

Editor's Comment:

The paper has many mistakes that should be corrected before publishing. This article have to make important changes, if not this must be rejected.

Here are some of the reasons, without talking in deep about the research results (or the absence of research results):

1) There are several mistakes along the text:

For instance:

page three: Biomass can mainly be supplied through three different sources (???? blank space)

(1) Agriculture; (2) forestry; and (3) waste materials. Most of the countries utilise resources from these sources to develop a sector for modern bio-energy producing plants.

page five: "cellulose Even though the process in a landfill is slowed, it promote methane gas production as the waste productwhich is"

2) The introduction is poor and without references. Why?

3) As a review, unless this seems a review, the references given are not enough.

4) Check the style of the article, for instance, it is apropiate to present a table in part 6 withaout indicating that it is a table, or is it text?

5) References style should be check according with journal style.

Please, if you do not mind, I think that article need a deep revision.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Jose Navarro Pedreno

Professor, Department of Agrochemistry and Environment, University Miguel Hernandez, Spain