

Efficiency of bio-fungicides (*Trichoderma* spp and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) on seedling emergence, vigour and health of infected chilli seeds (*Capsicum annum*) by *Colletotrichum capsici*

ABSTRACT

Damping off and fruit rot caused by *Colletotrichum capsici* are the major constraints in production and marketability of chilli. Systemic fungicides are commonly used to control this disease. However, continuous use of chemical fungicides leads to negative impact on environment, soil and human health. Therefore, present studies (blotter and pot experiment) were conducted to explore the bio-fungicides (as an alternative to chemical fungicide) in comparison with carbendazim using chilli seeds infected with *Colletotrichum capsici*.

Experiments were conducted at the CCSHAU, Hisar, India during 2016 in completely randomized design with nine treatments replicated three times. Six months old seed having germination above the Indian Minimum Seed Certification Standard, were infected with *Colletotrichum capsici* and such infected seeds were treated with *Trichoderma asperellum*, *Trichoderma viridae*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* individually and their combinations to control the disease incidence. The infected, uninfected and seed treatment with carbendazim served as controls. Results revealed that the seed germination was significantly higher (94.7 %) with *Trichoderma viride* treatment as compared to all other treatments including controls in blotter method. However, the seedling emergence in pot culture was significantly superior with Carbendazim treatment, the seed treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Trichoderma viridae* was on par to that of Carbendazim treatment. The seedling length was significantly superior with *Trichoderma viride* compared to the carbendazim and other controls both in blotter and pot culture. The seedling dry weight and seedling vigour were significantly higher with carbendazim as compared to the *Trichoderma viride* treatment or other treatments in both blotter and pot culture. However, the overall seedling vigour obtained with *Trichoderma viride* was same similar to that of carbendazim treatment. The disease incidence was significantly least lower with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* as compared to the *Trichoderma viride* and carbendazim in blotter method and; *T. viride* + *P. fluorescens* treatment was on par to that of carbendazim treatment in pot culture. Therefore, use of *Trichoderma viride* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* individually or in combinations are suggested as an alternative to carbendazim to control the *Colletotrichum capsici*.

Key words: Chilli; *Colletotrichum capsici*; Carbendazim; bio-fungicides.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chilli is a major spice crop in India and India stands 3rd in production (21). The crop is suffered mainly by seedling rot and fruit rot caused by *Colletotrichum capsici* leading to reduced marketability and fruit yield [14, 15]. To control this disease, systemic fungicides are commonly used, especially the carbendazim at the recommended dose of 0.2 % [17]. However, continuous use of chemical fungicides has deleterious effects on biodiversity, environment and human health [3]. In this direction, several reports show the effect of bio-fungicides like, *Trichoderma viride*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* etc. on control of *Colletotrichum capsici* and improved the seedling parameters and yield of chilli with a decreased fruit rot [8, 11, 23, 24, 25, 26]. As most of the studies pertain only to bio-fungicides, it is pertinent to identify a bio-fungicide comparable to that of chemical fungicides in the changing climate scenario. Hence, the present study was undertaken to study the effect of bio-fungicides viz., *Trichoderma viride*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Trichoderma asperellum* individually and their combinations in comparison with chemical fungicide (carbendazim), infected and uninfected seed on

48 seed germination, seedling emergence, seedling vigour and disease control in chilli seeds infected
49 with *Colletotrichum capsici*.

50

51 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

52 Two experiments (blotter and pot culture) were conducted to study the effect of bio-fungicides on
53 seed quality parameters of chilli seeds infected with *Colletotrichum capsici*. These experiments were
54 conducted at the Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCSHAU, Hisar during October-
55 November, 2016. The seeds used in these experiments were six months old which were
56 harvested during February – March, 2016 (high yielding popular variety, RCH-1). The seed
57 germination was above the Indian Minimum Seed Certification Standards.

