

## Original Research paper

### **Antimicrobial properties of cooked African locust beans (*Parkia biglobosa*) effluent with and without its chaff**

#### **Abstract**

**Aim:** This study aims to determine the antimicrobial properties of the *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacque Benth.) effluent. The effluents were tested against some typed and clinical pathogenic microorganisms for their antimicrobial properties using the conventional antibiotics as the control.

**Study Design:** Effluent with and without chaff is to serve as agents used to determine whether it has antimicrobial properties on the clinical and typed isolates.

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was carried out between November, 2015 and July, 2016 at the Department of Microbiology Laboratory, Federal University of Technology Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.

**Methodology:** Locust beans bought from "Oja Oba" market, Ikare-Akoko, Ondo state were cooked until the coat was soft and the effluent was decanted, cooked again and the effluent with chaffs was also decanted. Both effluents (with and without chaffs) were used against the test and clinical microorganisms using agar well diffusion method. The Minimum inhibitory concentration was carried out using tube dilution method using Mueller Hinton broth.

**Results:** The typed pathogenic microorganisms were subjected to antimicrobial tests using the *P. biglobosa* effluents at 100 mg/mL; the effluent and effluent with chaffs were able to inhibit *S. pyogenes* (ATCC 29212) *S. aureus* (ATCC 43300), *S. typhi* (ATCC 35240) and *E. coli* (ATCC 35218) while *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) and *K. pneumoniae* (ATCC 48891) were resistant to the effluents. *E. coli* (ATCC 35218) had the lowest susceptibility at  $6.33 \pm 0.58^b$  and *S. pyogenes* (ATCC 29212) had the highest susceptibility with  $13.00 \pm 1.73^a$  zones of inhibition for locust beans effluent. For the clinical isolate, the effluent and effluent without chaffs were also able to inhibit *S. aureus*, *S. typhi*, *E. coli* and *S. pyogenes*. *S. aureus* and *S. pyogenes* had the lowest and highest susceptibility at  $2.33 \pm 0.58^a$  and  $9.33 \pm 0.58^a$  respectively for effluent with chaffs. *P. aeruginosa* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates were resistant to both effluents.

**Conclusion:** This study has provided useful information on the antimicrobial activities of the effluents against clinical and typed microorganisms used in this study.

**Keyword:** *Parkia biglobosa*, Effluents, Antimicrobial, Antibiotics, Bactericidal.

**Comment [M1]:** Consider explain the meaning in the abstract. Otherwise one can not understand without reading the whole ms.

**Comment [M2]:** As previous comment.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

*Parkia biglobosa* is a deciduous perennial tree of the Fabaceae family [1] It is popularly known as the Africa locust beans or *néré*, Dadawa (Hausa), Origili (Ibo) and Iru (Yoruba) [2] It is found in a wide range of environments in Africa and is primarily known for its pods that contain both sweet pulp and valuable seeds. Locust beans fruits to food condiment involves different unit operations after harvesting; such unit operations include decoding, and removal of the yellowish pulp to produce locust beans seeds. Other processing operations are cleaning, boiling, dehulling, washing, recooking, produce the food is used as soup seasoning/spices [3].



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 Plate 1: Africa Locust Beans Seeds Used for this Study

41 The quest global problems of antibiotic resistance in pathogenic bacteria has often focused on  
42 the isolation and characterization of new antimicrobial compounds from a variety of sources including  
43 medicinal plants [4]. This is probably because the efficacies of these plant products have been  
44 confirmed in different disease situations in different parts of the world and that their little or no  
45 known side effects have made them succeed where most synthetic or conventional agents have  
46 failed. It may also be because scientists have established that crude extracts of some plants and  
47 some pure compounds from such plants can potentiate the activity of antibiotics *in-vitro* [5]. In  
48 Africa, medicinal preparations from plants have been used over a long period for the treatment of  
49 ailments. This is because orthodox medicine is not available in some places due to some reasons,  
50 among which includes drugs which are cheap and affordable have become ineffective because of  
51 resistance. However, these plant preparations are becoming more widely used by people all over  
52 the world as they understand the strength in them and the fact that most of them can be used  
53 safely without any known side effect which is not the case in drug or pills [6] This plant has been  
54 used extensively for medicinal purposes by the Hausa people of Northern Nigeria and other  
55 parts of West Africa. A decoction of used as mouthwash to steam, relieve toothache as well as a bath  
56 for fever and tonic for diarrhoea an enema [7, 8, 9]. The leaves are also active against bronchitis, pile,  
57 cough, amoebiasis, dental carries and conjunctivitis [10]. The aqueous and acetone extract of  
58 *P. biglobosa* raw beans have also demonstrated termicidal properties [11]. There is little or no study on  
59 the effluents of *P. biglobosa* as a medium of treatment of the ailment, for this reason, this study is to  
60 determine the antimicrobial properties of the locust beans effluents (with or without chaffs).

