Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AFSJ_45926**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Production and Evaluation of Ready to Eat Soup Balls from Egusi, Egusi- Kirikiri, Sesame Seed and Groundnut**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The word **egusi** and **egusi kirikiri** are in a local language which need to be **inverted comma (**" egusi"). And all botanical names must be in ***italic* form**. The correct form of significant presentation is small letter p not Upper letter P (p< 0.05). Please check and revise it in the abstract and the main text (P< 0.05).	Corrected and highlighted
Minor REVISION comments	This is more confusing ***p-tuber-regium sclerotia*** botanical names cannot be started with small letter. Please check and revise in the introduction. **Materials and Methods** Please indicate the form of the groundnut before sorted and soaked i.e. either shelled or unshelled Groundnuts (*Arachis hypogaea*) were sorted, soaked in warm water (70°C) for 2 min, dried for 1h in a hot air oven at 60°C. The exact word must be used in sciences. oil was manually expelled from the dough. Should read oil was **extracted.** Please check and revise. **Results and Discussion** The results must introduce the Table before the actual discussion. The Tables are far from the results in the text. **Table 2** was wrongly labelled.Please check and revise. The **sub titles and Table titles** should not be uppercase throughout except the first letters. Please check and revise	Corrected and highlighted Corrected and highlighted Corrected and highlighted Corrected and highlighted
Optional/General comments	Good work done. More work must be done on the discussion. The author is suggested to make some revisions so that the paper will be better understood	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	