58

59 The experiments were conducted with nine treatments in three replications (Table 1) both in blotter
60 and pot experiments. In blotter method, petri dishes (15 cm diameter) lined with two layers of blotting
61 paper (Whatman No.1) were prepared, adequately watered, 25 seeds in each petri dish were placed
62 and kept in BOD (biological oxygen demand) incubator for 14 days at 25°C. Sixteen petri plates were
63 used for each replication. These plates were watered as and when the blotter paper appeared
64 nearly to dryness. For pot culture experiment, pots (27.5 cm diameter and 30 cm height) were filled
65 with four kg of oven sterilized soil. The soil is sandy loam with organic carbon (0.15 %), pH (8.1) and
66 $E_c(0.15 \text{ dS/m at } 25^\circ\text{C})$ [22]. Twenty five seeds were placed at a depth of 1-2 cm in each pot and
67 eight pots replication were maintained. The pots were watered daily up to 14 days. The weeds were
68 uprooted whenever appeared.

69

70 In both the experiments, final germination count, disease incidence and disease control was monitored
71 on 14th day, and ten randomly selected seedlings per replication were taken for observations on shoot
72 length, root length and total seedling length. After taking the shoot and root length, the same seedlings
73 were kept for drying in oven at $70 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ until they attained a constant dry weight and calculated
74 theseedling vigour. The formulae used for various calculations are given below [1].

75

76 **Table 1. Treatment details involved in the experiment**

No.	Treatments
T ₁	<i>Trichoderma viride</i> (200 mg/ 20 g seed)
T ₂	<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> (200 mg/ 20 g seed)
T ₃	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (200 mg/ 20 g seed)
T ₄	<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> (100 mg/ 20 g seed) + <i>Trichoderma viride</i> (100 mg/ 20 g seed)
T ₅	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (100 mg/ 20 g seed) + <i>Trichoderma viride</i> (100 mg/ 20 g seed)
T ₆	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (100 mg/ 20 g seed) + <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> (100 mg/ 20 g seed)
T ₇	Infected seed (<i>Colletotrichum capsici</i> infected seed but not treated with bio-fungicide or Carbendazim)
T ₈	Un-infected seed (Six months old seed which was not-infected with <i>Colletotrichum capsici</i> and not treated with bio-fungicide or Carbendazim)
T ₉	Carbendazim treated (<i>Colletotrichum capsici</i> infected seed treated with Carbendazim (40 mg/ 20 g seed))

77 **Note:** (1) Dose: 10 g kg^{-1} alone and in combination @ $5 + 5 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ seed, (2) Except the controls (T₇
78 and T₈), all the bio-fungicides and Carbendazim treatments were given to the seeds that are infected
79 with *Colletotrichum capsici*.

80

81 **Isolation of *Colletotrichum capsici* and seed infection**

82 The infected chilli fruit portion was sterilized and cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium in a
83 petri plate. The pure culture of *Colletotrichum capsici* was identified, isolated, sub-cultured and
84 multiplied again on PDA and used for seed infection. The multiplication has taken 7-9 days.

85
86 Twenty gram of chilli seeds were taken in a beaker. The *Colletotrichum capsici* was scrapped into the
87 beaker containing the seeds using a scrapper in the laminar air flow. The beaker was closed with
88 para-film tape, shaken for 15 minutes and left undisturbed for 24h. Such infected seeds with
89 *Colletotrichum capsici* were used further for treatment with bio-fungicides or carbendazim.

90
91 **Seed treatment with bio-fungicide or carbendazim**

92 The *Colletotrichum capsici* infected seeds were treated with different bio-fungicides, *Trichoderma*
93 *asperellum*, *Trichoderma viridae* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (200 mg/ 20 g seed) individually and
94 in combinations (100mg + 100mg) or with carbendazim (40 mg/ 20 g seed) in a beaker, shaken gently
95 to cover the seed uniformly with bio-fungicide or carbendazim (Table 1). The control treatments were,
96 infected seed (not treated with any bio-fungicide or Carbendazim), uninfected seed (six months old
97 seed which was not treated with any bio-fungicide or Carbendazim) and Carbendazim treatment
98 (infected seed treated with Carbendazim).