61

## 62 2. METHODOLOGY

### 63 2.1 Sample Source

64 The locust beans seeds were purchased at Oja Oba, (King's market) Ikare Akoko, Ondo state, Nigeria  
65 and washed thoroughly, they were cooked until the coats of the seeds were soft enough to peel. The  
66 effluent (water containing seed coats chaff) was decanted and kept in an airtight container; the peeled  
67 seeds were re-washed and re-cooked until it was very soft. Then the effluent (water without chaff) was  
68 also decanted and kept in separate airtight container. The samples which were the two different fluenta  
69 were transported to Microbiology Laboratory of the Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria for  
70 additional analyses.

71

### 72 2.2 Source and Preservation of Bacterial Isolates Used

73 Pure clinical isolates (*E. coli*, *S. typhi*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. aureus*, *S. pneumoniae*) were  
74 obtained from the stock culture of States Specialists Hospital, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria and typed  
75 isolates (*E.coli*: ATCC 35218, *S. typhi*: ATCC 35240, *P. aeruginosa*: ATCC 27853, *Kl.pneumoniae*: ATCC  
76 48891, *S. aureus*: ATCC 43300, *S. pneumoniae*) were obtained from Pathological and Clinical Laboratory  
77 of Lagos State University Teaching Hospital (Pathcare), Lagos State, Nigeria. Pure isolates were  
78 maintained on Nutrient agar slants in the refrigerator at 4°C until further investigative procedure.

79

### 80 2.3 Antibiotic Sensitivity Profile

81 The antibiotic sensitivity profile was investigated in order to compare the sensitivity of the  
82 microorganisms to the different conventional antibiotics. The disc diffusion method was used to determine  
83 the susceptibility and resistance of the microorganisms to the antimicrobial drugs. Twenty milliliter of  
84 sterile Mueller-Hilton agar was aseptically poured into sterile Petri dishes and allowed to gel. Each plate  
85 was seeded with the test organism before aseptically introducing the antibiotic disc with sterile forceps  
86 onto the surface of the solidified Mueller Hilton agar plate and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After  
87 incubation, clear zones around the disk were measured in millimeter and recorded as the zones of  
88 inhibition. Diameters of zone of inhibition were measured with a calibrated ruler and then compared with  
89 clinical and laboratory standards for their sensitivity or resistance. Seeded plates without antibiotic disk  
90 served as the control. The antibiotic sensitivity profile was carried out in triplicates.

91

### 92 2.4 Standardization of Test Microorganism

93 A loopful of the bacterial culture was aseptically inoculated into freshly prepared sterile nutrient broth  
94 and incubated for 24 hours. 0.2 mL was pipetted from the 24 hours broth culture of the test organism and  
95 was dispensed into 20 ml sterile nutrient broth and incubated for another 4 hours to standardize the  
96 culture to 0.5 McFarland's standard ( $10^6$ cfu/mL) before use as described by [12].  
97

### 98 2.5 Reconstitution of *P. biglobosa* Effluent

99 The *P. biglobosa* effluent was filtered with 0.2 µm pore filter membrane and 1mL of the *P. biglobosa*  
100 effluent were decanted in 10 mL of Dimethyl Sulfoxide and the concentrate was subjected to antimicrobial  
101 activities.  
102

### 103 2.6 Determination of Antimicrobial activities of *P. biglobosa* Effluents

104 *Parkia biglobosa* (100mg/mL) effluent and the effluent with chaffs were used against the test  
105 microorganisms using agar well diffusion method with Chloramphenicol as antibiotic control. Mueller-  
106 Hinton and Sabouraud dextrose agar plates were used for bacterial and fungal isolates respectively.  
107 Observation and determination of zones of inhibition (ZI) were preceded with an aerobic overnight  
108 incubation at 37°C for 24 hours and at 27°C for 48 hours for bacteria and fungi respectively.  
109

