



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_45295
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlating Automated IRIA ESR Analyzer and Westergreen Method for Determination of Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>[1] When Table 2 will be represented graphically as scatter plot, the reader of the journal could understand the relationship between two methods clearly.</p> <p>[2] 3.4 section should be shorted by removing statistical calculation procedure. In other words, the authors said that P-value or R value was estimated by conducting SPSS in abstract. Please short it.</p> <p>[3] When the Westergreen method changes into IRIS ESR analyser, the advantages should be described as quantitatively, rather than qualitatively.</p>	Done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	