



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_48946
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE OF HAEMOGLOBIN TYPES AT UNION AMONG COUPLES AND IMPACT ON OFFSPRING; A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY IN SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The theme is important. I have some advice. 1. Abstract is missing. 2. Introduction should be divided into several appropriate paragraphs. 3. Results. 62% and 70% cannot be referred to as "majority". Please rephrase this. Delete "reasonable percentage", which is very unclear. 4. "Union" means what? Is it sexual intercourse without contraception? Please rephrase it to a simpler word. 5. The context of this paper is unclear. 1) Do you mean that couples of HbS should be (or had better be) advised to perform contraception (not conceive)? Or 2) You do not state whether these couples should perform contraception but you wish to claim that one should "know/understand" the situation and then decide whether couples have babies. Which scenario do you wish to state. Or, some other? Please describe if preceding articles demonstrated similar results.	Correction done Revised and effected in the manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	