ABSTRACT

# A Comparative study of Time series, Empirical Orthogonal Transformation and Descriptive Statistical Analysis on Meteorological Parameters over Ogoja and Maiduguri

In this paper, time series statistical analysis was carried out on the monthly average daily meteorological parameters of global solar radiation, sunshine hours, wind speed, mean temperature, rainfall, cloud cover and relative humidity during the period of thirty one years (1980 - 2010) using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 with expert modeler to determine the level, trend and seasonal variations for Ogoja and Maiduguri. Seasonal Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) models were determined for the two locations along with their respective statistical indicators of coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) and Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and are found suitable for one step ahead forecast for the studied locations. The factor analysis (empirical orthogonal transformation) and descriptive statistical analysis was also carried out for the study areas under investigation. The results indicated that the model type for all the meteorological parameters for Ogoja is simple seasonal while that for Maiduguri is simple seasonal except for rainfall and cloud cover with winter's additive and ARIMA models respectively. The correlation matrix obtained from the factor analysis for the locations indicated that the global solar radiation and wind speed are more correlated to the mean temperature. The sunshine hours and mean temperature are more correlated to the global solar radiation. The rainfall is more correlated to the relative humidity and the relative humidity is more correlated to the rainfall. However, the cloud cover is more correlated to the rainfall for Ogoja while for Maiduguri the cloud cover is more correlated to the relative humidity. The component matrix analysis revealed two seasons namely, the rainy and dry seasons in Ogoja; while for Maiduguri three seasons are identified; the rainy, cool dry (harmattan) and hot-dry seasons. The skewness and kurtosis test for Ogoja indicated that the global solar radiation, sunshine hours, cloud cover and relative humidity are negatively skewed and the wind speed, mean temperature and rainfall are positively skewed while the global solar radiation, sunshine hours, wind speed, cloud cover and relative humidity indicates possibility of a leptokurtic distribution and the mean temperature and rainfall indicates possibility of a platykurtic distribution. The skewness and kurtosis for Maiduguri indicated that the solar radiation, rainfall and relative humidity are positively skewed and the sunshine hours, wind speed, mean temperature and cloud cover are negatively skewed while the global solar radiation, rainfall and cloud cover indicates possibility of a leptokurtic distribution and the sunshine hours, wind speed, mean temperature and relative humidity

12 13

Keywords: Time series, factor analysis, descriptive statistics, meteorological parameters, Ogoja, Maiduguri.

indicates possibility of a platykurtic distribution.

- 14 15
- 16

- Comment [WU1]: area
  Comment [WU2]: Correlate with
  Comment [WU3]: with
- Comment [WU4]:
- **Comment [WU5]:** Similarly, the rainfall correlated well with relative humidity.

### 17 1. INTRODUCTION

18

19 Solar radiation research is a field of rising interest due to its many applications, such as the study of evapotranspiration [1], optimization of water demand in irrigation, crop forecasting 20 [2] and the adjustment of energy policies to promote solar energies, and research on climate 21 22 change [3]. The process of converting sunlight to electricity without combustion allows 23 creating power without direct pollution. In view of this, it is therefore necessary to propose 24 some prediction models [4] to use ideally this technology and in order to integrate solar 25 energy PV production systems in the energetic mix [5]. Thus, solar energy forecasting is important in used to predicting the amount of solar energy available in near terms [6]. 26 27 Several methods have been developed by different researchers around the globe and the 28 mathematical formalism of times series has often been used [7]. Time series has been 29 defined as a set of numbers that measures the status of some activity over time. It generally dependsrelaysreliarreliesble on is the historical record of withsome activity, with 30 measurements taken at equally spaced intervals with a consistency in the activity and the 31 32 method of measurement [8]. Some of the best predictors found in literature are 33 Autoregressive and moving average (ARMA) [7, 10]. Autoregressive Integrated Moving 34 Average (ARIMA) model has been studied extensively to time series analysis and forecasting. They were widespread by George E. P. Box and Gwilym M. Jenkins in the 35 36 1976s [9] making their names frequently used synonymously with general ARIMA models. 37 Some of the best predictors found in literature are Autorogressive and moving average (ARMA) [7, 10]. The forecasting of the solar irradiance is currently done by statistical 38 39 methods, among which the ARIMA model [11] is the most popular. In the research community, Autoregressive Moving Average (ARMA) methods are widely used and popular 40 41 time series models compared withto other models [12]. The ARMA model is able to extract 42 many regions, useful statistical properties and can easily take on the familiar box-Jenkins 43 method [13]. Also, these models are very flexible; thus, can be used in various types of time series with different orders. Lastly, it offers regular pervasive in individual phases 44 45 (identification, estimation and diagnostic check) for a suitable model [14]. 46

47 Similarly, "the Empirical orthogonal transformation (EOT) has become <u>a</u> standard statistical 48 techniques in particularly in the field of climate or meteorological research and in other filed 49 <u>such as in the geophysical sciences, of meteorology</u> and oceanography, <u>particularly in the</u> 50 field of climate research [15]. This method of <u>statistical analysis</u> is used to condense any 51 complicated data set into a finite and small number of new variables. It uses correlation 52 between variable to determine a smaller number of new variables called components that 53 can give vital information about the data [15]. <u>Other statistical tool such as</u> 54

55 +the skewness and kurtosis are the basic components that are investigated in the 56 descriptive statistical analysis. The skewness test  $(\sigma_k)$  measures the asymmetry of the 57 independent meteorological parameters data around their mean value [16]. It tells us about 58 the direction of variation of the dataset. If (i) the data have a Gaussian distribution (normal 59 distribution) (ii) the data are spread out more to the left of the mean value than to its right 60 (negatively skewed) (iii) the data are spread out more to the right than to its left (positively 61 skewed). The Kurtosis test  $(k_{\mu})$  describes the shape of a random variable's probability distribution, that is, it characterizes the relative peakedness or flatness of a distribution 62 63 compared to the normal distribution. It measures the degree of normality of each of the 64 meteorological parameters for the studied location [16].