### 110 2.7 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

111 The Minimum inhibitory concentration was carried out using tube dilution method using Mueller Hinton  
112 broth. The tube dilution susceptibility test was used to determine the MIC values for the locust beans  
113 effluent, a series of Mueller-Hinton broth tubes containing varying two-fold concentrations of the various  
114 *P. biglobosa* effluent samples in the range of 6.25mg/mL to 100mg/mL was prepared and incubated with  
115 a previously standardized density of the test microorganisms (0.5mL). The lowest concentration of the *P.*  
116 *biglobosa* effluent samples resulting in no growth following visual inspection after 18-24 hours of  
117 incubation for bacteria and 24-72 hours for yeast and mould using spectrophotometer was recorded as  
118 the MIC.  
119

### 120 2.8 Statistical Analysis

121 Data obtained were subjected to one way analysis of variance while the mean was compared by  
122 Duncan's New Multiple Range Test at a 95% confidence interval using Statistical Package for Social  
123 Sciences version 16.0. Differences were considered significant at  $p=0.05$ .  
124

### 125 3.0 RESULTS

126 The Antibiotics sensitivity pattern of the clinical bacteria used for the antimicrobial test against the  
127 effluents is shown in Table 1. The clinical bacteria; *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. typhi*, *E.*  
128 *coli* and *S. pyogenes* were tested against some conventional antibiotics using an antibiotics sensitivity  
129 disc. The antibiotics used were Septrin (30µg), Ciprofloxacin (10µg), Amoxicillin (10µg), Gentamycin  
130 (10µg), Pefloxacin (30µg), Streptomycin (30µg), Ampiclox (30µg), Zinnacef (20µg), Rocephin (25µg),  
131 Erythromycin (10µg), Chloramphenicol (30µg), Sparfloxacin (10µg), Augmentin (30µg), and Tarivid  
132 (10µg).

133 The results show that *S. aureus* was susceptible to Septrin, Amoxicillin, Ampiclox, Zinnacef,  
134 Rocephin, Chloramphenicol, and Tarivid, with Septrin and Zinnacef having the lowest and highest zones  
135 of inhibition at  $4.33 \pm 0.58^b$  and  $12.67 \pm 0.58^b$  respectively, and it was resistant to the remaining  
136 antibiotics; *P. aeruginosa* was inhibited by Rocephin and Tarivid at  $4.33 \pm 0.58^b$  and  $6.67 \pm 0.58^b$   
137 respectively, which had the lowest and highest zones of inhibition and it was resistant to other antibiotics;  
138 *K. pneumoniae* was susceptible to Chloramphenicol, Gentamycin, and Tarivid. Gentamycin and  
139 Chloramphenicol had the lowest and highest zones of inhibition with  $6.00 \pm 0.00^a$  and  $8.67 \pm 0.58^c$   
140 respectively.  
141

142 **Table 1: Different Antibiotics concentrations sensitivity pattern of the clinical bacteria**

Antibiotics	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>
-------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------

SXT	4.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	None	None	None	None	16.00±0.00 <sup>e</sup>
CPX	None	None	None	10.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	14.33±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	None
AM	8.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	None	None	None	10.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	None
CN	None	None	6.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	4.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	10.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	10.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>
PEF	None	None	None	None	None	4.67±0.58 <sup>b</sup>
S	None	None	None	None	None	None
APX	13.00±1.00 <sup>d</sup>	None	None	None	4.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	10.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>
Z	13.33±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	None	None	None	None	None
R	7.67±1.15 <sup>c</sup>	4.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	None	None	10.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	None
E	None	None	None	None	None	14.33±0.58 <sup>d</sup>
CH	8.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	None	8.67±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	None	None	None
SP	None	None	None	None	20.33±0.58 <sup>e</sup>	None
AU	None	None	None	None	None	None
OFX	12.67±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	6.67±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	8.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	12.33±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	14.33±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	10.67±0.58 <sup>c</sup>

143 Data are presented as Mean±S.D (n=3). Values with the same superscript letter(s) along the same  
 144 column are not significantly different (P=0.05).

