



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_49488
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of various strengthening systems of openings in composite steel-concrete beams
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title can be changed to (Strengthening of composite steel-concrete beams openings by adopting different reinforcement methods)</li> <li>2. The abstract has not objectives of study.</li> <li>3. The abstract describe the methods in details , opposite the results and recommendations.</li> <li>4. The presentation of paper need to arrange.</li> </ol>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Has been carried out</li> <li>- Has been carried out (line 8 , 9, 10)</li> <li>- Has been carried out (abstract modefied)</li> </ul> <p>The presentation of the manuscript has been modified and arrange</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The author needs to describe the problems of composite beams openings, then why he used strengthening methods? are there some accidents happened in structures?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Has been carried out (line 41 to 44)</li> </ul>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	