



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_47670
Title of the Manuscript:	GENETIC DIVERGANCE IN F2 GENERATION OF INTERSPECIFIC HYBRIDS IN GRAIN AND VEGETABLE PURPOSE COWPEA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All font size should be the same as corrected in abstract. 2. All table should have three lines as appeared in table 2. 3. Discussions of any table should be followed by the table discussed. 4. The discussions needs to be enriched based on the clustered observed on the tables and their variations. 5. Reference format needs to be corrected. 6. The references needs to be numbered and corresponding number(s) should appear on text. But if the current format is accepted by the editorial board, then is ok. 7. The tenses and words needs to be cross checked and corrected, 	<p>All fonts made similar All tables have been aligned to have three lines. Included one more table for easy reference and understanding to the reader.</p> <p>Reference format corrected according to the journal and reference has been numbered and included in the text.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>