145 Legend:

146 SXT = Septrin (30µg), CPX = Ciprofloxacin (10µg), AM = Amoxicillin (10µg), CN = Gentamycin (10µg),  
 147 PEF = Pefloxacin (30µg), S = Streptomycin (30µg), APX = Ampiclox (30µg), Z = Zinnacef (20µg), R =  
 148 Rocephin (25µg), E = Erythromycin (10µg), CH = Chloramphenicol (30µg), SP = Sparfloxacin (10µg), AU  
 149 = Augmentin (30µg), OFX = Tarivid (10µg)

150  
 151 The antibiotics sensitivity pattern of the typed bacteria used for the antimicrobial test against the effluents  
 152 is shown in Table 2. The typed bacteria; *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. typhi*, *E.coli* and *S.*  
 153 *pyogenes* show almost the same susceptibility to the sensitivity disc as clinical bacteria.

154

155 **Table 2: Different Antibiotics concentrations sensitivity pattern of the typed bacteria**

156

Antibiotics	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>
SXT	4.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	None	None	None	None	16.00±0.00 <sup>e</sup>
CPX	None	None	None	10.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	14.33±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	None
AM	8.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	None	None	None	10.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	None
CN	None	None	6.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	4.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	10.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	10.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>
PEF	None	None	None	None	None	4.67±0.58 <sup>b</sup>
S	None	None	None	None	None	None
APX	13.00±1.00 <sup>d</sup>	None	None	None	4.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	10.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>
Z	13.33±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	None	None	None	None	None
R	7.67±1.15 <sup>c</sup>	4.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	None	None	10.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	None
E	None	None	None	None	None	14.33±0.58 <sup>d</sup>
CH	8.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	None	8.67±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	None	None	None
S P	None	None	None	None	20.33±0.58 <sup>e</sup>	None
AU	None	None	None	None	None	None
OFX	12.67±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	6.67±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	8.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	12.33±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	14.33±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	10.67±0.58 <sup>c</sup>

157 Data are presented as Mean±S.D (n=3). Values with the same superscript letter(s) along the same  
 158 column are not significantly different (P=0.05).

159

160

161 Legend

162 SXT = Septrin (30µg), CPX = Ciprofloxacin (10µg), AM = Amoxicillin (10µg), CN = Gentamycin (10µg),  
 163 PEF = Pefloxacin (30µg), S = Streptomycin (30µg), APX = Ampiclox (30µg), Z = Zinnacef (20µg), R =

164 Rocephin (25µg), E = Erythromycin (10µg), CH = Chloramphenicol (30µg), SP = Sparfloxacin (10µg), AU  
 165 = Augmentin (30µg), OFX = Tarivid (10µg).

166  
 167 Antimicrobial activities of locust bean effluent and effluent with chaffs, on typed microorganisms at  
 168 100mg/ml are presented in Table 3. For the typed isolates, at 100mg/ml the effluent of the *P. biglobosa*  
 169 effluent and with chaffs were able to inhibit *S. aureus* (ATCC 43300), *S. typhi* (ATCC 35240), *E. coli*  
 170 (ATCC 35218) and *S. pyogenes* (ATCC 29212) while *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) and *K. pneumoniae*  
 171 (ATCC 48891) were resistant to the effluent. *E. coli* (ATCC 35218) had the lowest susceptibility at  $6.33 \pm$   
 172  $0.58^b$  and *S. pyogenes* (ATCC 29212) had the highest susceptibility with  $13.00 \pm 1.73^a$  zones of inhibition  
 173 for effluent. While for effluent with chaffs *E. coli* (ATCC 35218) and *S. pyogenes* (ATCC 29212) had the  
 174 lowest and highest zones of inhibition at  $4.33 \pm 0.58^a$  and  $11.33 \pm 0.58^a$  respectively while *P. aeruginosa*  
 175 (ATCC 27853) and *S. pyogenes* (ATCC 29212) had the lowest and highest zones of inhibition at  $18.67 \pm$   
 176  $0.58^b$  and  $24.33 \pm 0.58^c$  respectively when tested against Chloramphenicol (control).

