



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_44429
Title of the Manuscript:	A Classification Study of Rain Fall Oscillation in Tamil Nadu with Effect of Agricultural Product Import
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Line 9: put a full stop mark separating the 2 paragraphs: <i>atmospheric dynamics.</i> <i>This research article...</i></p> <p>Line 9: change the word: design by distribution</p> <p>Line 10 and 11: revise the sentences</p> <p>Line 12: change "in the period" to "For the period"</p> <p>Lines 14-15: revise the 2 sentences</p> <p>Lines 16-17: revise the paragraph</p> <p>Line 19: key words "SPSS, MAT Lab " to be removed because are not indicated in this paper</p> <p>Line 23: Change "in determine " to « in determining »</p> <p>Lines 26-27: revise There is a slow and steady increase in the temperature which has a direct impact on rainfall.</p> <p>Lines 34-37: please indicate references</p> <p>Lines 38-39: what is the relation of this paragraph with the rest. Not clear!</p> <p>Lines 39-41: not true! The Köppen climate classification system doesn't use only Average annual rainfall. Please correct.</p> <p>Lines 41-43: nothing to do with the remain section. Please remove it.</p> <p>Lines 44-45: Please revise the paragraph</p> <p>Lines 45-46: The temperature has not been studied, therefore, revise this para.</p> <p>Lines 52-53: Revise the para</p> <p>Line 54: is it MPR method or MPL method?</p> <p>Lines 55-56: Rewrite the para</p> <p>Line 66: change the sentence to: northeast India and parts of Gujarat and Kerala is observed.</p> <p>Line 67: change "is identifying the pattern" to " is to identify"</p> <p>Line 68: change the sentence "of all the season of rainfall data" to: the seasonal rainfall data</p> <p>Lines 121-123: how did you conclude that ?</p> <p>From line 78 to 197:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author has to use correctly the classification approach - The author should show proficiency using the classification methods accordingly to the objectives since there is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a- No comment of the results b- No methodology is indicated. <p>My advice:</p> <p>Revise all the structure of the paper</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Introduce a map indicating the position of the concerned zone and its geographical characteristics. 2- Add a methodology section 3- Learn how to use effectively the clustering method 4- Comment correctly the results 5- Add discussion section 6- Explain why you introduced import/export of agricultural products and what is its relationship with the classification of the rainfall regimes in the region. 7- Why you correlated these both series 8- What are the main agricultural products you are indicating? 9- Add a conclusion <p>Line 167 and for the whole article: Please change "Rain fall" to "Rainfall "</p>	<p>Noted</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Scientifically, the paper needs a serious improvement. The method is not clearly and adequately described. The results not clearly presented. No conclusion in the paper and the language is a bit challenging to understand. The structure of the paper needs a serious improvement.	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	