# Conservation agriculture: Present status and cropping pattern adopted followed by the farmers in the Khulna region

#### Abstract:

Conservation agriculture (CA) based tillage technology permits direct seeding through the moderate level of crop residue. The main purposes of the study were to identify present status of agriculture along with the problem identification by farmers and also determine the present cropping pattern adopted followed by the farmers under conservation agriculture. Data were collected from randomly selected 91 farmers of three upazilla in under Khulna region with the help of personal interview method by using interview schedule during January 2017 to May 2018. Data were collected on fifteen selected categories of the farmers and problems confronting them. Most of the respondents have small to medium sized cultivable lands. Bean, cauliflower, cabbage, potato, Indian spinach, brinjal, tomato etc, were more extensively cultivated. Most farmers belong to medium practice of conservation agriculture, while very few of them had low or high practice. To determine the present status of agriculture, data were also collected based on the name of crop rotation, use of fertilizers and manures, intercultural operation adopted followed by the respondents, pest and disease infestation in the field under cultivation. Out of all independent variables, only extension media contact, level of education and organizational participation of the farmers showed positive significant relationship with conservation agriculture practice. Extension media contact and organizational participation influence the extent of CA practices at farmers' field as confirmed by the backward linear regression model. The vital problems of conservation agriculture practices were lack of seed, high price of seed, lack of fertilizers, high price of fertilizer, impurity and high price of insecticides/ pesticides, lack of irrigation water, salinity, lack of CA knowledge. To popularize the CA practices, Government should organize more training and demonstration activities on CA involving block level extension workers as well as farmers plus strengthening research-extension-farmers' linkage.

Keywords: Conservation agriculture (CA), Cropping Pattern (CP), Problem of CA

# I. Introduction

Feeding for a large population of a country like Bangladesh is the prime concern of Bangladeshi government. But producing quality food and maintaining sustainable soil health for future generation are possible future concerns. Future use of chemical fertilizer and pesticides while mistreatment (the action of mistreating or fact of being mistreated) organic compounds resulted in soil degradation and initiate decreasing trend of soil productivity (Kafiluddin and Islam, 2008). Intensified High Yielding Variety (HYV) of rice and other crops cultivated in the local land to feed the huge population of the country, has led to huge amount of nutrients loss from the soil

(Akteruzzaman *et al.*, 2012). The outcome of this intensified rice\_ based agriculture on soil fertility, soil microbial (characteristic of a microorganism, especially a bacterium causing disease or fermentation) activity and lastly to our environment is severe (Uddin and Dhar, 2016). Increased cropping intensity of 1.90% that means raising of a number of crops from the same field (1.9 why this?) during one agriculture year in Bangladesh (BBS, 2012) with traditional rice\_ based cropping pattern covering most of the land (Rashid *et al.*, 2014) complicates the situation further. That is why the incorporation of sustainable and conservable techniques to commercial farming is becoming popular all over the world (Johansen *et al.*, 2012). Bangladesh is a small country in Southeast Asia and also trying to adopt Conservational Agriculture (CA); considering its positive impact on soil health and also for the environment.

Around 45.1 percent of total labor force of Bangladesh is involved in Agriculture but labor scarcity is increasing day by day (BBS, 2015) and labor wage is also very high (Statistical Bulletin, 2013) which creates bad impact on total production budget. Already minimum tillage and other conservation techniques are practiced in the country but not on large scale (Islam et al., 2011). Conservation agriculture (CA) is associate degree approach that reduces agricultural operational prices while increasing yields while utilizing natural resources properly (Roy et al., 2009). With the adoption of sole minimum tillage, prices of production may be move to a massive extent (Miah et al., 2010). The CA research in Bangladesh is are few and previous research mainly focused on adoption of different conservation agriculture practices (Dass, 2013). Research reports available in Bangladesh (Barma et al., 2014) revealed that wheat, maize, pulses, oilseeds, jute, rice can be established and grown successfully using CA technology. Farmers accept the concept of conservation agriculture (CA) based mostly on tillage technologies, considering the benefits of higher yields, reduced value of tillage operation, and minimum work time between the crops (Hossain et al., 2015). Practicing conservation agriculture is not yet studied well. The present research was performed using different crops such as rice (Oryza sativa) (BR 23, BRRI dhan30, BRRI dhan40 and BRRI dhan41), wheat (Triticum aestivum), white maize (Zea mays), sesame (Sesamum indicum), sunflower (Helianthus annuus), jute (Corchorus olitorius), kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus), dhaincha (Sesbania bispinosa), mung bean (Vigna radiata), chick pea (Cicer arietinum) with various vegetable crops. The Ssoil fertility level in the south-west region (Khulna) is especially lower in organic matter content than which other region?. The farmers of south-western part of Bangladesh practice traditional agriculture day after day without considering modern technology such as conservation agriculture. Farmers and other stakeholders who are new or are at the initial stages of converting to conservation agriculture (CA) require tangible evidence of the benefits and impacts of CA. In the light of the above, this experiment was aimed to satisfy the following objectives: a) To investigate the present status of farming system in Khulna region. b) To identify the existing cropping pattern in study area. c) To identify the constraints and opportunities to adoption of CA in pattern existing pattern in Khulna region. D) To suggest policy guidelines for popularizing CA.