65

In this present study, time series, Empirical Orthogonal Transformation (EOT) and descriptive statistical analysis on the meteorological parameters of monthly average daily global solar radiation, sunshine hours, wind speed, mean temperature, rainfall, cloud cover and relative humidity during the period of thirty one years (1980 – 2010) were compared for **Comment [WU6]:** Reconstruct this part of the sentence

two locations Ogoja and Maiduguri located in the coastal and sahelian regions <u>respectively</u>
 of Nigeria were studied and compared with one another.

# 73 2. STUDY AREA 74

72

75 Ogoja (Latitude 6.67.03<sup>o</sup>N and Longitude 8.80<sup>o</sup>E) is one of the Local Government Area in Cross River State, Nigeria. The town was one of the provinces during pre-colonial 76 77 independence and is largely an agrarian area which is about 300 km north of Calabar, falls 78 within the Coastal zone. It has many tribal units, including Ishibori (this village has different 79 clans such as Uhmuria, Ikaptang, Ikajor, Ishinyema, Ikariku, Imerakorm) and Igoli as the 80 central town. The key occupation of the people is subsistence agriculture, basically farming 81 of cassava, yams, palm oil, palm wine etc. Ekajuk, is one of the major clan in Ogoja Local 82 government area. Ogoja just like any other Coastal zone experiences two distinct seasons, the rainy and dry seasons. The rainy season lasts for about seven months, April to October 83 while the drv season lasts from November to March. 84 85

86 Maiduguri (Latitude 11.85<sup>0</sup>N and Longitude 13.08<sup>0</sup>E) also known as Yerwa by its locals, is the capital city of Borno State in north-eastern Nigeria. The city sits along the seasonal 87 Ngadda River which disappears into the Firki swamps in the areas around Lake Chad. The 88 highest temperature recorded was 47 °C (117 °F) on 28 May, 1983. While the lowest temperature recorded was 5 °C (41 °F) on 26 December, 1979. Its residents are basically 89 90 91 Muslim including Kanuri, Hausa, Shuwa, Bura, Marghi, and Fulani ethnic groups. Though, a 92 good number of Christians from the Southern states like the liaw, Urhobo, Igbo, and Yoruba 93 are also living in the city. Three seasons have been identified in Maiduguri found in the 94 Sahelian zone: the cool dry (harmattan) season (October-March), hot dry season (April-95 June) and rainy season (July-September) [17]. Temperatures are usually high all the year 96 round, with hot season temperatures under the shade. In the southern part of the state, the 97 weather is relatively mild. In the extreme north, the rainy season lasts for less than eighty 98 days, while in the extreme south; it can be as high as 140days. The mean annual rainfall is over 800 mm on the Biu Plateau but less than 500 mm the extreme north around Lake Chad. 99 100 Changes in rainfall are over 100 %. Generally, the city experiences low relative humidity, which can be as low as 13 % in the driest months of February and March to the highest 101 102 values of 70 to 80 % in the rainy season months of July and August. 103

**Comment [WU7]:** This could be made a section under method.



## 3. METHODOLOGY

#### 108 109 3.2 Statistical Analysis

The measured monthly average daily global solar radiation, sunshine hours, wind speed, 110 maximum and minimum temperature, rainfall, cloud cover and relative humidity data for 111 112 Ogoja, South-South, Nigeria (Latitude 6.67.03°N, Longitude 8.80°E and altitude 117.0 m 113 above sea level) found in the Coastal zone and Maiduguri, North-Eastern, Nigeria (Latitude 11.85°N, Longitude 13.08°E and altitude 353.8 m above sea level) found in the Sahelian 114 zone were obtained from the Nigerian Meteorological Agency (NIMET). The period under 115 116 focus is thirty one years (1980 - 2010). The mean temperature was obtained by taken the 117 average of the maximum and minimum temperature.

119 The time series statistical analysis was carried out on the monthly averaged data using IBM 120 SPSS Statistics version 20 with expert modeler, to determine the level, trend and seasonal 121 variations of the meteorological parameters. The expert modeler determined whether Auto 122 Regression Integration Moving Average (ARIMA) or exponential smoothing is the best 123 based on which model gives the highest coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ).

123

118

125 An ARIMA model expresses the observation  $Z_t$  at the time t as a linear function of previous 126 observations, a current error term and a linear combination of previous error terms. A 127 seasonal ARIMA model is usually denoted ARIMA  $(p, d, q) \times (P, D, Q)_s$  and contains the 128 following terms: (1) AR(p) – the non-seasonal autoregressive term of order p; (2) I(d) – the non-seasonal differencing of order d; (3) MA(q) – the non-seasonal moving average term of 129 order q; (4)  $AR_s(P)$  – the seasonal autoregressive term of order P; (5) I(D) – the seasonal 130 131 differencing of order D; (6)  $MA_s(Q)$  – the seasonal moving average term of order Q. 132 In general the seasonal ARIMA model is expressed as [18]:

(1)

133

134 
$$\phi_p(B)\Phi_P(B^s)\nabla^d\nabla^D_s Z_t = c + \theta_q(B)\Theta_Q(B^s)\varepsilon_t$$

**Comment [WU8]:** Showing the boundaries of the states with be good.

Comment [WU9]: Already in the study area

136 where B is the backshift operator defined by the expression:

138 
$$BZ_t = Z_{t-1}$$

139

135

137

(2)

140 where  $\varepsilon_t$  is the random error at time t usually assumed with normal distribution, zero mean 141 and standard deviation  $\sigma_a$  (white noise). Sometimes an adjustment constant c is included in 142 equation (1). The ARIMA model is developed using coefficients  $\phi$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$  obtained from 143 the analysis.

