



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_47174
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between Evapo-transpiration and water availability in the tropical regions: A case study of a south western city in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please review the introduction section there are long sentences and the results section the years and their values of rainfall</p> <p>Please separate the titles of figures or tables</p>	<p>Now corrected</p> <p>Now done</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Accept with minor revision (I already add some comments to improve the manuscript)</p>	<p>Thank you</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript contributes in how mitigate the high potential evapotranspiration in tropical regions</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	