## II. Methods and Materials

This study was a survey based research and confined to three upazila (Dumuria, Paikgachha and Botiaghata) towns, region or what? of Khulna district, Khulna, located in the south-western region in Bangladesh. Khulna is situated between 21°.38' and 23°.10' north latitude and 88°.58 and what? east latitude which of these describes the longitude? and is 12 ft. above mean sea level. This study was conducted in to 4 types of areas; such as namely, high land, medium high land, medium low land and low land (shrimp farming area). The cultivators of the selected areas were treated as population of the study. Data were collected using random sampling technique where each farmer is considered as the sampling unit and each farmer was treated as active population of the study. A tTotal of 91 respondents were selected randomly and the interview schedule (IS) contained both simple and direct forms of questions to collect data on the selected variables. The interview schedule was pre-tested before final collection of data. The farmers' family was selected as respondents. Interviews were administered taken to on the respondents at their homes, field or market during their leisure period. Data were collected from the respondents during January to June, 2017.

Based on the practical and theoretical knowledge of CA, the 10 characteristics of the farmers constituted the independent variables of the study. The characteristics of respondents which are treated as independent variables for the study were are age, occupation, education, family size, experience in farming, annual income, farm size, organizational participation, Cosmo-politeness (A person who is cosmopolitan on his or ideas, life etc.), extension media contact and knowledge in vegetables cultivation using CA. Besides, data were also collected on the use of fertilizer and manures in the field, intercultural operation, pest and disease attack in the cultivation area.

The dependent variables of the study were the problems confronted ation on conservation agriculture practice and cropping pattern of the Khulna region. This problem was measured on the basis of their response to questions in the interview schedule.

In this study, problem confrontation score was computed for each respondent as ascertained from his responses. Each respondent was asked to indicate his problem against selected 14 issues. Cropping pattern means the proportion of <a href="Iand-area">Iand-area</a> under various crops at a point of time. Different crops are grown in rotation on this selected study areas are Dumuria, Paikgachha and Botiaghata.

After completion of survey all the interview schedules were compiled for its data processing. At the beginning of the data processing all the qualitative data were converted into quantitative form by means of suitable code and score whenever necessary. Local units were converted into standard units. In several instance, Indiges and scales were constructed through the simple accumulation of scores assigned to individual or pattern of attributes. Indices and scales are considered the efficient instrument for data reduction and analysis. All personal traits were categorized and arranged in simple tables for interpretation and discussion. Number, frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation were used as descriptive statistics.

Formatted: Superscript Formatted: Superscript Formatted: Superscript

#### III. Results and Discussion

The <u>data results</u> of this study <u>are have been</u> presented according to the objectives. This section is conveniently divided into three sections. The first section deals with the personal and socio-economic characteristics of the respondents. The second section isolates the problems faced by the respondents and finally, the third section deals with the existing cropping patterns present in the Khulna region of Bangladesh following conservation agriculture.

## 1 Facts on Selected Characteristics of the Respondents

## 1.1 Age of the Respondents

Based on age, the respondents were have been classified into three categories as shown in Table 1. The age of the respondents ranged from 25-75 years. The data It is indicates that the highest number of respondents (63%) belongs to the middle age group (31-50 years) and the lowest number of respondents (15%) what is the age range? followed by the young age group what is the percent of this young age group? Only 22% respondents are in the old age group. The mean and standard deviation of the respondents is about 42.57 and 9.27 respectively. Similar result was founded by Uddin et al. (2017) in what research?