144

 $\phi_p(B) = 1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2 \dots \dots - \phi_p B^p, \ \theta_q(B) = 1 - \theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2 \dots \dots - \theta_q B^q$   $\nabla^d = (1 - B)^d, \ \nabla^p_s = (1 - B^s)^p$   $\Phi_p(B^s) = 1 - \Phi_1 B^s - \Phi_2 B^{2s} \dots \dots \Phi_p B^{ps}, \ \Theta_Q(B^s) = 1 - \Theta_1 B^s - \Theta_2 B^{2s} \dots \dots \Theta_Q B^{Qs}$ Other formulations using the concept of equation (1) and (2) are 145 146 147 148  $B^{2}Z_{t} = Z_{t-2} , B^{12}Z_{t} = Z_{t-12} , \nabla Z_{t} = Z_{t} - Z_{t-1} = (Z_{t} - BZ_{t}) = (1 - B)Z_{t} , \nabla^{2}Z_{t} = \nabla (Z_{t} - Z_{t-1}) = \nabla Z_{t} - \nabla Z_{t-1} , \nabla_{s}Z_{t} = Z_{t} - Z_{t-s} = (1 - B^{s})Z_{t}$ 149 150 151 ARIMA model ignores the independent variable completely, and uses past and present 152

values of dependent variable to produce accurate short-term forecasting [19]. High values of 153 154 stationary R<sup>2</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> are desirable also the smaller the values of Root Mean Square Error 155 (RMSE), Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), MaxAPE and MaxAE the better is the performance of the model. 156 157

158 The factor analysis using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 was carried out to determine the 159 correlation matrix, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett's test, scree plot and component 160 matrix. The correlation matrix shows how each of the meteorological parameters is correlated to other parameters; the KMO and Bartlett's test measures the sampling 161 adequacy and test of sphericity; the scree plot shows the variation of Eigenvalue with 162 163 component number; the component matrix obtained for this study was to determine the prevalence of rainy/dry season in each component based on rainfall, relative humidity, solar 164 165 radiation and mean temperature. High rainfall and relative humidity and low solar radiation 166 and mean temperature indicates prevalence of rainy season while high solar radiation and 167 mean temperature and low rainfall and relative humidity indicates prevalence of dry season. 168

The descriptive statistical analysis using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 was carried out to 169 determine the skewness, kurtosis and to compare the values of the meteorological 170 parameters for the study areas under investigation. The skewness test ( $\sigma_k$ ) measures the 171 172 asymmetry of the six independent meteorological parameters data around their mean value; 173 it is a measure of symmetry, or more precisely, the lack of symmetry. It tells us about the 174 direction of variation of the dataset. If  $\sigma_k = 0$ , the data have a Gaussian distribution (normal 175 distribution), while  $\sigma_k < 0$  indicates that the data are spread out more to the left of the mean value than to its right (negatively skewed), when  $\sigma_k > 0$  indicates that data are spread out more to the right than to its left (positively skewed) [16]. The Kurtosis test  $(k_u)$  describes the 176 177 178 shape of a random variable's probability distribution, that is it characterizes the relative 179 peakedness or flatness of a distribution compared to the normal distribution. It measures the 180 degree of normality of each of the meteorological parameters under investigation [16]. For 181  $k_u = 0$  the data have normal distribution, for  $k_u > 0$  the data have positive kurtosis which 182 implies peaked distribution, that is, leptokurtic distribution (that is, too tall), when  $k_{\mu} < 0$  the 183 data have negative kurtosis which implies flat distribution, that is, platykurtic distribution (that 184 is, too flat, or even concave if the value is large enough).

### 186 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

187

185

## 188 For Ogoja

189 190

## Table 1: Model Statistics for Ogoja

IVIODEI	iviode	FIT STAT	ISTICS				
	Stat iona ry R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE	MAPE	MAE	MaxAP E	MaxAE
Global solar radiation- Model_1	0.59	0.574	1.623	8.353	1.123	547.401	11.885
Sunshine hour- Model_2	0.69 9	0.579	0.912	14.865	0.663	345.157	4.487
Wind speed- Model_3	0.58 5	0.526	0.774	15.425	0.562	139.642	6.401
T <sub>mean</sub> -Model_4	0.58 5	0.754	0.693	1.876	0.52	9.507	2.538
Rainfall-Model_5	0.71	0.699	81.359	286.649	57.404	46163.6 1	363.879
Cloud cover- Model_6	0.68	0.264	0.222	1.857	0.123	32.971	1.484
Relative humidity- Model_7	0.68 9	0.729	7.22	8.499	4.766	113.422	30.624

**Comment [WU10]:** You should not start this section with data (result) without any introduction. This introduction should tell the reader what the whole work is about, the mean objects and the method employed.

**Comment [WU11]:** The table should be worked on on. The

Formatted Table

191

Table 1 shows the model statistics for Ogoja. It was observed that the rainfall model has the highest value of stationary R<sup>2</sup> with 71.0% and was judged the best while wind speed and mean temperature has the least with 58.5%. Based on the coefficient of determination, R<sup>2</sup>, the mean temperature model has the highest value with 75.4% and was judged the best while the cloud cover model has the least value with 26.4%. The RMSE, MAPE, MAE, MaxAPE and MaxAE are also given in the table. The values vary as each model is independent on others with different range of values.

