Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AFSJ_47095**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of the Prevalence of Congeners from Distilled Spirits of Different Sources**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This MS deal with the evaluation of physicochemical properties of distillates prepared from cassava, molasses, and palm wines. Also, author(s) investigate the concentration of volatile compounds in these samples. In particular, from the results of ethyl acetate and ethyl carbamate levels, author(s) concluded that fermentation conditions used in this study were suitable for preparation of these spirits. The results may be used as one of index of qualities on other alcoholic beverages. However, author(s) need to correct or revised their MS as follows: 1. Please mention the units of enzymes (amylases) used for production of spirit from dry cassava starch. 2. Please mention the fermentation temperature to produce spirit from palm wine. 3. Please combine tables 2 and 3. 4. References needs to revise according to author's instruction.	The MS has been revised as follows: 1. Enzyme activity for α-amylase and β-amylase are 25U/ml and 15U/ml respectively. 2. Palm wine was allowed to ferment naturally at 26°C ±1°C for 2 days. 3. Tables 2 and 3 have been combined. 4. References have been revised according to author's guideline of this Journal.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	