| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Food Science Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_AFSJ_40694** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY & BIOMARKER RESPONSE TO A DAILY DOSE OF INDOMIE SEASONING IN MALE ALBINO RATS (Rattus norvegicus)** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **1- Wooden cage? Why the authors used this type of cage is it for certain cause?**<br>**2- How the authors calculate the amount of indomi Seasoning, please this point need more explanation?**<br>**3- What about the other contributing factors that can affect the same parameters, other type of food, did the animals were starve with no food or water except for the indomi?**<br>**4- In the abstract and the results, authors said that they examined the semen parameters, how did you collect semen from rats, this point not present in the method should be clarified and how did you examine the parameters in very little amount of e=semen from rat animals?**<br>**5- Table 4 in the result need to be formalized in another form to help the readers to understand the results of this table?**<br><br>**Ethical issue:**<br><br>**Yes , the research on animals only need to clarify the ethics used in the method part** | 1. The wooden cage makes up the external framework, the internal cage is wire mesh.<br>2. This was calculated by determination of the average daily dose of a 60kg adult. Which is about 1 sachet of 280g indomie whose seasoning weighs about 3g<br>3. They were given their regular feed in addition to the indomie seasoning daily. While the control group were given only feed and water.<br>4. The sperm count was evaluated not semen. The sperm cells were obtained from the caudal epididymis which was separated from the testes. This has been corrected.<br>5. The result description has been modified for better understanding.<br>6. I thank this reviewer for valid comments that have improved the quality of this manuscript.<br><br>A university ethical clearance was sought for and obtained. |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | Correct the language because there are many spelling and grammar faults | This has been corrected. |
| **Optional/General** comments | | |