Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAAR_46706**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of soil moisture, tillage speed, ballast weight and used implement on wheel slippage of the tractor: A review**
Type of the Article	**Review Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of N o v elty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: **Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**title: it brings "implement" while abstract mentions only "plows"** **abstract: it brings no conclusion. It ends mentioning an obvious statement that is expected from the title.** **keywords: 5 out of 6 repeat terms already presented in the title.** **lines 18-19: what does "complete technology" mean?** **Line 19: "One of the major factors that affect fuel consumption is tillage depth.". Why title did not approach it?** **line 22: "...many researchers". References should be included.** **Introduction brings only information on tillage implements.** **Itens 2.1 and 2.2 should follow the same letter standards.** **line 54: "...shown in Fig. 1 indicate a linear correlation...". R^2 is only 0.3487.** **line 55: "... Fig. shows ", which figure?** **line 58: "...force pull as shown in fig. 6". It should be Fig. 2 instead, because figs. 2 to 5 were not yet mentioned.** **Which kind of soils the studies mentioned on fig 1 and 2, which texture?** **No mention of fig. 2 before it is shown in the text.** **line 77: "The different results may be due to working conditions change like soil structure, tillage speed and type of the implements."** **Please, present these conditions. A review paper must bring an intelectual contribution of authors, not merely repeting previously existing information.** **There is no fig. 3.** **No mention of fig. 4 before it is shown in the text.** **line 92: "When 520kg was added to the tractor... ". Which tractor, power, mass? Without the whole context, it is meaningless information.** **We can barely read what is presented in fig. 6.** **Conclusion bring no contribution from the review's authors.** **References seems to be concentrated to authors from few geographic regions. If the goal was to approach slippery in a certain part of the globe, title and abstract should bring it.** **for example, 8 out of 26 citations is from the same author, all in publications alone, which is unusual on science currently.**	Changed to implements in abstract Conclusion added to abstract Done Mean (for the crop production) and it was added to the text It was dded to the title Done Also brings: fuel consumption, wheel slippage, ballast weight Done Corrected Fig. 1 (it was corrected) Done Not found in the references that used for this review Done Not found in the references that used for this review. Because my article is a review paper I can just use the material from these references Done Done Not found in the references that used for this review. Because my article is a review paper I can just use the material from these references The quality of all figures were improved as much as possible This is only what has been found from the references This was just a coincidence because the lack of the references on this subject

	A broader literature review is recommended.	More references were added to the article
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	