

Editor's Comment:

Please my recommendation is REJECTION.

Fundamentally, there is a credibility question in terms of honesty and sincerity in research.

1. Simply changing the sample size from 19 to 20 and number of animals per group 5, 5, 5, 5 without performing the study with the set of animals is totally unacceptable.
2. With the new number of animals and the new groupings the the results (mean and SD) will definitely change, but here the same results are presented.
3. Table 1 shows results on body weight, unfortunately neither in the abstract nor method did the authors mentioned any such investigation.
4. The annotations a and ns on Figures 3-6 were not defined.
5. In figure 1, the authors stated that n=4, for which group?

The literary and technical presentation is still grossly below acceptable level. In the reference, some journals were written in full while some were abbreviated, in addition to mixture of reference systems in the text. This is technically unacceptable.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Peter A. Akah

Professor, Department of Pharmacology & Toxicology, Natural Products Research Group, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria