



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_47629
Title of the Manuscript:	Study the effect of watermelon white rind extract as decreased the detrimental of soybean oil in vivo
Type of the Article	<u>Original research paper</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Rephrase the title</p> <p>The abstract is poorly written.</p> <p>The introduction, materials and methods, result and discussion made this article totally unacceptable.</p> <p>The references are not in line with the Authors guideline.</p>	<p>Thanks for your valuable comments.</p> <p>The mail contained the following corrections:</p> <p>1- The title was corrected</p> <p>2- Chrome is corrected to chromium</p> <p>3- All units in table 1 were ppm</p> <p>4- The abstract was arranged to: aim, methods, results, conclusion.</p> <p>5- No ethical issues</p> <p>6- All results were the mean of duplicate determinations</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This is totally unacceptable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>