ANALYSIS OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND HYDRUALIC CHARACTERISTICS OF SOIL IN FOREST AREA OF SOUTHWESTERN, NIGERIA

Analysis of Physico-Chemical and Hydraulic Characteristics of Soil in Forest Area of Southwestern, Nigeria

7 ABSTRACT

1 2

3 4

5

6

8

26

28

9 In this area of agricultural transformation by the government of Nigeria, every opportunity in order to 10 achieve food security should not be ignored. Most studies on soil characteristics had centered on the suitability of the soil for agricultural production and it is observed that any soil that does not support 11 crop production refers to as poor and unproductive. Achieving efficient production and high yield 12 requires adequate knowledge of the soil and climatic conditions that are favorable to the crops. The 13 study was conducted to investigates physico-chemical and hydraulic properties of forest soils in 14 southwestern, Nigeria in latitude 7° 5' 3"N and 7° 21' 57.6'N and longitude 5° 10' 31"E and 5° 56' 6.3"E. 15 16 The soil samples were randomly taken from four (4) forest locations in Ondo State, Nigeria. The soils 17 were taken at different depths (0-15 cm, 15-30 cm, and 30-45 cm) and the samples were taken to the 18 laboratory in order to determine their pH, electrical conductivity, exchangeable cations, and texture. The results of the soil test were then subjected to appropriate statistical analyses. Results show that 19 sandy soil had the highest percentage in the forest at kajola oju-irin (70%). The bulk density is high in 20 Ijare with 1.6g/cm³ which could allow easy penetration of water and nutrient. Also, the soil pH, 21 electrical conductivity and exchangeable cations range from 4.65 to 6.99, 78.28 to 89.20 mS/cm and 22 0.40 cmol/kg to 2.60 cmol/kg respectively. The soils are described as light and falls under sandy loam 23 soil. This study provides information on the suitability of the soils in the forest areas in south west 24 25 Nigeria.

27 <u>1.0</u> <u>1</u>. INTRODUCTION

Soil is a critically important resource, the efficient management of which is vital for economic 29 growth and development for the production of food, fiber and other necessities. Soils are made up 30 of four basic components: minerals, air, water, and organic matter. In most soils, minerals represent 31 around 45% of the total volume, water and air about 25% each, and from 2% to 5% organic matter 32 (Rezaei, et al., 2008Soil nevertheless is fundamental to ecosystem and agricultural sustainability and 33 production because it supplies many of the essential requirements for plant growth like water, 34 nutrients, anchorage, oxygen for roots, and moderate temperature and it also serves a vital function 35 in nature, providing nutrients for plant to grow as well as habitat for millions of micro- and macro-36 organisms (Brant, et. al., 2006).[1]. The ability of a soil to support plant growth depends on its 37 38 physical and biological properties which have been found to play significant roles in crop production and also the physical condition of soil affects the ability of plants roots to acquire nutrients from the 39 40 soil. Healthy soil enables vegetation to flourish, releases oxygen, holds water and diminishes 41 destructive storm runoff, breaks down waste materials, binds and breaks down pollutants, and serves as the first course in the larger food chain (Eni et al., 2011)[2]. According to Warncke (2007)-[3], a 42 fertile soil is more than just having adequate levels of the essential nutrients, for plants to take up 43 adequate amounts of nutrients the soil must have good tilth or structure. The structure of the soil is 44 developed through the action of soil microorganism especially fauna creates openings for water and 45 46 air penetration and secretes glues and sugars which bind silt and clay particles together to form aggregates (Micheal, 2009). [4]. Microorganisms help open up compacted soils so roots can more 47 48 easily penetrate the soil. Soil structure affects aeration, water movement, conduction of heat, resistance to erosion and plant root growth. Water has the most substantial effect on soil structure 49 50 due to its solution and precipitation of minerals and its effect on plant growth (Unanaonwi et al., 2013). [5]. Forest soils are generally subjected to fewer disturbances than agricultural soils, 51

Comment [r1]: Not cited in the list of references

Comment [r2]: Unanaonwi, 2009

52 particularly those that are tilled, so forests soil tends to have better preserved A-horizons than 53 agricultural soils. Disturbance to forest soils tend to be related to fine and timber harvesting. Land 54 use change, wildfire, drainage, timber harvest, nitrogen deposition, and site preparation can greatly affect soil characteristics, which in turn will affect forest productivity and health, including quality 55 and quantity of water. The longer cultivation period and shortened fallow duration under ever-56 increasing demographic pressure have been threatening the productivity and sustainability of the 57 forest area in Africa (Watanabe et. al., 2015). The need for maximizing the use of available land 58 59 resources with high yield tree species had become desirable and achieving this goal greatly depends on climate and soil conditions (Ravindranath et al., 2006) [6]. 60

