

# INTERACTIONS OF EXTRACTS OF SELECTED MACROFUNGUS AND MALARIA PARASITE, *Plasmodium berghei berghei* IN BALB/C STRAIN ALBINO MICE

## ABSTRACT

Malaria is a global menace that claimed many lives. The potential of mushroom at appropriate dosage, concentrations and suitable condition especially as antiparasitic agents against malaria is important. Therefore, this study investigated the interactive effects of some fungi extracts (*Pleurotus tuber-regium*, *Pleurotus pulmonarius*, *Fomes lignosus*, *Lentinus subnudus*, *Termitomyces robustus*) and their combinations with malaria parasite, *Plasmodium berghei berghei* in BALB/c strain albino mice. Intraperitoneal injection of experimental animals with 0.2 mL of  $5 \times 10^6$  parasitized blood was done before or after oral administration of the extracts of 0.1 mL fungi extracts at five concentrations. There were 3 replicates. The percentage parasitemia, packed cell volume (PCV), the weight loss of the albino mice were monitored. The *extract*, and *concentration levels* recorded highly significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) effects on the parasitemic level (137.96; 329.26), PCV (4539.48; 2357.93) and weights (53.46; 510.56) of experimental animals in prophylactic and therapeutic experiments. Also, highly significant interactions (of 521.30) was obtained from *extracts x concentrations*. *Lentinus subnudus* and *Fomes lignosus* as well as *P. tuber-regium* had the best prophylactic and therapeutic potentials of 30%; 36% and 36% respectively. *Lentinus subnudus* could be considered a good prophylaxis in prevention of malaria as it exceeds therapeutic effect. Concentrations 0.4 mg/mL and 0.04 mg/mL were found to be the most effective; producing similar effect as chloroquine (20mg/kg **body weight**) used as control. Therefore, the optimum activity of the fungi extracts was interactive against the malaria parasite, *Plasmodium berghei berghei* in the albino mice.

**Keywords:** Fungi extracts, *Plasmodium* species, Antiparasitic potentials, Albino mice, Interactive effects.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Mushrooms are higher fungi growing on decaying wastes [1]. They are highly rich in nutrients and medicinal compounds, such as lentinan, glycans etc. [2]. These in addition to other bioactive compounds enhanced human's health [3]. According to World Health Organization [4], malaria outbreak is a global problem associated with resistant *Plasmodium* strains. There is the need to search for drugs especially of natural origin that are effective against strains of *Plasmodium* responsible for the spread of malaria parasite. Therefore, this work aimed at studying the interactions of fungi extracts, and their concentrations that enhance therapeutic potentials of

selected higher fungi against malaria parasite, *Plasmodium berghei berghei* in albino mice.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Sources of fungi extracts, experimental animals and malaria parasite

Fungi samples (*Pleurotus tuber-regium*, *P. pulmonarius*, *Termitomyces robustus*, *Fomes lignosus* and *Lentinus subnudus*) were collected from different locations. Extraction of the five fungi were done separately with ethanol using soxhlet apparatus [5]. The extracts (40 mg/mL) were serially diluted to 4, 0.4, 0.004 and 0.0004 mg/mL before administering orally to the mice. The malaria parasite, *Plasmodium berghei berghei*; and BALB/C strain albino mice (*Mus musculus*) of 4-5 weeks old of an average weight of 22 grammes were used. Passaging was carried out as the albino mice were intraperitoneally injected with 0.2 mL of  $5 \times 10^6$  *Plasmodium berghei berghei* infected blood sample. They were monitored for about 12 days for parasitemia. Also, the packed cell volume (PCV) and weights of animals were determined.

### Statistical Analysis

Data collected were analysed using SAS version 2.0 to compute Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) while Means were separated by Duncan's Multiple Range Tests (DMRT) at  $p < 0.05$ .

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The prophylactic effects of extract types, replicates, concentration and their interactions on parasitemia in albino mice for the days of infection (Table 1). The fungi species produced a highly significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) prophylactic and therapeutic effects on the parasitemia, PCV, and weights of BALB/c albino mice. Extract and concentration produced high significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) prophylactic effects on parasitemia except on the first and twelfth days of infection. The third order of interaction; concentration and replicates was significant only on the second day. The fungi extract types, concentration and their first order of interaction (extract x concentration) had prophylactic effects on the packed cell volume of albino mice on the first and third days of infection, while only Concentration produced significant effect on the twelfth day after infection (Table 2).

The result shown in Table 3 reveals that the extracts produced higher prophylactic effect on the weight of the experimental animals. Due to the effect of the extracts, weight loss in the animals was minimal on the first and second days of infection. The results in Tables 4 and 5 show the effects of the extracts, concentrations, interactions of the extracts and concentrations were highly significant (therapeutically) on the parasitemia and PCV in the animals throughout the period of the experiment. The effect of the concentrations, extracts and concentrations was highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) on the seventh day of parasitic infection, while the interactive effect of the extracts and replicates was significant (Table 6). The interactions of the parameters on the parasitemia, PCV, weight showed highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) therapeutic effect for Extract x Concentration. Similar results were obtained in the therapeutic experiments. This reveals the efficacy of the fungi extracts for both prophylactic and therapeutic experiments.

The findings from this study show that higher fungi especially mushroom possess antiparasmodial potentials. The fungi extracts reduced the parasitemic infection in the

98 mice in accordance with previous report of Katsayal et al.[6]. The evaluation of *in-*  
99 *vivo* single and interactive effects of the fungi extracts at different concentration  
100 levels against the malaria parasite, *Plasmodium berghei berghei* was observed for a  
101 period of time was established as previously confirmed by Jonathan et al. [7]. The  
102 single interactive effects of the extract types, concentrations, as well as the  
103 combination of extract and concentrations increased prophylactic effect on the  
104 parasitemia with the exception of the day of infection of the plasmodium on the  
105 albino mice. This is in accordance with the report of White et al. [8].  
106 The prophylactic and therapeutic effects of the fungi extracts was enhanced except  
107 in the replicate and in the co-interaction of the Extracts X Replicate at all levels of  
108 interaction in parasitemia, PCV and weights of the experimental animals. This was  
109 in agreement with the findings on inhibitory effects of some botanicals against  
110 *Fusarium* species [9; 10; 11]. The interactions of the extract by concentration  
111 increased the preventive and curative potentials of the fungi. This could be  
112 attributed to the pharmacological compounds and bioactive components of the fungi  
113 extracts. They evidenced the biological and medicinal qualities of the higher fungi.  
114 These are naturally-occurring chemical compounds play the roles of protecting  
115 human health [12, 13, 14, 15, 16].  
116 The parasitemia infections in the mice were effectively suppressed by the  
117 interactions of the fungi extracts. This indicates the efficacy of the extracts against  
118 the malaria parasite as earlier reported by Chelela et al. [17]. As a result of the  
119 potency, moderate percentage of parasitemia was recorded for the extracts  
120 administered at different concentration levels throughout the period of infection. The  
121 results of the interactions of extract and replicate, concentration and replicate could  
122 be due to the non-significance of the replicates. The efficacy of the extracts and the  
123 prompt activities in reducing the parasitemia of the mice, stabilizing the PCV and  
124 reducing weight loss in the animals established the potency of the fungi extract as  
125 reported by Walker et al. [18].

126  
127  
128 **Table 1: Interactive effects of extract types, replicates, concentration on**  
129 **parasitemia in albino mice for the days of infection**

