



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_38730
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF BIOETHANOL POTENTIAL OF LEMON GRASS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The pH used in this study (5, 7 and 8) are not matching with the pH written in the abstract (6, 7 and 8). Butanol, butanoic acid and propane were found in abstract as a result of fermentation (GC- MS) while these products were not found in table (4). <p>In Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The concentration of total reducing sugar (TRS) after enzymatic hydrolysis and after fermentation should be written in the result. The concentration of reducing sugars produced in this study should be determined as gram of sugar per gram of lemon grass (g/g) so you can compare your results of this research with other research in this field. You should have comparison between the yield of sugars and bioethanol in this study with other research that used lemon grass for ethanol production. Table (2): the unit of mineral concentration is mg/ml not %. <p>In Reference:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The references are inaccurate and needs to be revised. Every reference referred in the text should be written in the reference list and vice versa. <p>While in this Manuscript The number of reference in the text does not match with the number of these references in the reference list, for example:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> There are 11 references [Anonymous (2017), Chen et al.,(2011),El-olemyli et al.,(1994) ,Galadima et al., (2011) ,Musa (2011),Shinnosuke et al., (2015)etc.] written in reference list but are not presented in the text. There are 12 references [Adagatine et al., (2015), Adebayo et al.,(2010) , Mofer et al., (2013)etc.] written in the text but are not presented in the reference list. 	All suggestions noted. Thank you.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I recommend this manuscript to be accepted after revision and correct the manuscript.	