177 **Table 3: Antimicrobial activities of locust beans effluent and with chaffs on typed**  
 178 **microorganisms at 100mg/mL**

Microorganisms	CLBE	CLBEC	C
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ATCC 43300)	8.67±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	6.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	20.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (ATCC 27853)	None	None	18.67±0.58 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (ATCC 48891)	None	None	19.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Salmonella typhi</i> (ATCC 35240)	12.67±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	9.67±1.15 <sup>a</sup>	24.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (ATCC 35218)	6.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	4.33±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	21.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> (ATCC 29212)	13.00±1.73 <sup>a</sup>	11.33±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	24.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>

180 Data are presented as Mean ± S.D (n=3). Values with the same superscript letter(s) along the same row  
 181 are not significantly different (P=0.05).

182 Legend:

183 CLBE- Cooked locust beans effluent,

184 CLBEC- Cooked locust beans effluent with chaffs,

185 C- Chloramphenicol.

186  
 187 Antimicrobial activities of locust beans effluent and with chaffs on clinical bacteria at 100mg/mL are  
 188 presented in Table 4. For the clinical isolates, *P. biglobosa* effluent with chaffs and without chaffs at  
 189 100mg/mL inhibited *S. aureus*, *Salmonella typhi*, *E. coli*, and *S. pyogenes* while *P. aeruginosa* and *K.*  
 190 *pneumonia* were resistant to both effluents. *E. coli* and *S. pyogenes* had the lowest and highest  
 191 susceptibility at  $4.00 \pm 0.00^b$  and  $12.33 \pm 0.58^a$  respectively for the effluent; while *Staphylococcus aureus*,  
 192 and *S. pyogenes* had the lowest and highest susceptibility at  $2.33 \pm 0.58^a$  and  $9.33 \pm 0.58^a$  respectively for  
 193 effluent with chaffs while *P. aeruginosa* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates were resistant to both effluents. *S.*  
 194 *aureus* and *S. pyogenes* had the lowest and highest susceptibility at  $16.67 \pm 0.58^c$  and  $21.33 \pm 0.58^c$   
 195 respectively when tested against Chloramphenicol (control).

196  
 197 **Table 4: Antimicrobial activities of locust beans effluent and effluent with chaffs on clinical**  
 198 **microorganisms at 100mg/mL**

Microorganisms	CLBE	CLBEC	C
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	4.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.33±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	16.67±0.58 <sup>c</sup>

<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	None	None	17.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	None	None	18.67±0.58 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	10.67±1.15 <sup>b</sup>	8.33±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	20.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	4.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.33±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	18.67±0.58 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	12.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	9.33±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	21.33±0.58 <sup>c</sup>

199 Data are presented as Mean±S.D (n=3). Values with the same superscript letter(s) along the same row  
200 are not significantly different (P=0.05).

201 Legend:

202 CLBE-Cooked locust beans effluent,

203 CLBEC- Cooked locust beans effluent with chaffs,

204 C- Chloramphenicol

205 Minimum inhibitory concentration of *P. biglobosa* effluent and without chaffs in mg/mL is shown in Table  
206 5. When effluents were tested against the typed and clinical isolates, the result showed the MIC of *S.*  
207 *aureus* and *E. coli* (Clinical) was 100mg/mL for both effluents. The MIC of *S. typhi* (ATCC 35240) (Typed)  
208 was 50mg/mL for both effluents. The MIC of *S. aureus* (ATCC 43300) (Typed) was 25mg/mL for effluent  
209 and 50mg/mL for effluent with chaffs. *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae* (Clinical and typed cultured) and *S.*  
210 *typhi* (Clinical) showed no zone of inhibition.

211 **Table 5: Minimum inhibitory concentration of *P. Biglobosa* effluent and effluent with**  
212 **chaffs in mg/mL**

Microorganisms	CLBE	CLBEC
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	100	100
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ATCC 43300)	25	50
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	NI	NI
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (ATCC 2853)	NI	NI
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	NI	NI
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (ATCC 48891)	NI	NI
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	50	25
<i>Salmonella typhi</i> (ATCC 35240)	50	50
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	100	100
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (ATCC 35218)	50	100
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	100	50
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> (ATCC 29212)	100	50