The seasonal  $ARIMA(1,0,0) \times (0,1,1)_{12}$  model with AR = 0.474 and *seasonal* MA = 0.988developed for Ogoja with the solar radiation as dependent variable is given by the expression

203

### 204 $Z_t = 0.474Z_{t-1} + Z_{t-12} - 0.474Z_{t-13} - 0.988\varepsilon_{t-12} + \varepsilon_t$ (3) 205

206The R², RMSE, MAPE and MAE for Ogoja were found to be 55.3 %, 1.666 MJm²day¹,2078.617 % and 1.153 % respectively and are significant at 95% confidence level with208significant value of 0.

- 209
- 210
- 211 212
- 213

				<u>.</u>	
Nodel			Estimate	Sig.	_
Blobal Iolar	No	Alpha (Level)	0.200	0	
Model_1	Transformation	(Season)	5.53⊑- 05	0.999	
Sunshine nour-	No	(Level) Delta	0.100 2.76E-	0	
/lodel_2	Transformation	(Season)	05	0.999	
Nind	No	Alpha (Level)	0.300	0	
Model_3	Transformation	(Season) Alpha	9.05E- 06	1	_/
T <sub>mean</sub> -	No	(Level) Delta	0.400 1.24E-	0	$\langle \rangle$
Model_4	Transformation	(Season)	05	1	
		Alpha (Level)	0.099	0	•
Rainfall- Model_5	No Transformation	Delta (Season)	1.06E- 05	1	
Cloud		Alpha (Level)	0.068	0	
cover- Model_6	No Transformation	Delta (Season)	0.186	0	
Deletive		Alpha	0.000	0	
kelative humidity-	No	Delta	3.57E-	0	

222

\_

Table 2 shows the exponential smoothing model for the meteorological parameters for Ogoja. The results showed that all the parameters have only level and seasonal variations. It 217 218 is obvious that the variation of the level is more dominant as compared to the seasonal variation since the significant level for the level is less than 0.05 while that of the seasonal 219 220 221 variation is greater than 0.05 at 95% confidence level.

#### 223 Table 3: Model Description for Ogoja

able 5: Model Description for Ogoja								
			Model					
			Туре					
Model	Global solar		Simple					
ID	radiation	Model_1	Seasonal					
	Sunshine		Simple					
	hour	Model_2	Seasonal					

**Comment [WU12]:** The power or the exponent on the Estimate values should be put on the title, this should give room for the

W	ind speed	Model_3	Simple Seasonal
Tn	nean	Model 4	Simple Seasonal
		-	Simple
Ra	aintali	Model_5	Seasonal Simple
CI	oud cover	Model_6	Seasonal
Re	elative		Simple
hu	imidity	Model_7	Seasonal

Table 3 shows that the model type for the model description is simple seasonal for all the

226 meteorological parameters for Ogoja.

227 228 229

#### Table 4: Correlation matrix for Ogoja

		GSR	SSH	WS	T <sub>mean</sub>	RF	CC	RH
Correlation	GSR	1	0.452	0.171	0.534	-0.374	-0.095	-0.353
	SSH	0.452	1	0.095	0.314	-0.402	-0.182	-0.367
	WS	0.171	0.095	1	0.286	-0.008	0.12	-0.163
	T <sub>mean</sub>	0.534	0.314	0.286	1	-0.425	-0.003	-0.35
	RF	-0.374	-0.402	-0.008	-0.425	1	0.223	0.680
	CC	-0.095	-0.182	0.12	-0.003	0.223	1	0.207
	RH	-0.353	-0.367	-0.163	-0.35	0.680	0.207	1

230 231

### Table 5: KMO and Bartlett's Test for Ogoja

232						
	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure	of Sampling Adequacy.	0.712			
		Approx. Chi-Square	652.89			
		df	21			
	Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Sig.	0			

233

234 Table 4 presents the correlation matrix for Ogoja, it indicates how each of the meteorological 235 parameters is correlated to other parameters. It was observed that the global solar radiation 236 and wind speed are more correlated to the mean temperature with 53.4% and 28.6% respectively. The sunshine hours and mean temperature are more correlated to the global 237 238 solar radiation with 45.2 % and 53.4% respectively. The rainfall is more correlated to the relative humidity with 68.0%. The cloud cover and relative humidity are more correlated to 239 240 the rainfall with 22.3% and 68.0% respectively. The results showed that a negative correlation (inverse relationship) exists between the global solar radiation and the meteorological parameters of rainfall, cloud cover and relative humidity. Negative 241 242 243 correlations (inverse relationship) exist between the sunshine hours and the meteorological 244 parameters of rainfall, cloud cover and relative humidity. Negative correlations (inverse 245 relationship) exist between the wind speed and the meteorological parameters of rainfall and 246 relative humidity. Negative correlations (inverse relationship) exist between the mean temperature and the meteorological parameters of rainfall, cloud cover and relative humidity. 247 248 Negative correlations (inverse relationship) exist between the rainfall and the meteorological parameters of global solar radiation, sunshine hours, wind speed and mean temperature. 249

250 Negative correlations (inverse relationship) exist between the cloud cover and the 251 meteorological parameters of global solar radiation, sunshine hours and mean temperature. 252 Negative correlations (inverse relationship) exist between the relative humidity and the 253 meteorological parameters of global solar radiation, sunshine hours, wind speed and mean 254 temperature.

255

256 In Table 5, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) shows that the sampling adequacy of 71.2% was 257 obtained.  $\geq$  (0.05) or  $\geq$  50% is the acceptable value. The Bartlett's test of sphericity gives 258 degree of freedom of 21 and it's significant at 95% confidence level.



Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Border: Top: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Bottom: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Left: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Right: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Between : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width, From text: 1 pt Border spacing: ), Bar : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width)

#### Formatted Table

Formatted: Border: Between : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width, From text: 1 pt Border spacing: ), Bar : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Box: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width)

Formatted: Border: Between : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width, From text: 1 pt Border spacing: ), Bar : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Box: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width)

Formatted: Border: Between : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width, From text: 1 pt Border spacing: ), Bar : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Box: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width)

Formatted: Border: Between : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width, From text: 1 pt Border spacing: ), Bar : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Box: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width)



259 260 261

262 Fig. 2 shows the Scree plot for Ogoja. To extract initial factors, the most common approach 263 is to use a statistics called the eigenvalues. Eigenvalue is a measure that reflects the 264 amount of variance in the original variables is set to be a decisive factor. The eigenvalue 265 decreases from 2.80 to 0.30. General agent are selected such that their eigenvalues greater 266 than one [20]. It can be observed that the Eigenvalue greater than one for Ogoja is 267 component 1 and 2.

#### 268 269

Fable 6: Component Matrix for Ogoja									
		Component							
		1	2	:					
	Rainfall	-0.784	0.271	-					
	Relative humidity	-0.762	0.175	-					
	Global solar radiation	0.724	0.196						
	T <sub>mean</sub>	0.704	0.376	-					

Sunshine hour	0.672	-0.094	
Wind speed	0.269	0.705	
Cloud cover	-0.272	0.686	

Extraction Method: - Principal Component Analysis. a. 2 components extracted.

271 The component matrix for Ogoja is given in Table 6. For component 1 the rainfall and 272 relative humidity has negative correlation of 78.4% and 76.2% while global solar radiation and mean temperature has correlation of 72.4% and 70.4% this is an indication that the rainy 273 274 season is prevalence. Component 2 shows that rainfall and relative humidity has correlation of 27.1% and 17.5% while solar radiation and mean temperature has correlation of 19.6% 276 and 37.6% this is an indication that the dry season is prevalence. The overall results indicated that two seasons are identified in Ogoja; the rainy and dry seasons respectively. 277

Formatted: Border: Between : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width, From text: 1 pt Border spacing: ), Bar : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Box: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width)

Formatted: Border: Between : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width, From text: 1 pt Border spacing: ), Bar : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Box: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width)

Formatted: Border: Between : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width, From text: 1 pt Border spacing: ), Bar : (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width), Box: (Single solid line, Auto, 0.5 pt Line width)

## 275

270

#### 278 279 Table 7: Descriptive Statistics for Ogoja

0	0	0
	×	
_	o	L

	Range	Minimum	Maximum	Sum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic
GSR	22.255	2.171	24.426	5984.510	16.087	2.482	-0.643	2.856
SSH	7.100	1.300	8.400	2149.900	5.779	1.403	-0.695	0.164
WS	8.700	1.100	9.800	1459.100	3.922	1.122	0.677	1.645
$T_{mean}$	7.800	24.000	31.800	10270.100	27.608	1.396	0.645	-0.112
RF	625.500	0.000	625.500	58466.400	157.168	147.977	0.637	-0.514
CC	2.800	4.500	7.300	2572.600	6.916	0.258	-4.699	30.589
RH	65.000	25.000	90.000	27115.000	72.890	13.845	-1.313	1.096

281

282 Table 7 shows the descriptive statistics for Ogoja. It was observed that the global solar 283 radiation, sunshine hours, cloud cover and relative humidity data spread out more to the left 284 of their mean value (negatively skewed) and the wind speed, mean temperature and rainfall 285 data spread out more to the right of their mean value (positively skewed). The global solar radiation, sunshine hours, wind speed, cloud cover and relative humidity data have positive 286 287 kurtosis which indicates a relatively peaked distribution and possibility of a leptokurtic distribution and the mean temperature and rainfall data have negative kurtosis which 288 289 indicates a relatively flat distribution and possibility of a platykurtic distribution. The sum of 290 global solar radiation, mean temperature, rainfall and relative humidity during the period under investigation for Ogoja are 5984.510 MJm<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>, 10270.100 °C, 58466.400 mm and 291 292 27115.000 % respectively.

294 For Maiduguri

**Table 8: Model Statistics for Maiduguri** 

295

293

- 296 297
- 298
- 299

Model	Model Fit statistics							
	Stationary R <sup>2</sup>	$R^2$	RMSE	MAPE	MAE	MaxAPE	MaxAE	
Global solar radiation-								
Model_1 Sunshine	0.572	0.71	2.528	7.274	1.615	166.047	20.072	
Model_2 Wind	0.647	0.493	0.939	9.671	0.737	55.483	3.273	
Model_3	0.583	0.533	1.009	15.182	0.764	106.854	3.838	
Model_4 Rainfall-	0.656	0.893	1.155	3.307	0.881	19.726	4.092	
Model_5 Cloud	0.711	0.755	37.557	306.443	23.113	30796.680	286.317	
Model_6 Relative	0.526	0.526	0.548	5.41	0.264	216.917	4.555	
humidity- Model_7	0.606	0.912	6.299	14.158	4.315	115.928	28.994	

Table 8 shows the model statistics for Maiduguri. It was observed that the rainfall model has the highest value of stationary R<sup>2</sup> with 71.1% and was judged the best while the cloud cover has the least with 52.6%. Based on the coefficient of determination, R<sup>2</sup>, the relative humidity model has the highest value with 91.2% and was judged the best while the sunshine hour model has the least value with 49.3%. The RMSE, MAPE, MAE, MaxAPE and MaxAE are also given in the table. The values vary as each model is independent on others with different range of values.