Source of Variation	df	% Parasitemia					
		Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 12
Extract Types	5	6.9 <sup>ns</sup>	56.54 <sup>**</sup>	57.46 <sup>**</sup>	54.25 <sup>**</sup>	18.79 <sup>*</sup>	137.96 <sup>**</sup>
Replicate	2	7.17 <sup>ns</sup>	0.48 <sup>ns</sup>	3.90 <sup>ns</sup>	2.53 <sup>ns</sup>	2.05 <sup>ns</sup>	68.07 <sup>ns</sup>
Concentration	5	9.65 <sup>ns</sup>	94.40 <sup>**</sup>	88.01 <sup>**</sup>	98.98 <sup>**</sup>	95.44 <sup>**</sup>	329.26 <sup>**</sup>
Extract x Replicate	10	8.46 <sup>ns</sup>	2.43 <sup>ns</sup>	3.61 <sup>ns</sup>	6.11 <sup>ns</sup>	2.94 <sup>ns</sup>	27.01 <sup>ns</sup>
Extract x Concentration	25	8.62 <sup>ns</sup>	19.46 <sup>**</sup>	15.18 <sup>**</sup>	22.56 <sup>**</sup>	26.67 <sup>**</sup>	68.50 <sup>ns</sup>
Concentration x Replicate	10	8.65 <sup>ns</sup>	8.01 <sup>*</sup>	5.42 <sup>ns</sup>	3.36 <sup>ns</sup>	8.87 <sup>ns</sup>	30.01 <sup>ns</sup>
Error	50						
Total	108						
Corrected Total	107						

130 ns, \*, and \*\* are not significant, and highly significant at  $p < 0.05$ ; and  $p < 0.01$   
131 respectively.  
132  
133  
134

135

136 **Table 2: Interactive effects of the extract types, replicates, concentration and**  
 137 **on PCV of albino mice for the days of infection**

Source of Variation	df	Packed Cell Volume (PCV)					
		Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 12
Extract Types	5	164.25 <sup>*</sup>	2794.49 <sup>ns</sup>	205.12 <sup>ns</sup>	534.52 <sup>ns</sup>	300.6 <sup>ns</sup>	307.16 <sup>ns</sup>
Replicates	1	4.01 <sup>ns</sup>	3200.00 <sup>ns</sup>	490.89 <sup>*</sup>	193.39 <sup>ns</sup>	1530.89 <sup>ns</sup>	196.68 <sup>ns</sup>
Concentration	5	272.98 <sup>**</sup>	3246.85 <sup>ns</sup>	225.39 <sup>ns</sup>	522.12 <sup>ns</sup>	1041.00 <sup>ns</sup>	1489.22 <sup>**</sup>
Concentration x Replicate	5	63.31 <sup>ns</sup>	2599.90 <sup>ns</sup>	79.99 <sup>ns</sup>	39.29 <sup>ns</sup>	405.06 <sup>ns</sup>	134.71 <sup>ns</sup>
Extract x Replicate	5	106.51 <sup>ns</sup>	2482.43 <sup>ns</sup>	169.19 <sup>**</sup>	66.42 <sup>ns</sup>	90.32 <sup>ns</sup>	369.71 <sup>ns</sup>
Extract x Conc.	25	129.15 <sup>*</sup>	2480.06 <sup>ns</sup>	208.89 <sup>*</sup>	283.45 <sup>ns</sup>	407.18 <sup>ns</sup>	688.42 <sup>ns</sup>
Error	25						
Total	72						
Corrected Total	71						

138 ns, \*, and \*\* are not significant, and highly significant at  $p < 0.05$ ; and  $p < 0.01$   
 139 respectively.

140

141

142

143

144

145 **Table 3: Interactive effects of extract types, replicates, and concentration on**  
 146 **weights of albino mice during the period of infection**

Source of Variation	df	Weight					
		Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 12
Extract Types	5	21.72 <sup>**</sup>	23.42 <sup>**</sup>	23.06 <sup>ns</sup>	19.59 <sup>ns</sup>	46.71 <sup>*</sup>	50.08 <sup>ns</sup>
Replicate	2	21.84 <sup>**</sup>	48.51 <sup>**</sup>	32.78 <sup>*</sup>	44.53 <sup>*</sup>	76.44 <sup>**</sup>	152.12 <sup>**</sup>
Concentration	5	3.08 <sup>**</sup>	3.17 <sup>ns</sup>	4.30 <sup>ns</sup>	15.19 <sup>ns</sup>	90.96 <sup>**</sup>	510.56 <sup>**</sup>
Extract x Replicate	10	2.93 <sup>ns</sup>	6.24 <sup>ns</sup>	10.42 <sup>ns</sup>	7.14 <sup>ns</sup>	15.02 <sup>ns</sup>	34.95 <sup>ns</sup>
Extract x Concentration	21	9.95 <sup>**</sup>	18.99 <sup>*</sup>	16.18 <sup>ns</sup>	20.87 <sup>**</sup>	44.25 <sup>**</sup>	44.36 <sup>ns</sup>
Concentration x Replicate	10	5.39 <sup>ns</sup>	28.36 <sup>**</sup>	27.33 <sup>*</sup>	33.41 <sup>**</sup>	41.80 <sup>**</sup>	29.11 <sup>ns</sup>
Error	50						
Total	108						
Corrected Total	107						

147 ns, \*, and \*\* are not significant, and highly significant at  $p < 0.05$ ; and  $p < 0.01$   
 148 respectively.

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161 **Table 4 : Therapeutic effects of extract types, replicates, concentration on**  
 162 **parasitemia during the period of infection in albino mice**

		% Parasitemia					
Source of Variation	df	Day 7	Day 8	Day 9	Day 10	Day 11	Day 14
Extract Types	5	26.44 <sup>**</sup>	14.44 <sup>**</sup>	11.62 <sup>**</sup>	24.15 <sup>*</sup>	31.84 <sup>**</sup>	20.32 <sup>**</sup>
Replicate	2	14.01 <sup>*</sup>	11.61 <sup>ns</sup>	5.50 <sup>ns</sup>	3.52 <sup>ns</sup>	5.68 <sup>ns</sup>	0.67 <sup>ns</sup>
Concentration	5	30.80 <sup>**</sup>	29.91 <sup>**</sup>	20.59 <sup>**</sup>	26.60 <sup>**</sup>	22.39 <sup>**</sup>	23.61 <sup>**</sup>
Extract x Replicate	10	5.69 <sup>ns</sup>	2.49 <sup>ns</sup>	3.90 <sup>ns</sup>	2.06 <sup>ns</sup>	1.83 <sup>ns</sup>	2.82 <sup>ns</sup>
Extract x Concentration	21	11.29 <sup>**</sup>	15.83 <sup>**</sup>	23.68 <sup>**</sup>	18.21 <sup>**</sup>	21.31 <sup>**</sup>	23.60 <sup>**</sup>
Concentration x Replicate	10	3.30 <sup>ns</sup>	4.55 <sup>ns</sup>	1.39 <sup>ns</sup>	2.99 <sup>ns</sup>	4.11 <sup>ns</sup>	3.17 <sup>ns</sup>
Error	42						
Total	96						
Corrected Total	95						

163 ns, \*, and \*\* are not significant, and highly significant at  $p < 0.05$ ; and  $p < 0.01$   
 164 respectively.

165

166

167

168 **Table 5: Therapeutic effects of extract types, replicates, concentration on**  
 169 **PCV during the period of infection in albino mice**

		Packed Cell Volume (PCV)					
Source of Variation	df	Day 7	Day 8	Day 9	Day 10	Day 11	Day 14
Extract Types	5	1294.51 <sup>**</sup>	3336.35 <sup>**</sup>	3815.00 <sup>**</sup>	4539.48 <sup>**</sup>	4282.39 <sup>**</sup>	3245.45 <sup>**</sup>
Replicate	2	190.21 <sup>ns</sup>	285.18 <sup>**</sup>	24.83 <sup>ns</sup>	95.30 <sup>ns</sup>	120.02 <sup>ns</sup>	85.06 <sup>ns</sup>
Concentration	5	399.71 <sup>**</sup>	518.46 <sup>**</sup>	443.72 <sup>ns</sup>	804.79 <sup>**</sup>	992.81 <sup>**</sup>	2357.93 <sup>**</sup>
Extract x Replicate	10	52.86 <sup>ns</sup>	69.87 <sup>ns</sup>	199.78 <sup>ns</sup>	52.40 <sup>ns</sup>	42.64 <sup>ns</sup>	61.18 <sup>ns</sup>
Extract x Concentration	21	427.47 <sup>**</sup>	329.13 <sup>**</sup>	521.30 <sup>**</sup>	423.41 <sup>**</sup>	438.71 <sup>**</sup>	281.41 <sup>**</sup>
Concentration x Replicate	10	46.11 <sup>ns</sup>	86.61 <sup>ns</sup>	92.77 <sup>ns</sup>	115.27 <sup>ns</sup>	114.11 <sup>ns</sup>	130.06 <sup>ns</sup>
Error	42						
Total	96						
Concentrated Total	95						

170 ns, \*, and \*\* are not significant, and highly significant at  $p < 0.05$ ; and  $p < 0.01$   
 171 respectively.