214 Legend:

215 CLBE-Cooked locust beans effluent,

216 CLBEC-Cooked locust beans effluent with chaffs,

217

## 218 DISCUSSION

219 The Antibiotics sensitivity pattern of the bacteria used for the antimicrobial test against the effluents  
220 illustrates the effectiveness of the antibiotic against the microorganisms. *P.aeruginosa*, *S.typhi* and *K.*  
221 *pneumonia* were resistant to most antibiotics which in turns pose a risk to the general public. These  
222 microorganisms have regulating features that inevitably makes them highly resistant to antibiotics, for  
223 example  $\beta$ -arrestin recruitment in *K. pneumoniae* is associated with growth and resistance to  $\beta$ -lactams in  
224 antibiotic, which suggest that  $\beta$ -arrestin regulating ESBL expression may be a potential target for  
225 addressing antibiotic resistance in *K.pneumoniae* [13]. *S. aureus* and *E.coli* were susceptible to some of  
226 the antibiotics such as Tarivid, Amplicox, Rocephin. In this study, all the microorganisms were susceptible  
227 to ofloxacin (tarivid). Ofloxacin has in vitro activity against a broad range of gram-positive and gram-  
228 negative aerobic and anaerobic bacteria. Ofloxacin is thought to exert a bactericidal effect on bacterial  
229 cells by inhibiting DNA gyrase, an essential bacterial enzyme which is a critical catalyst in the duplication,  
230 transcription, and repair of bacterial DNA. According to the study of Olise [14 ] who worked on clinical

231 isolate resistance to commonly used antibiotics, ofloxacin demonstrated a high potency amongst the  
232 antibiotics. The antimicrobial activities of the *P. biglobosa* effluent and effluent with chaffs were tested  
233 against clinical and typed microorganisms. It was discovered that several microorganisms obtained in this  
234 study were susceptible to these effluents which implies that the effluents can be used in the treatment of  
235 the diseases caused by these microorganisms, the effluents were able to inhibit both the typed and  
236 clinical isolate of *S. aureus*, *S. typhi* and *E. coli*. The presence of tannins in *P. biglobosa* was confirmed  
237 by [7] after studying the phytochemical and antibacterial properties of *P. biglobosa* and its leaf  
238 extracts.[15] reported that phytochemical screening of the root bark of the plant contains a lot of  
239 glycosides and tannins, appreciable amounts of saponins and traces of alkaloids. The presence of  
240 such linked to the antibacterial of growth [16] and offering some protection to the plant against  
241 microbial infections [17]. This findings also correlate with the report of [18] who reported that *P.*  
242 *biglobosa* has been reported to be rich in tannins, others which are secondary metabolites known to  
243 have antibacterial activities.

244 *P. biglobosa* effluent had a higher zone of inhibition on the test microorganisms compared with the  
245 effluent with chaffs; this might be as a result of the phytochemical component present in the effluents  
246 which could be detrimental to the isolates, more the chaff might probably have absorbed the bioactive  
247 component instead of releasing it into the effluent.

248 For both the typed and clinical isolates at 100mg/ml, clinical *P.aeruginosa*, *K. pneumonia* , *P.aeruginosa*  
249 (ATCC 27853) and *K. pneumoniae* (ATCC 48891) were resistant the effluents of the *P. biglobosa*. This  
250 correlates with the report of [19] who reported that *P. aeruginosa* is however less susceptible, for  
251 example, bacteria like *P. aeruginosa* has intrinsic antimicrobial resistance due to the permeability of the  
252 membrane and has a wide range of efflux pumps. Some strains of *P. aeruginosa* show mutations in the  
253 fluoroquinolone binding site, loss of porin channels, and increased production of beta - lactamase as well  
254 as cephalosporinase. It may acquire additional resistance mechanisms through external plasmids and  
255 has a high potential to be resistant against antimicrobials used during the treatment [7] also reported that  
256 *B. cereus* was more susceptible while *P. aeruginosa* was not susceptible to *P. biglobosa* (Jacq.) extract.

257

## 258 CONCLUSION

259 This study has provided useful information on the antibacterial activity of the effluents against both the  
260 typed and clinical microorganisms used in this study. Further work can be carried out on the effluent such  
261 as determining the toxic dose and extraction of the bioactive component for use in the production of  
262 drugs.

