Editor's Comment:

The academic editor checked the revised manuscript carefully. It is apparent that some content of the 1st version manuscript have been improved according to the three reviewers. However, there are still some items unfinished and recorded as follows:

Review Comments from Ellis Kofi Akwaa-Sekyi

Reviewer's comment	Reviewer's comment	Academic editor's comment
The abstract is quite long; consider reducing it. The last key word (Nigeria) doesn't look appropriate for the research.	Abstract has been reduced to less than 250 words from the previous of about 298 words. Nigeria removed from the key words, however, audit committee and ownership structure were added.	Ok
		Ok
Could you provide some of the copious volumes of research on the relationship between corporate governance and managerial fraud as stated in Lines	Previous research - citations - on corporate governance and managerial fraud have been added.	
81-82?		The corrections suggested by the
	Done	referee have not been completed.
The research gap must be explicitly stated with reference to specific works. It will be appropriate you emphasize the relevance of the study context (in the		
case of Nigeria) because your study seems to fill a		The corrections suggested by the
contextual gap.	Okay	referee have not been completed.
The introduction section can be made more interesting		The corrections suggested by the
with some motivations drawn from previous research.	The purpose is imbedded in the research gap. However, I'll try to state explicitly for more clarity.	referee have not been completed.
The purpose of study must be clearly stated since there		Ok
are many aspects of corporate governance.	I acknowledge that previous theoretical and empirical research may have had the purpose of 'resolving' the conflict	
Does your first sentence under the theoretical	of interest between ownership and mgt. However, that is not	
framework (lines 90-91) seem to suggest that the	the purpose of this research. Gut understanding those	
purpose of the study is to resolve the conflict of interest	previous research is key to this study.	The corrections suggested by the

between management and ownership? It must be		referee have not been completed.
emphasized in the purpose of study.	Ok	
Consider making references to previous research that		
used some of the theories in explaining their findings.		Ol-
The section under theoretical framework seems to talk about general theories used in corporate governance		Ok
research than which specific theories this particular	Ok	
paper proposes or uses. State and explain which theory		The corrections suggested by the
you are using to explain what. Provide enough references to the assertions made. The	Noted	referee have not been completed.
literature review section lacks sufficient references		
(refereed articles).		No comment
The central research question has been placed too far.		No comment
You may consider using hypotheses or research	Essentially, data was collected from secondary sources	
questions but the use of the two seem to serve same purpose looking at where they are placed in the work.	using the method of content analyses. No primary data was used as no mention of such was made within the work.	
pulpose looking at where they are placed in the work.	used as no mention of such was made within the work.	
It is difficult to tell which research design was used. In a		No comment
survey, there are questionnaires or interviews used. You stated the use of a survey but you also mention content	It was thought wise not to mention the names of the firms	
analysis of annual reports. What kind of data was used?	whose information especially on corporate fraud and	
Was it archival or primary or both and for which	ownership were used since we did not ask for nor were we	
variables? This must be clarified.	granted permission to make public such names. But surfice it to say that the sample firms were selected from different	Ok
The sample must be appropriately described. Which	sectors with on the basis of availability of data and	
companies and in which industries do they belong?	information.	
How was ownership measured and what is the structure?	Ownership structure here describes, concentration of	
	ownership in a few hands. It was calculated the % holding of	
	the ten (10) largest shareholders. This was clearly stated in the work	No comment
It is surprising there are no control variables in your	UIG WOIK	NO COMMENT
model. Firm-specific factors such as size may have	Concerning the issue of control variables, I have taken note	
some relation with corporate governance practices	of that for future purposes.	

Are all the statistics in Table 1 relevant to the discussions? It is conventional to represent the statistics in columns and variables in rows.	Table is descriptive statistics which tries to shed light on the nature and characteristics of the research data. The nature of the stats displayed makes it rather cumbersome to use that format. However, I'll take note of that for future reference.	Ok
	On the why board independence is given prominence in the recommendations and abstract, experience has shown that	The corrections suggested by the referee have not been completed.
Board independence is not statistically significant and like you rightly said it cannot be relied upon (lines 367-368). Why then do you overly emphasize it and make a recommendation in the abstract?	board characteristics play a critical role in corporate governance. Thus, its lack of statistical significance is likely an anomaly which ought to be corrected – hence its prominence	The corrections suggested by the referee have not been completed.
		The corrections suggested by the referee have not been completed.
What is the contribution of this research to theory and practice? Are there any limitations to the study?		·
The topic is a highly researched area and it would be appropriate to beef up the references.		
The work requires serious proofreading and editing in order to improve upon it's quality.	Proof reading, editing OK	

Hence, the academic editor thinks that the manuscript should be rejected unless there is further amendment.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Shao-Yi Hsia

Associate Professor,

Department of Mechanical & Automation Engineering, Kao-Yuan University, Kaohsiung City 82151, Taiwan, R.O.C.