

Determination of flood hazard Zones Using Geographical Information Systems and Remote Sensing techniques: A case Study in part Yenagoa Metropolis

Abstract

Flood has been a serious hazard for the past decades in Nigeria at large. The incidence of 2012 and 2018 flood disaster in Yenagoa, Amassoma and other parts of the state have not been recovered till date and the government is not concerned about the well-being of the people. The major causes of the flood are attributed to increased rainfall and lack of drainages including dredging of rivers and disobeying of environmental law and infrastructure failure. Coastal Towns or communities are one of the most affected areas of flood and their farms and fishing implements were washed away by the floodwater in 2012 and 2018 in Bayelsa State. Flood management is needed for provision of time information so quick response can be done as soon as possible. Using SRTM data to produce digital elevation model and IDW Contour, the 3D model from ground data of Yenagoa metropolis using ArcGIS 10.4 to generate and analyze them. As a result of field survey, flood level calculation was made to classify flood hazard zones for migration, Agricultural Educational, and construction purpose such as land suitability. This was used in ascertaining the extent of the flooded area. The result reveals that an area of over 5.9888882km² and riverine and coastal area is flooded, affecting more than 15 coastal and riverine communities. The finding also concludes that remote sensing data like SRTM data and Geospatial techniques seem effective in mapping and identifying areas prone to flooding. Therefore Remote sensing and Geospatial database should be established for proper flood mapping and the government should constantly dredge the area from time to time.

Keyword: Flood Hazard Zone, SRTM, Disaster, IDW, Remote Sensing, GIS

Introduction

Floods are a major problem affecting many States in Nigeria and other countries in the world such as Nigeria, Italy, Ghana, etc causing great loss of human life and economic loss. The impact of flooding has increased greatly because of the number of factors such as increased developmental activity on the floodplains and rising

31 sea level In most cities of the world, the problems of floods are rapidly growing considering the enormity of this
32 problem, the United Nations Environmental Program(UNEP) in 1991 pointed out that many countries
33 considered uncontrolled stormwater to be their greatest problems as far as the preservation or urban
34 infrastructure is concerned. Such as Bangkok, Calcutta, Dares Salam, Jakarta, Guayanguil, Manila, Lagos,
35 many neighborhoods are flooded at least once a year, and inhabitants have with the water in their dwellings
36 (UNDP, 1991;Usoro, 2004). The potential of Geospatial techniques in flood studies cannot be overemphasized.
37 It allows for a proper integration of all physical, socio-economic and demographic data, as data management
38 and map representation capabilities of GIS help in exploring new portions, hence, its integration with remote
39 sensing, enhances the ability for forecasting/predicting of new scenarios and preparation of flood hazard maps
40 (Thilagavathi, et al.2011). Besides its constraints like technological Knowledge requirements, hardware, and
41 software requirements, GIS can be very useful in flood hazard study and mitigation. Geographic Information
42 System (GIS) has been applied extensively to flood studies. GIS is a technological system that reflects all kinds
43 of spatial data in the real world. It can input, output, store, search, display, analyze and be applied under certain
44 support of software and hardware (Mayer et al .1998, Usoro, 2004). Gurin et al. (2004), carried out a
45 community-based flood risk assessment of San Sebastian, Guatamela, using three epochs of aerial photographs
46 acquired in 1964, 1991 and 2000, questionnaire and integrated GIS techniques for the study.

47 **2. METHODOLOGY**

48 **2.1 Study area and Geology**

49 The area selected for this study is situated in the central Niger delta sedimentary basin of Southern Nigeria
50 (Figure1) in Bayelsa State, Nigeria. The area lies within Latitude 4o57'30"N - 4o54'30"N and Longitude
51 6o15'30"E - 6o21'30"E. The area is within Yenagoa Metropolis, with a good road network links to different
52 communities. The topography of the area is low with a maximum of 40m elevation. The May social economic
53 activities of the locals are farming, fishing and local sand dredging from creeks and rivers. The study area which
54 is the southwestern flank in Niger Delta, Niger Delta geology has been described by Short and Reyment (2018),
55 and others. The Niger Delta Basin which is form by failed rift junction with the separation of South American
56 plate with the African plate, which opens the South Atlantic. Rifting in the basin started late Jurassic and ended
57 in the mid-Cretaceous. Several faults occur which are more of thrust faults. The delta covers a land area in
58 excess of 105,000 km² (Reijers, 2011).




Figure 1 Map of Study area

2.2 Aim

The study focuses on determining Flood hazard Zone and predicts area for migration using Geospatial techniques (remote sensing and GIS)

2.3 Objectives

1. Understanding the general topography of the area.
2. To detect the vulnerability of the study area
3. To produce a flood hazard zone map
4. To determine the database for future flood disaster planning which includes risk mitigation of the area

2.4 Data Collection

The following materials and data were collected for Digital Elevation Dataset from Shuttle Radar Topographical Mission (SRTM) downloaded from USGS explorer, prior and the spatial locations of some flooded communities were also acquired by the use of Garmin72 GPS, an administrative map from where

75 political boundaries and roads were digitized. Fieldwork with GPS and notebook were also used for the
76 acquisition of coordinates of the communities respectively.

77 3. DATA ANALYSIS

78 **3.1 Geographical Relief of the Study Area:** The essential geographic relief attributes examined in this study
79 were the digital terrain model

80 **3.2 Topography of the Study Area** Contour lines (Figure5) connects areas of equal elevation were generated
81 at 2m intervals. The spot height tells the direction in which water flows through. From the map, the contour of
82 the study area ranges from -5-28.

83 3.3 Data processing

84 Arc GIS 10.7 spatial analyst extension was used to generate the height information from SRTM DEM (Digital
85 Elevation Model). The data were collected using handheld Global Positioning System (GPS) in degree, minute,
86 second and imported into Microsoft Excel where the data was converted to degree decimal and transferred to
87 Geographical Information System environment in Data Base Format before point map was generated alongside
88 Contour, Inverse Distance weight using the 3D analysis tools in Arc map were created before using the algebra
89 map calculator to subtract IDW from DEM and the result was classified using raster calculator to determine
90 flood level Zone and reclassify and import it to arc scan environment for 3D view.

91 4. Result


92 **Table 1: Statistical data from process Flood analysis Zone**

Flood Zone	COUNT (m)	AREA (Km ²)	SUM (m)
FF	40613	3.133719	40613
F1	35211	2.716898	70422
F2	1772	0.136728	5316
F3	19	0.001466	76
F4	1	0.000077	5
Count	5	5	5
Minimum	1	0.000077	5
Maximum	40613	3.133719	70422
Mean	15523.2	1.197778	23286.4
Standard Deviation	18371.29	1.417538	28020.1977

93
94 FF: Flood Free area, F1: Flood level 1, F2:Flood level 2,Flood level 3, Flood level 4


95

96



97

98 **Figure 2: Showing Area against Flood Zone**




99


100 **Figure 3: Showing Sum against Flood Zone**

101

102



103



104

105

Figure 4: Cross Section map A-B


106

The cross-section map makes us know the elevation at the line of the cross section A-B at each point. Where A has a coordinate of N6.249 and E4.916 while B has N6.36 and E4.948 with a corresponding length of 12,828.91meters

107

108

109



110


111 **Figure 5: Contour of the Area**

112 The contour has a minimum altitude value of 4m and maximum value of 28m, with 2m interval the spot height
 113 represents the direction of water flow through. The contour map of study area ranges 4m to 28m.

114

115


UNDER PUBLICATION



116

117

Figure 6: Inverse Distance Weight from DTM




118

119

Figure 7: 3D Model of the area

120

This represents generally the terrain of the area.




