effort required to produce goods, which translated directly into
- 426 increased regional productivity. In addition, this notion should be supported and developed
- 427 for efficient infrastructure, which can facilitate a country's economic growth.

## 428 ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

429 430

442

443

444 445

446

453

454

455

456

461

462

463

464

- 431 **REFERENCES**
- 1. Jeffrey E. Fulmer what in the world is Infrastructure? PEI infrastructure Investor 432 433 (July/august), 2009; 30-32. 2. African Development Report, Infrastructure Development in Africa, African 434 435 Development Bank (1999). 436 3. Rodrigue, J-P, T. Notteboom and J. Shaw. The Sage Handbook of Transport 437 Studies, London: Sage. 2017-592 pages. ISBN: 978-1-849-20789-84.2013. 438 4. Sustainable Road and Transport Management, Saudi Arabia, project document, 439 Project ID, SAU10/79238, Implementing Agencies UNDP, UNDESA October, 2011. 440 5. National Transport Strategy, Ministry of Transport, Saudi Arabia. (2011) 441 www.mot.gov.sa.
  - 6. HSBC Global Connection Magazine. 2013.
  - Weiss, J. (1999) "Infrastructure and Economic Development", African Development Bank. Economic Research Papers, 1999; 50.
  - Easterly, W and Levine, R. 'Africa's Growth Tragedy', Paper presented at the African Economic Research Consortium, Nairobi, Mav, 1994.
- 447
   448
   448
   448
   448
   449
   449
   448
   449
   449
   440
   440
   440
   441
   442
   443
   444
   444
   445
   446
   447
   448
   448
   448
   449
   448
   449
   449
   449
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
   440
- 450 10. Jerome, A. Infrastructure in Africa: The Record", Economic Research papers, 1999;
  451 .46, African Development Bank.
  452 11. Jerome, A. Infrastructure in Africa: The Record", Economic Research papers, 1999;
  - 11. Jerome, A. Infrastructure in Africa: The Record", Economic Research papers, 1999; .46, African Development Bank.
  - 12. Cantos, P., Gumbau-Albert, M., & Maudos, J. <u>Transport infrastructures, spillover</u> effects and regional growth: evidence of the Spanish case, Transport Reviews, 2005; 25, (1), 25-50.
- 457
  458
  458
  458
  459
  459
  460
  14. Kruger, N.A. Does Infrastructure Really Cause Growth" Economic Center for
  - 14. Kruger, N.A. Does Infrastructure Really Cause Growth" Economic Center for Transports Studies Stockholm. Research Papers, 2012, 7.
  - 15. Deng, Taotao. Impact of Transport Infrastructure on Productivity and Economic Growth: Recent Advances and Research Challenges ", School of Urban and Regional Science, Shanghai University, 2013; 777.
- 465
  466
  466
  466
  466
  467
  468
  468
  468
  468

469	17. Zuo, W; Wang, Y.; Li, Research on optimization of new energy vehicle industry
470	research and development subsidy about generic technology based on the three way
471	decisions. Journal of Clean Production, 2019.212:46-5
472	18. Yousif, M, ALharthi. H. Al onzy., Tawary. The Economic and social effects of
473	current transport system in Riyadh and comparison with integrated transport
474	system: Journal of Economics and Human Development. 2017; 16: 46-63.
475	19. AL Bayati, M.Ali. CEO of Saud Express Logistics firm Nagel (2013).
476	20. Saudi inter-city highways.http://saudinf.com/main/g11.htm
477	21. Granger, C. W. Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-
478	spectral methods, Econometrica, 1969; 37, (3), 424–438.
479	22. Sims, C.A. 'Macroeconomics and Reality, <i>Econometrica</i> , 1980, 48, 1–48.
480	23. Granger, C. W. Some recent development in a concept of causality, J. Econom.,
481	<mark>1988; 39, (1–2), 199–211</mark>
482	24. Akaike, H. Fitting Autoregressive Models for Prediction. Annals of the Institute of
483	Statistical Mathematics.1969; 21, 243-247. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02532251
484	25. EDRG .Guide for Using Empirical Information to Measure Economic Impact of
485	Highway Investments, Federal Highway Administration, Economic Development
486	Research Group (2001). ( <u>www.edrgroup.com</u> ).
487	26. <u>Haramain</u> Project Brief", <u>Saudi Railways Organization</u> . Retrieved on 17 August
488	2017.
489	27. Zhu Fangqun .The Relationship between Transport Infrastructure and economic
490	growth: And Empirical Analysis Comparing developing and Developed Countries"
491	usere.du.serem/seminar 09/fangqun Zhu and Pei Sun pdf. (2009).
492	28. Kessides, C. The Contribution of Infrastructure to Economic Development", World
493	Bank Discussion Paper, 1993; 213, World Bank, Washington D.C.
494	29. <u>Landbridge Project", Saudi Railways Organization</u> . Retrieved on 17 August 2017.
405	20 Reveli Concret Authority for Statistics (2015)
495	30. Saudi General Authority for Statistics (2015)
496 497	31. WB. The World Bank 2016.
491	
498	
499	APPENDIX
+33	

