

### **Editor's Comment:**

After analysing the revised manuscript JESBS\_49741 and the referees comments, I concluded that the authors attended to the referees requirements. Besides, I agree with the referees that this is a good and well-structured and well-written paper. However, I would suggest that the authors perform a minor revision of the manuscript, based on the following comments:

- change masters thesis to masters dissertation, as dissertation is internationally more used within the scope of a masters programme and thesis is internationally used for PhD;
- clarify the meaning or avoid using masters papers;
- review the section under the headline "data gathering instrument" , as they talk about what they analysed instead of talking about the instrument they used to content analysing all the dissertations – did they use a sort of grid our checklist (if so, how is it) to maximize the consistency and the objectivity of the analysis of all the dissertations selected?

### **Editor's Details:**

Dr. Doutora Laurinda Leite  
Professor, Institute of Education, University of Minho, Portugal