61 Inherent soil productivity of the farmland is one of the significant farm variables and is directly 62 related to soil properties. Understanding the soil of the farm, therefore a very crucial aspect of any 63 crop production prospect and lumbering planning. Soil characteristics are made up of two properties 64 namely physical and chemical, and soil will usually behave according to the proportion and 65 organization of these properties. 66

67 1.1 Physico-chemical properties of soil Properties of Soil

Physical properties of forest soils develop under natural conditions by the influence of permanent 69 vegetation over a long period. The physical properties of soils affect every aspect of soil fertility and 70 productivity. The physical properties of soils, in order of decreasing importance, are texture, structure, 71 density, porosity, consistency, temperature, color and resistivity. These determine the ease of root 72 penetration, the availability of water and ease of water absorption by plants (Chinevu et al., 2013)[7]. 73 74 Clays are quite different from sand or silt, and most types of clay contain appreciable amounts of 75 plant nutrients. Sandy soils are less productive than silts, while soils containing clay are the most productive and use fertilizers most effectively (Milford, 2001). [8]. Chemical properties of soils are 76 important and it helps in determines the availability of nutrients and regulates the supplies of nutrients 77 78 to the plant, the health of microbial populations and its physical properties. It also determines its corrosivity, stability and ability to absorb pollutants and to filter water. The chemical properties of soil 79 include soil pH, electrical conductivity and exchangeable cations. Soils are made up of some elements 80 which are the nutrient for plant growth and these elements are divided into macro and micro nutrients. 81 The macro elements are required in large amounts by plants for optimum growth and micro nutrients 82 83 are required in small quantity. In the reaction to the growth and yield of the plant, soil pH is a power house for the plant nutrients (Unanaonw, 2011)[9]. The ability for the crops to utilize water effectively 84 and take sufficient quantities of nutrients is depending on the level of acidity and alkality of the soil. 85 Cation-exchange capacity (CEC) is the amount of exchangeable cations per unit weight of dry soil and 86 is expressed in terms of milliequivalents of hydrogen ion per 100 grams of soil (NSW-HSCE, 87 88 2009).[10] A good knowledge of the variations of soil physical - chemical properties as it relates to micronutrient status is essential for good land evaluation which is a pre-requisite for sound land use 89 planning (Watanabe et. al., 2015) [11]. Moreover, information on the profile distribution of these 90 91 elements in arable crop growing soils will provide the basis for making an informed decision with 92 respect to fertilization and other soil management practices. The cultivation of crops in forest area could still be considered very low in southwestern Nigeria due to the fact many farmers do not have 93 access to cultivable soils with required physico-chemical and hydraulic properties. This study aims at 94 determining the physico-chemical and hydraulic properties of soil in the forest area of southwestern 95 Nigeria so that it provide information on the suitability of the soils for cultivation or required 96 97 amendments in order to ensure optimum crop yield and better return on the farmers' investment.

98

100

68

99 <u>2. MATERIALS AND METHODS</u>

101 2.1 Study Area

102 The forest area used for study lies between latitude 7° 5' 3"N and 7° 21' 57.6 N and longitude 5° 10' 31"E and 5° 56' 6.3"N. The forests are located at the north, north-west and southern part of Akure which is the capital city of Ondo State. The cities where the forests are located are Ijare (Ifedore LG), Iwaro-

Oka (Akoko South LG), Ala (Akure South LG) and Kajola Oju-Irin (Odigbo LG). The cities have
evenly distributed rainfall and moderate temperature. They are thick forest, hilly and rocky area. The
soils in the area are mainly Alfisols (*Haplustalf*) derived from the pre-Cambrian basement complex rocks
of the Savannah region of Nigeria (Ali et al., 2018)

109 2.2 Soil Sampling and identification Identification 110

Soil samples were collected at four different locations in Ondo State, Southwestern Nigeria. The 111 locations are: Ijare (Ifedore LG), Iwaro-Oka (Akoko South LG), Ala (Akure South LG) and Kajola 112 Oju-Irin (Odigbo LG) of Ondo State respectively. The soil samples were collected at the 5cm radius to 113 a soil profile (depth) of 0-15, 15-30, 30-45 cm respectively in each location with the aid of soil core. 114 Samples (1kg each) were taken in plastics bags to the laboratory and air dried for studies. The samples 115 116 collected were taken to the laboratory at the soil and land resources department of Obafemi Awolowo 117 University to determine their moisture content, pH, electrical conductivity, exchangeable cations and 118 texture. The results of the soil test were then subjected to appropriate statistical analyses. The soil 119 sample were identify with location, Local Government Area (L.G.A) and notation as shown in Table 1