### 1.2 Level of Education of the Respondents

The education scores of the respondents ranged from 0-17 with a mean and standard deviation of 6.81 and 3.63<sub>7</sub> respectively. On the basis of education the respondents <u>were are</u> classified into five categories shown in Table 1. <u>The data It was</u> revealed that the highest <u>number portion</u> of <u>the</u> respondents (49.5%) <u>has</u> achieved secondary level of education, followed by primary level (25.3%), higher secondary level (11%). The lowest number of respondents (2.2%) were graduates and above. Only 12% of the respondents were illiterate.

## 1.3 Family Size

Data presented in the Table 1 indicates that most of the respondent (78.02%) belonged to medium sized family category, followed by small size family (18.68%), while only 3.30% belong to large family sized category. The mean and standard deviation of the family size were 6.00 and 1.517 respectively. Similar result was founded by HIES (2010) In what study?

## 1.4 Experience in Farming

To measure the experience, the duration of involvement of the farmers in agriculture was considered. The mean and standard deviation of the experience in farming is 18.98 years and 8.42<sub>7</sub> respectively. Based on the experience in agriculture, the farmers were grouped into different categories as shown in the Table 1. The data it was found shows that the majority (47%) of the respondents had medium experienced and a very few (18%) had was low experienced. And Table 1 The rest (35%) of the respondents had was high experienced. So, the information seeking

tendency of the farmers seems to be low to medium and similar trend observed founded by Miah et al. (2016) in which study?.

## 1.5 Annual income of the farmers

On the basis of the family income, the respondents', family was classified into three categories as shown in Table 1. The Table shows It was found that majority (58.24%) of the respondents were in income range of Bangladeshi Tk. 590\$-1200\$, followed by (24.18%) in the income range of Tk. <1200\$ and the least (17.58%) of the respondents were in the income range of Tk. <590\$. Findings indicate that lower income group peoples are engaged in agriculture for increasing their income.

## 1.6 Farm Size of the Respondents

The mean value of farm size was 6 hectare with the standard deviation of 1.51. On the basis of their family size, the farmers were classified into five categories as shown in Table 1. The dData were revealed that majority (45.05%) of the respondent belong to the was small farmers, 32% marginal farmers, 12% medium farmers and 5% was landless. The dData also revealed that majority of the farmers of the study area were had marginal to small farmers.

## 1.7 Extension media contact (year)

Respondents use various information sources and media to a different extent in order to receive agricultural information. The average and standard deviation of extension media contact score was found 9.42 and 5.44 respectively. Based on computed extension media contact score, the respondents were classified into three categories as shown in Table 1. Majority of the respondents (70.33%) had low media contact as compared to medium contact (27.47%) and had high contact (2.20%). Respondent's exposure to a variety of information sources usually guides them to identify problems in vegetable cultivation and how to solve the identified problems.

#### 1.8 Organizational participation

Depending on the individual organizational participation scores, the respondents were grouped into the following categories as shown in Table 1. The data It is revealed from the present study that majority of the respondents (76.93%) have low organization participation followed by medium participation (23.08%). The mean and standard deviation of organization participation was found 1.97 and 2.31<sub>7</sub> respectively.

## 1.9 Cosmopoliteness (A person who is cosmopolitan on his or ideas, life etc.)

The mean and standard deviation of cosmopoliteness scores of the respondents was 5.64 and 2.19, respectively. Based on Cosmopoliteness score, a respondents were have been classified into three categories as shown in the Table 1. The dData revealed that majority (58%) of the respondents had low cosmopoliteness. Only 3% of the respondents are highly cosmopolite while 39% of the respondents had medium cosmopoliteness.

## 1.10 Use of Conservation Agriculture (CA) for Vegetable Cultivation

Based on use of conservation agriculture, farmers were classified into two categories as shown in Table 1. About Ninety percent (90%) of the people use conservation agriculture for vegetable cultivation. Only 10% of the respondents did cannot use conservation agriculture for vegetable cultivation. The mean and standard deviation of the use of conservation agriculture were as found 45.50 and 51.61 respectively.

#### 1.11 Use of Fertilizers and Manures

Also It was observed was that most of the respondents used fertilizer and manures in their vegetables cultivation. Among the 91 respondents, 87 respondents stated cited that they used urea, while 87, 87, 84, 43, 24, 13 respondents used manures, TSP, MoP, gzypsum, zinc and boron, respectively. The mean and standard deviation of utilization of fertilizers and manures respectively was 60.71 and 33.05 (Table 2).

