



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_47130
Title of the Manuscript:	Edutainment Teaching Technique and Students' Skills Performance in Building Drawing In Technical Colleges in Akwa Ibom State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please correct grammatical errors. Please add following references:</p> <p><i>Denić Nebojša, Nešić Zoran, Radojičić Miroslav, Petković Dalibor, Stevanović Mališa: A contribution to the research of children protection in use of Internet</i>, Tehnički vjesnik, DOI: 10.17559/TV-20150618131930, Volume 24, Supplement 2, September 2017, pp. 525–533; <i>Marković Dušan, Petković Dalibor, Nikolić Vlastimir, Milovančević Miloš, Petković Biljana: Soft computing prediction of economic growth based in science and technology factors</i>, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, DOI: 10.1016/j.physa.2016.08.034, Volume 465, 1 January 2017, pp. 217–220;</p>	<p>I HAVE AGREE WITH THE REVIEWERS COMMENTS AND CORRECTIONS THERE ARE TWO REFERENCES I HAVE BEEN ASKED TO ASK, I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT TO ADD SINCE I HAVE NOT WRITTEN ANYTHING FROM THEIR PUBLICATION.SO I THINK IT IS NOT NECESSARY</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Ethical Issues</p> <p>Information gathered by the researcher from the respondents were treated in privacy. The gathered data were held with great secrecy. Confidentiality was strictly observed, henceforth, the researchers only assigned a code representing the respective respondents. Only the researchers had access to the data. To enhance the trustworthiness of this study, the researcher reported objectively the statements of the respondents after a precise transcription of raw data.</p> <p>The researcher did not conceal any information from the subjects if such information will not allow the subject to participate in the study. The researcher ensures that procedure of the research did not cause any physical issue or emotional harms to the respondents as all data gather from the respondents was treated confidentially with falling into wrong hands. The researcher did not dishonestly distort any information given by the respondents as all recorded from the questionnaire was kept in a safe storage</p>	<p>I HAVE AGREE WITH THE REVIEWERS COMMENTS AND CORRECTIONS</p>