

BIOCONTROL POTENTIAL OF *Bacillus thuringiensis* AGAINST MOSQUITO LARVA FROM ISOLATED SOIL

ABSTRACT

A major challenge for achieving successful mosquito control is overcoming insecticide resistance. This study was carried out to assess the larvicidal activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolated from different soil samples within Sokoto metropolis using standard methods. Confirmatory identification of the organism was made based on biochemical characterization and microscopic observation. The larvicidal activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolated were tested against the larva of mosquito using three dilutions of the Bacillus culture in a bioassay. The isolated organisms were confirmed as *Bacillus thuringiensis*. The result of the bioassay showed variation in the level of efficacy of the bacteria and depended on the time of the exposure. Mortality rate greater than 20% was observed after 60 minutes and increased to 100% after time of exposure was increased for all dilutions of *B. thuringiensis* used. The results showed that *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins can be bacteriocidal to mosquito larvae in a matter of minutes depending on the concentration ingested by the larvae. This, in essence, proved that *Bacillus thuringiensis* is an effective bio-larvicide that can be used to reduce and possibly eradicate the nuisance of disease-causing mosquitoes and aid in the rollback of malaria.

Keywords: Mosquito control, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, bioassay, larvicidal activity, mosquito larva

INTRODUCTION

26 Mosquitoes are associated with the transmission of pathogens to humans and other vertebrates.
27 Some of these include the causative agents of malaria, filariasis and dengue, as well as other
28 mosquito-borne zoonotic arbovirus, like Saint Louis Encephalitis Virus (SLEV), West Nile
29 Virus (WNV) and Eastern Equine Encephalitis Virus (EEEV) [1]. Significant morbidity and
30 mortality are recorded as results of these diseases are as a result of the inherent difficulty of
31 controlling mosquitoes [2, 3]. Increase in the distribution of mosquitoes was associated with the
32 emergence of viruses and diseases in new areas [4]. WHO [3] reported malaria to be the world
33 most important vector-borne disease. Cases of these diseases have been reported in more than
34 100 countries, with approximately more than 3 billion people living in endemic areas. More than
35 200 million cases of malaria and eight hundred thousand (800,000) malaria-related deaths are
36 recorded every year [5]. The increase in a number of malaria cases is as a result of deteriorating
37 health systems, increase the resistance of anopheline to insecticides, time taken to develop an
38 effective vaccine and as well resistance of plasmodium to antimalarial drugs [1].

39 Dengue, including dengue hemorrhagic fever and Dengue Shock Syndrome (DSS) transmitted
40 by *Aedes* mosquitoes is rapidly becoming a worldwide disease, threatening a third of the world
41 population, with an estimate of 50-100 million cases every year [6, 7]. So also, *Lymphatic*
42 *filariasis* caused by *Wuchereria bancrofti*, which is transmitted by mosquitoes, affects more than
43 120 million people around the world [8]. Lack of effective vaccine against these diseases has left
44 the control of the mosquito population as the most effective way to prevent vector-borne diseases
45 [9, 10].

46 Chemical insecticides have been used in the last century to successfully control mosquitoes of
47 the genus *Aedes* and *Anopheles*. Current ecological and environmental protection standards halt
48 the use of these chemicals, because of their adverse effects on non-target species, including

49 humans, environmental impact, contamination soil and water and development of mosquito
50 resistance to insecticides [11]. New strategies were created to replace the use of chemical
51 insecticides. They include Integrated Pest Management (IPM) that has guidelines. Guidelines of
52 which are based on environmental planning, public awareness and biological control that control
53 the mosquitoes more efficiently while preserving the environment from contamination [1].
54 Commercial preparations of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) as a biocontrol agent has been the
55 greatest success in microbial pesticides, with more than 95% of the microbial pesticides sold
56 being of this bacterial agent [12]. *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) is a gram-positive, rod-shaped and
57 spore-forming bacteria that is mostly found in the soil and produces polymorphic crystal proteins
58 [13]. The insecticidal activity of *Bt* is due to the proteic parasporal inclusions that are produced
59 during sporulation [14]. The insecticidal proteinaceous crystals (ICPs) comprised one or more
60 crystal (Cry) and Cytolytic (Cyt) proteins recognized as δ -endotoxin. When ingested by the
61 target insect, the ICPs dissolve in the midgut of the larva releasing proto-toxins that eventually
62 lead to the formation of pores that causes cell-cytolysis [15, 16].