99
100
101
$$\text{Seed germination (\% in blotter)} = \frac{\text{Number of seeds germinated}}{\text{Total number of seeds placed for germination}} \times 100$$

102
103
104
105
$$\text{Seedling emergence (\% in pot)} = \frac{\text{Number of seedlings emerged}}{\text{Total number of seeds placed for seedling emergence}} \times 100$$

106
107

108
109
$$\text{Seedling length (cm)} = \text{Seedling shoot length (cm)} + \text{Seedling root length (cm)}$$

110
111
$$\text{Seed Vigour Index I} = \text{Seed germination percentage} \times \text{Seedling length (cm) in blotter method}$$

112
113
$$\text{Seed Vigour Index I} = \text{Seedling emergence percentage} \times \text{Seedling length (cm) in pot culture}$$

114
115
$$\text{Seed vigour Index II} = \text{Seed germination percentage} \times \text{Dry seedling weight (mg) in blotter method}$$

116
117
$$\text{Seed vigour Index II} = \text{Seed emergence percentage} \times \text{Dry seedling weight (mg) in pot culture}$$

118
119
120
$$\text{Disease incidence (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of seedlings affected either in blotter or pot}}{\text{Total number of seedlings either in blotter or pot}} \times 100$$

121
122

123
124
$$\text{Disease control (\%)} = \frac{\text{Treatment - Infected}}{\text{Treatment}} \times 100$$

125
126

127
128 Where, treatment refers to all the eight treatments including two controls namely, un-infected
129 and Carbendazim treatments.

130 The data obtained was statistically analyzed in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) in both
131 the experiments.

132

133 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

134 **3.1 Seed germination**

135 The seed germination was significantly superior in blotter method (87.6 %) as compared to the
136 seedling emergence in the pot experiment (84.5 %) although the differences are marginal (3.5 %). In
137 blotter method among the treatments only *T. viride* (94.7 %) showed significantly higher seed

138 germination compared to all other treatments including the carbendazim treatment (92.0 %). While,
 139 *Pseudomonas fluorescens* treatment (92.7 %) was on par to the carbendazim treatment (Table 2).
 140 Although the differences between the treatments are meagre, the germination percentage was
 141 markedly high both in the bio-fungicide treatments and carbendazim treatment compared to the
 142 controls (infected seed and un-infected seed). The higher seed germination with bio-fungicides could
 143 be through inhibition of growth of *C. capsici*[18, 27].

144

145 **Table 2. Effect of bio-fungicides on seed germination, seedling length and dry weight in chilli**
 146 **seeds infected with *Colletotrichum capsici***

Treatments	Seed germination (%)	Seedling emergence (%)	Seedling length (cm)		Seedling dry weight (mg/ seedling)	
	Blotter	Pot	Blotter	Pot	Blotter	Pot
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	94.7 (76.6) ^g	89.0 (70.6) ^c	7.04 ^e	7.45 ^e	32.21 ^d	30.00 ^{bc}
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	86.7 (68.6) ^c	84.3 (66.7) ^b	4.96 ^{ab}	6.76 ^{cd}	29.23 ^c	32.23 ^{cd}
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	92.7 (74.3) ^f	83.3 (65.9) ^b	4.89 ^{ab}	7.01 ^{cd}	28.50 ^{bc}	30.16 ^{bc}
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	88.0 (69.7) ^d	85.3 (67.5) ^b	5.66 ^c	6.60 ^c	27.83 ^b	29.86 ^b
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	89.3 (70.9) ^e	89.3 (71.0) ^{cd}	4.90 ^{ab}	6.64 ^c	33.56 ^d	30.20 ^{bc}
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	89.3 (70.9) ^e	85.0 (67.2) ^b	4.87 ^a	7.09 ^{de}	32.67 ^d	35.76 ^e
Infected seed	70.3 (57.0) ^a	69.3 (56.4) ^a	4.69 ^a	4.58 ^a	25.67 ^a	25.66 ^a
Un-infected seed	85.3 (67.5) ^b	83.7 (66.1) ^b	5.94 ^{dc}	5.22 ^b	28.00 ^{bc}	34.33 ^{de}
Carbendazim treated seed	92.0 (73.5) ^f	91.0 (72.5) ^d	5.26 ^b	6.68 ^{cd}	36.67 ^e	39.33 ^f
Mean	87.6 (69.9)	84.5 (67.1)	5.36	6.45	30.48	31.95
C.D (P< 0.05)	0.8	1.8	0.38	0.43	1.36	2.40
SEM _±	0.3	0.6	0.13	0.14	0.45	0.80
C.V. (%)	0.7	1.6	4.19	3.87	2.58	4.35

147 Note: Values in parenthesis are arc sign transformed values for statistical analyses

148 In pot culture experiment, the seedling emergence was significantly superior with carbendazim as
 149 compared to all the bio-fungicide treatments and other control treatments. However, the seed
 150 germination was above the minimum standards of seed germination in all the treatments except the
 151 infected seeds(absolute control) both in blotter and pot culture experiments. Hence, for the purpose of
 152 higher seed germination any of the bio-fungicides may be suggested to achieve higher seed
 153 germination or seedling emergence of chilli seeds. Both in blotter and pot culture, infected seed
 154 maintained showed significantly lower seed germination and seedling emergence respectively as
 155 compared to the un-infected control or carbendazim treatments (Table 2).

