

Editor's Comment:

Here is my review as below;

Abstract: The abstract is not representing the manuscript. its only represented the introductory part of the manuscript. Abstract need to be clearly identified the introduction aiming with rationality, level data, and data source along with collection methodology, significant result and final statement which supports the novelty of this study. I would recommend that abstract need to be rewrite according to this.

Introduction: Introduction is not clearly define why this study is conducting. Where is the aim and objectives?

Methodology: Author describe that no innovative methodology is required? Well, but they used a huge numbers of pictorial data. So author should explain what is pictorial data and how they analyzed and interpreted.

Results: There is no results section in the manuscript. If so, from where the discussion section comes from?

Conclusion: what is the novelty of this stud that needs to be clearly stated in the conclusion.

However, this is very interesting study. I enjoyed. I believe, if authors may revise their manuscript, it will be a significant contribution in the research world.

English need to be edited by English speakers.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Masum A Patwary
Academic Ambassador at Large, Geography and Environmental Science, Begum Rokeya University,
Bangladesh