



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_47124
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE DIAGNOSTIC VALUE OF Ret-He AMONG SICKLE CELL DISEASE PATIENTS WITH IRON DEFICIENCY ANAEMIA ON HAEMATINICS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Congratulations on the study. I will make some comments to contribute to the growth of the article.</p> <p>1) Abstract 1.1) Separate the abstract in sessions. Where the introduction and objectives are defined. 1.2) I see that the work developed was with children. To increase the specificity of the theme, I suggest putting children instead of patients. This increases the readability of finding the article if it is published. 1.3) Review the introduction, it needs to talk to the purpose and methodology. 1.4) Objective - put that they were "children with sickle cell disease". Review in English writing, grammar and concordance. 2) Introduction 2.1) I lacked the epidemiology of iron deficiency anaemia and its prevalence figures in children with sickle cell anaemia. 2.2) The authors should further explain the relevance of studying iron deficiency anaemia in children with SCD.</p>	Thank you for your comments. Corrections done.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	