156

157 3.2 Seed quality parameters

158 Both in blotter and pot culture, among the treatments, seedling length was significantly superior with
 159 *Trichoderma viride*(7.04 cm in blotter and 7.75 cm in pot culture) as compared to the carbendazim

160 (5.26 and 6.68 cm respectively). In pot culture, bio-fungicide treatments showed significantly higher
 161 seedling length as compared to the un-infected seed. Similar results of increased seedling length due
 162 to application of *Trichoderma viride*, *Trichoderma asperellum* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*
 163 individually or in combination was reported in different species [7,19, 20].

164 Seedling dry weight among the treatments and across the two experiments was significantly higher in
 165 carbendazim treated seeds (36.67 mg in blotter method and 39.33mg in pot culture) as compared to
 166 all bio-fungicide treatments (Table 2). However, in pot culture, bio-fungicide treatments performed
 167 better over the un-infected seed, this could be due to effective control of pre-emergence and post-
 168 emergence damping off through decreased colony formation by *C. capsici* [10, 12]. In pot
 169 culture (similar to field conditions), lower effect of bio-fungicides could be due to longer time required
 170 for perpetuation of bio-fungicides in view of requirement of carbohydrate at early stages, whereas,
 171 carbendazim do not depend on seedling for carbohydrate requirement.

172 3.3 Seedling vigour

173 Seedling vigour is an important trait in ensuring proper crop establishment and economic yields
 174 especially under adverse conditions. Seed borne pathogen like *C. capsici* is known to affect the
 175 seedling vigour causing fruit rot and reduces the yield. Under such conditions, application of chemical
 176 fungicide or bio-fungicide would help to combat the effects of *C. capsici*. Several reports have shown
 177 the positive influence of bio-fungicides like *Trichoderma* and others on seedling vigour in chilli [2, 9,
 178 18, and 19]. However, scanty literature is available with respect to comparison of bio-fungicides with
 179 carbendazim which is a popular systemic fungicide [17]. Therefore, it is very pertinent to identify a bio-
 180 fungicide comparable to that of carbendazim in the changing climate scenario as carbendazim have
 181 deleterious effects on biodiversity, environment and human [3]. In the present study, seedling vigour
 182 index-I and II were significantly high with carbendazim treatment compared to all the bio-fungicides
 183 and control treatments in both blotter and pot culture (Table 3). Further, all the bio-fungicide
 184 treatments found superior over the un-infected seed for SVI-I in pot culture and SVI-II in blotter
 185 method (Table 3). These differences are due to variations in seed germination, seedling length and
 186 seedling dry weights in calculation of seedling vigour indices. However, when the data was
 187 normalized by giving equal weightage to unity for all three parameters, seedling vigour with
 188 *Trichoderma viride* found on par to the carbendazim treatment (Table 3). Similarly, Choudhary *et al.* [5]
 189 reported that *Trichoderma viride* was effective as compared to the carbendazim in terms of seedling
 190 vigour. Further, all the bio-fungicides were better than the control (un-infected seed). Hence, seed
 191 treatment with *Trichoderma viride* is suggested to combat the *C. capsici* and thus to achieve healthy
 192 vigorous seedlings for better yields of chilli.
 193

194 **Table 3. Effect of bio-fungicides on seedling vigour index in chilli seeds infected with**
 195 ***Colletotrichum capsici***

Treatments	SVI-I		SVI-II		Overall SVI
	Blotter	Pot	Blotter	Pot	Pooled
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	498.6 ^c	601.6 ^{cde}	3048.8 ^f	2670.0 ^{bc}	0.81
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	430.1 ^b	628.9 ^e	2533.6 ^{cd}	2718.4 ^{cd}	0.60
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	453.8 ^b	584.2 ^{cd}	2641.2 ^d	2513.8 ^b	0.59
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	498.1 ^c	563.5 ^e	2449.3 ^{bc}	2544.4 ^{bc}	0.60
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	438.4 ^b	593.4 ^{cde}	2997.9 ^{ef}	2698.9 ^{bcd}	0.64
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	435.7 ^b	603.0 ^{cde}	2918.3 ^e	3040.0 ^e	0.69
Infected seed	329.8 ^a	317.5 ^a	1805.3 ^a	1779.3 ^a	0.33
Un-infected seed	506.9 ^c	436.7 ^b	2389.0 ^b	2873.0 ^{de}	0.57
Carbendazim treated seed	647.7 ^d	608.5 ^{de}	3373.3 ^g	3579.3 ^f	0.81

Mean	471.0	548.6	2684.1	2713.0
C.D (P< 0.05)	32.83	40.05	127.27	198.24
SEm±	10.96	13.37	42.50	66.21
C.V. (%)	4.03	4.22	2.74	4.22

196

197 3.4 Disease infection and disease control

198 In blotter experiment, disease incidence was significantly less in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (5.33 %) as compared to the carbendazim (8.00 %), whereas, the *Trichoderma viride* (7.33 %) was comparable to the carbendazim (Table 4). In pot culture, carbendazim showed significantly lower disease incidence (9.0 %) but was on par to that of *Trichoderma viride* (11.0%) and *Trichoderma viride* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (10.67 %). All bio-fungicide treatments resulted in significantly lower disease incidence or on par to the un-infected seed (control)(Table 4).In contrast to disease incidence, the disease control was significantly higher in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (81.61 %) as compared to carbendazim (73.03 %) and *Trichoderma viride* (74.71 %) in blotter technique (Table 4). In pot culture, disease control was significantly superior in carbendazim (70.65 %) treatment compared to all bio-fungicides except *Trichoderma viride* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (64.44 %).

209 **Table 4. Effect of bio-fungicides on disease incidence and disease control in chilli**
210 **seedsinfected with *Colletotrichum capsici***

Treatments	Disease incidence (%)		Disease control (%)	
	Blotter	Pot	Blotter	Pot
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	7.33 (15.70) ^b	11.00 (19.36) ^b	74.71 (59.80) ^f	63.33 (52.71) ^c
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	13.33 (21.41) ^e	15.67 (23.31) ^e	54.02 (47.29) ^c	47.78 (43.71) ^b
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	5.33 (13.34) ^a	16.67 (24.08) ^e	81.61 (64.60) ^g	44.44 (41.79) ^b
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	12.00 (20.26) ^d	14.67 (22.47) ^e	58.62 (49.94) ^d	51.11 (45.63) ^b
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	10.67 (19.05) ^c	10.67 (18.98) ^{ab}	63.22 (52.65) ^e	64.44 (53.46) ^{cd}
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	10.67 (19.05) ^c	15.00 (22.77) ^e	63.22 (52.65) ^e	50.00 (44.98) ^b
Infected seed	29.67 (32.99) ^g	30.67 (33.61) ^d	0.00 (0.00) ^a	0.00 (0.00) ^a
Un-infected seed	14.67 (22.51) ^f	16.33 (23.83) ^e	50.57 (45.31) ^b	46.74 (43.11) ^b
Carbendazim treated seed	8.00 (16.42) ^b	9.00 (17.45) ^a	73.03 (58.69) ^f	70.65 (57.17) ^d
Mean	12.41 (15.52)	20.08 (22.87)	57.67 (47.88)	48.72 (42.51)
C.D (P< 0.05)	0.83	1.82	1.67	4.03
SEm±	0.28	0.61	0.56	1.34
C.V. (%)	2.40	4.59	2.02	5.48

211 Note: Values in parenthesis are arc sign transformed values for statistical analyses

212

213 Many reports have shown that the bio-fungicides like *Trichoderma viride*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*
214 and their combinations inhibited the mycelia growth of pathogen and hence disease control caused by
215 *C. capsici*[8, 11, 23, 24, 25, 26]. These studies have not compared the effectiveness of bio-fungicide
216 against the carbendazim which is a popular systemic fungicide. However, a few studies show that
217 chemical fungicides like copper oxychloride is more effective than *Trichoderma viride* in controlling the
218 disease caused by *C. capsici*[16]. The bio-fungicide, *Trichoderma viride* produce antibiotic
219 (trichodermin) and extracellular enzymes (chitinase, cellulose) those inhibit the plant pathogen