## 263 COMPETING INTERESTS

264 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

265

266

## 267 REFERENCES

- 268 1. Agroforestry Tree Database. Species Information – *Parkia biglobosa*. Retrieved November 13,  
269 2013, from world agroforestry centre.org, 2013. pp. 22.
- 270 2. Sadiku OA. Processing Methods Influence the Quality of Fermented African Locust Beans  
271 (Iru/ogiri/dawadawa) *Parkia biglobosa* Publisher: *J. Appl Sci Res.*, Ibadan, Nigeria. 2001; pp.1.
- 272 3. Odunfa SA, Oyewole OB. Identification of *Bacillus species* from (Iru) Fermented African Locust  
273 Beans Product. *J. Basic Microbiol.* 1986; 26(2), 101-108.
- 274 4. Sibanda T, Okoh AI. The challenges of overcoming antibiotic resistance; plant extracts as  
275 potential sources of antimicrobial and resistance modifying agents. *Afri J. Biotechnol.* 2007;  
276 6(25): 2886-2896.
- 277 5. Sevindik M. Investigation of Antioxidant/Oxidant Status and Antimicrobial Activities of *Lentinus*  
278 *tigrinus*. *Advances in pharmacological sciences.* 2018; <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1718025>
- 279 6. Pehlivan M, Sevindik M. Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of *Salvia multicaulis*. *Turkish*  
280 *Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology.* 2018; 6(5), 628-631.
- 281 7. Ajaiyeoba E. Phytochemical and antibacterial properties of *Parkia biglobosa* and *Parkiabicolar*  
282 leaf extracts. *Afri J. Biomed Res.* 2002; 5(3): 125-129.

- 283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312
8. Duker-Eshun GD, Beni CT, Asonaming WA, Akwamoah RA. Chemical investigation of the stem bark of *Parkia clappertoniana* Keay. *J. Ghana Sci Association*. 2001; 3: 2.
  9. Agunu A, Yusuf S, Andrew GO, Zezi AU, Abdulrahman EM. Evaluation of five medicinal plants used in diarrhoea treatment in Nigeria. *J. Ethnopharmacology*. 2005; 101(1-3): 27-30.
  10. Millogo-Kone H, Guisson P, Nacoulma O, Traore, S. Study of their Antibacterial Activity of the Stem Bark and Leaf Extracts of *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq.) Benth on *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Afri J. Trad Complementary and Alter Med*. 2006. 3(2): 74- 78.
  11. Femi-Ola TO, Ajibade VA, Afolabi A. Chemical Composition and Termicidal Properties of *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq) Benth. *J.Bio Sci*. 2008. 8(2): 494 – 497.
  12. Oyeleke SB, Dauda BE, Boye, OA. Antibacterial activity of *Ficuscapensis*. *Afri J. Biotech*. 2008; 7(10): 1414-1417.
  13. Wei J, Wenjie Y, Ping L, Na W, Haixia R, Xuequn Z. Antibiotic resistance of Klebsiella pneumoniae through  $\beta$ -arrestin recruitment-induced  $\beta$ -lactamase signaling pathway. *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*. 2018, 15. 10.3892/etm.2018.5728.
  14. Olise CC, Simon-Oke IA, Akumeh DD. Clinical Isolates Resistance to Commonly Used Antibiotics: A Concern in Healthcare Setting. *Int J Pub Health Safe*;2018 3: 150.
  15. El-Mahmood AM, Ameh JM. *In vitro* antibacterial activity of *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq.) root bark extract against some microorganisms associated with urinary tract infections, *Afri J. Biotech*. 2007; 6(11). 1272 - 1275.
  16. De N, and lfeoma E. Antimicrobial effects of components of the bark extract of Neem plant. (*Azadirachtaindica*). *Afri J. Tech Dev*. 2002; 8:23-28.
  17. Farnsworth AC. (1982). *The Role of Ethnopharmacology Drug Development from Plants*. John Wiley and Sons, England (Ciba found. Symp. 154). pp. 2-10.
  18. Obajuluwa AF, Udobi CE, Onaolapo JA, Oyi AR. Comparative Studies of the Antibacterial Activities of the Extracts of Parts of the African Locust Beans (*Parkiabiglobosa*) tree against hyper *beta lactamase* producing Staphylococci (phenotypic mrsa) isolates from orthopaedic patients. *Inter J. Pharma BioSci*. 2010; 1(4):1-6.
  19. Lister PD, Wolter DJ, Hanson ND. Antibacterial-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Clinical impact and complex regulation of chromosomally encoded resistance mechanisms. *Clin. Microbiol*. 2009; 22, 582-610.