#### 1.12 Intercultural Operation

Intercultural operations adopted followed by the respondents are shown in the Table 3. Among the 91 respondents, 78 respondents stated cited that they used weeding, while 77, 14, 75, 65, 67, 42, 34, 27 and 6 respondents used irrigation, mulching, spading, disease control, insect control, thinning, pruning, inter-cropping and other intercultural operation for conservation agriculture. For traditional agriculture (TA), 84 respondents used weeding, while 84, 61, 83, 82, 82, 52, 53, 41 and 11 respondents used irrigation, mulching, spading, disease control, insect control, thinning, pruning, inter-cropping and other intercultural operation. The mean of intercultural operation for CA and TA were as 48.4 and 63.3 respectively. The standard deviation of CA and TA were as 27.48 and 24.53.

## 1.13 Disease Infestation in the Vegetables Cultivation

The disease infested in vegetable cultivation of the study area has been presented in Table 4. The data\_Ht\_was revealed from the results of the present study that leaf rot is the most occurring disease in the vegetables cultivation noted\_eited by 43 respondents out of 91 respondents followed by root rot (29), fruit rot (31), brown spot (11), fungi (27), black spot (6) and late blight (13).

## 1.14 Insects infestation in Vegetables Cultivation

The occurrence of insect infestation is shown in the Table 5. Among the 91 respondents, 45 respondents stated cited that most vegetables were infested greatly by rice brown plant hopper (current poka), followed by stem borer (31), dragon and damsel fly (3), aphid (22), termite (17) and fruit borer (11).

## 2. Problem Confrontation Related to Agriculture

The respondents gave their opinion about different problems confronted by them. The study revealed that the main problems of agriculture in Khulna region are the salinity (61.54%), lack of activities of extension workers (49.45%), high incidence of insect (29.67%), lack of knowledge (28.57), natural calamities (16.48%) etc. On the basis of problem confrontation score the respondents were classified into three categories which are shown in Table 7.

The data it was revealed from the study that total 59 (64.84%) of the respondents were under medium problem confrontation, 21.98% were under low problem confrontation and 13.19% were under high problem confrontation. The respondents gave their opinion about the probable solution tof the problems; which were ensuring adequate seed and seedlings, ensuring sufficient amount of insecticides and pesticides at reasonable price, ensuring high quality fertilizers, increase irrigation facilities etc. The score of problem confrontation had with a mean value of 30.33 and having standard deviation of 25.15.

## 3. Cropping pattern in the Khulna region following Conservation Agriculture

This section was measured in by four different types of study area; such as high land area, medium high land area, medium low land area and low land area. Among the 91 respondents, about 85.71% of the respondents produce crops in medium high land, 10.99% and 3.30% respondents produce crops in high and medium low land respectively. The low land in the Khulna region was used for shrimp farming. In this four types land, crops are produced in three season in a year over summer and winter seasons.

### 3.1 High Land

Among the 91 respondents, only 10 respondents stated cited that they produce crops on high land topography. During summer season, 100% (N=10) of the respondents cultivate paddy, followed by 20% brinjal, 10% cultivate papaya, pointed gourd and turmeric. The crop rotation used in high land ranged from 1-10 (Number of respondents) with a mean and standard deviation of 2.6 and 3.05, respectively. Based on land topography, crops were cultivated in summer season as shown in Table 8.

In summer season, 40% (N=10) respondents cultivate bitter gourd, where as 30% cultivate brinjal and 10% cultivate chili <u>pepper</u>. The mean and standard deviation found in summer season was 2.67 and 1.53 <u>respectively</u>. Based on land topography, crops <u>grown</u> in summer season are shown in Table 8.