308 309 The seasonal  $ARIMA(1,0,1) \times (0,1,1)_{12}$  model with AR = 0.934, MA = 0.412 and 310 seasonal MA = 0.967 developed for Maiduguri with the solar radiation as dependent is given 311 by the expression 312

313  $Z_t = 0.934Z_{t-1} + Z_{t-12} - 0.934Z_{t-13} - 0.967\varepsilon_{t-1} - 0.967\varepsilon_{t-12} + 0.398\varepsilon_{t-13} + \varepsilon_t \quad (4)$ 

The  $R^2$ , RMSE, MAPE and MAE for Maiduguri were found to be 69.7 %, 2.637 MJm<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>, 7.929 % and 1.760 % respectively.

#### 317 318 319

314

Table 9: Exponential Smoothing Model Parameters for Maiduguri

Model			Estimate	Sig.
Global solar radiation- Model_1	No Transformation	Alpha (Level) Delta (Season)	0.500 2.79E- 06	0 1
Sunshine hour- Model_2	No Transformation	Alpha (Level)	0.100	0

		Delta (Season)	3.18E- 05	0.999
		Alpha		
Wind	No	(Level) Delta	0.400 6 19E-	0
Model_3	Transformation	(Season)	05	0.998
		Alpha (Level)	0.300	0
T <sub>mean</sub> - Model 4	No Transformation	Delta (Season)	2.96E- 06	1
_		Alpha	0 008	0.033
		Gamma	4.53E-	0.000
Rainfall-	No	(Trend) Delta	05	0.919
Model_5	Transformation	(Season) Alpha	0	0.981
Relative		(Level)	0.100	0
humidity- Model_7	No Transformation	Delta (Season)	8.87E- 05	0.996

321 Table 9 shows the exponential smoothing model for the meteorological parameters for Maiduguri. The results showed that all the parameters have only level and seasonal 322 323 variations, except for rainfall with level, trend and seasonal variations and cloud cover with 324 ARIMA model. It is obvious that the variation of the level is more dominant as compared to 325 the trend and seasonal variations since the significant level for the level is less than 0.05 326 while that of the trend and seasonal variations are greater than 0.05 at 95% confidence level. The estimates of the ARIMA model for cloud cover are constant = 6.655; AR =327 328 0.619; MA = -0.47 and Seasonal MA = -0.378.

329

# Table 10: Model Description for Maiduguri331

Model Type

Model	Global solar		
ID	radiation Sunshine hour Wind speed	Model_1	Simple Seasonal
		Model_2	Simple Seasonal
		Model_3	Simple Seasonal
	T <sub>mean</sub>	Model_4	Simple Seasonal
	Rainfall	Model_5	Winters' Additive
	cover	Model_6	ARIMA(1,0,9)(0,0,1)
	humidity	Model_7	Simple Seasonal

333

Table 10 shows that the model type for the model description is simple seasonal for all the 334

meteorological parameters for Maiduguri, except for rainfall and cloud cover with winter's 335 additive and ARIMA models respectively.

336

#### 337 Table 11: Correlation Matrix for Maiduguri

(	3	3	8

		GSR	SSH	WS	T <sub>mean</sub>	RF	CC	RH
Correlation	GSR	1	0.057	0.031	0.281	-0.251	-0.100	-0.273
	SSH	0.057	1	-0.036	-0.24	-0.502	-0.082	-0.495
	WS	0.031	-0.036	1	0.237	-0.001	-0.092	-0.120
	T <sub>mean</sub>	0.281	-0.240	0.237	1	0.131	0.137	0.235
	RF	-0.251	-0.502	-0.001	0.131	1	0.205	0.808
	CC	-0.100	-0.082	-0.092	0.137	0.205	1	0.272
	RH	-0.273	-0.495	-0.120	0.235	0.808	0.272	1

339 340

341

## Table 12: KMO and Bartlett's Test for Maiduguri

Kaiser-Mey	/er-Olkin		
Measure	of		
Sampling			
Adequacy.		0.59	
	Approx.		
	Chi-		
Partlatt's	Square	717.528	
Test of	df	21	
Sphericity	Sig.	0	$\frown$

342

343 Table 11 presents the correlation matrix for Maiduguri, it indicates how each of the 344 meteorological parameters is correlated to other parameters. It was observed that the global solar radiation and wind speed are more correlated to the mean temperature with 28.1% and 345 23.7% respectively. The sunshine hours and mean temperature are more correlated to the 346 347 solar radiation with 5.7 % and 28.1% respectively. The rainfall is more correlated to the relative humidity with 80.8%. The cloud cover is more correlated to the relative humidity with 348 349 27.2%. The relative humidity is more correlated to the rainfall with 80.8%. The results 350 showed that a negative correlation (inverse relationship) exists between the global solar 351 radiation and the meteorological parameters of rainfall, cloud cover and relative humidity. 352 Negative correlations (inverse relationship) exist between the sunshine hours and the 353 meteorological parameters of wind speed, mean temperature, rainfall, cloud cover and 354 relative humidity. Negative correlations (inverse relationship) exist between the wind speed 355 and the meteorological parameters of sunshine hours, rainfall, cloud cover and relative 356 humidity. Negative correlations (inverse relationship) exist between the mean temperature 357 and the meteorological parameter of sunshine hours. Negative correlations (inverse 358 relationship) exist between the rainfall and the meteorological parameters of global solar 359 radiation, sunshine hours and wind speed. Negative correlations (inverse relationship) exist between the cloud cover and the meteorological parameters of global solar radiation, 360 361 sunshine hours and wind speed. Negative correlations (inverse relationship) exist between 362 the relative humidity and the meteorological parameters of global solar radiation, sunshine 363 hours and wind speed.

In Table 12, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) shows that the sampling adequacy of 59.0% was 364 365 obtained.  $\geq$  (0.05) or  $\geq$  50% is the acceptable value. The Bartlett's test of sphericity gives 366 degree of freedom of 21 and it's significant at 95% confidence level.