63 Despite the use of *Bacillus thuringiensis* as a biocontrol agent for over 30 years, no significant
64 resistance was recorded. However, the search for natural *Bt* isolates with increased activity
65 against mosquito and other insect is still encouraged. Recently, *Bt* with increased activity against
66 *Aedes caspius* and *Culex pipiens* were isolated [17]. In this study, the larvicidal activity of *Bt*
67 isolated from soil samples will be evaluated on mosquitoes.

68

69 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

70 **Sample Collection**

71 A total of 4 soil samples were collected from Tamaje, Mabera, Arkilla and Kantin Daji areas of
72 Sokoto state, where there is no previous record of application of *Bacillus thuringiensis* based
73 insecticides. The soil samples were collected aseptically from top of 5cm depth. The samples
74 were placed immediately in plastic bags and labelled appropriately [18]. The soil samples were
75 transported to the laboratory and stored at room temperature. In the same vein, mosquito larva
76 was collected from stagnant waters around Sokoto metropolis.

77 **Media Preparation**

78 The media to be used to culture the bacteria such as Nutrient agar and Luria-Bertani will be
79 prepared according to the manufacturer's instruction.

80 **Nutrient Agar**

81 Twenty-eight grams (28g) of nutrient agar was weighed and dissolved in 1000ml of distilled
82 water in a sterile conical flask. The mixture was heated using a hot plate to dissolve the medium.
83 The conical flask was plugged with cotton wool stoppers and wrapped with aluminium foil. It
84 was then sterilized using an autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes. The medium was cooled at about
85 45 - 50°C after sterilization and then poured into sterile petri dishes (about 20ml per plate) under
86 aseptic conditions. The plates were allowed to solidify and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and
87 the sterility of the medium was checked [19].

88 **3.2.2 Luria-Bertani Agar**

89 This media is made up of Tryptone, Yeast extract, NaCl, NaOH and Bacto agar for jelling. Ten
90 grams (10g) of Tryptone, ten grams (10g) of NaCl, five grams (5g) of NaOH and ten grams
91 (10g) of yeast extract were weighed and dissolved in 950ml of distilled water in a sterile conical
92 flask. The mixture was heated using a hot plate to dissolve. The final volume was added up to
93 1000ml and fifteen grams (15g) of Bacto agar was added. The conical flask was plugged with

94 cotton wool stoppers and wrapped with aluminium foil. It was then sterilized using an autoclave
95 at 121°C for 15 minutes. The medium was cooled at about 45 – 50°C after sterilization and then
96 poured into sterile petri dishes (about 20ml per plate) under aseptic conditions. The plates were
97 allowed to solidify and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and the sterility of the medium was
98 checked [20].

99 **Isolation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* from Soil**

100 Five grams (5g) of each soil sample was weighed and added to 100ml of distilled water. The
101 samples were heated on a hot plate for 10 minutes to eliminate all bacteria incapable of
102 producing endospores. Since it is known that *Bacillus thuringiensis* produces spores, it will be
103 safe to assume that if it was present in the soil, it would be in our heated sample. The samples
104 were then diluted 5 fold to eliminate all humic materials within the samples and to reduce the
105 overall colony forming units within each sample [18].

106 **Culturing of *Bacillus thuringiensis***

107 The diluted samples were cultured on nutrient agar plates for 24 hours at 37°C in to order to give
108 the spores chance to germinate on media with adequate nutrients and optimal temperature [18].
109 The media, however, offers favourable growth for a wide range of bacteria as well as *Bacillus*
110 *thuringiensis*. The colonies were sub-cultured onto Luria-Bertani plates and incubated at 37°C
111 for 24 hours, so as to obtain pure cultures of *B. thuringiensis*. Series of tests which include gram
112 staining and biochemical tests were further employed to identify *Bacillus thuringiensis* after the
113 formation of colonies with smooth round shape and earthy odour.