Data presented in the <u>Ttable 10</u> revealed that <u>the winter crops cultivated by the respondents - ranged from 1-10 (number of respondents) with a mean value and standard deviation of 2.55 and 2.77 <u>respectively</u>. Among 10 respondents, 100% (N=10) of the respondents cultivate tomato in winter season, followed by 20% <u>that cultivate</u> red amaranth and chilli <u>pepper</u>, 50% cultivate aus paddy, 30% cultivate cabbage and 10% cultivate yard long bean, broad bean, pumpkin, bottle gourd, mustard and cauliflower in high land. Based on land topography, crops were cultivated in winter season as shown in Table 8.</u>

## 3.2 Medium High Land

Also It was observed was that majority of the respondents (N=78) used medium high land for cultivation of crops. Among the 78 respondents, 32 respondents cultivate paddy while 13, 10, 8, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2 and 1 respondents cultivate brinjal, turmeric, lady's finger, jute, Indian spinach, wheat, cucumber, pumpkin etc. respectively during summer season on medium high land. Data presented in the Ttable 4.20 indicate that the summer crops cultivated by the respondents ranged from 1-32 (Respondents number) with a mean value and standard deviation of 6.06 and 7.72 respectively. Based on land topography, crops were cultivated in summer season as shown in Table 9.

At the time of summer season, almost 32 respondents cultivate dhan (paddy), followed by 11 respondents that cultivate BRRI Dhan-28, 7 respondents cultivate indian spinach. The Ddata in Table 12 indicate the crops that are cultivated by the respondents in summer season on medium high land with a mean value and standard deviation of 5.83 and 8.81respectively.

During winter season, farmers were cultivate ing winter crops for local demand. Among 78 respondents, about 43.59% respondents cultivate tomato, 29.48% produce red amaranth, 33.33% produce chili, 26.92% produce cauliflower, 28.21% produce potato, 21.79% produce cauliflower etc. Data presented in the Ttable 9 or 12? indicate the crops that were cultivated in winter season on medium high land topography.

## 3.3 Medium Low Land

Among the 91 respondent, it was observed that only three respondents (N=3) used medium low land for cultivation of crops. Among 3 respondents, 100% (N=3) of the respondents cultivate paddy in summer season. They also produce indian spinach, banana, dhan (aus), lady's finger in summer season. Data presented in the Ttable 10 indicate that the crops cultivated by the respondents ranged from 1-3 (Number of respondents) with a mean and standard deviation of 1.6 and 0.89 respectively.

In summer season, 2 respondents cultivate aman-30, 1 respondent cultivate BRRI dhan-28 and 1 respondent cultivate lady's finger. Data presented in the Table 15 indicate that the crops cultivated by the respondents ranged from 1-3 (Number of respondents) with a mean value and standard deviation of 1.33 and 0.58.

During winter season, majority (100%) of the respondents cultivate tomato, potato followed by spinach (66.67%), red amaranth (66.67%) and mustard (33.33%), respectively. Based on medium low land topography, crops were cultivated in winter season as shown in Table 10.

## Table numbering should be re-checked. Where are Tables 11, 13 and 14?

# IV. Conclusion

This study concluded that higher CA practices induced higher cropping intensity and farm income. Findings of the study shows tated that the highest number portion of the respondent farmers were as middle aged, having small family with small farm sized with low cosmopoliteness and low organization participation. About half of the respondents possessed with secondary level of education. Majority of the respondents were in high income range and medium experience in farming. Highest Maximum number of the respondents used fertilizers and manure and different intercultural operations and takes control measures against disease and insect infestation. But the Hhouseholds in Khulna region have practiced this method more than other regions. Some constraints and opportunities of CA were have been reported by the farmers in the study areas. If theise problems are will be identified carefully, CA can be must established in the coastal region of Bangladesh.

On the basis of the outcome of this experiment, promotion of knowledge on the benefits of CA technologies should be ensured through training by DAE? What does this mean? and local NGOs. In addition, government

should come forward and <u>be</u> responsible to provide agro-machineries and other facilities through local workshop at reasonable price. Finally, <u>further the</u> research on the crop rotation and cropping patterns for harvesting the benefits of CA technologies is also suggested.