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184 **Table 6: Therapeutic effects of extract types, replicates, concentration on**  
 185 **weights in albino mice during the period of infection**

Source of Variation	df	Weight					
		Day 7	Day 8	Day 9	Day 10	Day 11	Day 14
Extract Types	5	53.46 <sup>**</sup>	46.34 <sup>**</sup>	65.55 <sup>*</sup>	73.31 <sup>*</sup>	54.21 <sup>ns</sup>	44.17 <sup>ns</sup>
Replicate	2	0.99 <sup>ns</sup>	5.26 <sup>ns</sup>	23.99 <sup>ns</sup>	39.46 <sup>ns</sup>	1.37 <sup>ns</sup>	5.88 <sup>ns</sup>
Concentration	5	27.40 <sup>**</sup>	19.68 <sup>*</sup>	40.05 <sup>ns</sup>	76.33 <sup>*</sup>	110.19 <sup>ns</sup>	75.81 <sup>**</sup>
Extract x Replicate	10	10.17 <sup>*</sup>	18.82 <sup>*</sup>	54.99 <sup>ns</sup>	49.95 <sup>*</sup>	34.38 <sup>ns</sup>	28.32 <sup>ns</sup>
Extract x Concentration	21	18.57 <sup>**</sup>	33.67 <sup>**</sup>	50.16 <sup>**</sup>	63.91 <sup>*</sup>	76.80 <sup>**</sup>	66.84 <sup>**</sup>
Concentration x Replicate	10	13.65 <sup>**</sup>	21.09 <sup>**</sup>	52.63 <sup>ns</sup>	51.93 <sup>*</sup>	34.38 <sup>ns</sup>	28.32 <sup>ns</sup>
Error	42						
Total	96						
Corrected Total	95						

186 ns, \*, and \*\* are not significant, and highly significant at  $p < 0.05$ ; and  $p < 0.01$   
 187 respectively.

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

**Table 7: Quantitative Phytochemical components of the fungi extracts**

Phytochemicals	Lent	Mix	Fom	PP	PT	Term
Tannin	0.52	0.02	0.53	0.17	0.67	0.50
Steroid	0.64	3.35	1.06	1.24	0.91	1.76
Oxalate	nd	0.01	nd	nd	0.01	0.01
Saponin	nd	nd	nd	0.12	nd	nd
Flavonoid	nd	nd	nd	0.72	nd	0.58
Alkaloid	nd	0.01	nd	nd	nd	nd
Cyanogenic glucoside	0.15	0.01	0.10	0.20	0.15	4.00
Phenol	0.28	0.005	0.28	0.72	0.45	0.02
DPPH (Antioxidant)	73.40	85.34	89.30	85.20	83.20	69.08

198

199 DPPH- 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; nd- not detected; FOM - *Fomes lignosus*; PT  
 200 - *Pleurotus tuber-regium*; PP - *Pleurotus pulmonarius*; Term- *Termitomyces*  
 201 *robustus*; Lent - *Lentinus subnudus*; Mix - Mixture of all the fungi samples in equal  
 202 proportion.

203

#### 4. CONCLUSION

It is apparent from this study that the tested fungi possess prophylactic and therapeutic antiplasmodial potentials. *L. subnudus* and *P. tuber-regium* gave the best prophylactic and therapeutic effect against the malaria parasite, *Plasmodium berghei berghei* in the albino mice. Concentrations 0.4 mg/mL and 0.0 4mg/mL produced the best effect against the malaria parasite. Therefore, the study on interactions of the higher fungi in the prevention and treatment of malaria could be integrated in antimalarial study.

#### Ethical Approval:

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have no competing interest.