Formatted: Centered

370 Fig. 3 shows the Scree plot for Maiduguri. To extract initial factors, the most common 371 approach is to use a statistic called the eigenvalues. Eigenvalue is a measure that reflects 372 the amount of variance in the original variables is set to be a decisive factor. The eigenvalue decreases from 2.40 to 0.20. General agent are selected such that their eigenvalues greater 373 than one [20]. It can be observed that the Eigenvalue greater than one for Maiduguri is component 1, 2 and 3.

### 374 375

367 368

369

3	1	6
3	7	7

377	Table 13: Component Matrix for Maiduguri
378	

	Component				
	1	2	3		
Relative humidity	0.913	-0.079	0.023		
Rainfall	0.885	-0.076	-0.132		
Sunshine hour	-0.700	-0.213	0.154		
T <sub>mean</sub>	0.311	0.788	0.189		
Global solar radiation	-0.318	0.655	0.395		
Cloud cover	0.388	-0.066	0.634		
Wind speed	-0.039	0.553	-0.617		

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

a. 3 components extracted.

380 The component matrix for Maiduguri is given in Table 13. For component 1 the relative 381 humidity and rainfall has correlation of 91.3% and 88.5% while the mean temperature and 382 global solar radiation has positive and negative correlation of 31.1% and 31.8% this is an 383 indication that the rainy season is prevalence. Component 2 shows that the relative humidity 384 and rainfall has negative correlation of 7.9% and 7.6% while the mean temperature and 385 global solar radiation has correlation of 78.8% and 65.5% this is an indication that the hot dry 386 season is prevalence. Component 3 shows that the relative humidity and rainfall has positive 387 and negative correlation of 2.3% and 13.2% while the mean temperature and global solar 388 radiation has correlation of 18.9% and 39.5% this is an indication that the cool dry 389 (harmattan) season is prevalence. The overall results indicated that three seasons are identified in Maiduguri; the cool dry (harmattan) season, hot dry and rainy seasons 390 391 respectively.

### 392 393

394

379

#### Table 14: Descriptive Statistics for Maiduguri

							All and a second s	
	Range	Minimum	Maximum	Sum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic
GSR	28.163	11.188	39.352	8579.549	23.063	4.686	0.141	0.454
SSH	6.400	4.400	10.800	3041.700	8.177	1.317	-0.303	-0.586
WS	7.000	2.100	9.100	2086.900	5.610	1.474	-0.107	-0.419
$T_{mean}$	16.500	18.400	34.900	10327.550	27.762	3.524	-0.340	-0.516
RF	371.600	0.000	371.600	17847.700	47.978	75.595	1.746	2.389
CC	5.300	1.900	7.200	2481.800	6.672	0.793	-3.419	13.128
RH	86.000	10.000	96.000	14589.000	39.218	21.228	0.447	-1.238

395

396 Table 14 shows the descriptive statistics for Maiduguri. It was observed that the solar 397 radiation, rainfall and relative humidity data spread out more to the right of their mean value (positively skewed) and the sunshine hours, wind speed, mean temperature and cloud cover 398 399 data spread out more to the left of their mean value (negatively skewed). The solar radiation, rainfall and cloud cover data have positive kurtosis which indicates a relatively peaked 400 401 distribution and possibility of a leptokurtic distribution and the sunshine hours, wind speed, 402 mean temperature and relative humidity data have negative kurtosis which indicates a relatively flat distribution and possibility of a platykurtic distribution. The sum of global solar 403 radiation, mean temperature, rainfall and relative humidity during the period under investigation for Ogoja are 8579.549 MJm<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>, 10327.550 <sup>o</sup>C, 17847.700 mm and 404 405 406 14589.000 % respectively. 407

#### 408 5. CONCLUSION

409

410 In this paper, the issue of time series, factor and descriptive statistical analysis for Ogoja and Maiduguri using meteorological parameters of monthly average daily global solar radiation, 411 412 sunshine hours, wind speed, maximum and minimum temperature, rainfall, cloud cover and 413 relative humidity during the period of thirty one years (1980 – 2010) has been addressed. Seasonal Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model for Ogoja and 414 Maiduguri were developed along with their respective R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE, MAPE and MAE. The 415 416 result indicated that the models are suitable for one step ahead forecast for the locations. 417 Furthermore, the time series analysis for Ogoja shows that the patterns of variation for the

418 meteorological parameters are level and seasonal with the level variation been dominant.



419 For Maiduguri, the pattern of variation is also level and seasonal except for rainfall where 420 level, trend and seasonal variations were observed and ARIMA model for cloud cover. The 421 correlation matrix obtained from the factor analysis for the locations indicated that the global 422 solar radiation and wind speed are more correlated to the mean temperature. The sunshine 423 hours and mean temperature are more correlated to the global solar radiation. The rainfall is 424 more correlated to the relative humidity and the relative humidity is more correlated to the 425 rainfall. However, the cloud cover is more correlated to the rainfall for Ogoja while for 426 Maiduguri the cloud cover is more correlated to the relative humidity. The scree plots which 427 indicates the relationship between the eigenvalues and component numbers revealed that eigenvalues greater than one are found from component numbers 1 and 2 for Ogoja and 1, 2 428 and 3 for Maiduguri. It was observed that the component matrix analysis for Ogoja has two 429 430 components indicating prevalence of rainy and dry seasons respectively while for Maiduguri has three components indicating prevalence of rainy, cool dry (harmattan) and hot dry 431 432 seasons respectively. The skewness and kurtosis test for Ogoja indicated that the global 433 solar radiation, sunshine hours, cloud cover and relative humidity are negatively skewed and 434 the wind speed, mean temperature and rainfall are positively skewed while the global solar 435 radiation, sunshine hours, wind speed, cloud cover and relative humidity indicates possibility 436 of a leptokurtic distribution and the mean temperature and rainfall indicates possibility of a platykurtic distribution. The skewness and kurtosis for Maiduguri indicated that the global 437 438 solar radiation, rainfall and relative humidity are positively skewed and the sunshine hours, 439 wind speed, mean temperature and cloud cover are negatively skewed while the global solar 440 radiation, rainfall and cloud cover indicates possibility of a leptokurtic distribution and the 441 sunshine hours, wind speed, mean temperature and relative humidity indicates possibility of a platykurtic distribution. The rainfall and relative humidity for Ogoja is greater than that of 442 443 Maiduguri while the global solar radiation and mean temperature for Maiduguri is greater than that of Ogoja, this is expected as Ogoja is a Coastal zone while Maiduguri is a Sahelian 444 445 zone. 446