220 [19].Further, it was effective with combined use of bio-fungicide and carbendazim in reducing the
221 disease incidence, thus higher yield and quality of chilli was achieved[6, 13]. Further, both seed
222 treatment and soil treatment are suggested for effective control of *C. capsici*[4].
223

224 Therefore, the use of *Trichoderma viride* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* or their combinations are
225 suggested in place of carbendazim against *Colletotrichum capsici* and for better seed quality
226 parameters in chilli.
227

228

229

4. CONCLUSION

230 Seed treatment with *Trichoderma viride*(10g kg⁻¹ seed) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*(10g kg⁻¹ seed)
231 individually or combination (*Trichoderma viride*, 5g kg⁻¹ seed + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, 5g kg⁻¹
232 seed) can be effectively used in place of carbendazim (0.2 %) treatment for effective control of
233 *Colletotrichum capsici* to achieve higher seedling vigour.
234

235

COMPETING INTERESTS

236

237 Authors declare no competing interest exists.
238

239

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

240

241 I am thankful to Dr. R.C. Punia, Dr. S.S. Verma, Dr. V.S. Mor, Dr.AxayBhuker and Dr. V.P.S.
242 Sangwan, Department of Seed Science & Technology, CCSHAU, Hissar for having rendered impetus
243 learning in this area of research on *Colletotrichum capsici* and to Dr. M.K. Prasanna Kumar and Dr.
244 Y.A. Nanja Reddy, UAS, Bangalore for their suggestions in preparation of this research article.
245

246

REFERENCES

- 247 1. Anderson JD, Baki AA. Vigour determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. Crop
248 Science. 1973; 13(6):630-633.
- 249 2. Asaduzzaman M, Alam MJ, Islam MM. Effect of Trichoderma on seed germination and
250 seedling parameters of chilli. Journal of Science Foundation. 2011; 8(1&2):141-150.
- 251 3. Avinash VS, Hosmani SP. Effect of carbendazim on morphological and biochemical
252 parameters of *Sorghum bicolor*. Periplax. Indian Journal of Research.2012; 1(10): 12-14.
- 253 4. Chang C, Yang M, Wen H, Chern J. Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by two
254 complementary colorimetric methods. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis.2002; 10: 178-182.
- 255 5. Choudhary CS, Jain SC, Kumar R, Choudhary JS. Efficacy of different fungicides, biocides
256 and botanical extract seed treatment for controlling seed borne *Colletotrichum* sp. in chilli
257 (*Capsicum annuum* L.). The Bioscan.2013; 8(1): 123-126.
- 258 6. Ekbote S. Effect of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on anthracnose of chilli caused by
259 *Colletotrichum capsici*. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2005; 18(1): 162-165.
- 260 7. Ekefan EJ, Jama A, Gowen SR. Potential of *Trichoderma harzianum* isolates in for
261 prevalence of major diseases. Vegetable science. 2009; 30(2): 128-134.
- 262 8. Intana W, Suwanno T, Chamswang C, Chantrapromma K, Ngamriabsakul C. Increased
263 efficacy for controlling anthracnose of chili using antifungal metabolites from mutant strains of
264 *Trichoderma harzianum*. Thai Journal of Agricultural Science. 2007; 40(1-2): 65-72.
- 265 9. Islam MS, Rahman MA, Bulbul SH, Alam MF. Effect of *Trichoderma* on seed germination and
266 seedling parameters in chilli. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2011; 2(1): 21-
267 26.
- 268 10. Jayaraj J, Radhakrishnan NV, Velazhahan R. Development of formulations of *Trichoderma*
269 *harzianum* strain M1 for control of damping-off of tomato caused by *Pythium aphanidermatum*.
270 Achieves of Phytopathology and Plant Protection.2006; 39(1): 1-8.
- 271 11. Jeyalakshmi C, Durairaj P, Seetharaman K, Sivaprakasam K. Bio-control of fruit rot and die-
272 back of chilli using antagonistic microorganisms. Indian Phytopathology. 1998; 51(2): 180-
273 183.
- 274 12. Manoranjitham SK, Prakasan V, Rajappan K, Amutha G. Effect of two antagonists on
275 damping off disease of tomato. Indian Phytopathology.2000; 53 (4): 441-443.
- 276 13. Mesta RK, Mohankumar SA, Aspapupre M, ShivaprasadM, Tatagar MH. Management of fruit
277 rot and powdery mildew of chilli through *Pseudomonas fluorescence* along with fungicides.