120

121 Table 1: Soil Samples Hidentification and Hocal G government A areas

Sample	Location	L.G.A	Notation	
1	Ijare	Ifedore	IJ	
2	Iwaro-Oka	Akoko-South	IW	
3	Ala	Akure-South	AL	
4	Kajola Oju-Irin	Odigbo	KA	

122 123 124

126

123 **3.0 3. RESULT <u>RESULTS</u> AND DISCUSSION**

125 3.1 Soil Particle size <u>Size</u> and Water Retention Analysis

127 According to Chinevu et al. (2013) [7], it has been proved that the physical properties of soil play an essential role in the fertility of the soil because the amount and sizes of soil particles determine the 128 porosity and bulk density which account for nutrients retention or leaching of nutrients. The results as 129 130 shown in Table 1, indicate the particle size, bulk density, and water retention properties at different 131 depth of the soil. The soil at Kajola oju-irin has highest percentage composition (70%) for sandy and soil in the forest area of Ijare has lowest percentage composition (10%) for clay particles. This size 132 distribution influences the water retention properties of the soil. The porosity of the sandy soil is 133 expected to be highest because sand is the most porous of the soil particles that is the sand cannot 134 135 retain water. Clay expected to have the lowest percentage composition of porosity that is it has the highest water retain capacity. As shown in the result, the soil in forest area of Ijare will hold more 136 nutrient cations for plant uptake than any other soil in another forest area. This implies the more the 137 138 clay content of a soil, the higher the cation exchange capacity and the higher the fertility of the soil. The 139 high bulk density is an indicator of low porosity and soil compaction. High bulk density could be as a 140 result of compaction caused by a tractor passes because the farms with high densities were those 141 pulverized by tractor. This conforms to the findings of Abu-Hamdeh (2003)[12] who reported that soil bulk density increased significantly with an increase in compaction depending on the number of passes 142 143 of tractor wheel.

144 Table 2: Laboratory Aanalysis of Pphysical properties of soil samples at different locations

145

Comment [r3]: Not cited in the list of references

Location	Soil depth (cm)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Bulk density (g/cm ³)	Porosity (%)	Soil Type
AL	0-15	67	21	12	1.70	34.8	
	15-30	68	21	14	1.68	35.0	Sandy loam
	30-45	65	20	12	1.69	34.4	
IW	0-15	61	16	23	1.74	35.7	
	15-30	62	14	22	1.67	35.9	Sandy clay loam
	30-45	60	12	22	1.72	35.0	
KA	0-15	70	08	22	1.66	37.7	
	15-30	69	10	20	1.64	36.7	Sandy clay loam
	30-45	68	11	21	1.63	36.2	
IJ	0-15	69	20	11	1.73	33.3	
	15-30	69	19	11	1.76	34.3	Sandy loam
	30-45	68	21	10	1.74	35.1	

146

164

147 3.2 Soil pH and Electrical Conductivity

148 The pH of the soil samples collected at the different forest locations ranged from 4.65 to 6.99 as 149 shown in Table 3. At each forest locations, the soil samples were taken from a different depth. Table 3 shows that the pH value for the four (4) locations at the forest ranged between 4.65 to 6.99. A soil pH 150 151 of 6.0 to 7.0 is ideal for good plant growth (Haby, 2014) [13]. EHS (2014)[14] stated that the preferred 152 pH for almost all vegetables is between 5.5 and 6.5. Therefore, the soil samples are suitable for crop growths and also can support vegetable production. This implies that the soil in the forest locations will 153 be good for vegetable crop productions. The statistical analysis indicated that the soil pH at different 154 locations varies significantly (P<0.05). The results of the electrical conductivity of soils in the forest 155 156 locations ranged from 78.28 to 89.20 mS/cm (Table 3). The lowest electrical conductivity is 78.28mS/cm at forest location in Ijare while the highest electrical conductivity is 89.20mS/cm at 15-30 157 cm at forest location in Kaiola Oiu-Irin. The electrical conductivity of the soils in all forest locations 158 was not significantly different (P>0.05). The results of the analysis indicated that the soils had very high 159 160 salinity value. According to FAO standard on salinity tolerance, any value higher than 16 mS/cm is considered high salinity. Based on this threshold value, the high salinity is not appropriate for crop 161 growth in all forest location where the soil sample is been taken. In other to get maximum yield in this 162 163 forest locations, there is the need to reduce the soil's salinity.

