SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAAR_44429**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Classification Study of Rain Fall Oscillation in Tamil Nadu with Effect of Agricultural Product Import**
Type of Article:	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author had done good job in correcting the manuscript, especially under the abstract, introduction and review of literature. However, the author ignored other important suggestions for improvement. These include errors in grammar, too much repetitions with incoherent arrangements of texts which are not good in scientific papers. Hence, I will advice the author to do the underlisted corrections before the manuscript could be published. *The title should be adjusted to "**A Classification Study of Rainfall Oscillation in Tamil Nadu with Effects on Agricultural Product Import**". ***Kindly correct the following under the last part of literature review;:** Re-write .. "studies reveal that"... .as.... "studies revealed that"..... Re-write ..."study is to identifying the pattern and classification of all the seasons of rainfall data in Tamil Nadu and we will also identifying the import export"....as.... "study is to identify the pattern and classification of all the seasons of rainfall data in Tamil Nadu and we will also identify the import export .... Data Interpretation *Please change..... "meaning fully" ... to.... "meaningfully" .... Kindly make the corretion everywhere the text appears in the manuscript. *Replace the following.. ... "the States having **Low Level** rainfall in Tamil Nadu during the year 2007. The possible reasons were new ecological system changes and new environment conditions..... with... "the States have **Low Level** rainfall in Tamil Nadu during the year 2007. The possible reasons include new ecological system changes and new environmental conditions"... *Under Table 4.1 and 4.2 - Do u mean 0.80 in March under cluster 1, because the number is too small compared with others. -Are the rainfall values in mm or what? -The author should put zeros before the significant (sig) numbers in all the tables; e.g. 0.764, 0.936, etc. -Also, I don't understand the ranges the authors used for identifying the low, high and moderate. *Below Table 4.2, replace the following texts;: ..... "States having".... by....... "States have"....... ...... "reasons were new ecological system changes and new environment conditions with continuous" .....by......"reasons include new ecological system changes and new environmental conditions" .... *The above texts for Table 4.2 were repeated for other tables and in several places within the manuscript, hence, THE ABOVE CORECTIONS SHOULD BE MADE THROUGHOUT THE MANUSCRIPT. *Below Table 4.13, change... ."rain fall" ....to.... ."rainfall" *Below Table 4.14,	Comments are corrected and implemented in revised Manuscript

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

-change .. "having" ...to.. "have". . -In all cases, re-write: Clusters ...."that indicates that majority of the States having"....to...."Clusters indicates that majority of the States have".... *Within the texts under fig 4.2: -Change...... "S**alem** were found **High Level** rainfall".. ....to....... "Salem where we found high level of rainfall"...... - Change....... "**Tiruvannamali** were **Moderare Level** rainfall Measured" ..... to.... "**Tiruvannamali** where **Moderare Level** of rainfall was Measured. -change..... "South East having" .. ..to.... "South East have".... -change..... "Tamil Nadu measured very **Low level** of Rainfall was measured".......to.... "Tamil Nadu has very **Low level** of Rainfall" Conclusion You stated that: "The sea level is also increased gradually between these years." Please provide a prove or reference for the above text. *In general, the manuscript still contain errors and several repetitions which will be good if adjusted.	