#### Reference

- Akteruzzaman, M., Jahan, H.and Haque, M.E. Practices of conservation agricultural technologies in diverse cropping systems in Bangladesh.Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Economics. 2012: 35 (1 & 2), 143-153.
- Barma, N.C.D., P.K. Malaker, Z.I Sarker, M.A. Khaleque, M. Israil Hossain, M.A.Z. Sarker, M. Bodruzzaman, M.A. Hakim, and A. Hossain.—(2014). Adoption of power tiller operated seeder in rice wheat cropping system. WRC, BARI Annual report, Nashipur, Dinajpur. (2014: Pp:248-253.
- BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS) ), (2012). Yearbook of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. ), (2012) Pp:132-188.
- Dass, A.K. Adoption of Conservation Agriculture Practices in Bangladesh (unpublished Master's Thesis). Department of Agricultural Extension Education, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh; 2013.
- Dev, D. S. Effectiveness of Process of Climate Risk Management Training in Agriculture as Perceived by The Farmers (unpublished Master's Thesis). Department of Agricultural Extension Education, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh; 2015.
- Haq AZM, (2016). Farmers' education and farmers' wealth in Bangladesh. Turkish Journal of Agriculture: Food Science and Technology, 2016: [3]: 787-792.
- Hossain, M.I., Sarker, J.U. and Haque, M.A. Status of conservation agriculture based tillage technology for crop production in Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research. 2015; 40(2), 235-248.
- Islam, A.K.M., Haque, S., Hossain, M.E., Saleque, M.M., and Bell, R.W. Evaluation of Versatile Multi-crop Planter to
  Establish the Sprouted Direct Seeded Rice. 5th World Congress of Conservation Agriculture incorporating
  3rd Farming Systems Design Conference, Australia; 2011.
- Johansen, C., Haque M.E., Bell R.W., Thierfelder, C., and Esdaile, R.J. Conservation Agriculture for Small Holder Rainfed Farming: Opportunities and Constraints of new Mechanized Seeding Systems. Field Crop Research. 2012; Vol. 132: 18-32.
- Kafiluddin A, Islam MS (2008) Fertilizer distribution, subsidy, marketing, promotion and agronomic use efficiency scenario in Bangladesh. Proceedings of IFA Crossroads Asia-Pacific 2008, held at Melbourne, Australia, 2008: pp. 1-22.

- Miah, M.M.A., Haque, M.E., Baksh, M.E. and Hossain, M.I. Economic analysis of power tiller Operated Seeder Operation at Farm Level. Journal of Agricultural Engineering. 2010: 1: 19-24.
- Miah, M. A. M., Sarker, M.A., Dev, D.S. and Kabita, K.N. Preference of information sources by the fish farming communities of Muktagacha Upazila in Bangladesh. Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development. 2016: 8(9): pp. 166-170.
- Uddin, M.T. and Dhar, A.R. Conservation agriculture practice and its impact on farmer's livelihood status in Bangladesh. SAARC Journal of Agriculture.2016: 14(1), 119-140.
- Uddin, M.T., Dhar, A. R., and Rahman, M.H. Improving farmers' income and soil environmental quality through conservation agriculture practice in Bangladesh. American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences. 2017: 12 (1): 55.65.
- Uddin, M.N., Bokelmann, W. and Dunn, E.S. Determinants of Farmers' Perception of climate change: A case study from the Coastal Region of Bangladesh. American Journal of Climate Change. 2017: 6: 151-165.

Table 1: Facts on selected characteristics of the respondents

Selected	Categories	Score	Respon	dents-91	Range	Mean	Standard Deviation
Characteristics			No.	Percentage			Deviation
Age (Year)	Young aged	Up to 30	14	15.00			
	Middle aged	31-50	57	63.00	25-75	42.57	9.27
	Old aged	>50	20	22.00			
Education (Class)	Illiterate	0	11	12.00			
	Primary	1-5	23	25.30			
	Secondary	6-10	45	49.50	0-17	6.81	3.63
	Higher secondary	11-12	10	11.00			
	Above higher secondary	>12	2	2.20			
Family Size	Small	<4	17	18.68			
	Medium	5-8	71	78.02	3-12	6.00	1.51
	Large	>8	3	3.30			
	Low experience	<10	16	18.00			1
Experience in Farming	Medium experience	11-20	43	47.00	3-42	18.98	8.42
	High experience	>20	32	35.00	$\dashv$		
	Low income	<580	16	17.58			1
		500 4200		50.24	24000 245000	02447.5	46272.66
Annual Income (\$)	Medium income	580-1200	53	58.24	34000-215000	92417.5	46372.66
	High income	>1200	22	24.18			
	Low contact	<10	64	70.33		1	
	Medium contact	11-20	25	27.47	2-24		5.44
Extension Media Contact						9.42	
	High contact	>20	2	2.20			
	Low participation	>5	70	76.92			
Organizational participation	Medium participation	6-10	21	23.08	0-7	1.97	2.31
	High participation	<10	0	0.00			
	Low cosmopoliteness	< 5	53	58.00	3-13	5.64	2.19
C	Medium cosmopoliteness	6-10	36	39.00			
Cosmopoliteness	medium cosmopoliteness	0-10	30	55.00			
	High cosmopoliteness	>10	2	3.00			
		1	1	1		45.50	