#### REFERENCES

1. Dubuex, JCB. Jr., Sollenberger, LE., Interrante, SM., Vendramini, JMB and Steward, RL., Jr. Litter decomposition and mineralization in bahiagrass pastures managed at different intensities. *Crop Sci.*, (2006) 46: 1303 – 1310.
2. Jonathan, S.G. Vegetative growth requirements and antimicrobial activities of some higher fungi in Nigeria. Ph.D thesis, University of Ibadan (2002).
3. Opige, M., Kateyo, E. and Olila, D. Indigenous knowledge and indigenous usage of edible and medicinal mushrooms among the Teso people of Eastern Uganda. *Journal of Food Technology*(2006) 4(4): 325-330.
4. WHO. Guidelines for the treatment of malaria. Fact Sheet'94 (2015)
5. Redfren J, Kinnimonth M, Burdass D, Verran J (2014) Using soxhlet ethanol extraction to produce and test plant material (essential oils) for their antimicrobial properties. *J Microb. Biol. Edu* 15(1): 45-46
6. Katsayal, UA., Abdurahman, EM., Abubakar, MS., Musa, KY., Ambah, SF., and Jahun, MB. Fungi as potential source of antimalarial agents. *Nig Journal Pharma.Sci*(2009)8(1): 138-142.
7. Jonathan, SG., and Olawuyi, OJ., Popoola, OO. and Aina, DA. Antibacterial activities of extracts of *Daldina concentrica*. *African J. Biomed. Res.* (2011)14: 57 – 61.
8. White, SR., Obradovic, T. Imeh, KM., Wheaton, MJ. The effects of methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, "Ecstasy") on monoaminergic neurotransmission in the central nervous system. *Progress in Neurobiology* (1996)49:455-479
9. Agbenin, NO., Marley, PS. *In vitro* assay of some plant extracts against *Fusarium oxysporum* F. sp *lycopersici* causal agent of tomato wilt. *Journal of Plant Protection Research in Plant Biology (Poland)* (2006) 46: 117-121

253 10. Babu, J., Muzafar, AD., Vinod, K. Bioefficacy of Plant Extracts to control *Fusarium solani*  
254 *F. sp Melanogenae* Incitant of Brinjal Wilt. Global Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry  
255 (2008).3(2): 56-59  
256 11. Akanmu, AO., Olawuyi, OJ., Abiala, MA., Yaya, OS., Odebode, AC. Interactive effects of  
257 some botanicals and *Fusarium* spp on the growth of millet seedlings. Research in Plant  
258 Biology. (2013) 4(1): 01-11  
259 12. Hasler, CM., Blumberg, JG. Symposium on phytochemicals: Biochemistry and  
260 Physiology. Journal of Nutrition (1999)129:7565-7575.  
261 13. Smith, RA., Mettlin CJ., Davis, KJ., Eyre, H. American Cancer Society guidelines for the  
262 early detection of cancer. A cancer Journal for Clinicians. (2000) 50(1): 34-49.  
263 14. Saxena, J. and Patra, AK. Dietary phytochemicals as rumen modifiers: a review of the  
264 effects of on microbial populations. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek(2009)96: 363-375  
265 15. Gracia, EJ., Oldoni, TLC., de Alencar, SM., Reis, A., Luguerio, AD., Grande, HM.  
266 Antioxidant activity of DPPH of potential solution to be applied on bleached teeth. Branzilian  
267 Dental Journal(2012)23(1): 22-27  
268 16. Ilondu, EM. Myco- chemical composition and efficacy of four mushroom extracts in the  
269 control of *Rhizoctania solani*, a damping-off pathogen of garden egg (*Solanum melongena*  
270 L.) seedlings. American Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research(2013) 4(5):429-437.  
271 17. Chelela, BL., Chacha, M.,Matemu, A. O. Wild edible mushroom value chain for improved  
272 livelihoods in Southern Highlands of Tanzania. American Journal of Research  
273 Communication 2014 2(8):1-14  
274 18. Walker, MG., Page, CP., Hoffman, BF., Curtis, M. Integrated Pharmacology. (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). St.  
275 Louis: Mosby. 2006. ISBN 0-323-04080-2  
276  
277