



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_46679
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Marine Water from Niger Delta on the $\beta$ -Galactosidase Activity of Mutant Escherichia coli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Good effort. Justify following question: 1. How mutants selected? 2. There is no evidence in methodology for mutant selection, identification or the $\beta$ -Galactosidase Activity. 3. Correct the document for minor corrections.	1. The study used a Toxi chromo kit containing lyophilized bacteria (Bottle B) with a highly permeable rough mutant of <i>E. coli</i> . The author did not select the mutants used. 2. The correction $\beta$ -Galactosidase activity was effected in yellow colour in section 2.5.2.7 <i>Reading and analysis of results</i> 3. The minor corrections were effected and all in yellow colour.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.