Use CA	9	10.00

Table 2: Use of fertilizers and manures by the respondents

Fertilizer Name	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Mean	Standard Deviation
Manure	87	96		
Urea	87	96		
TSP	87	96		
МОР	84	92		
Gypsum	43	47		
Zinc	24	26	60.71	33.05
Boron	13	14		

Table 3: Intercultural operation practices followed by the respondents

Name of Intercultural		Frequency			Me	ean		dard ation
Operation -	Conservational Agriculture (CA)	Percentage (%)	Traditional Agriculture (TA)	Percentage (%)	CA	TA	CA	TA
Wedding	78	85.71	84	92.31				
Irrigation	77	84.62	84	92.31				
Mulching	14	15.38	61	62.03				
Spading	75	82.42	83	91.21				
Disease control	65	71.43	82	90.11				
Insect Control	67	73.63	82	90.11				
Thinning	42	46.15	52	57.14				
Pruning	34	37.36	53	58.24	48.	63.	27.4	24.
Inter-cropping	27	29.67	41	45.05	4	3	8	3
Others	5	5.9	11	12.09	1			

Table 4: Status of disease infestation in vegetables cultivation

Disease Name	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Mean	Standard Deviation
Leaf Rot	43	47		
Root Rot	29	21		
Fruit Rot	31	34		
Brown Spot	11	12	22.86	12.22
Fungi	27	30		
Black Spot	6	7		
Late Blight	13	3		

Table 5: Status of insect infestation

Disease Name	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Mean	Standard Deviation
Stem Borer	31	34		
Rice brown plant hopper	45	49		
Dragon and Damsel Fly	3	3		
Aphid	22	24	21.5	14.94
Termite	17	19		
Fruit Borer	11	12		

Table 6: Types of problems faced by the respondents

SI. No	Name Of the Problem			Types of Pro	blem			Total Percentage
		Very	Severe	Moderately	Less	Very	No	(%)
		Severe		Severe	Severe	less	Problem	
1	Lack of seed	6.59 (6)*	71.4	21.98				100 (91)*
			(65)*	(20)*				
2	High Price of seed	9.89	62.64	23.07	4.39			100 (91)*
		(9)*	(57)*	(21)*	(4)*			
3	Lack of Fertilizer	4.39 (4)*	63.74	27.47	2.20	2.20		100 (91)*
			(58)*	(25)*	(2)*	(2)*		
4	High Price of Fertilizer	18.68	52.74	20.88	5.49	2.20		100 (91)*
		(17)*	(48)*	(19)*	(5)*	(2)*		
5	Impurity of Insecticides/	2.20 (2)*	25.27	57.14	12.09	3.30		100 (91)*
	Pesticides		(23)*	(52)*	(11)*	(3)*		
6	High price of Insecticides/	6.59	25.27	37.36	18.68	12.09		100 (91)*
	Pesticides	(6)*	(23)*	(34)*	(17)*	(11)*		
7	High Incidence of Insect	29.67	51.65	9.89	6.59	2.20		100 (91)*
		(27)*	(47)*	(9)*	(6)*	(2)*		
8	Lack of Irrigation water	9.89	57.14	18.68	12.09	4.40		100 (91)*
		(9)*	(52)*	(17)*	(11)*	(4)*		
9	Salinity	61.54	29.67	8.79				100 (91)*
		(56)*	(27)*	(8)*				
10	Lack of land due to	5.49	47.25	19.78	17.58	3.30	6.59	100 (91)*
	Shrimp Culture	(5)*	(43)*	(18)*	(16)*	(3)*	(6)*	
11	Salinity due to Shrimp	8.79	16.48	28.57	35.16	7.69	3.30	100 (91)*
	Culture	(8)*	(15)*	(26)*	(32)*	(7)*	(3)*	
12	Lack of Knowledge	28.57	36.26	15.38	17.58	2.20		100 (91)*
		(26)*	(33)*	(14)*	(16)*	(2)*		
13	Activities of extension	49.45	31.87	16.48	2.20			100 (91)*
	worker	(45)*	(29)*	(15)*	(2)*			
14	Land become dry	14.29	39.56	25.27	20.88			100 (91)*
		(13)*	(36)*	(23)*	(19)*			
15	Natural calamities	16.48	34.07	30.77	14.29	4.40		100 (91)*
		(15)*	(31)*	(28)*	(13)*	(4)*		
16	Others							
	Mean	16.53	39.13	21.93	11.85	4	4.5	
	Standard Deviation	15.79	14.96	10.82	8.45	2.91	2.12	

