



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_47163
Title of the Manuscript:	Canonical Correlation Analysis across Vegetation and Soil Properties of the Disturbed and Intact Coastal Forest Ecosystems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The author should cross check the validity of some of the average values of Species-environment correlations (SEC) presented and that on the tables.</p> <p>2. I suggest that the p-values should be presented with the zero (0) before the decimal point. Example <math>p= 0.938</math> or <math>p&lt;0.012</math></p>	<p>We have reviewed the manuscript as per reviewer's suggestions. All the reviewed sections have been highlighted in yellow colour: See the revised copy.</p> <p>1. The SEC has been crosschecked across the whole manuscript: Refer line 246 and table 4, 255 and table 6</p> <p>2. The <math>p</math>-values and F-values corrected across the paper as per reviewer's suggestion</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. I suggest that the Author may consider the replacement of the term "Intact Coastal Forest" with "Undisturbed Coastal Forest" in both the title and the body of the manuscript as the word "intact" in forestry is relative and associated with a lot of controversies.</p> <p>2. The use of the word "because" should be reduced in the manuscript</p>	<p>1. The word 'undisturbed' has replaced the word 'Intact' throughout the manuscript (See line 3, 6, 50, 54, 102 and 134 of the Revised Manuscript.</p> <p>2. The word because has been replaced/removed/edited. It appears on line 29, 49 and 64 (See the revised copy)</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	