



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_48955
Title of the Manuscript:	Grid-connected response verification of AC microgrid under single line-to-ground short circuit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: [mnb8j77777h8bnholjkm,mj ,k8u77](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In this paper authors present the result of validating the response of a microgrid which is capable of grid interconnection and islanding under voltage and reactive power control regimes. The microgrid is modeled to incorporate two wind turbines, each rated 5.5 kW, 400 V. The utility has synchronous generator rated 100 MW, 13.8 kV. Both the utility and microgrid are capable of exchanging active power and reactive power. Single line-to-ground short circuits are introduced and withdrawn at 30.00 s and 32.00 s, respectively. The dynamic responses of the testbed are captured pre-, during- and post-short circuit in grid-connected mode under both control regimes,</p> <p>The testbed is verified to be consistent with established short circuit theory, verifying the validity of the system for short circuit detection and analysis. The testbed can therefore be used for short circuit and related studies, design optimization and power system performance prediction.</p> <p>Following Explanations are needed: Page9-10; Lines 162-169: 5 Conclusion Authors are advised to re-write conclusion with point wise out comes.</p>	Done. Typed in red colour text.
Optional/General comments	<p>Manuscript is interesting and structured properly, but need to be improvised linguistically.</p> <p>The review manuscript is recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestion / comments.</p>	Done. Typed in red colour text.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	