



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Food Science Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AFSJ_49435 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Nutritional and Anti–Nutritional Evaluation of Garri Processed by Traditional and Instant Mechanical Methods |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | References should be done in accordance with authors guidelines of Sciencedomain International. For example: 1. Zagrobelny, M., Bak, S., Rasmussen, A.V., Jørgensen, B., Naumann, C.M. & Møller, B.L. Cyanogenic glucosides and plant-insect interactions. <i>Phytochemistry</i> . 2004; 65: 293–306. | Okay |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | None |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>