<sup>\*</sup> indicate the number of respondents

Table 7: Distribution of respondents according to their problem confrontation score

Categories	Score	No of farmers	Percentage (%)	Mean	Standard Deviation
Low problem confrontation	<20	20	21.98		
Medium problem confrontation	20-30	59	64.84		
				30.33	25.15
High problem confrontation	>30	12	13.19		
Total		91	100		

Table 8: Distribution of crops in summer and winter season on high land

Season	Crop Name	Frequency (N=10)	Percentage (%)	Mean	Standard Deviation
	Papaya	1	10		
Kharif 1	Pointed Gourd	1	10	2.6	3.05
	Turmeric	1	10		
	Dhan(Paddy)	8	80		
	Brinjal	2	20		
	Bitter gourd	4	40		
Kharif 2	Brinjal	3	30	2.67	1.53
	Chilli	1	10		
	Tomato	10	100		
	Red Amaranth	2	20		
	Chilli	2	20		
	Yard Long Bean	1	10		
Winter				2.55	2.77
	Aus(paddy)	5	50		
	Broad bean	1	10		
	Cabbage	3	30		
	Pumpkin	1	10		
	Bottle gourd	1	10		
	Mustard	1	10		
	Cauliflower	1	10		

Table 9: Distribution of crops in summer and winter season on medium high land

Season	Crop Name	Frequency (N=78)	Percentage (%)	Mean	Standard Deviation
Kharif 1	Dhan(paddy)	32	41.03		
	Cucumber	3	3.85		
	Chilli	3	3.85		
	Indian Spinach	5	6.41		
	Brinjal	13	16.67		
	Onion	2	2.56		
	Yam	3	3.85		
	Turmeric	10	12.82	6.06	7.72
	Lady's Finger	8	10.26		
	Shak	1	1.28		
	Potato	2	2.56		
	Till	1	1.28		
	Jute	6	7.69		
	Bitter gourd	3	3.85		
	Wheat	4	5.12		
	Pumpkin	1	1.28		
Kharif 2	BRRI Dhan- 28	11	14.10		
	Dhan(paddy)	32	41.03		
	Lady's Finger	1	1.28		
	BRRI Dhan-52	1	1.28		
	Shak	6	7.69	5.83	8.81
	Long yard Bean	2	2.56		
	Broad Bean	2	2.56		
	Indian Spinach	7	8.97		
	Sunflower	1	1.28		
	Chilli	1	1.28		
	Jute	2	2.56		
	Bitter gourd	4	5.12		
Winter	Broad Bean	4	5.12		
	Indian Spinach	7	8.97		
	Khesarie	16	20.51		
	Cauliflower	21	26.92		
	Red amaranth	23	29.48		
	Sunflower	11	14.10		
	Tomato	34	43.59	14.75	8.64
	Chilli	26	33.33	14.73	3.04

Brinjal	16	20.51	
Potato	22	28.21	
Cabbage	17	21.79	
Till	6	7.69	
Bitter gourd	6	7.69	
Turnip	6	7.69	
Bottle gourd	11	14.10	
Pumpkin	10	12.82	

Table 10: Distribution of crops in summer and winter season on medium low land

Season	Crop Name	Frequency (N=03)	Percentage (%)	Mean	Standard Deviation
Kharif 1	Dhan(paddy)	3	100		
	Indian Spinach	1	33.33	1.6	0.89
	Banana	2	66.67		
	Dhan(Aus)	1	33.33		
	Lady's Finger	1	33.33		
Kharif 2	BRRI dhan 28	1	33.33		
	Aman-30	2	66.67	1.33	0.58
	Lady's Finger	1	33.33		
Winter	Mustard	1	33.33		
	Tomato	3	100		
	Potato	3	100	2.2	0.84
	Spinach	2	66.67		
	Red Amaranth	2	66.67		