114

115 **Gram Staining Techniques**

116 A smear of colonies isolated after the identification was made on clean glass slides using a sterile
117 wire loop. They were air dried and fixed. The smears were flooded with crystal violet for about
118 60 seconds and were washed with tap water. They were then tipped off with Lugol's iodine for
119 30 seconds and then washed with tap water. They were decolorized with acetone and washed
120 off with tap water. The fixed smears were counterstained with safranin and allowed for 60
121 seconds and then washed off with tap water and allow to air dry. Oil immersion was added to the
122 stained slides and viewed under a microscope using x100 objective for the morphological
123 characteristics of the isolates [21].

124 **Characterization of the Isolated Bacteria**

125 The colonies that form on the T3 agar will again be confirmed by biochemical tests based on
126 Indole test, Catalase test, Triple Sugar Iron test (T.S.I), Methyl Red test (M.R.), Vogues-
127 Proskauer (V.P.).

128 **Indole Test**

129 A test tube of sterile peptone water, enriched with 1% tryptophan will be inoculated with a young
130 culture of isolates and incubated at 37°C for 48hrs. About 4 drops of kovac's reagent will be
131 added and shaken gently. Red colour will occur immediately at the upper part of the test tube
132 indicating a positive test. A yellow colour at the surface will denote a negative result [21].

133 **Catalase Test**

134 The container containing 3% hydrogen peroxide solution will be shaken to expel the dissolved
135 oxygen. One drop of the solution will be placed on a clean glass slide. Presence of gas bubbles
136 indicates a positive test while the absence of gas bubbles indicates a negative reaction [19].

137

138 **Triple Sugar Iron Agar (T.S.I test)**

139 A speck of the isolate will be inoculated by streaking and stabbing into the medium and will be
140 incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Fermentation of any of the sugar will be indicated by a change in
141 colour, from red to yellow and crack or raised in the medium indicates gas production [21].

142 **Methyl Red Test (M.R.)**

143 A speck of the isolate will be inoculated into the medium, which would be incubated at 37°C for
144 48 hours. Few drops of methyl red would be added to the culture. M.R positive test will indicate
145 a red colour while no changes denote negative [21].

146 **Vogues-Proskauer Test (V.P)**

147 A speck of the isolate will be inoculated into glucose phosphate water medium and incubated at
148 37°C for 2 days. Ethanoic solution of 5% α -naphthol (1.2 ml) and 0.4 ml potassium hydroxide
149 solution will be added to 2ml of culture and will be shaken vigorously. It will be placed in a
150 sloping position (for maximum exposure of the culture to air) and will be examined after 30 to
151 60 minutes. The evolution of red colour indicates a positive test for Voges-Proskauer [21].

152 **Coagulase Test**

153 About 2 or 3 colonies were emulsified in 0.05ml of saline contained in a serological tube. 1ml of
154 plasma was added and incubated at 35⁰-37⁰C and it was checked after 1hour, 2hours, 3hours and
155 4hours of incubation for signs of clotting of the plasma. Increase in viscosity or complete clotting
156 indicates a positive coagulase test, while the absence of viscosity or clotting indicates a negative
157 coagulase test [21].

158 **Motility Test**

159 A small quantity of each isolate was stabbed into triple sugar iron agar and incubated at 37°C for
160 24 hours. Motility was observed by the spread of the organism outwards from the stabbed area.

161 **Urease Test**

162 A speck of each isolate was inoculated into Christensen's urea agar and incubated at 37^oc for 24
163 hours. The liberation of red colour indicates urease positive test while the initial yellow colour
164 indicates a negative test.

165 **Citrate Utilization Test**

166 A speck of each isolate was inoculated into Koser's citrate medium and was incubated at 37^oC
167 for 72 hours. Positive citrate is confirmed by the promotion of blue colour while the initial green
168 colour denotes a negative result [21].