165 <u>3.2-3.3</u> Exchangeable cations <u>Cations</u>

166 The plant requires several essential nutrients elements for growth and development. The amounts of 167 some of these elements are shown in Table 3. The exchangeable Na⁺ content in the soil samples at forest locations ranged from 0.05 to 0.16cmol/kg as shown in Table 3. Sodium is a micronutrient that 168 169 aids in metabolism, specifically in the regeneration of phosphoenolpyruvate and synthesis of chlorophyll and excess sodium in the soil limits the uptake of water due to decreased water potential, 170 which may result in wilting (Zhu, 2001). [15]. Magnesium acts as a phosphorus carrier in plants and it 171 is necessary for cell division and protein formation. The exchangeable Mg2+in, the soil samples from 172 the forest locations range from 0.40 to 1.10 cmol/kg. The exchangeable Ca^{2+} content in the soil 173 samples ranged from 0.29 to 2.60cmol/kg. Calcium is essential in plant growth because the soil colloid 174 has an excellent saturation of calcium for plant uptake. Calcium accounted for about 2% of plant 175 tissue. The exchangeable K⁺ of the soil samples range from 0.09 to 0.26cmol/kg. Potassium is essential 176 177 in the translocation of vital sugar in plants structure and strengthening plant stalks. The availability of potassium depends upon its position within the soil and relationship to clay, humus and soil water. Soil 178 179 nitrogen in the study forest location range from 0.05 to 016cmol/kg. The present nitrogen 180 recommendations in most growing situations are based upon experience and are usually more than specific plant requirements. The result on Table 3 shows that the phosphorus in the soil samples at 181

4

Comment [r4]: Haby et al. not Haby

182 forest locations range from 6.10 to 14.75cmol/kg. Lack of phosphorus in the soil will make the plant 183 to produce red and purple leaf colors and exhibit stunted root and top growth. The desirable concentrations of the main exchangeable cations influencing plant growth according to Hollaway, 184 (2007) [16] are Calcium (>1.5), Magnesium (>0.4), Potassium (>0.25) and Sodium (as close as possible to 0.0). From the results of the analysis, it shows that Na^+ , Mg^{2+} and K^+ at the depths are considerably 185 186 187 tolerable expect Ca²⁺ in a forest area in Ala, Iwara-oka and Ijare with value less than the critical value.

188 18

189	Table 3:	Variation	of chemical	properties	at F forest locations
-----	----------	-----------	-------------	------------	------------------------------

190

Location	pН	EC	Na ⁺	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	<i>K</i> ⁺	Mg^{2+}	Ν	Р
	-	(mS/cm)	(cmol/kg)	(cmol/kg)	(cmol/kg)	(cmol/kg)	(%)	(mg/kg)
AL	6.14ª	86.38ª	0.08 ^b	1.20ª	0.11ª	0.80ª	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 8^{a} \end{array}$	6.10 ^a
IW	6.25 ^{ba}	80.85ª	0.08ª	1.10 ^a	0.14ª	0.90ª	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 8^{a} \end{array}$	4.95 ^{bs}
KA	6.99 ^b	89.20 ^a	0.16 ^a	2.60 ^a	0.26ª	1.10 ^a	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 6^{a} \end{array}$	14.75 ^a
IJ	4.65 ^a	78.28 ^a	0.05 ^a	0.90 ^a	0.09 ^a	0.40ª	$0.0 \\ 5^{a}$	10.86 ^a

191

193

195

192 *Means with the same letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$)
--

194 **Conclusion** 4. CONCLUSION

The assessment of physico-chemical and hydraulic properties of the soil in the forest location where 196 197 the soil samples are taken at this moment provide information on the suitability of the soils in the 198 locations as well as other similar soils in Nigeria for the crops cultivation as well as potential 199 amendments. The investigation has shown that the essential nutrients element that needed by the plants are present in the soil samples from the forest locations. The investigation also review that the 200 201 percentage of sand is high in most of the soil sample in the forest location and the bulk density is high 202 which will make water to easily penetrate the soil. Soils in the forest location are good for the 203 cultivation of crops because properties of the soils meet the requirement of crops growth. Amendment 204 options should also be researched into in order to allow better production in areas that are less suitable 205 for the cultivation of the crops.