# 499 **APPENDIX** 500

Null Hypothesis: D(ROAD) has a unit root Exogenous: Constant Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=7)

Prob.*	t-Statistic		
0.0000	-7.512889 -3.689194 -2.971853 -2.625121	Augmented Dickey-Fuller te 1% level 5% level 10% level	st statistic Test critical values:

\*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation Dependent Variable: D(ROAD,2) Method: Least Squares Date: 11/21/18 Time: 20:54 Sample (adjusted): 1990 2017

Prob.	t-Statistic	Std. Error	Coefficient	Variable
0.0000 0.4111	-7.512889 0.835337	0.210808 708.8904	-1.583779 592.1621	D(ROAD(-1)) C
513.1429 6554.002 19.36601 19.46117 19.39510 2.025803	Mean dependent var S.D. dependent var Akaike info criterion Schwarz criterion Hannan-Quinn criter. Durbin-Watson stat		0.684632R-squared 0.672503Adjusted R-squared 3750.682S.E. of regression 3.66E+08Sum squared resid -269.1242Log likelihood 56.44350F-statistic 0.000000Prob(F-statistic)	

Included observations: 28 after adjustments

501502 First difference503504

Null Hypothesis: D(GDP) has a unit root Exogenous: Constant Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=7)

Prob.*	t-Statistic		
0.0034	-4.135695	Augmented Dickey-Fuller te	st statistic
	-3.689194	1% level	Test critical values:
	-2.971853	5% level	
	-2.625121	10% level	
		0.0034 -4.135695 -3.689194 -2.971853	0.0034         -4.135695         Augmented Dickey-Fuller te           -3.689194         1% level           -2.971853         5% level

\*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Ś	$\langle \mathcal{O}$	Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation Dependent Variable: D(GDP,2) Method: Least Squares Date: 11/21/18 Time: 21:08 Sample (adjusted): 1990 2017 Included observations: 28 after adjustments						
	Prob.	t-Statistic	Std. Error	Coefficient	Variable			
	0.0003 0.0118	-4.135695 2.708199	0.194351 17007.48	-0.803777 46059.64	D(GDP(-1)) C			
	-618.8929 85061.00 25.14110 25.23625 25.17019 1.689660	Mean dependent var S.D. dependent var Akaike info criterion Schwarz criterion Hannan-Quinn criter. Durbin-Watson stat		0.396807R-squared 0.373608Adjusted R-squared 67321.49S.E. of regression 1.18E+11Sum squared resid -349.9753Log likelihood 17.10397F-statistic 0.000328Prob(F-statistic)				

505

506 FIRST DIFFRENCE

Pairwise Granger Cau Date: 11/21/18 Time: Sample: 19	21:15		
Prob. F-Statistic	: Obs Null	Hypothesis:	
0.2049 1.69121 0.0080 8.25450		AD does not Grar Granger Cause	nger Cause GDP ROAD
	Date: 11/21/18 Sample (adjus Included obser	ression Estimate Time: 21:21 ted): 1990 2017 vations: 28 after s in () & t-statisti	adjustments
	ROAD	GDP	
	0.017374 (0.01180) [ 1.47190]	1.057152 (0.22532) [ 4.69173]	GDP(-1)
	-0.012358 (0.01177) [-1.04961]	-0.099995 (0.22476) [-0.44490]	GDP(-2)
	0.140884 (0.24103) [ 0.58451]	6.142121 (4.60106) [ 1.33494]	ROAD(-1)
	0.405803 (0.24195) [ 1.67722]	-1.631691 (4.61867) [-0.35328]	ROAD(-2)
	-3484.717 (2875.48) [-1.21187]	73074.85 (54891.1) [ 1.33127]	С
	0.730589 0.683735 2.97E+08	0.981700 0.978517 1.08E+11	R-squared Adj. R-squared Sum sq. resids
	3591.389 15.59283 -266.1926 19.37090	68557.20 308.4587 -348.7682 25.26916	S.E. equation F-statistic Log likelihood Akaike AIC
	19.60879 11701.21 6386.112	25.20910 25.50705 1732085. 467746.2	Schwarz SC Mean dependent S.D. dependent
	5.28E+16 3.56E+16	Determinant re Determinant re	sid covariance (dof adj.) sid covariance

-613.0194	Log likelihood
44.50138	Akaike information criterion
44.97717	Schwarz criterion