169 **Bioassay**

170 The *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolates selected were tested against larva of mosquito. The stock
171 cultures of *Bacillus thuringiensis* from slant bottles were picked using a sterile wire loop and
172 diluted five-fold 10⁻¹- 10⁻⁵ in sterile distilled water in five test tubes. Five (5) ml each of the
173 cultures in the first, third and fifth test tubes was added to three (3) disposable cups containing
174 45ml of sterile distilled water, providing each cup with different dilution factors. Twenty-five
175 (25) larvae were transferred into each of the disposable cups. The cups were kept at 25^oC – 30^oC
176 for 6 hours. At intervals of 30 minutes, each cup was observed for the larval presence and larval
177 mortality rate was calculated.

178 **RESULTS**

179 The microscopic and biochemical characteristics of the isolated organisms are shown in table
180 4.1. The characteristics of which confirmed the isolated organism to be *Bacillus thuringiensis*.
181 Table 4.2 shows the result for the bioassay of 10⁻¹ diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* against
182 mosquito larvae. The mortality rate was found to increase as the incubation time increases. A
183 mortality rate of 52% was recorded after 150 minutes and a 100% mortality rate was recorded
184 after 330 minutes. The result illustrated in table 4.3 shows the bioassay for the 10⁻³ diluents of *B.*

185 *thuringiensis* on mosquito larvae. The mortality rate of 52% was recorded after 180 minutes,
186 after which a 100% mortality rate recorded after 360 minutes. Illustrated in table 4.4 is the
187 bioassay of the 10^{-5} diluents of *B. thuringiensis* against mosquito larvae. The mortality rate of
188 60% was recorded after 210 minutes, after which a mortality rate of 100% was recorded after
189 360 minutes.

190

191

192

UNDER PEER REVIEW

193 **Table 4.1: Biochemical and morphological characteristics of *Bacillus thuringiensis***

Isolates	Gram Reaction	Catalase	Coagulase	Glucose	Sucrose	Lactose	Gas	Motility	Citrate	MR	VP	Urease	Indole
A	+ve Rod	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
B	+ve Rod	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
C	+ve Rod	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
D	+ve Rod	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+

194

195 **Key:** + = Positive

196 - = Negative

197

198

199 **Table 4.2: Bioassay of 10⁻¹ Diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Culture Against Mosquito Larvae .**

Time (min)	0	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
------------	---	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

No. of larva	25	24	20	17	14	12	10	7	4	2	1	0	0
Control	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Mortality	0	1	5	8	11	13	15	18	21	23	24	25	25
Mortality rate (%)	0	4	20	32	44	52	60	72	84	92	96	100	100

200

201

202

203 **Table 4.3: Bioassay of 10⁻³ Diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Culture Against Mosquito Larvae.**

Time (min)	0	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
No. of larva	25	24	23	21	19	16	12	9	7	6	3	1	0
Control	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Mortality	0	1	2	4	6	9	13	16	18	19	22	24	25
Mortality rate (%)	0	4	8	14	24	36	52	64	72	76	88	96	100

204

205

206

207

Table 4.4: Bioassay of 10^{-5} Diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Culture Against Mosquito Larvae.

Time (min)	0	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
No. of larva	25	25	24	23	23	21	18	10	6	3	1	0	0
Control	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Mortality	0	0	1	2	2	4	7	15	19	22	24	25	25
Mortality rate (%)	0	0	4	8	8	16	28	60	76	88	96	100	100

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216 **DISCUSSION**

217 Mosquitoes are a great nuisance and they pose a serious threat to human health in society. Many
218 chemical insecticides have been produced for the control of mosquitoes in the past years, some
219 of which have been very effective while others have done little or no good at all. Most of the
220 insecticides used are made of synthetic chemicals and were found to have a negative effect on
221 the diversity of many insects, well being of humans and as well the environment. These,
222 therefore, call the need for the search of biological control methods that cause less harm to
223 human health, diversity of the insects and the environment. The efficacy of *Bacillus*
224 *thuringiensis* as a larvicide for controlling mosquito larva yielded great results. The microscopic
225 and the biochemical characteristics of the organisms as shown in Table 4.1 confirmed the basic
226 characteristics of *Bacillus thuringiensis*, being Gram-positive and having a rod shape. The
227 biochemical characteristics showed the motile nature of the organism among others. These
228 characteristics are similar to what was reported by Ahmed *et al.* [22].