COMPETING INTERESTS

#### Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

452 453 454

447 448

449 450

451

- 1. Running SW, Nemani RR, Hungerford RD. Extrapolation of synoptic meteorological data
   in mountainous terrain and its use for simulating forest evapotranspiration and
   photosynthesis. Can J For Res 1987; 17:472 83.
- 458 2.Crop Growth Monitoring System. URL: <u>http://www.marsop.info/marsopdoc/</u> 459 cgms92/2\_2\_en.htm.
- 3. Schulz J, Albert P, Behr H-D, Caprion D, Deneke H, Dewitte S, et al. Operational climate monitoring from space: the EUMETSAT satellite application facility on climate monitoring (CM-SAF). Atmos Chem Phys 2009;9(5):1687e709.
- 463
  463
  463
  464
  464
  464
  464
  464
  465
  465
  465
  465
  465
  4665
  4665
  467
  467
  468
  469
  469
  469
  469
  469
  469
  469
  460
  461
  461
  461
  462
  463
  464
  465
  465
  465
  465
  465
  466
  467
  467
  468
  469
  469
  469
  469
  469
  469
  460
  461
  461
  461
  462
  463
  464
  464
  464
  465
  465
  465
  466
  466
  467
  467
  467
  468
  468
  469
  469
  469
  469
  469
  469
  460
  460
  461
  461
  461
  462
  463
  464
  464
  464
  465
  465
  466
  464
  466
  467
  467
  468
  468
  468
  469
  469
  469
  469
  469
  469
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460
  460</li
- 466 5. Bofinger S, Heilscher G. solar electricity forecast : approach and first results, 2006.
- 467 6. Perez R, Hoff T, Dise J, Chalmers D, Kivalov S. The Cost of Mitigating Short-term PV
- 468 Output Variability. Energy Procedia 2014; 57:755–62. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2014.10.283.
- 7. De Gooijer JG, Hyndman RJ. 25 years of time series forecasting. Int J Forecast 2006;
  22:443–73. doi:10.1016/j.ijforecast.2006.01.001.

Comment [WU13]: Most of this should be part of the discussion

- 471 8. Soubdhan T, Abadi M, Emilion R. Time Dependent Classification of Solar Radiation 472 Sequences Using Best Information Criterion. Energy Procedia 2014; 57:1309–16.
- 473 doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2014.10.121.
- 474 9. Box GG, Jenkins M, Gregory CR. Time series analysis: Forecasting and control. 4th
  475 Edition. Wiley series, probability and statistics, Prentice Hall Inc., London. 1976.
- 476 10. Brockwell PJ, Davis RA. Time series: theory and methods. 2nd ed. New York: Springer-477 Verlag; 1991.
- 478 11. Box GEP, Jenkins GM. Time series analysis. Forecasting and Control, Holden-Day, San
   479 Francisco. 1970.
- 480 12. McKenzie E. General exponential smoothing and the equivalent ARMA process. Journal
  481 of Forecasting. 1984; vol. 3, pp. 333-344.
- 482 13. Ong C.-S, Huang J.-J, Tzeng, G.-H. Model identification of ARIMA family using genetic
   483 algorithms. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2005; vol. 164, pp. 885-912,
- 484 14. Yashwant K, Ankit B, Anil KS, Satyanarayan P. Comparative Study of Parameter
  485 Estimation Methods for Solar Irradiation Forecasting. Journal of Clean Energy Technologies.
  486 2016; Vol. 4, No. 3, May 2016. 192 196.
- 487 15. Aliyu R., Tijjani BI, Sharafa SB. Assessment and Comparison of MODIS AOD and AE
  488 Product over Ilorin Aeronet Station using the Statistical Analysis of Empirical Orthogonal
  489 Function (EOF). Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences. 2018; 11(1): 223 229.
- 490 16. Hejase HAN, Assi AH. Time-Series Regression Model for Prediction of Monthly and 491 Daily Average Global Solar Radiation in Al Ain City-UAE. Proceedings of the Global
- Conference on Global Warming held on 11 14 July, 2011, Lisbon, Portugal. Pp 1 11.
  2011.
- 494 17. <u>www.thecaliberassociates.com/borno/page-5/page-10/</u>. Accessed online on September
   495 6, 2017.
- 496 18. Lee C, Ko C. Short-term load forecasting using lifting scheme and ARIMA models.
  497 Expert Systems with Applications. 2011; Vol. 38, pp 5902 5911.
- 498 19. Hendranata A. Water quality trend at the upper part of Johor river in relation to rainfall499 and run-off pattern. Universiti Teknologi, Malaysia. 2003.
- SalahShour F, Moulai Pardeh A, Khazaee K. Potential Environmental Assessment
   Synoptic Stations in Khuzestan Province in Order To Generate Solar Power. Journal of
   Applied Sciences Research. 2014; 10(6): 565-570.
- 503 504