SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAAR_46706**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of soil moisture, tillage speed, ballast weight and used implement on wheel slippage of the tractor: A review**
Type of the Article	**Review Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are some contradictory statements in the article. For instance "When Arvidsson et al. [22] investigated the specific draught for different implements at different soil water contents they found that **wheel slippage was generally higher for the chisel plow than for moldboard plow**. They also found that the greater tillage depth was also associated with higher slippage. While the results from Mamkagh [21] showed that **the tractor wheel slippage was highest for the moldboard plow and lowest for the chisel plow**." The travel reduction should be used instead of wheel slippage. A limited number of (26) literature has been used in the article.	Reference [22] and [21] are different articles for different authors. We just reviewed their results during that it was found some contradictory in their results. We are studying the travel reduction in another article which can published soon. More literatures were added to the article
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	