229 In the bioassay for the control of mosquito larvae, different diluents used showed varying degree
230 of effectiveness with 100% mortality rate recorded after 360 minutes. This might be attributed to
231 the ability of the organism to produce a binary toxin (Bin) which is a primary insecticidal
232 component produced during sporulation and vegetative stage of *B. thuringiensis* in controlling
233 the growth of the mosquito larvae. This is in agreement with what was reported by Oei *et al.*
234 [23]. In all the diluents, very low mortality was recorded after 30 minutes of incubation, which
235 could be attributed to the time of exposure of the larvae as well as the number of the organisms
236 present in the container. But more than half of the larvae were death after 240 minutes, with a
237 high mortality rate of over 70% recorded in all the diluents of the *B. thuringiensis*. This could

238 also be attributed to the time of exposure of the larvae and as well the increase in number of the
239 cells in the medium that could be attributed to the increase in the number of organisms ingested
240 by the mosquito larvae, which causes damage in the midgut of the larvae [24]. Thereby releasing
241 the crystallized binary toxins, that in turns are solubilized in the midgut, releasing two proteins
242 [25], that are cleaved by endogenous proteins to form active toxins [26]. This is in agreement
243 with what was reported by Aissaoui and Boudjelida [27].

244

245 **Conclusion**

246 *Bacillus thuringiensis* naturally found in the soil has proved to be a good larvicidal agent against
247 mosquito larvae in the laboratory. The organism and its product can be further studied to search
248 for novel compounds that can be used in the control of mosquito-borne diseases such as malaria.

249

250 **References**

251

252 1. Wilke ABB Marelli MT. Paratransgenesis: A Promising New Strategy for Mosquito
253 Vector Control. *Parasites and Vectors*. 2015; 8:342. DOI 10.1186/s 13071-015-0959-2.

254

255 2. World Health Organization (WHO). Progress Report 2000-2009 and Strategic Plan 2010-
256 2020 of the Global Program to Eliminate Lymphatic filariasis: Halfway Towards
257 Eliminating Lymphatic Filariasis. 2010; ISBN 978-92-4-150072-2.

258

259 3. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Global Malaria Programme. New Report
260 Signals Showdown in The Fight Against Malaria, 2012. Geneva.

261

262 4. Fonseca DM Smith JL Wilkerson RC Fleischer RC. Pathways of Expansion and Multiple
263 Introduction Illustrated by large Genetic Differentiation Among World Wide Populations
264 of the Southern House Mosquito. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*.
265 2006; 74: 284-289.

266

267 5. Bremen JG Egan A Keusch GT. The Intolerable Burden of Malaria: A new Look at the
268 Numbers. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2001; 64: iv-vii.

269

270

271 6. Reiter P. Oviposition, Dispersal and Survival in *Aedes aegypti*: Implications for the
272 Efficacy of Control Strategies. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic*. 2007; 7: 261-274.