206 207

REFERENCE REFERENCES 208

209

- Abu-Hamdeh, N. H. (2003). Effect of compaction and deep tillage on soil hydraulic and aeration <u>12.</u> 210 211 properties and wheat yield. Comm. Soil Sci P1. Analysis, 34: 2277-90
- 212 1. Brant, V., J.Pivec, J., Venclov, V., Soukup, A. J. and Holec, J. (2006): The influence of di erent 213 soil vegetation covers onto the volumetric water content in upper soil layers. Plant, Soil and Environment,
- 214 vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 275-281
- 215
- Chinevu, C. N., Unanaonwi, O. E.and Amonum, J. I.(2013) : Physical and Chemical Characteristics 216 <u>7.</u> 217 of Forest Soil inSouthern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Vol. 2, No. 218 6, pp. 229-234.
- 219

220	<u>14.</u>	EHS. (2014).	Ed Hume	Seeds	Handbook.	http://	<u>www.humes</u>	<u>eeds.com/</u>	<u>'soilph.htm.</u>	Copyright
221		<u>1995</u>								

- 22 <u>2.</u> Eni, D.D., Iwara, A. I. and Offiong, R. A. (2012): Analysis of Soil-Vegetation Interrelationships in
 a South-Southern Secondary Forest of Nigeria. *International Journal of Forestry Research, 20(12): 1-8.*
- Haby, V. A., Marvin, L. B. and Sam, F (2011): Soils and Fertilizers, Texas Vegetable Growers' Handbook, chapter 111.
- Hollaway, B. A. Ltd. (2007): Healthy Soils for Sustainable Vegetation Farms, Ute Guide. (Eds)
 Ailson, A.; *Jim, k. and David,M. Graphic design Image Prints: ISBN 0 9750134 4 0*
- 4. Micheal. R., (2009): Factors Affecting Soil Develo- pment, Soil Systems, The Physical
 Environment: an Introduction to Physical Geogra-phy, University of Wisconsin, Stevens
 Point, October 1, 2009, retrieved January 3, 2012.
- Milford, H.B., McGaw, E.J.A. and Nixon, K.J.(2001) : Soil Data EntryHandbook for the NSW.
 Soil and Land Information System (SALIS), 3rd ed., New South Wales Department of Land and
 Water Conservation Resource Information Systems Group, Parramatta, pdf pp. 30–32.
- 10. NSW-HSCE. (2009): The physical and chemical characteristics of soil. Extraction from stage 6
 Agriculture Syllabus NSW HSC Board of Studies
- 240 <u>6</u>. Ravindranath, N. H., Joshi, N. V., Sukumar. R. and Saxena, A. (2006): Impact of climate change
 241 on forests in India. *Curr. Sci.*, *90: 354-361*
- Retallack, G.J. (2008).: Soils of the Past: An Introduction to Paleopedology (2 ed.). John Wiley and
 Sons. pp. 207. UK.

246 <u>5</u>. Unanaonwi, O. E. (2009): Relationship between gum yield and soil chemical properties under natural stands of *Acacia senegal* L. *Journal of Research in Agriculture. 2009b, Vol.6 (4): 79-83.*

- 248 2. Unanaonwi, O. E.(2011): Effects of nitrogen, calcium and cation exchange capacity on gum yield in *Acacia senegal* under plantation and savanna woodland conditions in northern Guinea savanna, Nigeria. *iForest 2011, 4:190-194 {online 2011-08* 251 11}URL:*bttp://mmw.sisef.it/iforest/show.php?id=587*
- Watanabe, Y., Kikuno, H., Asiedu, R., Masunaga, T. and Wakatsuki, T. (2015): Comparison of Physicochemical Properties of Soils under Contrasting Land Use Systems in Southwestern Nigeria. *JARQ 49 (4), 319 331*.
- Warncke, D.D. (2007). Nutrient Management for Cucurbits: Melons, Pumpkin, Cucumber, and Squash. In: Proceedings of CCA Conference, Indiana, USA. <u>http://ucanr.org/sites/nm/files/76628.pdf</u>.
 Accessed on October 22, 2014.
- 258 15. Zhu, J. K. (2001). Plant salt tolerance. Trends in Plant Science 6 (2): 66–71
- 259

229

233

239

242

245

260	Please	rearrange	the	above	list	of	references	according	to	numbers
261		. 0			11		text as show	n in number	betwo	een square
262	b	orackets [] a	ccordi	ng to Jou	rnal tei	mplat	e			
263										

Comment [r5]: Not cited in text