



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_47670
Title of the Manuscript:	GENETIC DIVERGANCE IN F2 GENERATION OF INTERSPECIFIC HYBRIDS IN GRAIN AND VEGETABLE PURPOSE COWPEA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b> Please check the word: "tsrials".</p> <p><b>Introduction and Discussion:</b> According to <b>GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS</b> (available in <a href="http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/31/authors-instruction">http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/31/authors-instruction</a>), "in the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [ ]". Please correct that.</p> <p><b>MATERIAL AND METHODS</b> Please check the words: "Eachgenotype"; "Observationswere"; "usingMahalanobis" and "andTocher". Pay attention with it.</p> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b> Please check the words: "genotypicclusters"; "differenceswere"; "crosscombinations"; "maximumpossible"; "eitherfor"; "furtherselection"; "followedby"; "yieldper"; "beimportant"; and "geneticdivergence.Ushakumari". Pay attention with it.</p> <p><b>REFERENCES</b> According to <b>GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS</b>, "references must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text".</p> <p>According to GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS, "only published or accepted manuscripts should be included in the reference list. Articles submitted for publication, unpublished findings and personal communications should not be included in the reference list but may be mentioned in the text (e.g., T Nelson, Purdue University, USA, Unpublished results or personal communication)". So, the thesis of Pawar, R.M. (1996) shouldn't be in the reference list.</p>	<p>As per the comments from the reviewer, i have made necessary corrections in the wording that i made in abstract, introduction, material and methods and result and discussion. The corrections made have been highlighted.</p> <p>The format of the reference has been changed according to the research journal and references has been numbered in the article text portion.</p> <p>Unwanted references has been removed and added recent publications for reference</p> <p>One more table has been introduced in the text potion for making the article more esay to understand and reliable</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>