121
122 **Figure 8: Digital Elevation Model**


123
124
125
126 **Discussion**

127 The noble approach uses to discover the major sources of flooding in the area are explained such as
 128 digital elevation model (DEM) generated from the SRTM Data 30m is shown in Figure 8. The Figure
 129 shows that elevation in most parts of the area, is generally less than 33m above sea level and digital
 130 elevation model has a minimum value of -5m is highly flooded hazard zone and the maximum value of
 131 33m which are flood free but generally the area are prone to flooding. The low elevation of the area,
 132 coupled with its proximity to le River including Epie creek may have further communities in the
 133 flooding. Many of the communities in the of the within the study area fishing communities. The general
 134 contour (figure 5) tells us how that area is including the general trend on water flow direction. The IDW
 135 (figure 6) makes us get more information like the low and high area i.e low area has a minimum of
 136 2.78695 with light yellow color which indicates flood hazard area, middle with red color and dark blue
 137 which is the maximum with a value of 28.3207 is the flood free area. The 3D model (figure 7) makes one

138 see the proper view on how the area is which from the legend we can see that area with blue color
139 indicate flood risk area before green, yellow, red



140
141 **Figure 9: 3D View of Flood Analysis map**



142
143 **Figure 10: Flood Analysis Map**

144 The flood analysis Zone covers a land area of 5.9888882km² which are classified into five zones i.e
145 flood free zone which cover 3.133719km² in the area, flood level 1 with 2.716898km², flood level 2
146 cover 0.136728km² area, flood level 3 0.001466km² and flood level 4 7.72E-05km². From figure 9 and
147 10 base on the criteria IDW was subtracted from DEM to obtain the result before reclassification. The
148 flood free zone is suitable for settlement for flood relief centers like some part of Opuama, Yenagoa,
149 Belelebiri communities and flood level 1 to 4 are prone from the elevation within the area are generally
150 low.

151 **Conclusion**

152 Flood analysis mapping is a component for mapping flood-prone areas using the noble approach of
153 remote sensing and Geospatial techniques to determine area that area flood free zone and area that flood
154 risk zone. It creates easily read, rapidly accessible charts and maps that can facilitate administrators and
155 planners to identify areas at risk and prioritize their mitigation and response efforts. The aim is to
156 Analysis Flood zone using remote sensing and GIS. The use of GIS-based overlay analysis tool to
157 determine spatial flood hazard levels in the. The area reveals that due to low relief, closeness to rivers,
158 and lack of proper urban planning, most are proving to be highly vulnerable to flood hazard due to the
159 area have low altitude see figure 6, 7 and 8.

161 **Reference**

- 162 Anyanwu, A.J. (2015). Assessment of Flood Vulnerability of Abia State, using GIS. Unpublished Masters
163 Degree dissertation. Department of Geography and National Resources Management. University of Uyo pp. 1-132
164
- 165 Etu-Efeotor, JO. (1997), Fundamentals of petroleum geology. Paragraphic publications, Port Harcourt, Nigeria, 135pp.
166
- 167 Rossetto, R., De Filippis, G., Borsi, I., (...), Criollo, R., Vázquez-Suñé, E. (2018). Integrating free and open source tools and
168 distributed modelling codes in GIS environment for data-based groundwater management, Environmental Modelling, and Software
169 107, 210-230.
170
- 171 R.A. Reymont (2018). Ammonitologist sensu latissimo and founder of Cretaceous Research Bengtson, P. Cretaceous Research-1926-
172 2016,88, 5-35.
173
- 174 Guarin, P. G., Westen, C., J., Montoya, L. (2004). Community-Based Flood Risk Assessment Using GIS for the Town of San
175 Sebastian, Guatemala. Enschede: Netherlands
176

177 Thilagavathi, G., Tamilenth, S., Ramu, C., Baskaran, R. (2011). Application of GIS in Flood Hazard Zonation Studies in
178 PanamasTaluk, Thanjavur District, Tamilnadu. India.
179
180 UN/ISDR (2002). Living with Risk. A Global Review of Disaster Reduction Initiatives. The Secretariat of the international
181 strategy for Risk Reduction UN/ISDR, United Nations, Geneva, Switzerlandpp. 382.
182
183 United Nations (UN) (2003). Guidelines on participatory planning and management flood mitigation and preparedness,
184 ix1295: ill, water resources series 0082-8130,(82), United Nations, New York
185
186 Usoro, U.,E., (2004). Assessment and Mitigation Strategies of Flood Risk. IN Akwa Ibom State A GeographicalPerspective.
187 Enugu: London.
188
189 Venkata, B., G., Rajiv, S. (2005). GIS in Flood Hazard Mapping: a case study of kosi River Basin,
190 India, Conference Proceedings of Map Middle East, UAE.

191

UNDER PEER REVIEW