- 278 National workshop on current trends and future prospects in production and export of spice
279 crops with special to chilli. 2009; 123.
- 280 14. Pakdeevaporn P, Wasee S, Taylor PWJ, Mongkolporn O. Inheritance of resistance to
281 anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum capsici* in *Capsicum*. Plant Breeding. 2005; 124(2):
282 206-208.
- 283 15. PandeyKK, Pandey PK. Survey and surveillance of vegetable growing areas for prevalence of
284 major diseases. Vegetable Science. 2003; 30(2):128-134.
- 285 16. Patel MD, Lal AA, Singh PP. Efficacy of certain bio agents and fungicides against root rot of
286 chilli (*Capsicum annum*L.). The Bioscan. 2014; 9(3):1273-1277.
- 287 17. Phansawan B, Prapamontol T, Thavornyutikarn P, Chantara S, Mangklabruks A, SantasupC.
288 A sensitive method for determination of carbendazim residue in vegetable samples using
289 HPLC-UV and its application in health risk assessment. Chiang Mai Journal of Science. 2015;
290 42(3): 681-690.
- 291 18. Raj ST, Christopher DJ, RajakumarRS, Usharani S. Effect of organic amendments and
292 *Trichoderma viride* on growth and root rot incidence of sunflower. Annals of Plant Protection
293 Sciences. 2008; 16: 242-244.
- 294 19. Rehman SU, Lawrence R, Kumar EJ, Badri ZA. Comparative efficacy of *Trichoderma viride*,
295 *T. harzianum* and carbendazim against damping-off disease of cauliflower caused by
296 *Rhizoctoniasolani*. Kuehn Journal of Biopesticides. 2012; 5(1): 23-27.
- 297 20. Rohini HGG, Hariprasad P, Singh SB, Niranjana SR. Biological control of Phomopsis leaf
298 blight of brinjal (*Solanum melongena*L.) with combining phylloplane and rhizosphere
299 colonizing beneficial bacteria. Biological Control. 2016; 101: 123-129
- 300 21. Saxena A, Raghuvanshi R, Gupta VK, Singh HB. Chilli anthracnose: The epidemiology and
301 management, Frontiers in Microbiology. 2016; 7: 1527.
- 302 22. Sheoran HS, Duhan BS, Grewal KS, Sheoran S. Nitrogen transformation as affected by
303 application of nitrogen, vermicompost and herbicide (ClodinafopPropargyl) in sandy soil. The
304 Bioscan. 2016; 11(1): 485-490.
- 305 23. Sharma PN, Kaur M, Sharma OP, Sharma P, Pathania A. Morphological, pathological and
306 molecular variability in *Colletotrichum capsici*, the cause of fruit rot of chillies in the subtropical
307 region of north-western India. Journal of Phytopathology. 2005; 153(4):232-237.
- 308 24. Srinivas C, Niranjana SR, Kumar P, Chandra L, Nayaka S, Shetty MS. Effect of chemicals
309 and biological agents on seed quality of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). Indian Phytopathology.
310 2005; 59: 62-67.
- 311 25. TheerthagiriA, Ramanujam B. Exploitation of plant products and bio-agents for eco-friendly
312 management of chilli fruit rot disease. Journal of Plant Protection Science. 2009; 49 (2): 196-
313 197.
- 314 26. Tiwari PK, Kasyap A, Awadhiya GK, Thrimurthy VS. Efficacy of bio-agents, neem based plant
315 products and plant extracts Against *Colletotrichum capsici*. Indian Journal of Plant
316 Protection. 2008; 36(1): 97-97.
- 317 27. Yadav VK. Organic package of practices for chilli from Uttaranchal. Organic Farming
318 Newsletter. 2008; 4(4): 3-8.

319

320

321