273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318

7. Mairiang D Zhang H Sodija A Murali T Suriyaphol P Malasit P *et al.* Identification of New Protein Interactions Between Dengue Fever Virus and its Hosts, Human and Mosquitoes. *Plos One*. 2013; 8, [ee53535](#).
8. Pidiyar VJ Jangid K Patole MS Shouche YS. Studies on Cultured and Uncultured Microbiota of *Culex quinquefasciatus* Mosquito Midgut Based on 16s ribosomal RNA gene Analysis. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2004; 70: 597-603.
9. Pates H Curtis CF. Mosquito Behavior and Vector Control. *Annual Review of Entemology*. 2005; 50: 53-70.
10. Vreysen M Robinson AS Hendrichs J. Area-Wide Control of Insect Pests: From Research t Field Implementation. The Netherlands: Springer. 2007; [P](#). 325-361.
11. Dorta DM Vasuki V Rajavel A. Evaluation of Organophosphorus and Synthetic Pyrethroid Insecticides Against Six Vector Mosquito Species. *Rev Saude Publica*. 1993; 27:391-397.
12. Federici BA Hyun-Woo P Dennis KB. Overview of the Basic Biology of *Bacillus thuringiensis* with Emphasis on Genetic Engineering of Bacterial Larvicides foe Mosquito Control. [The Open Toxicology Journal](#). 2010; 3: 83-100.
13. Cruz D Ian NB Bautista JR Teves FG. Isolation and Identification of *Bacillus thuringiensis* from *Harpaphe haydeniana* and its Entemotoxic Evaluation Against *Aedes* and *Culex* Larvae. *International Research Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2015; 4(3): 21-26.
14. Federici BA. *Bacillus thuringiensis* in *Biological Control*. In: Handbook of Biological Control. F Fosher (Ed.) Academic Press (Ed.). 1999; 577-593. ISBN 10: 0-12-257305-6.
15. Otieno-Ayayo ZN Zaritsky A Wirth MC Manasherob R Khasdan V Cahan R Ben-Dov E. Variations in the Mosquito Larvicidal Activities of Toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* Spp. *Israelensis*. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2008; 10: 2191-2199.
16. Angolo-Falho RC Loguercio LL. *Bacillus thuringiensis* is an Environmental Pathogen and Host-Specificity has Developed as an Adaptation to Human Generated Ecological Niches. *Insects*. 2014; 5: 62-91.
17. El-Kersh TA Al-akeel RA Al-Sheikh YA. Isolation and Distribution of Mosquitoes Cry genes in *Bacillus thuringiensis* Strains native to Saudi Arabia. *Tropical BioMed*. 2014; 31: 616-632.
18. Travers RS Martin PAW Reichelderfer CF. Selective Process for Efficient Isolation of Soil *Bacillus* sp. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 1987; 53: 1263-1266.

- 319 19. Cheesbrough M. District Laboratory Practices in Tropical Countries (Part 2). Cambridge
320 University Press. 2002; 137-139.
321
- 322 20. Sambrook J Russell DW. Molecular Cloning a Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor
323 Laboratory Press. Cold Spring Harbor, NY. 2001.
324
- 325 21. Oyeleke SB Manga SB. Essentials of Laboratory Practicals in Microbiology 1st Edition.
326 To Best Publisher Minnaa, Niger State, Nigeria. 2008; 36-58.
327
- 328 22. Ahmed HA Ali SG Abdul-Raouf UM. Isolation, Characterization and Molecular
329 Identification of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Alex-13 isolated from Egypt Against *Spodoptera*
330 *littoralis*. *International Journal of Microbiology and Allied Sciences*. 2015; 2(2): 34-44.
331
- 332 23. Oei C Hindley J Berry C. Binding of *Bacillus sphaericus* Binary Toxin and its Deletion
333 Derivatives to *Culex Quinquefasciatus* Gut: Elucidation of Functional Binding Domains.
334 *Journal of General Microbiology*. 1992; 138: 1515-1526.
335
- 336 24. Wei D Cai Q Yuan Z. Mosquitocidal Toxin From *Bacillus sphaericus* Induces Stronger
337 Delayed Effects than Binary Toxin on *Culex quenquefasciatus* (Diptera; Culcidae).
338 *Journal of Medical Entemology*. 2006; 43: 726-730.
339
- 340 25. Berry C JacksonTap J Oei C Hindley J. Nucleotide Sequence of Two Toxin Genes from
341 *Bacillus sphaericus* IAB59: Sequence Comparisons Between Five Highly Toxicogenic
342 Strains. *Nucleic Acid Research*. 1989; 17(18): 7516.
343
- 344 26. Darboux I Nielsen-Leroux C Charles JF Pauron D. The Receptor of *Bacillus sphaericus*
345 Binary Toxin in *Culex pipens* (Diptera culcidae) midgut: Molecular Cloning and
346 Expression. *Insect Biochemical and Molecular Biology*. 2001; 31(10): 981-990.
347
- 348 27. Aissaoui L Boudjelida H. Larvicidal Activity and Influence of *Bacillus thuringiensis*
349 (Vectobac G), on Longevity and Fecundity of Mosquito species. *European Journal of*
350 *Experimental Biology*. 2014; 4(1): 104-109.
351