SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_46559**
Title of the Manuscript:	**On gso-Closed Sets in Topological Spaces**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	(1) I would like to see a class of examples of the main concepts, instead of concrete instances like Example 2.1. I believe that the following is a natural class of gso-closed sets that appears in a well-established context: Consider a Linearly ordered topological space, defined in Faber, Metrizability in generalized ordered spaces, Mathematical Centre Tracts, no. 53, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1974. A basic example is the real numbers with the standard order. Or more generally, a Preference-ordered topological space, defined in Alcantud, "Topological properties of spaces ordered by preferences", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 22(1) (1999) 17-27. Then the sets with the form $\{x \in X : a \leq x \leq b\}$ are gso-closed sets when $a<b$. (2) Define *scl*(A) in Definition 1.2(ii). (3) Theorem 2.2 uses facts about unions of g-closed sets and semi-open sets that should be stated before (possibly in section 1.1).	(1) Example was replaced with the suggested examples in Example 21. Thank you for the excellent examples. (2) Defined *scl(A)* in Definition 1.2(ii). (3) Accepted the comments. But we introduced the *gso*-closed sets in Section.2 as the Definition 21. That is why Theorem 2.2 was placed in Section 2.
Minor REVISION comments	The Introduction should be written in the present form of the verbs.	Changed to the present form of verbs.
Optional/General comments	The similarity index should be reduced to acceptable levels.	Similarity index was tried to reduce. Mainly some of the theorems were look like same with the wording but they are distinct for this case. Also we used many definitions so it may show the similarity.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	The Turnitin index is very high (59%)	Mainly some of the theorems were look like same with the wording but they are distinct for this case. Also we used many definitions so it may show the similarity.